SOP HW-33/VOA Revision 1 August 2007

# USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis of Low/Medium Concentration of VolatileOrganic Compounds SOM01.2 Data Validation



Prepared by: Herras Karras  George Karras, Chemist	
Peer Reviewed by: Russell Arnone, Chemist	
Concurred by: Linda Mayel, Chief	
Approved by:  Robert Runyon, Chief	Date: 10/10/07
Annual Review  Reviewed by:  Name	_ Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRO	ODUCTION	
	Scope and Applicability	. <u>1</u>
	Summary	. <u>1</u>
	Data Qualifiers	. <u>1</u>
	Lab Qualifiers	2
	Reviewer Qualifications	. 2
PACKA	AGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES	<u>.                                    </u>
	1. Chain of Custody and Sampling Trip Reports	, <u>:</u>
	2. <u>Data Completeness and Deliverables</u>	. <u>:</u>
	3. <u>Cover Letter SDG Narrative</u>	<u> </u>
	4. <u>Data Validation Checklist</u>	. <u>-</u>
PART	A: VOA ANALYSES	
	1. <u>Sample Conditions/Problems</u>	
	2. <u>Holding Times</u>	. <u>(</u>
	3. <u>Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery (Form II)</u>	<u>.</u> _
	4. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery (Form III)	1(
	5. Method Blanks (Form IV)	11
	6. Contamination	13
	7. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V)	16
	8 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I)	1.8
	9. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC) 10. Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits 11. Standards Data (GC/MS) 12 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI) 13. Compound Compou	20
	10. Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits	20
	11. Standards Data (GC/MS)	21
	12 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)	22
	13. GC/MS Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) (Form	
	<u>VII)</u>	23
	14. <u>Internal Standard (Form VIII)</u>	25
	15. <u>Field Duplicates</u>	26
	Definitions	2
	Defense	2.0

#### INTRODUCTION

## Scope and Applicability

This SOP offers detailed guidance in evaluating laboratory data generated according to the method in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005". The validation procedures and actions discussed in this document are based on the requirements set forth in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, January 2005". This document attempts to cover technical problems specific to low/Medium concentration of volatile compounds. Situations may arise where data limitations must be assessed based on the reviewer's own professional judgement.

In addition to technical requirements, contractual requirements may also be covered in this document. While it is important that instances of contract non-compliance be addressed in the Data Assessment, the technical criteria are always used to qualify the analytical data.

## Summary

To ensure a thorough evaluation of each result in a data case, the reviewer must complete the checklist within this SOP, answering specific questions while performing the prescribed "ACTIONS" in each section. Qualifiers (or flags) are applied to questionable or unusable results as instructed. The data qualifiers discussed in this document are as follows:

#### Data Qualifiers

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."
- JN The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.

- UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

## Lab Qualifiers:

- D The positive value is the result of an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
- B The analyte is present in the associated method blank as well as in the sample. This qualifier has a different meaning when validating inorganic data.
- E The concentration of this analyte exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
- P Pesticide/Aroclor target analytes when the % Difference between the analyte concentrations obtained from the two dissimilar GC columns is greater than 25%.

The reviewer must prepare a detailed data assessment to be submitted along with the completed SOP checklist. The Data Assessment must list all data qualifications, reasons for qualifications, instances of missing data and contract non-compliance.

## Reviewer Qualifications:

Data reviewers must possess a working knowledge of the USEPA Statement of Work SOM01.2 and National Functional Guidelines mentioned above.

	A Region	on II Date: P/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA			
			YES	NO	N/A
		PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES			
CAS	SE NUME	ER: LAB:			
SIT	E NAME	: SDG No(s).:			
1.0	Chain (	of Custody and Sampling Trip Reports			
	1.1	Are the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records present for all samples?			
	ACTIO	N: If no, contact RSCC, or the TOPO to obtain replacement of missing or illegible copies from the lab.			
	1.2	Is the Sampling Trip Report present for all samples?			
	ACTIO	N: If no, contact either RSCC or ask the TOPO to obtain the necessary information from the prime contractor.			
2.0	Data C	ompleteness and Deliverables			
	2.1	Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package?		<u>[ ]</u>	
	ACTIO	N: Contact the TOPO to obtain an explanation or resubmittal of any missing deliverables from the If lab cannot provide them, note the effect on the review of the data package in the Contract Problems/Non-compliance section of the Data Assessment.			
	2.2	Was CLASS CCS checklist included with the package?	<u>[ ]</u>		

	dethod: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Reserved		_	evision 1	
			YES	NO	N/A
	2.3	Are there any discrepancies between the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, and Sampling Trip Report?			
	ACTIO	N: If yes, contact the TOPO to obtain an explanation resubmittal of any missing deliverables from the laboratory.	n or		
3.0	Cover	Letter SDG Narrative			
	3.1	Is the SDG Narrative or Cover Letter Present?	[ ]		
	3.2	Are case number, SDG number and contract number contained in the SDG Narrative or cover letter (see SOW, Exhibit B, section 2.5.1)?  EPA sample numbers in the SDG, detailed documentation of any quality control, sample, shipment, and/or analytical problems encountered in processing the samples? Corrective action taken?	r 1		
		caken?	<u> </u>		
	3.3	Does the Narrative contain the following information SOM01.1, page B-12, section 2.5.1)? Description of trap, column used, storage of samples, case#, SDG#, analytical problems, and discrepancies between field and lab weights.	Ш	_	
	3.4	Does the narrative, VOA section, contain a list of all TICs identified as alkanes and their estimated concentrations?			
	3.5	Did the contractor record the temperature of the cooler on the Form DC-1, Item 9 - Cooler Temperature, and in the SDG Narrative?			
	3.6	Does the narrative contain a list of the pH values determined for each water sample submitted for volatiles analysis (SOW, page B-13, section 2.5.1.2)?	[ ]		

USEPA Re Method:	egion II Date CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/V	: Augus OA, Rev		
		YES	NO	N/A
3.7	Does the Case Narrative contain the "verbatim" statement (page B-12, section 2.5.1 of the SOM)?	<u>[ ]</u>		
ACTION:	If "No", to any question in this section, contact the TOPO to obtain necessary resubmittals. If unavailable, document under the Contract Problems/ Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment.			
4.0 <u>Data</u>	Validation Checklist			
4.1	Check the package for the following (see SOM report requirements, section 2.1, page B-10):	rting		
	a. Is the package paginated in ascending order starting from the SDG narrative?	<u>[ ]</u>		
	b. Are all forms and copies legible?	[ ]		
	c. Assembled in the order set forth in the SOW?	<u>[ ]</u>		
	d. Low/Med Concentration Volatiles Data present?	[ ]		
Act	tion: Take action as specified in section 3.7 above.			
	PART A: Low/Medium Volatile ANALYSES			
1.0 <u>Samp</u>	ole Conditions/Problems			
1.1	Do the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, Sampling Trip Report or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data?		ഥ	
ACT	CION: If samples were not iced or the ice was melted arrival at the laboratory and the temperature o	_		

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

cooler was >  $10^{\circ}$  C, then flag all positive results with a "J" and all non-detects "UJ".

ACTION: If both VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles or the VOA vial analyzed had air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

# 2.0 Holding Times

- 2.1 Have any VOA technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? \_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_
- 2.2 Preservation: <u>Aqueous</u> samples must be preserved with HCL to pH of 2 or below and cooled at 4°C ± 2°C.

  <u>Non-aqueous</u> samples: frozen (less than -7°C) or properly cooled (4°C ± 2°C) and preserved with NaHSO4.

Action: Qualify sample results according to the following table.

# Holding Time Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analyses

			ACTION	
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
	No	< 7 Days	NO	Action
	No	> 7 Days	J	R
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 Days	No	Action
	Yes	> 14 Days	J	R
	No	≤ 14 Days	J	R
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 Days	No	Action
	Yes/No	> 14 Days	J	R

## 3.0 Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery (Form II)

Date: August 2007 USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1 YES NO N/A3.1 Are the Volatile SMC Recovery Summaries (Form II [ ] present? ACTION: Contact the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document the effect in the Data Assessment. 3.2 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk? [\_] \_\_\_\_\_\_ ACTION: Circle all outliers in red. 3.3 Were more than three of the fourteen (14) Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMC's) recoveries outside their corresponding limits?

ACTION: If any DMC is outside the required limits (see Table below), qualify their associated target compounds (See Table below) as follows:

If yes, were samples re-analyzed?

Were method blanks re-analyzed?

# VOLATILE DMC AND THEIR ASSOCIATED TARGET COMPOUNDS

<u>Chloroethane-d5</u>	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4
Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Bromomethane Chloroethane Carbon Disulfide	Cyclohexane Methylcyclohexane 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane	Chlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

1,4-Dioxane-d8 1,4-Dioxane	trans-1,3- Dichloropropene-d4 cis-1,3-Dichloropropene trans-1,3- Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Chloroform-d  1,1-Dichloroethane Bromochloromethane Chloroform Dibromochloromethane Bromoform
2-Butanone-d5  Acetone 2-butanone	1,1-dichloroethene-d2 1,1-dichloroethene trans-1,2- Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2-Hexanone-d5  4-Methyl-2-pentanone 2-Hexanone
Vinyl Chloride-d3 Vinyl Chloride	Benzene-d6 Benzene	1,1,2,2-  Tetrachloroethane- d2  1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane  1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4  Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2- trifluoroethane Methyl Acetate Methylene Chloride Methyl tert-Butyl Ether Carbon Tetrachloride 1,2-Dichloroethane 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 1,2-Dibromoethane	Toluene-d8  Trichloroethene Toluene Tetrachloroethene Ethylbenzene o-Xylenes m,p-Xylene Styrene Isopropylbenzene	

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

# VOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY LIMITS

DMC	Recovery Limits (%) for Water Samples	Recovery Limits (%) for Soil samples
Vinyl Chloride-d3	65 - 131	68 - 122
Chloroethane-d5	71 - 131	61 - 130
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	55 - 104	45 - 132
2-Butanone-d5	49 - 155	20 - 182
Chloroform-d	78 - 121	72 - 123
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	78 - 129	79 - 122
Benzene-d6	77 - 124	80 - 121
1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	79 - 124	74 - 124
Toluene-d8	77 - 121	78 - 121
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	73 - 121	72 - 130
2-Hexanone-d5	28 - 135	17 - 184
1,4-Dioxane-d8	50 - 150	50 - 150
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	73 - 125	56 - 161
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80 - 131	70 - 131

- 1. For any recovery greater than the upper limit:
  - a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target compounds.
  - b. Do not qualify associated non-detects.
- 2. For any recovery greater than or equal to 20%, but less than the lower limit:
  - a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target compounds.
  - b. Qualify "UJ" associated non-detects.
- 3. For any recovery less than 20%:

	A Region	on II Date: August 2007 P/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1
		YES NO N/A
		a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target compounds. b. Qualify "R" all associated non-detects.
	NOTE:	Up to three (3) DMC's per sample, excluding 1,4-Dioxane-d8, may fail to meet the recovery limits. (SOM, sec. 11.3.4, pg. D-45/Low Medium VOA). Recovery limits for 1,4-Dioxane-d8 are advisory.  As per SOM, any sample which has more than 3 DMC's outside the limits, it must be reanalyzed (SOM sec. 11.4.3.1 pg. D-46/Low Medium VOA).
	ACTIO	N: Note in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/ Non-Compliance if the Lab did not perform reanalysis.
	3.4	Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and form II? [ ]
	ACTIO	N: If large errors exist, ask the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab, make any necessary corrections and note errors in the data assessment.
	Note:	DMC recovery limits criteria and qualifications apply to samples diluted 5X and less. For samples diluted greater than 5X, recovery criteria does not apply because it is assumed DMC is diluted below the quantitation range.
4.0		Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery (Form III)  Data for MS/MSD will not be present unless requested.
	4.1	Are the MS/MSD Recovery Forms (Form III Low/Med VOA) present?
	4.2	Was the MS/MSD analyzed at the required frequency (once per SDG, or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent)?  [ ]
	ACTIO	N: If any MS/MSD data are missing, take action as specified in section 3.1 above.
	ACTIO	N: No action is taken on MS/MSD data <u>alone.</u> However, using professional judgement, the validator may

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007
Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. If any MS/MSD % recovery or RPD is out of specification, qualify data to include the consideration of the existence of interference in the raw data. Consideration include, but not limited to the following "Action":

Criteria	Action		
Criteria	Detected Spiked Compounds	Non-detected Spiked Compounds	
%R or RPD > Upper Acceptance Limit	J	No qualification	
20% < %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J	ŪJ	
%R < 20%	J	Use Professional Judgement	
Lower Acceptance Limit < %R; RPD < Upper Acceptance Limits	No quali	fication	

## 5.0 Method Blanks (Form IV)

5.1	Is the Volatile Method Blank Summary (Form IV VOA) present for aqueous and soil samples?	ш
5.2	Frequency of Analysis: For the analysis of Low/Med Concentration VOA TCL compounds, has a method blank been analyzed for each SDG or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent?	
5.3	Has a VOA method blank been analyzed after the calibration standards and once every 12 hours time period for each GC/MS instrument used?	<u> </u>
5.4	Was a VOA instrument blank analyzed after each sample/dilution that contains a target compound exceeding the initial calibration range (see SOM, page D-48/Low/Medium VOA, section 12.1.1.3)?	[ ]

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1 YES NO N/AACTION: If any method/instrument blank data are missing, notify the TOPO to obtain resubmittals or an explanation from the lab. If method blank data are unavailable, the reviewer may use professional judgement, or substitute field blank or trip blank data for missing method blank data. If an instrument blank was not analyzed after a sample containing a target analyte exceeding the initial calibration standards, inspect the sample chromatogram acquired immediately after this sample for possible carryover. The system is considered uncontaminated if the target analyte is below CRQL. Use professional judgement to determine if carryover occurred and qualify analyte(s) accordingly. 5.5 Was a storage blank analyzed once per SDG after all the samples were analyzed? [ ] ACTION: If storage blank data is missing, contact the TOPO to obtain any missing deliverables from the laboratory. If unavailable, note in the Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment. 5.6 The validator should verify that the correct identification scheme for EPA blanks was used. (See SOM page B-39, section 3.3.7.3 for more information.) Was the correct identification scheme used for all Low/Med VOA blanks? [ ] ACTION: Contact the TOPO to obtain corrections from the lab, or make the necessary corrections. Document in the "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment all corrections made by the validator.

5.7 <u>Chromatography</u>: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant. reports, data system printouts and spectra.

Also compare the storage blank raw data with the method blank. Determine if contamination in the storage blank is also present in the method blank.

USEPA Reg Method: (	Date: August 2007 LP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1
	YES NO N/A
	Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for Low/Medium VOAs?
ACTI	ON: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.
5.8	Are all detected hits for target compounds in method, and storage blanks less than the CRQL? []
	Exception: Methylene Chloride, Acetone and 2-butanone must be less than 2X times their respective CRQLs.
ACTI	ON: If no, an explanation and laboratory's corrective actions must be addressed in the case narrative. If the narrative contains no explanation, then make a note in the Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment.
6.0 Conta	<u>mination</u>
NOTE	: "Water blanks", "drill blanks", and distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample, and are <u>not</u> used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.
6.1	Does the storage blank contain positive results (TCL and/or TICs) for Low/Med Concentration VOAs? [ ]
6.2	Do any method/reagent/instrument blanks contain positive results (including TICs) for Low/Med Concentration VOAs?
NOTE	: Contaminated instrument blanks are unacceptable under this SOW (see page D-50/VOA, section 12.1.5.2).
ACTI	ON: Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance if a contaminated instrument blank was submitted.

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Sample analysis results after the high concentration sample must be evaluated for carryover. Sample must meet the maximum carryover criteria as listed in SOM sec. 11.3.8 p. D-46/VOA.("the sample must not contain a concentration above the CRQL for the target compounds that exceeded the limit in the contaminated sample.")

6.3 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive hits for Low/Med VOA results (including TICs)? \_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)

NOTE: All field blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks & trip blanks must be qualified for system monitoring compound, instrument performance criteria, spectral or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks. If any blanks are grossly contaminated (i.e., saturated by GC/MS), all associated sample data should be qualified unusable (R).

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL *	< CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL*	No qualification required
	= CRQL *	< CRQL)*	Report CRQL value with a U
Method, Field,		> CRQL*	No qualification required

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

Trip, Storage,		< CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
Instrument **	> CRQL *	<pre></pre>	Report concentration of sample with a U
		<pre></pre>	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Qualify results as unusable R
	TIC > 2ug/L	Detects	See "Action" below

- \* 2x the CRQL for methylene chloride, 2-butanone and acetone
- \*\* Qualifications based on instrument blank results affect only the sample analyzed immediately after the sample that has target compounds that exceed the calibration range or non-target compounds that exceed 100 ug/L.

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination are treated as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

Note: When applied as described in the table above, the contaminant concentration in the blank are multiplied by the sample dilution factor.

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" (unusable).

6.4 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? [ ] \_\_\_\_

ACTION: Note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank.

Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

### 7.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V)

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

		YES	NO	N/A
7.1	Are the GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Forms (Form V) present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)?	<u>[ ]</u>		
7.2	Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift?	<u>[ ]</u>		
7.3	Did the 12-hour clock begin with either the injection of BFB, or in cases where a closing continuing calibration (CCV) was used as an opening CCV?			

Listed below are some, but not necessarily all, examples of acceptable analytical sequences incorporating the use of the opening/closing CCV. Use these examples as a guide for possible analytical sequences that can be expected.

Conditions for When Example Sequence is Appropriate:	Acceptable Criteria That Must be Met:	Notes:
If time remains on the 12 hour clock after initial calibration sequence	<ul> <li>BFB tunes meet instrument performance criteria.</li> <li>The five initial calibration standards meet initial calibration criteria.</li> <li>CCV A meets both opening and closing CCV criteria</li> <li>CCV B meets closing CCV criteria.</li> </ul>	The requirement of starting the new 12-hr clock for Analytical Sequence 2 with a new BFB tune is waived if CCV A meets opening CCV criteria. If CCV B meets opening CCV criteria, a method blank and subsequent samples may be analyzed immediately after CCV B.
If time remains on the 12 hour clock after initial calibration sequence	<ul> <li>BFB tunes meet instrument performance criteria.</li> <li>The five initial calibration standards meet initial calibration criteria.</li> <li>CCV A meets closing CCV criteria (but does not meet opening CCV criteria).</li> <li>CCV B meets opening CCV criteria.</li> <li>CCV C meets closing CCV Criteria.</li> </ul>	CCV A does not meet opening criteria, therefore a new BFB tune must be performed, immediately followed by CCV B before a method blank and any samples may be analyzed. In this case, the new 12 hr clock and Analytical Sequence 2 begins with the injection of the new BFB tune.

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

													YES	NO	N/A
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				

	•						
If more than 12 hrs have elapsed since the most recent initial calibration or closing CCV.  OR  If the most recent closing CCV was not or could not be used as an opening CCV.	<ul> <li>BFB tunes meet instrument performance criteria.</li> <li>CCV A meets opening CCV criteria.</li> <li>CCV B meets both opening and closing CCV criteria.</li> <li>CCV C meets both opening and closing CCV criteria.</li> </ul>	The requirement of starting the new 12 hour clock for Analytical Sequence 2 with a new BFB tune is waived if CCV B meets opening CCV criteria. If CCV C meets opening CCV criteria, a method blank and subsequent samples may be analyzed immediately after CCV B.					
If more than 12 hrs have elapsed since the most recent initial calibration or closing CCV  OR  If the most recent closing CCV was not or could not be used as an opening CCV	<ul> <li>BFB tunes meet instrument performance criteria.</li> <li>CCV A meets opening CCV criteria.</li> <li>CCV B meets closing CCV criteria (but does not meet opening CCV criteria).</li> <li>CCV C meets opening CCV Criteria.</li> <li>CCV D meets both opening and closing CCV criteria.</li> </ul>	CCV B does not meet opening CCV criteria, therefore a new BFB tune must be performed, immediately followed by CCV B before a method blank and any samples may be analyzed. In this case, the new 12 hr clock and Analytical Sequence 2 begins with the injection of the new BFB tune. The requirement of starting the new 12 hr clock for Analytical Sequence 3 with a new BFB tune is waived if CCV D meets opening CCV criteria. If CCV D meets opening criteria, a method blank and subsequent samples may be analyzed after CCV B.					
	oundances been normalized to m/z	<del>_</del>					
	nce ratios must be normalized to $\mathfrak m$ though the ion abundance of $\mathfrak m/\mathfrak z$ .						
ACTION: If mass assi unusable (R)	gnment is in error, qualify all a	ssociated data as					
7.5 Have the ion all instrument used	oundance criteria been met for eac d?	ch <u> </u>					
	ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).						
ACTION: If ion abundance criteria are not met, professional Judgement may be applied to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.							

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1 YES NO N/A7.6 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) [ ] 7.7 Is the number of significant figures for the reported relative abundances consistent with the number given in the ion abundance criteria column on Form V ? [ ] If large errors exist, take action as specified in section 3.1 ACTION: above. 7.8 Is the spectrum of the mass calibration compound acceptable? [ ] ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected. 8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I) 8.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following: a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate? b. Regional Control/MS/MSD samples? [ ] c. Blanks (method, trip, etc)? 8.2 Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following: a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate? b. Regional Control/MS/MSD samples? c. Blanks (method, trip, etc)? ACTION: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.1 above. 8.3 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to: Baseline stability? [ ] Resolution? Peak shape?

[ ]

Full-scale graph (attenuation)?

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

			YES	NO	N/A
		Other:?	[ ]		
	ACTION:	Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability data.	of the		
	8.4	Are lab-generated standard mass spectra of the identified VOA compounds present for each sample?			
	ACTION:	If any mass spectra are missing, take action as specified above. If lab does not generate their own standard spectra make note under the "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance" see of the Data Assessment. If spectra are unavailable reject the reported results.	ra, ction		
	8.5	Is the RRT of each reported compound within $\pm 0.06$ RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?	<u>[ ]</u>		
	8.6	Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	<u>[ ]</u>		
	8.7	Do sample and standard relative ion intensities agree to within $\pm$ 20%?	[ ]		
	ACTION:	Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of of it is determined that incorrect identifications were made all such data should be rejected (R) flagged "N" (presumpt evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected (U) at the calculated detection limit. In order positively identified, the data must comply with the critical steed in sections 8.4-8.7 above.	ade, tive to be		
	ACTION:	When sample carry-over is suspected, review section 6.2/Ac #2 above before determining if instrument cross-contaminat has affected positive compound identifications.			
9.0 <u>T</u>	entative	ely Identified Compounds (TIC)			
	9.1	Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I VOATIC) present? Do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, as well as the estimated "J" and/or "JN" qualifier?	<u>[ ]</u>		
	9.2	Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compound associated "best match" spectra included in the sample packa each of the following:			
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?	[_]		

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

			-		
			YES	NO	N/A
		b. Blanks?	[ ]		
		b. Are Alkanes listed in/or part of the Case Narrative?	<u>[ ]</u>		
	ACTION	: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.1	above.		
	ACTION	Verify "JN" qualifier is present for all chemically named having a percent match of greater than or equal 85%. TIC labeled "unknown" are qualified with a "J" qualifier.			
	9.3	Are any target compounds (from any fraction) listed as TICs? (Example: 1,2-dimethylbenzene is xylene - a VOA target analyte - and should not be reported as a TIC.)		<u>[ ]</u>	
	ACTION	Flag with "R" only target compound detected in another fraction (except blank contamination).			
	9.4	Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?			
	9.5	Do TICs and "best match" reference spectra relative ion			
		intensities agree within $\pm$ 20%?	[ ]		
	ACTION	Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect i tification was made, change its identification to "unknow to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substibenzene") as appropriate.	den- n" or	!	
	Action	When a compound is not found in any blank, but is detected and is a suspected artifact of a common laboratory contaminates preservatives or Aldo condensation, the result should be unusable (R). (i.e., common lab contaminants such as CO <sub>2</sub> (Siloxanes (m/e 73), diethyl ether, hexane, certain freons. condensation products: 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone, 4-r2-penten-2-one, and 5,5-dimethyl-2(H)-furanone. Solvent proyclohexene, and related by-products: cyclohexanone, cyclohexanol, cyclohexenone, chlorocyclohexene, and chlorocyclohexene.	nant, squalific m/e 44) Aldol methyl- reserva phexenos	solven ed as , tives ne,	t
10.0	Compound	d Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
	10.1	Are there any transcription/calculation errors in Form I results? (Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standards, quantitation ions, and RRFs were used to calculate Form I results.)		[ ]	
	10.2	Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and per cent moisture?	<u>[ ]</u>		

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1 YES NO N/AIf errors are large, take action as specified in section 3.1 above. ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs data from the diluted sample). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original Form I and substituting the data from the diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's not to be used, including any in the data summary package. For non-aqueous samples, were the percent moisture < 70%? Action: If the % moisture  $\geq$  70.0% and < 90.0%, qualify detects as "J" and non-detects as approximated "UJ" If the % Moisture ≥ 90%, qualify detects as "J" and non-detects as "R" 11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS) Are the reconstructed ion chromatograms, and data system 11.1 printouts (quant. reports) present for each initial and continuing calibration? If any calibration standard data are missing, take action ACTION: specified in section 3.1 above. 12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI) 12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI LCV) present and complete for the volatile fraction at concentrations of 5, 10, 50, 100, and 200  $\mu g/\ell$  for non-ketones, 10, 20, 100, 200 and 400 ug/L for ketones and 100, 200, 1000, 2000, and 4000 ug/L for 1,4-dioxane. [\_] \_\_\_ If any Initial Calibration forms are missing, take action as ACTION: specified in section 3.1 above. 12.2 Are the relative standard deviation (RSD) stable for VOA's over the concentration range of the calibration (i.e., %RSD ≤ 20.%, ≤ 40% for poor performers (see table below), ≤ 50% for 1,4-dioxane)? [ ] ACTION: Circle all outliers in red. The twenty two (22) poor performers compounds and associated DMCs are listed below. The relative response factor (RRF) for these compounds must be greater than or equal to 0.010.

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES NO N/A

#### Volatile Compounds Exhibiting Poor Response

Volatile	Compounds
Acetone	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
2-Butanone	Isopropylbenzene
Carbon disulfide	Methyl acetate
Chloroethane	Methylene chloride
Chloromethane	Methylcyclohexane
Cyclohexane	Methyl tert-butyl ether
1,4-Dioxane	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
1,2-Dibromoethane	4-Methyl-2-pentanone
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2-Hexanone
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane
1,2-Dichloropropane	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane

ACTION: If RSD > 20.0%, (> 40.0% for the poor performers, and > 50% for 1,4-dioxane), qualify associated positive results for that analyte "J" (estimated). If %RSD is > 90, flag all non-detects for that analyte "R" (unusable) and positive results "J". NOTE: Analytes previously qualified "U" for blank contamination are still treated as "hits" when qualifying for initial calibration criteria. 12.3 Are any  $\overline{RRFS} < 0.050$  (< 0.010 for poor performers)? [ ] ACTION: Circle all outliers in red. ACTION: If any  $\overline{RRF}$  values are < 0.05 or < 0.01 for poor performers, qualify associated non-detects unusable (R) and associated positive results estimated (J). ACTION: Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance the analytes that fail %RSD and/or RRF criteria. 12.4 Are there any transcription /calculation errors in the reporting of RRFs, RRFs or %RSD values? (Check at least 2 values, but if errors are found, check more.) \_ [\_] \_

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1 YES NO N/AIf errors are large, contact the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab, document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance. 13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)(Form VII) 13 1 Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form VII) present and complete for the volatile fraction? [ ] Did the 12 hour clock begin with either the injection of 13.2 BFB or in cases where a closing CCV can be used as an opening CCV for each instrument? [ ] ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of every sample analysis, ask the TOPO to obtain explanation/resubmittal from the laboratory. If continuing calibration data are unavailable, flag all associated sample data as unusable (R). Do any volatile compounds have a % Difference 13.3 (% D) between the initial RRF and CCV RRF exceeding ± 50% for 1,4-Dioxane, ± 40% for the poor performers or ± 25% for the remaining compounds? ACTION: Circle all outliers in red. Do any volatile compounds have a RRF < 0.05 or < 0.01 for the poor performers? ACTION: Circle all outliers in red. Note: Verify that the CCV was run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period) and the CCV was compared to the correct initial calibration. If the mid-point standard from the initial calibration is used as an opening CCV, verify that the result (RRF) of the mid-point standard was compared to the average RRF from the correct initial calibration. The closing CCV used to bracket the end of a 12-hour analytical sequence may be used as the opening CCV for the new 12-hour analyical sequence, provided that all the technical acceptance criteria are met for an opening CCV (see table below). If the closing CCV does not meet the technical acceptance criteria for an opening CCV, then a BFB tune followed by an opening CCV is required and the next 12-hour time period begins with the BFB tune. Use the following table to qualify data based on the technical Action: acceptance criteria for the opening CCV and closing CCV. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses Action

Criteria for

Criteria for

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

YES	NO	N/A	Ā

Opening CCV	Closing CCV	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
RRF < 0.010 (poor responders) RRF < 0.050 (all other volatile target compounds)	RRF < 0.010 (for all volatile target compounds)	J	R
RRF > 0.010 (poor responders) RRF > 0.050 (for all other compounds)	RRF ≥ 0.010 (for all target volatile compounds)	No	Action
%D > 50.0 or < -50.0 (1,4-Dioxane) %D > 40.0 or < -40.0 (poor responders) %D > 25.0 or < -25.0 (all other volatile target compounds)	%D > 50.0 or < -50.0 (for all volatile target compounds)	J	IJ
%D $\leq$ 50.0 or $\geq$ -50.0 (1,4-Dioxane) %D $\leq$ 40.0 or $\geq$ -40.0 (poor responders) %D $\leq$ 25.0 or $\geq$ -25.0 (all other volatile target compounds)	%D $\leq$ 50.0 or $\geq$ -50.0 (for all volatile target compounds)	No	Action
Opening CCV not performed at required frequency *	Closing CCV not performed at required frequency *	R	

*	See	section	13 2	above

ACTION:	Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-							
	Compliance if more than two of the required analytes failed the							
	above acceptance criteria.							

13.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors for the reporting of RRFs, or %D between initial RRFs and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)

ACTION: Circle errors with red pencil.

ACTION: If errors are large, notify the TOPO to obtain explanation/resubmittals from the lab. Document errors in the Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment.

Note: All DMCs must meet RRF  $\geq$  0.010. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMCs RRF and %RSD/%Diff data <u>alone</u>. However, use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC and %RSD/% Diff data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need of qualification of the data.

# 14.0 <u>Internal Standard (Form VIII)</u>

14.1	Were the internal standard area counts for every sample		
	and blank within the range of 50.0% and 200.0% of its		
	response in the most recent opening CCV standard		
	calibration?	[ ]	

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

		YES	NO	N/A
	If no, were affected sample reanalyzed?	[ ]		
ACTION	1: 1. Circle all outliers with red pencil.			
14.2	Are the retention times of the internal standards in sample or blanks within ±30 seconds from the RT of the internal standard in the 12-hour associated calibration standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)?	r 1		

#### INTERNAL STANDARDS ACTIONS FOR LOW/MEDIUM VOLATILES

	ACTION		
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds *	Non-detected Associated Compounds *	
Area counts ≥ 50% and ≤ 200% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No Action		
Area counts < 50% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J	R	
Area counts > 200% of 12-hour standard (Opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J	No Action	
RT difference > 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (Opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	R**	R	
RT difference < 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (Opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No Action		

<sup>\*</sup> For volatile compounds associated to each internal standard, see Table 3-Low/Medium Volatile Target Compounds and Deuterated Monitoring Compounds with Corresponding Internal Standards for Quantitation in SOM01.1, Exhibit D, available at:

## Http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/clp/soml.htm

\*\* Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable "R" if the mass spectral are met.

NOTE: <u>Contract Requirements</u>: The SOM (section 11.4.1 page D-46/VOA Low/Medium states that any sample which fails the acceptance criteria for IS response must be reanalyzed.

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

ACTION: Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-

Compliance any sample(s) which failed the above IS acceptance

criteria.

#### 15.0 Field Duplicates

15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for Low/Medium Concentration VOA analysis? [ ]

Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate ACTION:

the relative percent difference.

Any gross variation between duplicate results must be addressed ACTION: in the reviewer narrative. If large differences exist, contact the TOPO to confirm identification of field duplicates with the

sampler.

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

Method: Chi/bon/ bondi:2/how/Mediam volatiles boi in 55/von/ Rev.

. . . . . . . . . . . .

#### Definitions

BFB - bromofluorobenzene CCS - contract compliance screening CLASS - Contract Laboratory Analytical Services Support CLP - Contract Laboratory Program CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Limit GC/MS - gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy kg - kilogram  $\mu g$  - microgram ℓ - liter  $m\ell$  - milliliter QC - quality control RAS - Routine Analytical Services RIC - reconstructed ion chromatogram RPD - relative percent difference RRF - relative response factor RRF - average relative response factor (from initial calibration) RRT - relative retention time RSD - relative standard deviation RT - retention time RSCC - Regional Sample Control Center SDG - sample delivery group SOP - standard operating procedure SOW - Statement of Work TCL - Target Compound List TCLP - Toxicity Characteristics Leachate Procedure TIC - tentatively identified compound TPO - technical project officer VOA - volatile organic acid VTSR - validated time of sample receipt TOPO - Task Order Project Officer

USEPA Region II Date: August 2007 Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.2/Low/Medium Volatiles SOP HW-33/VOA, Revision 1

Mechod: Chrybow, bohol.2/how/Medidm volaciles bor hw-55/vox, kev

. . . . . . . . . . . .

- 1. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program of Work for Organic Analysis Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOW/CLPSOM01.1, October 2004
- National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review January 2005