### Impacts of Climate Change and Variability on Transportation Systems and Infrastructure: Gulf Coast Study, Phase I

**Draft Report** 

U.S. Climate Change Science Program Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.7

Lead Agency:

U.S. Department of Transportation

Coordinating Agency:

U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Department of the Interior

October 5, 2007

# Impacts of Climate Change and Variability on Transportation Systems and Infrastructure: Gulf Coast Study, Phase I

Sponsoring Agency	U.S. Department of Transportation
Coordinating Agency	U.S. Geological Survey
Project Lead	Michael J. Savonis, Federal Highway Administration
Editorial Team	Joanne R. Potter, Cambridge Systematics Virginia R. Burkett, U.S. Geological Survey Michael J. Savonis, Federal Highway Administration

#### 1 Abstract

2 Climate affects the design, construction, safety, operations, and maintenance of transporta-3 tion infrastructure and systems. The prospect of a changing climate raises critical questions 4 regarding how alterations in temperature, precipitation, storm events, and other aspects of 5 the climate could affect the Nation's roads, airports, rail, transit systems, pipelines, ports, 6 and waterways. Phase I of this regional assessment of climate change and its potential 7 impacts on transportation systems addresses these questions for the region of the U.S. 8 Central Gulf Coast between Galveston, Texas, and Mobile, Alabama. This region contains 9 multimodal transportation infrastructure that is critical to regional and National transporta-10 tion services.

Historical trends and future climate scenarios were used to establish a context for exam-11 12 ining the potential effects of climate change on all major transportation modes within the 13 region. Climate changes anticipated during the next 50-100 years for the Central Gulf Coast include warming temperatures, changes in precipitation patterns, and increased storm 14 intensity. The warming of the oceans and decline of polar ice sheets is expected to 15 16 accelerate the rate of sea level rise globally. The effects of sea level rise in most Central 17 Gulf Coast counties will be exacerbated by the sinking of the land surface, which is 18 accounted for in this assessment.

19 The significance of these climate factors for transportation systems was assessed. Warming 20 temperatures are likely to increase the costs of transportation construction, maintenance, and operations. More frequent extreme precipitation events may disrupt transportation 21 22 networks with flooding and visibility problems. Relative sea level rise will make much of 23 the existing infrastructure more prone to frequent or permanent inundation – 25 percent of the major roads, 9 percent of the rail lines, and 72 percent of the ports are built on land at or 24 below 122 centimeters (4 feet) in elevation. Increased storm intensity may lead to increased 25 26 service disruption and infrastructure damage: More than half of the area's major highways 27 (64 percent of Interstates; 57 percent of arterials), almost half of the rail miles, 29 airports, and virtually all of the ports are below 7 meters (23 feet) in elevation and subject to 28 29 flooding and possible damage due to hurricane storm surge. Consideration of these factors 30 in today's transportation decisions and planning processes should lead to a more robust, resilient and cost-effective transportation network in the coming decades. 31

## <sup>1</sup> List of Authors

Executive Summary	<b>Lead authors:</b> Joanne R. Potter, Cambridge Systematics; Michael J. Savonis, Federal Highway Administration; and Virginia R. Burkett, U.S. Geological Survey
Chapter 1	<b>Lead authors:</b> Robert C. Hyman, Cambridge Systematics (CS); Joanne R. Potter, CS; Michael J. Savonis, Federal Highway Administration; Virginia R. Burkett, U.S. Geological Survey; and Jessica E. Tump, CS
Chapter 2	Lead Authors: Virginia R. Burkett, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); Robert C. Hyman, Cambridge Systematics (CS); Ron Hagelman, University of New Orleans; Stephen B. Hartley, USGS; and Matthew Sheppard, IBM Contributing Authors: Thomas W. Doyle, USGS; Daniel M. Beagan, CS; Alan Meyers, CS; David T. Hunt, CS; Michael K. Maynard, Wilbur Smith Associates; Russell H. Henk, Texas Transportation Institute (TTI); Edward J. Seymour, TTI; Leslie E. Olson, TTI; Joanne R. Potter, CS; and Nanda N. Srinivasan, CS
Chapter 3	Lead Authors: Barry D. Keim, Louisiana State University (LSU); Thomas W. Doyle, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); and Virginia R. Burkett, USGS Contributing Authors: Ivor Van Heerden, LSU; S. Ahmet Binselam, LSU; Michael F. Wehner, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; Claudia Tebaldi, National Center for Atmospheric Research; Tamara G. Houston, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and Daniel M. Beagan, Cambridge Systematics
Chapter 4	Lead Authors: Robert S. Kafalenos, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA); Kenneth J. Leonard, Cambridge Systematics (CS) Contributing Authors: Daniel M. Beagan, CS; Virginia R. Burkett, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); Barry D. Keim, Louisiana State University; Alan Meyers, CS; David T. Hunt, CS; Robert C. Hyman, CS; Michael K. Maynard, Wilbur Smith Associates (WSA); Barbara Fritsche, WSA; Russell H. Henk, Texas Transportation Institute (TTI); Edward J. Seymour, TTI; Leslie E. Olson, TTI; Joanne R. Potter, CS; Michael J. Savonis, FHWA
Chapter 5	Lead Authors: Kenneth J. Leonard, Cambridge Systematics (CS); John H. Suhrbier, CS; Eric Lindquist; Texas A&M University Contributing Authors: Michael J. Savonis, Federal Highway Administration; Joanne R. Potter, CS; Wesley R. Dean, Texas A&M University
Chapter 6	<ul> <li>Lead Authors: Michael J. Savonis, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA);</li> <li>Virginia R. Burkett, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); Joanne R. Potter, Cambridge Systematics (CS)</li> <li>Contributing Authors: Thomas W. Doyle, USGS; Ron Hagelman, University of New Orleans; Stephen B. Hartley, USGS; Robert C. Hyman, CS; Robert S. Kafalenos, FHWA; Barry D. Keim, Louisiana State University; Kenneth J. Leonard, CS; Matthew Sheppard, IBM; Claudia Tebaldi, National Center for Atmospheric Research; Jessica E. Tump, CS</li> </ul>

## **1** Federal Advisory Committee

Committee Member	Title
Vicki Arroyo	Director of Policy Analysis, Pew Center on Global Climate Change
Philip B. Bedient	Professor of Engineering, Rice University
Leigh B. Boske	Associate Dean and Professor of Public Affairs, Lyndon B. Johnson School of Public Affairs, The University of Texas at Austin
Alan Clark	Director of Transportation Planning, Houston-Galveston Area Council
Fred Dennin	Regional Administrator, Region 3, Federal Railroad Administration
Paul S. Fischbeck	Professor of Social and Decision Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University
Anthony Janetos	Director, Joint Global Change Program Research Institute, University of Maryland
Thomas R. Karl	Director, National Climatic Data Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Rob Lempert	Senior Scientist, RAND
Gilbert Mitchell	Chief, Geodetic Services Division, National Geodetic Survey, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Chris C. Oynes	Gulf of Mexico Regional Director, Minerals Management Service
Harold "Skip" Paul, P.E.	Associate Director of Research, Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
Tom Podany	Assistant Chief, Planning, Programs, and Project Management Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New Orleans District
Burr Stewart	Strategic Planning Manager, Port of Seattle
Elaine Wilkinson	Executive Director, Gulf Regional Planning Commission
John Zamurs	Air Quality Section Head, Environmental Analysis Bureau, New York State Department of Transportation

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary		ES-1	
1.0	Why Study Climate Change Impacts on Transportation?		
		The Climate is Changing	1-2
	1.2	How Will Changes in Climate Affect Transportation?	1-4
		1.2.1 What are the Challenges to Research?	1-5
	1.3	State of Science Regarding Climate Change Impacts on Transportation	1-6
		1.3.1 Overview of State of Practice	1-7
		1.3.2 Major Sponsors Conducting Related Research	1-8
		1.3.3 State of Technical Analysis	1-9
		1.3.4 Impacts, Assessment, and Adaptation	1-11
		1.3.5 Direct Climate Impacts on Transportation Addressed in	
		Existing Literature	1-12
		1.3.6 Indirect Climate Impacts on Transportation Addressed in	
		Existing Literature	1-15
		1.3.7 Decision-Making Processes and Tools	1-17
		Conclusions Drawn from Current Literature on the State of Research	1-20
	1.5	Gulf Coast Study Selection, Objectives, and Organization	1-23
		1.5.1 Study Selection	1-23
		1.5.2 Gulf Coast Study Objectives and Three Phases	1-24
		1.5.3 Study Organization and Oversight	1-25
		1.5.4 Characterizing Uncertainty	1-25
	1.6	References	1-26
2.0	Wh	y the Gulf Coast?	2-1
	2.1	Overview of the Study Region	2-1
		2.1.1 Regional and National Significance	2-1
		2.1.2 Study Area Boundaries	2-2
		2.1.3 Structure of This Chapter	2-3
	2.2	The Transportation System in the Gulf Coast Region	2-3
		2.2.1 Overview of the Intermodal Transportation System in the Gulf	
		Coast Region	2-3
		2.2.2 Modal Characteristics	2-6
	2.3	Gulf Coast Physical Setting and Natural Environment	2-16
		2.3.1 Geomorphology	2-16
		2.3.2 Current Elevation and Subsidence	2-18
		2.3.3 Sediment Erosion, Accretion, and Transport	2-19
		2.3.4 Land Use and Land Cover	2-20
	2.4	Social and Economic Setting	2-21
		2.4.1 Population and Development Trends	2-22
		2.4.2 Employment, Businesses, and Economic Drivers	2-23

		2.4.3 Societal Vulnerability	2-25
	2.5	2.5 Conclusions	2-27
	2.6	References	2-28
3.0	0 How is the Gulf Coast Climate Changing?		
	3.1		3-3
		3.1.1 Historical Data Sources	3-3
		3.1.2 GCM Applications for the Study Area	3-4
		3.1.3 Water-Balance Model	3-5
		3.1.4 Temperature and Runoff Trends	3-6
		3.1.5 GCM Results and Future Climate Scenarios	3-7
		3.1.6 Changes in Daily Temperature	3-8
		3.1.7 Changes in Specific Temperature Maxima Affecting Transportation	3-10
		3.1.8 Increasing Daily Precipitation Extremes	3-12
	3.2	Hurricanes and Less Intense Tropical Storms	3-12
		3.2.1 Assessing Trends in Historical Hurricane Frequency and Intensity	3-13
		3.2.2 Gulf Coast Hurricane History	3-15
		3.2.3 HURASIM: Model Application	3-15
		3.2.4 Historical Storm Frequency across the Northern Gulf Coast	
		Study Region	3-15
		3.2.5 Temporal and Spatial Analysis of Hurricane Landfall	3-16
		3.2.6 Hurricane Wind Direction Patterns	3-17
		3.2.7 Modeling Climate Change Effects on Tropical Cyclones into the	• • •
		21 <sup>st</sup> Century	3-18
	3.3	Sea Level Rise and Subsidence	3-18
		3.3.1 Historic and Projected Global Sea Level Trends	3-19
		3.3.2 Tide Records, Sea Level Trends, and Subsidence Rates along the	2 20
		Central Gulf Coast	3-20
	2.4	3.3.3 Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the Central Gulf Coast Region	3-21
	3.4	Storm Surge	3-23
		3.4.1 Predicting Strom Surge with the SLOSH Model	3-23
	25	3.4.2 Future Sea Level Rise and Storm Surge Height	3-25
	5.5	Other Aspects of Climate Change with Implications for Gulf Coast	2.26
		Transportation	3-26
		3.5.1 Wind and Wave Regime	3-26
		3.5.2 Humidity and Cloudiness	3-27
	26	3.5.3 Convective Activity	3-27
		Conclusions	3-28
	3.7	References	3-32
4.0		at are the Implications of Climate Change and Variability for Gulf	
		ast Transportation?	4-1
	4.1	Climate Drivers and their Impacts on the Transportation System	4-2
		4.1.1 Effects of Warming Temperatures	4-2
		4.1.2 Effects of Precipitation Levels and Patterns	4-3
		4.1.3 Relative Sea Level Rise	4-4

		4.1.4 Storm Activity	4-6
		4.1.5 Climate Impacts on Freight Transport	4-9
	42	Climate Impacts on Transportation Modes	4-10
	1.2	4.2.1 Highways	4-10
		4.2.2 Transit	4-15
		4.2.3 Freight and Passenger Rail	4-18
		4.2.4 Marine Facilities and Waterways	4-25
		4.2.5 Aviation	4-30
		4.2.6 Pipelines	4-37
		4.2.7 Implications for Transportation Emergency Management	4-43
	4.3	Impacts and Adaptation: Case Examples in the Study Region	4-49
		4.3.1 Impacts of Hurricane Katrina on Transportation Infrastructure	4-49
		4.3.2 Evacuation during Hurricane Rita	4-54
		4.3.3 Elevating Highway 1	4-55
	4.4	Conclusions	4-56
		References	4-58
5.0			
		nsportation Decisions?	5-1
	5.1	Considering Climate Change in Long-Range Planning and Investment	5-2
		5.1.1 Overview of the Federal Surface Transportation Planning and	5.0
		Investment Process	5-2
		5.1.2 Coordination in Transportation Planning	5-5
		5.1.3 Current State of Practice in Incorporating Climate	<i>5</i> 7
		Change Considerations	5-7
		5.1.4 Interviews with Transportation Representatives in the Gulf Coast	5-10
	50	5.1.5 Challenges and Opportunities to Integrating Climate Information	5-14 5-17
	3.2	Conceptual Framework for Assessing Potential Impacts on Transportation	3-17
		5.2.1 Factors of Concern: Exposure, Vulnerability, Resilience, and Adaptation	5-17
		5.2.2 Framework for Assessing Local Climate Change Impacts	J-17
		on Transportation	5-22
	53	Conclusions	5-26
		References	5-27
	5.4		5-21
6.0	Wh	at are the Key Conclusions of this Study?	6-1
	6.1	Trends in Climate and Coastal Change	6-2
	6.2	Transportation Impacts	6-4
	6.3	Implications for Planning	6-6
	6.4	Future Needs	6-7
	6.5	References	6-9

Appendix A: Gulf Coast Study GIS Datasets	A-1
Appendix B: Additional Data on Social and Economic Setting	<b>B-1</b>
Appendix C: Additional Rail Data	C-1
Appendix D: Water Balance Model Procedures	<b>D-1</b>
Appendix E: HURASIM Model Description	E-1
Appendix F: Projecting Future Sea Level Rise with the SLRRP Model	F-1

List of Acronyms

**Glossary of Terms** 

## **List of Tables**

1.1	Impacts of climate change on transportation identified in the literature, 1987-2006	1 <b>T-</b> 1
2.1	Study area counties and Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) codes	2T-1
2.2	Gulf Coast study area centerline miles of highway, by classification and ownership. (Cambridge Systematics from 2004 Highway Performance Monitoring System database for Gulf Coast Study supplied by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics)	2T-2
2.3	Equipment, annual service, and passengers for fixed-route bus operations in the study area, 2004. (Cambridge Systematics from 2004 National Transit Database)	2T-3
2.4	Freight railroads in the Gulf Coast study area. (Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2004)	2T-4
2.5	Domestic and international waterborne tonnage of study area ports, 2003. (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Navigation Data Center)	2T-5
2.6	Tonnage on study area inland and coastal waterways, 2003. (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterborne Commerce of the United States, 2003)	2T-6
2.7	Passenger enplanements and cargo tonnage for select commercial service and industrial airports in the study area, 2005	2T-7
2.8	Land use of the central Gulf Coast study area as defined by the 1992 National Land Cover Dataset. (National Land Cover Dataset, USGS)	2T-8
2.9	Top 10 industries in the study area by employment percentage, 2000. (United States Census 2000, U.S. Census Bureau, 2007)	2T-9
3.1	Projected global average surface warming and sea level rise at the end of the 21 <sup>st</sup> century (IPCC, 2007). These estimates are assessed from a hierarchy of models that encompass a simple climate model, several Earth Models of Intermediate Complexity (EMIC), and a large number of Atmosphere-Ocean Global Circulation Models (AOGCM). Sea level projections do not include uncertainties in carbon-cycle feedbacks, because a basis in published literature is lacking (IPCC, 2007).	3T-1

#### List of Tables (continued)

3.2	United States Historical Climatology Network (USHCN) stations within the seven Climate Divisions of the central Gulf Coast region	3T-2
3.3	List of GCMs run with the three SRES emission scenarios (A1B, A2, and B1) for this study. Not all model runs were available from the IPCC Data Centre for each SRES scenario	3T-3
3.4	Scenarios of temperature change from an ensemble of GCMs for the 5 <sup>th</sup> , 25 <sup>th</sup> , 50 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> , and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles for the A1B scenario for 2050 relative to 1971-2000 means, in degrees Celsius	3T-4
3.5	Scenarios of precipitation change from an ensemble of GCMs for the 5 <sup>th</sup> , 25 <sup>th</sup> , 50 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> , and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles for the A1B scenario for 2050 relative to 1971-2000 means, in percent	3T-4
3.6	Scenarios of temperature change from an ensemble of GCMs for the 5 <sup>th</sup> , 25 <sup>th</sup> , 50 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> , and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles for the A2 scenario for 2050 relative to 1971-2000 means, in degrees Celsius.	3T-5
3.7	Scenarios of precipitation change from an ensemble of GCMs for the 5 <sup>th</sup> , 25 <sup>th</sup> , 50 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> , and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles for the A2 scenario for 2050 relative to 1971-2000 means, in percent.	3T-5
3.8	Scenarios of temperature change from an ensemble of GCMs for the 5 <sup>th</sup> , 25 <sup>th</sup> , 50 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> , and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles for the B1 scenario for 2050 relative to 1971-2000 means, in degrees Celsius	3T-6
3.9	Scenarios of precipitation change from an ensemble of GCMs for the 5 <sup>th</sup> , 25 <sup>th</sup> , 50 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> , and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles for the B1 scenario for 2050 relative to 1971-2000 means, in percent	3T-6
3.10	Days above 32.2°C (90°F) and mean daily temperature in the study area for datasets running through 2004. The start date varies by location (note the number of years of observed data)	3T-7
3.11	Potential temperature increase scenarios for August. Modeled outputs shown in Celsius and Fahrenheit	3T-8
3.12	Saffir-Simpson Scale for categorizing hurricane intensity and damage potential. Note that maximum sustained wind speed is the only characteristic used for categorizing hurricanes	3T-8

## List of Tables (continued)

3.13	GCM model selection options based on data availability for the USGS SLRRP model and CoastClim model for generating future sea level rise projections. There are 3 GCM model data sets shared between SLRRP and CoastClim and a total of 11 GCM models and data sets altogether	3T-9
3.14	USGS SLRRP model results showing the mean land surface elevations subject to coastal flooding for the Gulf Coast region by 2050 and 2100 under a high, mid, and low scenario based on combined output for all 7 GCM models for the A1F1, B1, A1B, and A2 emission scenarios, in centimeters (NAVD88)	3T-10
3.15	Regional grid cell counts and normalized indices of sea level rise relative to global mean sea level projections for northern Gulf Coast tide gage locations by different GCM models used in CoastClim simulations	3T-10
3.16	CoastClim model results showing the mean sea level rise for the Gulf Coast region by 2050 and 2100 under a high, mid, and low scenario based on combined output for all 7 GCM models for the A1F1, B1, A1B, and A2 emission scenarios, in centimeters	3T-11
3.17	Seven SLOSH basin codes, name descriptions, and storm categories included in the central Gulf Coast study region and simulation trials from Mobile, Alabama to Galveston, Texas	3T-12
3.18	SLRRP model parameters and results showing the mean sea level rise projections for the Gulf Coast region by 2050 and 2100 under a high, mid, and low scenario based on combined output for all 7 GCM models for the A1F1 emission scenario	3T-12
4.1	Relative sea level rise (RSLR) modeled using SLRRP	4 <b>T-</b> 1
4.2	Relative sea level rise (RSLR) modeled using CoastClim	4 <b>T</b> -1
4.3	Relative sea level rise impacts on Gulf Coast transportation modes: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-1
4.4	Storm surge impacts on Gulf Coast transportation modes: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-2
4.5	Relative sea level rise impacts on highways: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-2

#### List of Tables (continued)

4.6	Storm surge impacts on highways: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-2
4.7	Relative sea level rise impacts on rail: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-2
4.8	Freight railroad-owned and served facilities in the Gulf Coast study region at elevation of 122 cm (4 feet) or less	4T-3
4.9	Vulnerability from sea level rise and storm surge by rail distance and number of facilities	4T-4
4.10	Storm surge impacts on rail: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-4
4.11	Amtrak stations projected to be impacted by storm surge of 5.5 and 7.0 meters (18 and 23 feet)	4T-5
4.12	Relative sea level rise impacts on ports: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-5
4.13	Storm surge impacts on ports: percentage of facilities vulnerable	4T-5
4.14	FAA recommended runway lengths for hypothetical general aviation airport. (Federal Aviation Administration, Airport Design Version 4.2D, U.S. DOT)	4T-6
4.15	Summary of impacts of temperature change to runway length (general aviation) under three climate scenarios (SRES Scenarios A2, B1, and A1B). (Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Airport Design Version 4.2D, U.S. DOT)	4T-7
4.16	Commercial aircraft runway length takeoff requirements	4T-8
4.17	Airports located on 100-year flood plains. (Wilbur Smith Associates; USGS)	4T-9
4.18	Gulf Coast study area airports vulnerable to submersion by relative sea level rise of 61 to 122 cm (2 to 4 feet)	4T-9
4.19	Gulf Coast study area airports vulnerable to storm surge. (FAA Records, April 2006. FEMA Storm Inundation Data)	4T-10
4.20	Hurricane impacts on toll revenue in Florida. (Ely 2005)	4 <b>T-</b> 11
5.1	Urbanized area metropolitan planning organizations (MPO) in the Gulf Coast study area	5T-1
5.2	Level of decision maker concern about climate stressors	5T-2

## **List of Figures**

1.1	Gulf coast study design	1F-1
1.2	Lexicon of terms used to describe the likelihood of climate outcomes	1F-2
2.1	Map of study area. Study area extends from Mobile, Alabama to Houston/Galveston, Texas. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-1
2.2	Study area counties and Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) codes. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-2
2.3	Metropolitan planning organizations in the study area. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-3
2.4	Combined truck flows shipped domestically from Louisiana, 1998. (Source: U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Freight Management and Operations, Office of Operations)	2F-4
2.5	Navigable inland waterways impacting the study area, shown as named waterways. (Source: U.S. Department of Transportation)	2F-5
2.6	National network of Class I railroads. (Source: Federal Railroad Administration Office of Policy, U.S. Department of Transportation)	2F-6
2.7	Intermodal facilities in the study area. (Source: Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. Department of Transportation)	2F-7
2.8	Highways in the study area. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. Department of Transportation data)	2F-8
2.9	Total and truck annual vehicle miles traveled (VMT) on nonlocal roads, 2003. (Source: Cambridge Systematics, from 2004 Highway Performance Monitoring System database for Gulf Coast Study supplied by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. Department of Transportation)	2F-9
2.10	Nonlocal bridges in the study area (NBI latitude and longitude location). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. Department of Transportation data)	2F-10

2.11	Freight railroad traffic density (annual millions of gross ton-miles per mile) in the study area. (Source: Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. Department of Transportation)	2F-11
2.12	Sunset Limited route map, Houston, Texas – Mobile, Alabama segment. (Source: Amtrak)	2F-12
2.13	Freight handling ports and waterways in the study area. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers data)	2F-13
2.14	Barge tow on the Mississippi River. (Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers)	2F-14
2.15	Study area airports. (Source: Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. Department of Transportation)	2F-15
2.16	Surface geology of the southeastern United States. White line denotes inland extent of the coastal plain and grey area is Holocene alluvium. (Source: USGS, 2000a)	2F-16
2.17	Relative elevation of study area counties (delineated in blue). All areas shown in bright orange are below 30 m elevation. (Source: USGS)	2F-17
2.18	Map of terrestrial ecoregions within and adjacent to the study area. (modified from Bailey, 1975)	2F-18
2.19	U.S. Census Bureau Metropolitan Statistical Areas in study area. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-19
2.20	Population density in study area, 2004. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-20
2.21	Estimated population change in study area, 2000 to 2005. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-21
2.22	Mean travel time to work in study area. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-22
2.23	Manufacturers shipments in thousands of dollars, 1997. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-23
2.24	Social vulnerability index for study area. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-24

2.25	Persons in poverty in study area. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-25
2.26	Persons aged 65 and older in study area. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI, Inc., National Transportation Safety Bureau)	2F-26
3.1	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions, SO <sub>2</sub> emissions, and atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration through 2100 for the six "Marker/Illustrative" SRES scenarios and the IS92a scenario (a "business as usual" scenario, IPCC (1992)). (Source: IPCC 2001)	3F-1
3.2	United States Climate Divisions of the central Gulf Coast study area. Empirical trends and variability were analyzed for temperature and precipitation at the Climate Division Dataset (CDD) level for the climate divisions along the Gulf Coast from Galveston to Mobile, including Texas Climate Division 8, Louisiana Divisions 6-9, Mississippi Division 10, and Alabama Division 8. These climatic divisions cover the entire central Gulf Coast study area.	3F-1
3.3	Grid area for the GCM temperature and precipitation results presented in Section 3.1.5 of this report, which is a subset of the global grid of a typical GCM output	3F-2
3.4	Scatterplot of seasonal temperature and precipitation predictions by an ensemble of GCMs for the Gulf Coast region in 2050 using the SRES A1B emissions scenario.	3F-3
3.5	Temperature variability from 1905-2003 for the 7 Climate Divisions making up the Gulf Coast study area.	3F-4
3.6	Precipitation variability from 1905 to 2003 for the seven Climate Divisions making up the Gulf Coast study area.	3F-5
3.7	Variability and trends in model-derived surplus (runoff) and deficit from 1919 to 2003 for the Gulf Coast study area	3F-6
3.8	Probability density functions for seasonal temperature change (in °C) in the Gulf Coast study area for 2050 using the A1B emissions scenario.	3F-7
3.9	Probability density functions for seasonal precipitation change (in percent) in the U.S. Gulf Coast study area for 2050 using the A1B emissions scenario	3F-8

3.10	Quantile estimates of monthly precipitation for the 2- to 100-year return period using the 1971 to 2000 baseline period relative to GCM output for the A1B emissions scenario at the 5%, 50%, and 95% quartiles	3F-9
3.11	Quantile estimates of monthly average runoff for the 2- to 100-year return period using the 1971 to 2000 baseline period relative to GCM output for the A1B emissions scenario at the 5%, 50%, and 95% quartiles	3F-10
3.12	Quantile estimates of monthly average deficit for the 2- to 100-year return period using the 1971 to 2000 baseline period relative to GCM output for the A1B emissions scenario at the 5%, 50%, and 95% quartiles	3F-11
3.13	The change in the warmest 10% of July maximum and minimum temperatures at each station across the entire United States, for 1950-2004. Note the number of days above the 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile in minimum temperature is rising faster than maximum temperature.	
3.14	Historical time series from stations within 500 km of the Dallas, Texas showing anomalies of the number of days above 37.7°C (100°F), for 1950-2004.	3F-13
3.15	The current and future probabilities of having one to twenty days during the summer at or above 37.8°C (100°F) in or near Houston, Texas under the A2 emissions scenario.	3F-14
3.16	Mean model predicted change (Celsius) of the 20-year return value of the annual maximum daily averaged surface air temperature under the A1B emissions scenario in the Gulf States region. This analysis compares the 1990-1999 period to the 2090-2099 period.	3F-15
3.17	Number of times on average over a 20-year period that the 1990-1999 annual maximum daily averaged surface air temperature 20-year return value levels would be reached under the SRES A1B 2090-2099 forcing conditions over 20 years. Under 1990-1999 forcing conditions, this value is defined to be one.	3F-16
3.18	Mean model predicted fractional change of the 20-year return value of the annual maximum daily averaged precipitation under the SRES A1B in the Gulf States region. This analysis compares the 1990-1999 period to the 2090-2099 period.	

3.19	Geographic distribution of hurricane landfalls along the Atlantic and Gulf Coast region of the U.S., from 1950 to 2006. (Source: NOAA, National Climate Data Center, Asheville, N.C.)	3F-18
3.20	Frequency histogram of landfalling storms of tropical storm strength or greater in Grand Isle, Louisiana summarized on a 5 year basis, for the period 1851- 2005. (Source: NOAA National Hurricane Research Division)	3F-19
3.21	Hemispherical and global mean sea-surface temperatures for the period of record 1855 to 2000. (Source: NOAA, National Climate Data Center, Asheville, N.C.)	3F-20
3.22	Sea surface temperature trend in the main hurricane development region of the North Atlantic during the past century. Red line shows the corresponding 5-yr running mean. Anomalies are departures from the 1971–2000 period monthly means. (Source: Bell <i>et al.</i> 2007)	3F-21
3.23	Sea surface temperature trend in the Gulf of Mexico region produced using the ERSST v.2 database. The plot includes the SST anomalies averaged annually, as well as the anomalies determined from the averages for August only and the July-September peak of the hurricane season. (Source: Smith and Reynolds, 2004)	3F-22
3.24	Satellite imagery of the Gulf of Mexico and warmer waters of the Loop Current that interacted with the track and storm strength of Hurricane Rita (2005). (Source: NASA/JPL/University of Colorado)	3F-23
3.25	Frequency histogram of tropical storm events for coastal cities across the Gulf of Mexico region of the United States over the period of record from 1851 to 2006.	3F-24
3.26	Frequency analysis of storm events exhibiting Category 1, 2, and 3 winds or higher across the Gulf Coast study area.	3F-27
3.27	Latitudinal gradient of declining storm frequency of Category 1 hurricanes or greater from Grand Isle, LA inland illustrating the reduction of storm strength overland away from the coast, for the period 1951-2000	3F-26
3.28	15-, 30-, and 50-year hurricane recurrence potential. Storm frequency variation for 15, 30, and 50 year intervals for Category 1 storms or greater for the most active grid location across the Gulf Coast study region	

3.29	Simulated wind rows and direction of wind force derived from the HURASIM Model for one of the most active grid cell locations in the study area at Grand Isle, LA for tropical storm and hurricane conditions over the 153-year period of record	
3.30	Potential increase in the number of hurricanes by the year 2050 and 2100 assuming an increase in hurricane intensity concomitant with warming sea surface temperatures projected at 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%	3F-29
3.31	Tide gauge records and mean sea level trend line for three northern Gulf Coast tide stations at Pensacola, FL, Grand Isle, LA, and Galveston, TX corresponding with the eastern, central, and western coverage of the study area (1900-2000).	3F-30
3.32	Sea level change curves from the CoastClim Model illustrating the projected sea-level rise including both land subsidence and future rates of eustatic rise for Low, Mid, and High Projections for all seven GCMs under the A1F1 scenario.	3F-31
3.33	Merged results of Category 2 through 5 hurricane surge simulations of slow moving storm approaching from the southeast (toward northwest in database), using SLOSH model simulations.	3F-32
3.34	Color schemes illustrate the difference in surge inundation between a Category 3 and Category 5 storm approaching the southeastern Louisiana coast from the southeast.	3F-33
3.35	Comparison of Lidar and National Digital Elevation Data (DEM) for eastern Cameron Parish, Louisiana. The advantages of using a LiDAR-derived topography are many, particularly as the effects of climate change are likely to be subtle in the short-term but significant for this low-lying coast where 1 foot of added flooding will impact a large land area.	3F-34
3.36	Trend in summer wave height (1978-2005) in the mid-Gulf of Mexico. (Figure source: Komar, in press; data source: National Buoy Data Center, NOAA, Stennis, Mississippi)	3F-35
4.1	Highways at risk from a relative sea level rise of 61 cm (two feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-1
4.2	Highways at risk from a relative sea level rise of 122 cm (four feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-2

4.3	NHS Intermodal Connectors at risk from a relative sea level rise of 122 cm (four feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-3
4.4	Hurricane Katrina damage to Highway 90 at Bay St. Louis, MS. (Source: NASA Remote Sensing Tutorial)	4F-4
4.5	Highways at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 5.5 meters (18 feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-5
4.6	Highways currently at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 7.0 meters (23 feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data).	4F-6
4.7	NHS Intermodal Connectors at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 7.0 meters (23 feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-7
4.8	Fixed bus routes at risk from a relative sea level rise of 122 cm (four feet), New Orleans. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-8
4.9	Fixed transit guideways at risk from a relative sea level rise of 122 cm (four feet), Houston and Galveston. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-9
4.10	Fixed transit guideways at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 5.5 meters (18 feet), New Orleans. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-10
4.11	Fixed transit guideways at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 5.5 meters (18 feet), Houston and Galveston. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-11
4.12	Fixed bus routes at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 5.5 meters (18 feet), New Orleans. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-12
4.13	Fixed bus routes at risk from storm surge at elevations currently below 5.5 meters (18 feet), Houston and Galveston. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-13

4.14	Rail lines at risk due to relative sea level rise of 61 and 122 cm (two and four feet). Of the 2,934 miles of rail lines in the region, 146 miles, or five percent, are at risk from a relative sea level rise of two feet or less (yellow lines) and an additional 121 miles for a total of nine percent are at risk from an increase of two to four feet (green lines). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-14
4.15	Freight railroad-owned and served facilities at risk due to relative sea level rise of 61 and 122 cm (two and four feet). Of the 94 facilities in the region, 11 are at risk from two-foot increase in relative sea level (red circles) and an additional eight facilities are at risk from a four-foot increase (purple circles). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-15
4.16	Rail lines at risk due to storm surge of 5.5 and 7.0 meters (18 and 23 feet). Of the 2,934 miles of rail lines in the region, 966 miles are potentially at risk from a storm surge of 18 feet (yellow lines) and an additional 224 miles are potentially at risk from a storm surge of 23 feet (green lines). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-16
4.17	Freight railroad-owned and served facilities at risk due to storm surge of 5.5 and 7.0 meters (18 and 23 feet). Of the 94 facilities in the region, 40 are at risk from a storm surge of 18 feet or less (red circles) and an additional 11 facilities are at risk from storm surge of 18 to 23 feet (purple circles). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-17
4.18	Amtrak facilities at risk due to storm surge of 5.5 and 7.0 meters (18 and 23 feet). Of the 21 Amtrak facilities in the region, 9 are at risk from a storm surge of 18 feet or less (pink circles) and an additional 3 facilities are at risk from storm surge of 18 to 23 feet (blue circles). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-18
4.19	Freight handling ports facilities at risk from relative sea level rise of 61 and 122 cm (two and four feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers data)	4F-19
4.20	Freight handling ports facilities at risk from storm surge of 5.5 and 7.0 meters (18 and 23 feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers data)	4F-20
4.21	B757-200 takeoff runway requirements for design purposes. (Source: The Boeing Company, 2002)	4F-21

4.22	Gulf Coast study area airports at risk from storm surge. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT and USGS data)	4F-22
4.23	Landside pipelines having at least one GIS link located in an area of elevation zero to 91 cm (three feet) above sea level in the study area. (Source: Texas Transportation Institute)	4F-23
4.24	Potential evacuation route highways vulnerable from storm surge of 5.5 meters (18 feet). (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-24
4.25	Risks to Amtrak Facilities due to relative sea level rise and storm surge. (Source: Cambridge Systematics analysis of U.S. DOT data)	4F-25
4.26	Population over age 65 impacted by Hurricane Katrina. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau)	4F-26
4.27	Airports affected by Hurricane Katrina winds. (Source: USGS)	4F-27
5.1	How will climate change affect transportation decisions?	5F-1
5.2	SAFETEA-LU planning factors. Eight planning factors that should guide the development of plans, programs, and projects are identified in SAFETEA-LU. (Source: U.S. Department of Transportation)	5F-2
5.3	Steps in the transportation planning process. (Source: Michael Meyer, Georgia Institute of Technology)	5F-3
5.4	Relationship of transportation planning timeframe and infrastructure service life to increasing climate change impacts	5F-4
5.5	A risk assessment approach to transportation decisions.	5F-4
5.6	Degree of risk and value of performance inform level of adaptation investment.	5F-5