

Table F-1. Pay relatives for occupational groups, all industries, selected areas, 1996

(For each occupational group, average pay level for all industries in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Alabama													
Huntsville	—	92	—	94	100	94	99	73	92	92	103	—	73
Alaska													
Statewide Alaska	119	118	120	119	—	117	—	156	122	—	136	—	136
Anchorage	120	116	120	116	—	117	—	164	—	—	—	—	109
Arizona													
Phoenix	98	95	99	97	—	98	—	—	87	86	96	—	81
California													
Los Angeles–Long Beach	103	107	103	106	—	107	108	137	113	115	—	—	98
Sacramento–Yolo CMSA	98	101	97	102	—	100	106	126	110	107	107	100	112
San Diego	95	102	93	101	—	102	—	123	101	104	102	—	105
San Francisco–Oakland–San Jose CMSA	110	112	109	113	—	115	110	143	120	121	119	—	—
Colorado													
Denver–Boulder–Greeley CMSA	103	102	103	102	106	103	97	107	101	103	100	—	97
Connecticut													
Hartford	102	100	103	—	—	—	99	110	106	107	107	—	—
New London–Norwich	—	103	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	117
District of Columbia													
Washington	102	104	102	100	103	98	102	105	108	109	109	—	96
Florida													
Miami–Ft. Lauderdale CMSA ¹	105	98	106	99	101	100	—	114	96	98	89	—	87
Orlando	102	95	103	91	91	90	—	—	89	94	—	85	94
Tampa–St. Petersburg–Clearwater	96	96	96	98	—	99	—	—	88	91	84	86	78
West Palm Beach–Boca Raton	—	103	—	—	—	—	—	105	95	94	84	—	89
Georgia													
Atlanta	96	98	96	97	96	96	97	75	101	97	100	102	83
Decatur County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	76
Hawaii													
Statewide Hawaii	88	89	87	87	85	84	—	94	104	110	97	—	100
Honolulu	87	89	86	87	85	85	—	96	103	110	—	—	96
Illinois													
Chicago–Gary–Kenosha CMSA ¹	103	99	104	103	107	103	103	117	105	109	108	111	115
Indiana													
Indianapolis	95	97	93	96	—	96	—	87	93	93	106	—	100
Massachusetts													
Boston–Worcester–Lawrence CMSA ¹	101	100	101	101	97	102	105	—	107	106	104	—	114
Springfield	—	99	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	95	—	—	122

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-1. Pay relatives for occupational groups, all industries, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for all industries in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Michigan													
Detroit	104	105	104	105	104	103	108	106	107	111	113	—	117
Minnesota													
Minneapolis-St. Paul	99	100	99	100	99	102	—	—	103	98	106	—	106
Mississippi													
Jackson	94	—	95	87	—	85	—	68	89	85	—	—	67
Missouri													
Kansas City	92	97	90	99	103	101	98	85	94	95	96	98	96
St. Louis	—	96	—	—	—	—	96	89	95	97	105	112	85
Nebraska													
Omaha	100	95	101	98	96	99	—	97	94	94	—	—	96
New York													
Nassau-Suffolk	99	105	97	108	112	107	—	151	111	112	112	—	136
North Dakota													
Ward County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	94
Ohio													
Cincinnati	98	97	98	104	—	108	98	96	97	97	103	—	96
Cincinnati-Hamilton CMSA ¹	99	98	99	106	102	111	98	95	96	95	100	101	97
Cleveland	95	97	94	97	97	96	—	96	99	101	104	106	91
Cleveland-Akron CMSA ¹	95	96	94	96	94	96	95	96	98	98	102	110	96
Columbus	101	97	103	99	103	98	—	103	101	100	94	—	104
Dayton-Springfield	97	97	97	98	—	97	97	101	94	96	105	—	107
Oregon													
Portland-Salem CMSA ¹	99	98	99	98	—	97	—	117	98	99	99	—	99
Pennsylvania													
Philadelphia	102	102	102	101	100	102	103	109	102	98	100	105	115
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City CMSA ¹	102	101	103	101	102	105	108	103	101	97	102	102	112
Pittsburgh	95	98	93	95	93	95	99	105	95	97	94	113	100
Reading	95	93	95	—	—	—	—	—	99	94	99	—	124
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton	95	93	96	—	—	—	—	—	86	83	85	97	102
Puerto Rico													
San Juan-Caguas-Arecibo CMSA	78	73	80	75	76	73	—	—	69	74	62	60	62
Tennessee													
Nashville	90	91	90	92	—	89	94	—	90	91	86	102	84
Texas													
Dallas-Ft. Worth CMSA	100	102	100	98	102	98	95	89	96	99	90	85	—
Houston	107	110	106	109	110	110	109	87	105	105	101	—	68
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria CMSA ¹	107	110	106	109	109	109	108	86	103	104	102	—	68

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-1. Pay relatives for occupational groups, all industries, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for all industries in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Virginia Richmond–Petersburg	99	99	99	98	—	98	—	—	—	100	103	96	81
Washington Seattle–Tacoma–Bremerton CMSA	—	101	—	97	—	94	—	120	103	100	114	—	114
Wisconsin Juneau County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	107
Milwaukee	97	99	97	100	102	99	100	103	100	102	106	112	103
Milwaukee–Racine CMSA ¹	97	99	97	100	102	99	99	103	100	101	105	112	104
Wyoming Lincoln County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	118

¹ These areas had a change in area definition in 1996 and are not comparable to similar areas presented in the 1995 National Summary.

NOTE: Dashes indicate no data or that data did not meet publication criteria. Areas do not appear on this table if they had no publishable data for these occupational groups or for this level of industry detail.

Table F-2. Pay relatives for occupational groups, private industry, selected areas, 1996

(For each occupational group, average pay level for private industry in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Alabama													
Birmingham ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	97	99	92	—	69
Gadsden and Anniston ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	77	—	108
Huntsville	—	92	—	94	100	94	—	—	97	94	105	—	73
Mobile ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	90	97	85	—	68
Montgomery ¹	—	—	—	—	80	—	—	—	93	95	78	—	71
Alaska													
Statewide Alaska	123	—	124	—	—	115	—	—	115	117	130	—	132
Anchorage	123	—	124	—	—	113	—	—	112	—	—	—	116
Arizona													
Phoenix	99	96	99	100	—	102	—	—	91	88	97	—	79
California													
Fresno–Visalia ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	94	94	—	—	81
Los Angeles–Long Beach	102	105	101	106	—	107	106	—	109	109	106	—	95
Sacramento–Yolo CMSA	99	101	99	98	—	96	—	—	102	102	100	100	104
Salinas ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	110
San Diego	94	103	92	—	—	102	—	—	100	102	101	—	97
San Francisco–Oakland–San Jose CMSA	108	110	108	113	—	115	109	—	116	116	116	—	—
Colorado													
Colorado Springs and Pueblo ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	92	90	—	—	89
Denver–Boulder–Greeley CMSA	102	101	103	103	105	104	97	—	102	101	98	—	97
Connecticut													
Hartford	102	101	103	—	—	—	99	—	106	105	108	—	103
New London–Norwich	—	104	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	120
District of Columbia													
Washington	102	104	102	100	103	97	102	—	108	105	—	—	98
Florida													
Gainesville ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	84
Miami–Ft. Lauderdale CMSA ²	106	97	108	98	100	99	—	—	97	96	86	—	85
Northwestern Florida ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	81
Orlando	102	96	103	91	91	90	—	—	93	94	—	85	102
Tampa–St. Petersburg–Clearwater	96	96	96	—	—	99	—	—	89	90	83	86	76
West Palm Beach–Boca Raton	—	106	—	—	—	—	—	—	94	92	—	—	95
Georgia													
Atlanta	97	98	97	98	98	96	98	—	104	101	101	—	85
Augusta–Aiken, Columbia and Sumter ¹	—	—	—	—	—	88	—	—	94	93	94	97	81
Columbus ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89	81	—	79
Hawaii													
Statewide Hawaii	98	99	98	96	—	94	—	—	105	103	—	—	100
Honolulu	—	99	—	96	—	94	—	—	105	104	—	—	97

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-2. Pay relatives for occupational groups, private industry, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for private industry in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Illinois													
Central Illinois ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	97	96	—	—	102
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha CMSA ²	103	98	104	103	106	102	103	—	104	106	106	—	113
Indiana													
Indianapolis	96	101	95	98	—	97	—	—	95	89	108	—	99
Kansas													
Wichita ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	97	96	106	—	97
Kentucky													
Lexington-Fayette ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	92	91	108	82
Louisville ¹	—	—	—	—	94	—	—	—	96	99	98	—	89
Louisiana													
Shreveport-Bossier City ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89	—	90	—	—
Massachusetts													
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence CMSA ²	100	99	100	101	97	101	105	—	106	104	101	—	113
Michigan													
Detroit	105	107	104	106	104	103	108	—	—	107	113	—	116
Minnesota													
Minneapolis-St. Paul	98	98	98	99	98	101	—	—	100	95	105	—	108
Mississippi													
Biloxi-Gulfport-Pascagoula ¹	—	—	—	—	91	94	—	—	—	87	83	76	95
Columbus ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	78
Jackson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	94	93	—	—	71
Missouri													
Kansas City	92	97	91	100	104	100	99	99	98	95	98	98	89
St. Louis	—	96	—	97	—	97	97	—	96	95	107	—	84
Nebraska													
Omaha	99	94	101	97	95	98	—	—	93	92	—	—	90
New York													
Buffalo-Niagara Falls ¹	—	—	—	—	—	92	—	—	94	95	—	120	121
Nassau-Suffolk	96	101	96	106	110	105	—	—	107	101	111	—	117
North Carolina													
Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point ¹	—	—	—	—	94	101	—	—	98	96	89	92	74
Ohio													
Cincinnati	98	96	98	103	—	108	98	—	96	96	103	—	96
Cincinnati-Hamilton CMSA ²	98	96	99	106	102	111	98	—	95	96	101	—	96
Cleveland	94	96	94	97	96	95	95	—	97	97	104	106	92
Cleveland-Akron CMSA ²	94	96	94	96	93	96	95	—	97	97	102	—	97
Columbus	101	96	102	97	100	96	—	—	99	98	98	—	97

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-2. Pay relatives for occupational groups, private industry, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for private industry in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Ohio													
Dayton-Springfield	96	96	97	98	—	96	97	—	93	93	106	—	109
Oklahoma													
Oklahoma City ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	94	—	96	95	95	87	87
Oregon													
Portland-Salem CMSA ²	99	98	100	99	—	99	—	—	97	96	98	—	100
Pennsylvania													
Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle ¹	—	—	—	—	—	87	—	—	94	90	97	97	113
Philadelphia	103	102	103	101	100	102	103	—	102	98	100	105	116
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City CMSA ²	103	102	103	101	102	102	106	—	102	99	102	102	113
Pittsburgh	94	98	93	95	93	95	100	94	95	95	93	113	93
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton	96	92	97	—	—	—	—	—	85	80	84	99	100
Puerto Rico													
San Juan-Caguas-Arecibo CMSA	83	78	84	79	—	78	—	—	73	75	63	61	66
Tennessee													
Nashville	93	95	93	94	—	91	—	—	91	88	—	—	90
Texas													
Dallas-Ft. Worth CMSA	100	102	100	98	97	98	95	—	99	99	91	—	—
Houston	107	111	106	110	111	110	110	—	108	107	103	—	68
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria CMSA ²	107	111	106	110	110	110	109	—	107	106	104	—	68
Northwest Texas ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	93	97	95	73	74
San Antonio ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	90	90	—	—	73
Vermont													
Statewide Vermont ¹	—	—	—	—	—	89	—	—	97	92	85	78	106
Virgin Islands													
Virgin Islands of the U.S. ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	93	—	—	90
Virginia													
Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News ¹	—	—	—	—	93	90	—	—	90	90	89	—	80
Richmond-Petersburg	103	100	103	100	—	98	—	—	—	—	105	98	81
Washington													
Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton CMSA	—	101	—	96	—	95	—	—	103	98	114	—	112

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-2. Pay relatives for occupational groups, private industry, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for private industry in the United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Wisconsin													
Juneau County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	119
Milwaukee	97	98	97	100	101	99	—	—	99	98	106	112	104
Milwaukee–Racine CMSA ²	97	98	97	100	102	99	—	—	98	98	105	112	104
Wyoming													
Statewide Wyoming ¹	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	104	—	80

¹ The limited industry scope for this survey excluded mining, construction, and selected service-producing industries. In addition, Programmers and Systems Analysts were the only professional and administrative occupations studied in private industry. See appendix A-4 for more details.

² These areas had a change in area definition in 1996 and are not comparable to similar areas presented in the 1995

National Summary.

NOTE: Dashes indicate no data or that data did not meet publication criteria. Areas do not appear on this table if they had no publishable data for these occupational groups or for this level of industry detail.

Table F-3. Pay relatives for occupational groups, State and local government, selected areas, 1996

(For each occupational group, average pay level for State and local government in United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Alabama													
Huntsville	—	—	94	—	98	90	—	72	85	92	82	—	72
Alaska													
Statewide Alaska	114	125	111	125	126	121	—	154	—	—	146	—	152
Anchorage	—	—	—	—	—	123	—	162	—	—	—	—	133
Arizona													
Phoenix	93	91	92	93	97	90	95	98	79	79	93	103	93
California													
Los Angeles–Long Beach	116	115	115	112	119	110	120	136	124	133	127	124	112
Sacramento–Yolo CMSA	101	105	101	108	—	106	112	124	112	116	118	—	116
San Diego	103	104	98	105	—	105	106	121	103	110	111	—	112
San Francisco–Oakland–San Jose CMSA	123	127	120	117	—	113	130	142	130	138	131	—	135
Colorado													
Denver–Boulder–Greeley CMSA	107	109	104	104	117	100	104	105	102	106	104	—	97
Connecticut													
Hartford	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	109	—	109	110	—	126
New London–Norwich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	103	—	—	—	—	130
District of Columbia													
Washington	102	106	102	109	108	112	—	103	104	115	104	—	109
Florida													
Miami–Ft. Lauderdale CMSA ¹	104	104	100	103	108	105	102	112	94	103	93	—	84
Orlando	—	89	—	90	91	90	—	88	83	91	83	—	80
Tampa–St. Petersburg–Clearwater	96	93	96	91	92	91	—	—	90	95	85	95	82
West Palm Beach–Boca Raton	—	89	—	—	—	—	—	103	92	97	85	—	78
Georgia													
Atlanta	91	96	86	91	90	93	—	74	89	93	91	—	85
Decatur County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61
Hawaii													
Statewide Hawaii	81	84	80	82	87	81	91	93	—	119	—	86	100
Honolulu	79	82	78	—	87	79	91	94	—	119	—	—	100
Illinois													
Chicago–Gary–Kenosha CMSA ¹	103	109	101	107	—	111	108	115	110	117	—	136	128
Indiana													
Indianapolis	81	82	81	84	81	87	—	86	84	89	85	85	97
Massachusetts													
Boston–Worcester–Lawrence CMSA ¹	—	102	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	109	—	—	116
Michigan													
Detroit	91	97	83	98	106	101	104	104	115	124	110	—	134

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-3. Pay relatives for occupational groups, State and local government, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for State and local government in United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Minnesota Minneapolis-St. Paul	105	109	103	104	107	103	112	111	112	105	114	—	123
Mississippi Jackson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	67	—	79	70	—	62
Missouri Kansas City	94	98	90	92	89	93	—	83	87	94	84	—	98
St. Louis	92	97	90	—	95	94	—	86	93	101	96	—	108
Nebraska Omaha	—	—	108	—	—	—	—	96	102	—	99	—	99
New York Nassau-Suffolk	119	129	116	—	133	—	126	142	—	—	119	—	155
Ohio													
Cincinnati	104	108	105	—	107	—	—	95	102	97	97	—	99
Cincinnati-Hamilton CMSA ¹	104	106	104	—	107	—	—	94	100	95	95	82	98
Cleveland	99	93	100	99	104	97	—	95	104	106	103	105	108
Cleveland-Akron CMSA ¹	99	92	100	96	101	93	97	95	101	102	101	105	108
Columbus	103	98	105	107	108	105	104	101	102	104	91	—	109
Dayton-Springfield	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	100	98	107	—	—	109
Oregon Portland-Salem CMSA ¹	100	98	97	96	—	91	—	115	103	108	107	—	106
Pennsylvania													
Philadelphia	94	98	93	102	105	101	—	106	107	109	107	110	127
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City CMSA ¹	95	98	93	98	100	96	—	106	107	106	108	111	124
Pittsburgh	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	104	—	104	103	—	118
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	99	—	—	87	—	104
Puerto Rico San Juan-Caguas-Arecibo CMSA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	57
Tennessee Nashville	—	—	82	—	—	—	—	74	—	—	87	—	78
Texas													
Dallas-Ft. Worth CMSA	86	93	79	92	103	90	91	87	86	92	81	74	79
Houston	87	92	82	91	93	89	—	85	—	95	88	66	83
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria CMSA ¹	87	94	81	91	93	88	84	85	88	95	88	69	80
Virginia Richmond-Petersburg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	90	—	—	87	—	77
Washington Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton CMSA	99	100	98	99	—	95	—	119	104	104	118	115	116

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F-3. Pay relatives for occupational groups, State and local government, selected areas, 1996 — Continued

(For each occupational group, average pay level for State and local government in United States = 100)

State and area	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Wisconsin													
Juneau County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	86
Milwaukee	100	103	94	104	100	103	—	102	112	117	109	—	120
Milwaukee–Racine CMSA ¹	101	103	94	103	99	103	—	102	112	115	110	—	121
Wyoming													
Lincoln County	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	91

¹ These areas had a change in area definition in 1996 and are not comparable to similar areas presented in the 1995 National Summary.

NOTE: Dashes indicate no data or that data did not meet publication criteria. Areas do not appear on this table if they had no publishable data for these occupational groups or for this level of industry detail.

Table G-1. Pay relatives for occupational groups, all industries, establishment characteristics, 1996

(For each occupational group, average pay level for all industries in the United States = 100)

Establishment characteristic	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Industry													
All industries	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Private industry	101	101	100	100	100	101	100	—	100	102	100	100	92
Goods producing	102	103	101	102	101	103	99	—	103	106	99	97	131
Construction	—	100	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	101	102	100	102	101	102	99	—	103	106	99	98	131
Durable goods	101	102	100	101	100	101	99	—	104	107	101	97	143
Nondurable goods	103	102	102	103	102	105	102	—	101	104	94	101	110
Service producing	101	99	100	100	101	100	102	—	99	100	103	101	87
Transportation and utilities	104	103	104	105	—	106	111	—	107	106	112	105	134
Wholesale trade	—	101	—	102	—	103	—	—	99	101	—	93	112
Retail trade	—	98	—	—	—	97	—	—	95	98	—	93	92
Finance, insurance, and real estate	—	100	—	99	100	99	—	—	98	102	—	—	118
Services	99	97	99	99	101	99	100	—	97	99	95	84	85
State and local government	94	96	94	96	97	96	105	101	100	96	100	103	121
Region													
Northeast	100	102	99	102	103	101	102	117	105	105	104	110	124
South	99	98	99	97	98	97	97	80	93	94	91	88	81
Midwest	99	99	99	100	98	101	99	98	98	99	103	106	104
West	102	104	102	103	103	103	103	123	106	105	105	101	101
Area classification													
Metropolitan	100	101	100	100	100	100	101	106	101	101	103	102	101
Nonmetropolitan	94	93	94	—	—	—	—	77	90	91	85	85	91
Establishments employing													
Less than 500 workers	99	99	99	99	99	100	97	80	98	101	92	93	87
500-999 workers	100	100	99	99	97	99	98	97	98	99	98	103	103
1,000-2,499 workers	103	102	102	103	102	102	102	—	102	101	105	116	109
2,500 workers or more	100	100	100	100	101	99	106	112	103	99	115	131	124

NOTE: Dashes indicate no data or that data did not meet publication criteria.

Table G-2. Pay relatives for occupational groups, private industry, establishment characteristics, 1996

(For each occupational group, average pay level for private industry in the United States = 100)

Establishment characteristic	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Industry													
Private industry	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Goods producing	101	102	100	102	101	102	99	—	103	104	99	96	143
Construction	—	99	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	100	101	100	101	100	102	99	—	103	104	99	97	143
Durable goods	100	101	99	101	100	100	99	—	103	104	100	97	157
Non durable goods	102	101	102	103	101	104	102	—	102	102	94	100	121
Service producing	100	99	100	99	100	99	103	100	99	99	103	101	95
Transportation and utilities	103	102	103	105	—	105	111	—	106	104	112	105	146
Wholesale trade	—	100	—	101	—	103	—	—	100	100	—	94	122
Retail trade	—	98	—	—	—	97	—	—	95	96	—	93	100
Finance, insurance, and real estate	—	99	—	99	100	99	—	—	99	100	—	—	129
Services	98	96	99	98	100	98	100	99	98	97	95	84	93
Region													
Northeast	99	101	99	101	103	100	102	—	105	103	103	109	126
South	99	98	100	98	100	97	98	—	96	96	92	89	82
Midwest	99	98	99	100	98	101	100	—	98	98	104	106	103
West	102	103	102	103	102	103	102	—	103	103	104	100	97
Area classification													
Metropolitan	100	101	100	100	100	100	101	100	101	100	103	102	101
Nonmetropolitan	95	93	96	—	—	—	—	—	90	91	85	—	92
Establishments employing													
50-499 workers	99	99	99	99	99	100	97	—	99	100	92	93	90
500-999 workers	99	100	99	99	97	99	98	—	99	97	99	104	100
1,000-2,499 workers	101	101	101	102	102	101	101	—	102	101	106	117	111
2,500 workers or more	102	104	101	102	103	100	107	—	105	102	118	136	143

NOTE: Dashes indicate no data or that data did not meet publication criteria.

Table G-3. Pay relatives for occupational groups, State and local governments, establishment characteristics, 1996

(For each occupational group, average pay level for State and local governments in United States = 100)

Establishment characteristic	Occupational group												
	Professional			Administrative			Technical	Protective service	Clerical		Maintenance	Material movement	Janitors
	Overall	Accountants	Engineers	Overall	Programmers	Systems Analysts			Overall	Secretaries			
Industry													
State and local government	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Region													
Northeast	102	103	102	—	105	—	—	116	106	110	112	—	121
South	90	91	90	91	93	89	87	80	86	90	83	72	77
Midwest	98	99	97	100	104	99	—	97	100	103	100	108	107
West	106	109	105	—	108	—	112	121	111	113	110	100	108
Area classification													
Metropolitan	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	105	102	101	105	106	105
Nonmetropolitan	—	—	88	—	—	—	—	78	91	93	82	—	83
Establishments employing													
Less than 500 workers	98	—	99	—	96	—	—	82	96	102	91	—	96
500-999 workers	98	100	97	94	94	95	—	96	97	104	95	—	106
1,000-2,499 workers ...	111	107	115	107	104	109	—	—	103	103	101	—	105
2,500 workers or more	99	100	98	99	100	99	101	110	101	98	106	117	98

NOTE: Dashes indicate no data or that data did not meet publication criteria.