1 2 3	HELANE L. MORRISON (State Bar No. 127752) MARC J. FAGEL (State Bar No. 154425) ROBERT L. MITCHELL (State Bar No. 161354) JINA L. CHOI (admitted in New York) JENNIFER L. SCAFE (State Bar No. 194649)		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION		
5	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 705-2500		
6	Facsimile: (415) 705-2501		
7 8			
9			
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No	
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
14	v.	COM LAIVI	
15 16	AMERICAN-AMICABLE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS; PIONEER AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY; and PIONEER		
17	SECURITY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commissio	n (the "Commission") alleges:	
22	SUMMARY OF THE ACTION		
23	1. This case involves misleading sales to thousands of military personnel of a product		
24	consisting of life insurance coupled with an investment fund. Among other things, Defendants' sale		
25	agents suggested that military personnel could become millionaires with the product when in fact the		
26	majority of the participants earned little or nothing from their investment.		
27	2. Defendants American-Amicable Life	Insurance Company of Texas, Pioneer American	
28	Insurance Company, and Pioneer Security Life Insura	ance Company (together, "American-Amicable"	

or the "Company") sold the product, named Horizon Life. Because military personnel already had automatic access to low-cost government-sponsored life insurance and Horizon Life's term life insurance component was rather expensive, American-Amicable marketed Horizon Life primarily as an investment product that could create wealth.

- 3. American-Amicable developed a sales program for companywide use in the military market. Beginning in 2000, sales agents were trained to hold themselves out as "financial advisers" or "financial coaches," leading military personnel to believe the agents were objective advisers acting in their best interests, rather than insurance agents selling a particular product. Sales agents misled military personnel to believe they could earn \$1 million in 20 years if they put their money into the investment fund. At the same time, agents denigrated other investments, saying that mutual funds, bank savings accounts, and government bonds did not make sense. Agents also misled military personnel by saying that the life insurance portion of Horizon Life cost nothing.
- 4. Because of the way Horizon Life was structured, members of the military could not possibly earn \$1 million in 20 years by paying money into the investment fund. In reality, the majority of military personnel who purchased Horizon Life earned little or nothing from their investment.
- 5. By implementing this misleading sales program to promote investments in Horizon Life, Defendants violated the securities laws.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Section 20(b) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)].
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)].
- 8. Defendants, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged herein.

9. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] because Defendants transact business, including offers and sales alleged in this Complaint, in the Southern District of California.

DEFENDANTS

- 10. Defendant American-Amicable Life Insurance Company of Texas is a privately-held insurance company based in Waco, Texas.
- 11. Defendant Pioneer American Insurance Company is a privately-held insurance company based in Waco, Texas. Pioneer American is a subsidiary of American-Amicable Life Insurance Company of Texas.
- 12. Defendant Pioneer Security Life Insurance Company is a privately-held insurance company based in Waco, Texas. Pioneer Security is the parent of American-Amicable Life Insurance Company of Texas.

DEFENDANTS' MISLEADING COURSE OF CONDUCT

The Building Success Marketing System

- 13. In 1993, American-Amicable began selling a new product targeted for sale in the military market. The Company understood that because all military personnel were automatically eligible for low-cost Servicemembers' Group Life Insurance, military personnel generally did not want to pay for supplemental life insurance coverage. American-Amicable thus developed a product called Horizon Life, which consists of term life insurance coupled with an investment fund called the Annuity Accumulation Fund. According to internal Company memoranda, the product placed "less emphasis on the death benefit" and instead was to be "sold primarily as a savings plan" with a fund that had a high interest rate. The Company was to offer the relatively high interest rate as a "marketing tool" and would be able to do so because the investment fund was inextricably coupled with "rather expensive" life insurance.
- 14. For several years, American-Amicable agents sold Horizon Life primarily by visiting military bases and making their sales on-base. In the late 1990s, however, American-Amicable turned to a new marketing program called Building Success. The Building Success marketing system showed agents how to attract military personnel to off-base offices (frequently by offering cash

payments, prizes, or cash referral bonuses) and how to pitch the Horizon Life product. American-Amicable began promoting the Building Success system among its agents in the military market and strongly encouraged them to adopt its sales techniques. The Company promoted the system through conference calls, mass mailings, and Company-sponsored, subsidized training seminars for agents.

- 15. In response to the Company's top-down efforts, American-Amicable agents gradually adopted the Building Success sales methods. Since 2000, American-Amicable sold Horizon Life as an investment to more than 57,000 American military personnel, and the majority of those sales were made using the Building Success system.
- described the Horizon Life product, the verbal Building Success sales pitch used by American-Amicable agents was misleading. American-Amicable sold Horizon Life to military personnel who were largely young and financially unsophisticated. Pursuant to the Building Success sales pitch, sales agents misleadingly said that: the agents were objective financial advisers; the military personnel could become very wealthy; the Horizon Life product was superior to certain other investments; and the insurance portion of Horizon Life was free of cost.

A. Agents Misleadingly Implied That They Were Objective Financial Advisers

- 17. Under the Building Success system, American-Amicable trained its agents to present themselves to military personnel as "financial experts," "financial advisers," "financial coaches," or "financial planners." Agents were instructed to ask military personnel for their commitment to allow the agent to act as their "financial coach" who was there to help the servicemember "create wealth, reduce debt, and make smart financial choices." This so-called "coaching commitment" was portrayed as the most important part of the sales pitch, and was supposed to be obtained before any product was discussed because "that's where the sale is made."
- 18. Many American-Amicable agents sold Horizon Life through entities with names that led military personnel to believe they were dealing with a financial advisory firm, such as Investment Enterprises, Inc., California Capital Group, American Financial Services and The Financial Superstore.

19. As a result, many military personnel were led to believe they were dealing with objective financial advisers acting in the servicemembers' best interests, rather than insurance agents selling a particular product. Those military personnel purchased Horizon Life in large part based on the false belief that the agents were unbiased financial advisers who would recommend the best financial products for them.

B. Agents Misled Military Personnel to Believe That They Could Become Wealthy with Horizon Life

- 20. A central feature of the Building Success sales pitch involved "showing the soldier his potential." Agents were taught to accomplish this by using a compound interest table and calculating what a servicemember could earn in 20 years if he were to invest most or all of his paycheck in an unspecified instrument with a high rate of return, typically 10%. The demonstration showed how the servicemember's investment would return nearly, or more than, \$1 million. The servicemember was then directed to the Horizon Life product, which was touted as having a 10% historical interest rate on its investment fund.
- 21. Many military personnel were led to believe, based on this presentation, that they could become millionaires by buying Horizon Life and investing in the product for 20 years. In reality, because of the way the Horizon Life product was designed, military personnel were not able to contribute enough to earn \$1 million, or anything close to that amount, in that period of time.
- 22. Horizon Life (originally called Wealth Builder) consists of a 20-year term life insurance policy coupled with an "Annuity Accumulation Fund" into which military personnel can invest money. (Despite its name, the fund is not an annuity, and unlike most annuities, military personnel must pay taxes on any interest they earn.) The Company pays interest on the fund at a rate that has historically averaged over 10%, but that has gone as low as 6.5%. The Company guarantees that the interest will not fall below a certain rate, which has been either 4% or 6%, depending on when the policy was issued. The Company retains the right to adjust the interest rate at any time.
- 23. The structure of Horizon Life made it impossible for military personnel to earn \$1 million in 20 years. On average, military personnel paid \$85 per month (\$1,020 per year) for a Horizon Life policy providing \$24,000 in 20-year term life insurance coverage. Most of the money

deducted was used to pay life insurance premiums and thus drew little or no interest. In the first year, monthly payments were allocated entirely to insurance premiums, and nothing was deposited into the investment fund. In years two through seven, 75% of the monthly payments made by most military personnel went toward insurance premiums, and 25% to the investment fund. It was not until the eighth year that the insurance premiums were fully paid up and all payments were deposited into the investment fund where they could accumulate interest. Although additional contributions of up to \$5,000 could be made to the fund each year for 20 years, most military personnel did not make such contributions. Moreover, the vast majority of military personnel who purchased Horizon Life let their coverage lapse or canceled their participation during the first seven years – often long before they were ever able to contribute a significant amount to the investment fund. For example, only 12% of Horizon Life policies sold in 1995 are still in force 10 years later. Of the policies sold in 2000, only 23% are still in force. More than half of the policies sold in 2003 have-already lapsed.

- 24. The return that some military personnel realized on the investment fund was further reduced by another feature of Horizon Life, referred to as "automatic premium payment." If a servicemember failed to pay the insurance premiums during the first seven years without explicitly canceling the Horizon Life policy, the premium payments were automatically deducted from the servicemember's investment fund balance.
- 25. For all of these reasons, the vast majority of military personnel who purchased Horizon Life earned little or nothing from their investment.
- 26. Based on the foregoing, implying that military personnel could earn \$1 million in 20 years by investing in Horizon Life was misleading.
 - C. Agents Misleadingly Implied That Horizon Life Was Superior to Mutual Funds, Bank Savings Accounts, Government Bonds, and Government-Sponsored Insurance
- During Building Success seminars, agents were also trained to tell military personnel that putting their money in mutual funds, bank savings accounts, or government bonds is "not ideal" and "doesn't make sense." For example, agents learned to use the "mutual fund move" to show military personnel that investing in a mutual fund is a "less than ideal strategy" because the share

price of the fund periodically increases and decreases, and because even if a fund reports an increase, the shareholder does not realize that increase until he sells his fund shares.

- 28. Similarly, agents were instructed to tell military personnel that bank savings accounts and government bonds "don't make sense" because they pay a low interest rate on money that is deposited yet lend that same money out at a much higher rate. Agents were also trained to suggest that Servicemembers' Group Life Insurance was very expensive, when in fact, it was competitively priced, especially when compared to Horizon Life.
- 29. By disparaging these instruments while selling the Horizon Life product, American-Amicable agents led military personnel to believe that Horizon Life was a superior investment alternative, when for the majority of military personnel, it was not.

D. Agents Misleadingly Told Military Personnel That Insurance Through Horizon Life Was Free of Cost

- 30. American-Amicable designed the Horizon Life product so that, if a servicemember held the product for 20 years, an amount equal to the total premiums paid (in the first seven years) would be deposited into the servicemember's investment fund. An internal Company memo described this Moneyback Bonus as "an attractive marketing feature which allows us to present the product as a savings plan where the term insurance has 'No Cost' provided the customer persists through the 20th year."
- 31. Based on this feature, the Company trained agents to say that insurance through Horizon Life "costs nothing." Military personnel were led to believe that the insurance was a "bonus" or a "kicker" to the investment component of the product. Because they had access to Servicemembers' Group Life Insurance, most military personnel had no interest in purchasing additional life insurance; they bought the insurance simply because they could not put money into the interest-bearing investment fund without it. Agents devoted little or no time to discussing the insurance and instead treated the investment fund as the main focus of their sales pitch.
- 32. While the written materials provided to military personnel accurately described the product, the verbal characterizations of the insurance component of Horizon Life were misleading for two reasons. First, they did not reflect that in the first seven years, almost 80% of a servicemember's

1	contributions were used to pay insurance premiums, and that a typical servicemember's policy would		
2	lapse during that time. Most military personnel therefore spent the bulk of their money on insurance		
3	and deposited little into the investment fund, and few will ever benefit from a return of premiums in		
4	year 20. Second, although the rare servicemember who retains the policy for 20 years is at that time		
5	guaranteed a return of the amount paid in premiums, the servicemember will have lost the time value		
6	of money on those payments. It was thus misleading to claim that the insurance "costs nothing"		
7	based on the return of premium feature.		
8	CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
9	Violations of Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) and (3)]		
10	33. Paragraphs 1 through 32 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.		
11	34. Defendants, by engaging in the conduct set forth above, in the offer or sale of a		
12	security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate		
13	commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly (a) obtained money or property by means of ar		
14	untrue statement of a material fact or an omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the		
15	statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (b)		
16	engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or		
17	deceit upon the purchaser.		
18	35. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants violated, and unless restrained and enjoined		
19	will continue to violate, Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) and		
20	(3)].		
21	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
22	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:		
23	I.		
24	Permanently enjoin Defendants from directly or indirectly violating Sections 17(a)(2) and (3)		
25	of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) and (3)];		
26	и.		
27	Order Defendants, as further equitable relief, to disgorge ill-gotten gains;		

1	III.	
2	Grant such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.	
3		
4	Respectfully submitted,	
5	Dated: August 3, 2006	
6	Dated: August 3, 2006 Helane L Morrison	
7	Marc J. Fagel Robert L. Mitchell	
8	Jina L. Choi	
9	Jennifer L. Scafe	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	. •
11		
12	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
21		