

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Before the**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**Release No. 53925 / June 1, 2006**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING**  
**File No. 3-12317**

**In the Matter of**

**CHRISTOPHER  
ROUNDTREE,**

**Respondent.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING  
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS**

**I.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Christopher Roundtree (“Roundtree” or “Respondent”).

**II.**

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”), which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

### III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. From at least August to November 2000, Roundtree was the head trader at Salomon Grey Financial Corporation ("Salomon Grey"), a broker-dealer registered with the Commission during the relevant time period, with six branch offices located in Florida, Georgia, New York and Texas. From 1997 to 1999, Roundtree was associated with Pacific Cortez Securities, Inc. Roundtree, 28 years old, is currently a resident of Frisco, Texas.

2. On May 30, 2006, a final judgment was entered by consent against Roundtree in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Allen Z. Wolfson, et al., Civil Action Number 2:02 CV-1086, in the United States District Court for the District of Utah, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and aiding and abetting violations of Section 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged, among other things, that Roundtree's co-defendants had a pre-existing arrangement with certain other defendants to obtain deeply discounted blocks of shares of Freedom Surf, Inc., from other defendants for retail sales to the public at manipulated prices. On October 24, 2000, Salomon Grey bought a block of 25,000 Freedom Surf shares at a fifty percent discount to the manipulated market price. Roundtree executed the trade. With Roundtree's knowledge, Salomon Grey brokers then sold Freedom Surf shares to its customers at illegally marked up prices without disclosing these illegal and excessive markups to them. Roundtree also executed trades to Salomon Grey customer accounts at the illegally marked-up prices.

### IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Roundtree's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent Roundtree be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker or dealer with the right to reapply for association after 1 year to the appropriate self-regulatory organization, or if there is none, to the Commission.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a

customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order;  
and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct  
that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris  
Secretary