SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION MAILED FOR SERVICE

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING FILE NO. 3-10607

FEB 0 4 2002

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the

JRITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
February 4, 2002

CTFD. NO	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION February 4, 2002		
	In the Matter of	:	
	CLUBIC WORKS IED	:	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
	CHRIS WOESSNER	: :	MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT
		:	

The Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings in this matter on September 28, 2001. On January 14, 2002, Respondent filed a Motion for More Definite Statement (Motion), pursuant to Rule 220(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(d), requesting answers to questions set forth therein. The Division of Enforcement (Division) opposes Respondent's Motion and argues that the allegations presented in the OIP are adequate.

Pursuant to Rule 200(b)(3) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.200(b)(3), the OIP shall "set forth the factual and legal basis alleged therefore in such detail as will permit a specific response thereto." Accordingly, a respondent is entitled to sufficient information to define the allegations so as to prepare an adequate defense, but the Division is not required to provide specific information that will be matters of evidence. See J. Logan & Co., 38 S.E.C. 827 (1959), Morris J. Reiter, 39 S.E.C. 484 (1959); see also Orlando Joseph Jett and Melvin Mullin, 61 S.E.C. Docket 2398 (1996).

Respondent's Motion fails to provide any reason for his request or argue that the OIP is deficient. See Rule 220(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(d). The eight-page OIP adequately informs Respondent and defines the scope of the allegations against him. Furthermore, having reviewed the questions Respondent sets forth in his motion, I find that the questions pertain to evidence to be presented during the hearing, rather than questions to define the scope of the allegations.

Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 111(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(h), Respondent's Motion for More Definite Statement is DENIED.

Lillian A. McEwen Administrative Law Judge