```
1
2
3
4
    IN RE:
5
         HBCU Capital Financing
         Advisory Board Meeting
6
     7
8
9
10
    DATE : July 11, 2008
11
           :
                   9:00 A.M.
     TIME:
12
                   Atkinson-Baker
    OFFICE :
13
                   Corporate Office
                   500 N. Brand Boulevard
14
                   Third Floor
                   Glendale, CA 91203
15
                   (800) 288 - 3376
16
    HELD AT :
                   The Pelican Room at Wyndham Grand Resort
                   6000 Rio Mar Boulevard
                   Rio Grande, Puerto Rico 00745
17
18
19
20
21
    ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
22
    COURT REPORTERS
    (800) 288-3376
23
    www. depo.com
24
    REPORTED BY: CRESPO AND RODRIGUEZ, INC.
    FILE NO. A205DA1
25
```

1	
2	MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	Dr. Thelma Thompson Mr. Don Watson
4	Dr. Norman Francis Dr. Leonard Haynes
5	Dr. Haywood Strickland Dr. Lezli Baskerville
6	Mr. Samuel Bacote
7	PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE:
8	Mr. Derek Hansel Ms. Andrea Harris
9	Ms. Sally Wanner Ms. Bridget Chisolm
10	OFFICIAL REPORTER:
11	Raymond Joseph Portell
12	Raymond Joseph Portell
13	U.S. Department of Education Office of Postsecondary Education
14	1990 K Street, N.W. Room 6130
15	Washington D.C., 20006
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(9:00 A.M.)
3	DR. FRANCIS:
4	Let the record reflect that the board has passed, by
5	unanimous motion, the minutes of our meetings that was
6	held in Atlanta on July the
7	DR. STRICKLAND:
8	No, November.
9	DR. FRANCIS:
10	7th?
11	DR. STRICKLAND:
12	November.
13	DR. FRANCIS:
14	November 30th
15	DR. HAYNES:
16	Two thousand seven.
17	DR. FRANCIS:
18	and that the minutes were approved, the ethics
19	update for Dr. Thelma Thomas
20	DR. HAYNES:
21	Thompson.
22	DR. FRANCIS:
23	Thompson was given by Don Watson, and that the
24	follow-through of the panel later on, so that she will
25	have a clear picture and information on her ethics

responsibilities --1 2 DR. HAYNES: 3 And she is representing the public institutions. DR. FRANCIS: 4 5 ...and she is representing the public institutions on this body. 6 DR. HAYNES: 7 8 Right. 9 DR. FRANCIS: 10 In addition, Don Watson, our director has, is in the mode of making his presentation. He has already presented 11 the issue of Barber Scotia, which we will submit for the 12 13 record his comments that are a part of his report, and he 14 has those in writing, and the minutes will reflect that. 15 And the question has been raised by Dr. Baskerville, 16 and you have the floor. DR. BASKERVILLE: 17 18 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 19 My question was whether or not it would be appropriate for this body, in our advisory capacity to the 20 21 secretary, to make a recommendation relative to Barber 22 Scotia. 23 MR. WATSON: Part of the charter, part of this board's charter is 24

to make recommendations on operation of the program, and

- I think that probably be in the purview of this body to 1 2 make a recommendation to the secretary. 3 DR. FRANCIS: 4 Any of you would like to make a... Lezli? 5 (No audible response.) DR. FRANCIS: 6 Okay, I'll let you finish. 7 8 But my thought was the same thing. I asked the 9 question because I wanted to make sure that as a body in 10 assisting the secretary were there any things that we could think of that would assist the institution and/or 11 12 help the secretary in making as judicious a decision as 13 possible. 14 In terms of the track that it's on now for the last, 15 what, three years, I gather, give or take, you've made the 16 visits, you've talked with the institution, you've got the 17 reports. Say, several things have not happened, and the 18 step in the process is that a broker has been asked to, of 19 an appraisal that's been made of the property. And then 20 the broker has been engaged, at this point, or will be 21 engaged--22 MR. WATSON: 23 Right. The broker will be engaged. DR. FRANCIS: 24 25 --will be engaged, and that's a step that would
 - 5

```
determine whether or not there are any buyers, and so
1
 2
     forth.
 3
          But I also thought I heard, for the record, that
     Barber Scotia has until the sale is consummated--
 4
 5
     DR. HAYNES:
          Right.
 6
 7
     DR. FRANCIS:
 8
           -- an opportunity to get back in the business of, the
9
     responsibilities, under the bond as well as the
10
     institution.
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
          Yes, that is correct.
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
          Okay.
15
     DR. HAYNES:
16
          I have one question, Don. Since the inception of the
17
     HBCU Capital Finance Program, is this the first time that
     a situation like Barber Scotia has emerged?
18
     MR. WATSON:
19
          Yes, sir, this is the first and the only default in
20
21
     the program. That's the short answer of it, yes.
22
     DR. HAYNES:
23
          Okay, I just wanted to make sure.
     DR. FRANCIS:
24
25
         So that...Okay, go ahead.
```

DR. STRICKLAND:

Well, my question centers around the other borrower, to have money in escrow. If we wait until all the money has been depleted -- Thelma, what's the time frame for that? How long would it be based on the payments now, before those funds are depleted? That's number one.

And, number two is, do we have a responsibility to try to save, to protect those institutions from further liability if we... Because the extension seems to give, you know, draws it out, and more money is being expended.

And so the more -- what's the time frame before it's gone. But, number two, don't we have a responsibility to make a recommendation that we showed in this process.

Nobody wants to see Barber Scotia sold, but the responsibility to the others--

16 DR. FRANCIS:

17 Right, right.

18 DR. STRICKLAND:

19 --it's just as great to the others as it is to that 20 one.

21 MR. WATSON:

Barber Scotia's -- and I'm just -- I don't have any of those numbers in front of me, but Barber Scotia's similar -- or Barber Scotia's bond payments are around \$250,000--

```
DR. THOMPSON:
1
 2
         A year?
 3
     MR. WATSON:
 4
         No, every six months.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 5
          Every six months.
 6
     MR. WATSON:
 7
          So it's half a million dollars a year. And I would
8
9
     say... Maybe in the next three or four years--
10
     MR. FRANCIS:
          That the pool will be depleted?
11
12
     MR. WATSON:
13
          --the pool will be depleted, because as you make
     those payments, that becomes part of Barber Scotia's
14
15
     overall debt. And then the secretary would have to pick
16
     up on the bond payments after that point.
17
     DR. STRICKLAND:
          So at the present time, based on three to four years,
18
     you're saying we have $1.5 to $2 million still available?
19
     MR. WATSON:
20
21
         Uh...
22
     DR. STRICKLAND:
23
          If you're paying half a million a year, three years
     is one point five.
24
25
```

```
MR. HANSEL:
1
 2
         (Begins to interrupt.)
 3
     MR. WATSON:
          No, no, no, -- what, what I'm looking at is -- one
 4
 5
     second, Derek--
     DR. FRANCIS:
 6
 7
          Hold on Derek. Go ahead.
     MR. WATSON:
8
9
          --It's not -- I wasn't doing it, I wasn't doing it by
10
     saying the, uh... I'm also looking at the value of the
     property, and as it goes forward.
11
12
     DR. STRICKLAND:
13
         Yes, yes.
     MR. WATSON:
14
15
          And I just wasn't looking at the bond payments from
16
     that standpoint.
17
     DR. STRICKLAND:
18
         Okay.
     MR. WATSON:
19
20
          Derek, Derek, you want to say something?
21
     MR. HANSEL:
22
          Yeah, I understand now. Yeah, actually--
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
24
         For the record--
25
```

MR. HANSEL:

--I think, I think the current balance in the escrow account is probably in the neighborhood of -- but I'd have to take a look -- but it's, I think it's in excess of \$5 million. So I think we've got frankly quite a few more years than three or four before there is a depletion of the escrow.

MR. WATSON:

9 Okay.

10 MR. HANSEL:

But I think, again, the long answer is we really don't want to get to that point. I mean, that's, that's -- it's kind of -- the worst possible scenario is that we fully deplete the escrow and still have the exact same problem with Barber Scotia, you know, seven, eight, ten years from now that we have today. You know, and then you have nothing that you can recover again. You don't have any ability to reimburse them, the institutions for what they've been drawn upon.

To this date, you know, they've already been drawn down, you know, over, over a million and a half dollars.

So, we still got many years that we theoretically could run, as have been said that it won't be exhausted in the next two or three. But, you know, it would be several years out.

DR. FRANCIS: Well, D

Well, Derek, I think the sense of the motion -- not the motion; I'm sorry -- his comment was what responsibility do we have -- or, more particularly -- the secretary has to do, to as judicious a manner as possible to make a decision that protects both Barber Scotia and the people whose monies are being depleted as time goes.

I mean, that's a major responsibility.

9 MR. WATSON:

10 Right.

11 MR. HANSEL:

12 Absolutely, and I--

13 MR. WATSON:

That's the secretary's -- the body can make a recommendation to the secretary, but, again, it's -- that wouldn't be Commerce's responsibility. Again, this body can make a recommendation to the secretary, but you also have to realize it is a loan program, and it's Barber Scotia -- and, although Barber Scotia is the only default in the program, there are many more borrowers in the program.

We also get reports now that we hadn't received in the past, and there are borrowers who are delinquent, whether in default or not, is another issue, but you also want to think about those issues in the past. I don't

```
think we want to look at this just solely as a Barber
1
     Scotia, but we have to look at the program from a
 2
 3
     strategic standpoint.
          When the program doesn't make loans, the program does
 4
 5
     not put more money into the program. And so -- and we'll
     talk about that later in the report, but that's things I
 6
     think we should consider as well when we're making
 7
     recommendations and things of that nature.
 8
9
     MR. HANSEL:
10
          Absolutely.
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
          Okay. Sam, did you want to say something?
13
     MR. BACOTE:
          (Off mic) I'm just saying (unintelligible), you've
14
15
     got other schools that need to rely on their escrows.
16
     DR. THOMPSON:
17
          That's right.
     MR. WATSON:
18
19
          Mmhm.
     MR. BACOTE:
20
21
         (Off mic, unintelligible.)
22
     MR. WATSON:
23
          That's a good analysis, that's a good analysis.
     Yeah, race to the bottom, yeah.
24
25
```

```
MR. BACOTE:
1
 2
          Race to the bottom.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          What I would suggest as chair that I think this body
     could indeed say to the secretary that this is a matter of
 5
     grave concern--
 6
     MR. WATSON:
 7
 8
          Right.
9
     DR. FRANCIS:
10
          --to both the institution Barber Scotia as well as
     other members in the pool, and that we would urge the
11
     secretary to use as much discretion as possible and to
12
13
     make a judicious decision so that all parties would feel
     that they have been appropriately and satisfactorily...
14
     DR. HAYNES:
15
16
          That's good.
17
     DR. FRANCIS:
18
          Yes?
     DR. THOMPSON:
19
          So moved.
20
21
     MR. WATSON:
22
          Seconded, seconded.
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
          Okay. And if there are any other comments to be
24
25
     entertained, but I think that could close out this
```

```
because--
1
 2
     MR. WATSON:
 3
          Right, right.
 4
     DR. FRANCIS:
 5
          --just as a matter of record, you've been at this a
     while--
 6
     MR. WATSON:
 7
8
         Right, right.
9
     DR. FRANCIS:
10
          --and I think we don't want to get...
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
         Yeah.
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
         All those in favor of that, please signify by saying
     "aye."
15
16
                        (Chorus of "ayes.")
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
          Alright, the motion is carried.
18
          Okay, that's Barber Scotia.
19
          Mr. Director, if you would give us a report on your
20
21
     visits, and assessment rating?
22
     MR. WATSON:
          The GAO -- as you're all aware, last November the
23
     program closed out the GAO audit, that looked at the
24
25
     management of the program.
```

About a month ago or so, GAO came back and asked for us to give an update on one of the recommendations that the department decided not to go with. And that recommendation stemmed around allowing borrowers to make monthly payments rather than -- sorry -- the department requires that borrowers make monthly payments on their bond service rather than semi-annual payments.

The GAO recommended that the department allow those institutions to make those payments on their bonds twice a year, when the bond payments are due. The department responded by saying that we don't think it's a good idea to allow borrowers to make payments semi-annually, and that those borrowers should make payments monthly based on, at this time, the latest requests that we received indicate that if borrowers were allowed more time to pay, delinquencies could arise. You have some borrowers who pay habitually late, but, again, with one default in the program in the escrow, depending on that bond, depending on that program to make payments. We didn't want to see several other borrowers also default or become delinquent.

And, as Sam pointed out, these borrowers use their own escrows to pay their delinquent bond payments, which, in some cases we have a couple of borrowers who actually used their own escrow today to pay their delinquent loan balances, on a monthly basis. And that was--

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
          And that means, in effect, that once -- well, they
 3
     have to keep that escrow account in their own personal
 4
     quote corporate name, at a 5 percent of the total of that
 5
     loan.
     MR. WATSON:
 6
 7
          Of the outstanding balance.
     DR. FRANCIS:
8
9
          And if they don't, at some point then the pool starts
10
     to pay it, I gather. Is that right?
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
          Yes.
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
          If they deplete their own, now you go to the pool.
14
15
     MR. WATSON:
16
          Mmhm.
17
     DR. FRANCIS:
          So, again, it's a question of partners understanding
18
     that it's their respective responsibility. So if in fact
19
     the best way to keep that in check, I gather, what we're
20
21
     saying is you should do it monthly rather than semi-
22
     annually.
     MR. WATSON:
23
          Yes.
24
25
```

1 DR. FRANCIS:

Because the risks are high, not just for the borrower, but for the pool members as well.

I guess one can argue many ways, and unless we have a better way to suggest, I suspect it will continue to be monthly.

7 MR. WATSON:

The department responded by saying we will continue to require monthly payments rather then semi-annual payments, and for the reasons that I previously indicated.

DR. FRANCIS:

Okay. Is there any -- I mean, it's certainly open to me is there any in authority here recommending of any other ways we'd want to recommend to the department? The GOA has recommended, and I don't think we've heard anything contrary. Meaning by that as with education.

So unless we have something else to say, I personally, as the chair, realize how important it is to make sure you make your payments. And sometimes it's better to be told next month you're behind, rather than six months later.

So, we will accept the fact that there are no other recommendations at this point are being offered to the secretary on this issue.

1 MR. WATSON:

2 Alright.

The next point of issue I would like to talk about is the legislative changes.

As you're aware, earlier this year, late December, we had a discussion about legislative changes.

The program statutory lines actually allows us to have an outstanding balance of \$375 million. We've exceeded that amount greatly. We're carrying an outstanding balance that's close to \$650 million. We have reached the statutory cap, so the program has not made any loans since June 13th of last year.

Unless there is some action taken by the Congress, or some other body, the program will not be able to be making any new loans.

Last November there was a House bill that allowed that, the House passed that increased the cap to \$1.1 billion, which would've essentially provided about \$400 million in new money.

Now there's another piece that's, in management amendment there was another piece that added more substantial changes to the program.

To date we have not had any move on the legislation that would allow the program to make any new loans. And, again, it's been more than a year. The program has been

in a stagnant state, other than doing programmatic things. 1 2 Yes, Dr. Haynes...? 3 DR. HAYNES: 4 Yeah, I just wanted to point out that the secretary, 5 of course, supports the increase to the \$1.1 billion. She went on record to that effect. 6 MR. WATSON: 7 Yes--8 9 DR. HAYNES: 10 At our encouragement. MR. WATSON: 11 Yes, that was the, that is the department's official 12 13 point of view that the department is only supporting an 14 increase in the statutory cap. Any other provisions, the 15 department is not supporting any other provisions. 16 The secretary did make that announcement at HBCU last 17 September, 2007, and that was essentially the November legislation that the House had passed, and there was no 18 move on that legislation in the Senate. 19 I think that's--20 21 DR. FRANCIS: 22 So at the current state, the \$1.1 billion is awaiting 23 a conference committee's hearing as to whether or not it will -- the Senate will accede to the \$1.1 and report it 24 25 out, you think? Is that the next step...

1 MR. WATSON:

- The \$1.1 billion is actually now included into a much 2 3 bigger piece of legislation that have more substantial changes to the program, which include a 1 percent interest 4 5 rate, a 1 percent reserve fund fee, and the deferment or forbearance requirements which those deferment or 6 7 forbearance requirements as stated in the current 8 proposal, legislative proposal, will require this board to 9 actively participate and recommend on granting those 10 deferments or forbearances.
 - Currently that's not part of the charter for this body, and so if we were, if those statutory changes are made, then we would have to change our charter to include to have more of an operational role in approving deferments or forbearances for borrowers in the program.

16 DR. FRANCIS:

11

12

13

14

- Any questions on this issue? Dr. Thompson...?
- 18 DR. THOMPSON:
- From reading the minutes, you have some institutions which are in waiting.
- 21 MR. WATSON:
- 22 Yes.
- DR. THOMPSON:
- 24 That type of client and probably have been approved.
 25 What's the plan to handle those? Are we waiting then on

- 1 | the final approval of the \$1.2 billion? Or would they be
- 2 | first come, first served? In other words, how do we go
- 3 | about this?
- 4 MR. WATSON:
- 5 The program now clearly operates in a first come,
- first served basis. And there are programs, or what we
- 7 call a pipeline list. We do have programs that are
- 8 waiting--
- 9 DR. THOMPSON:
- 10 Yes.
- 11 MR. WATSON:
- 12 -- to borrow through the program, however, without
- 13 legislative authority to increase the cap, the program
- cannot make any loans to any institution, whether they
- 15 | submit a pre-application or not.
- 16 DR. STRICKLAND:
- But right now, the application that you have exceeds
- 18 | \$1 billion already.
- 19 DR. THOMPSON:
- 20 Yes.
- 21 MR. WATSON:
- Well, part of the process is, I think, institutions
- 23 start with many applications, because they think that the
- 24 legislation is gonna pass. If the legislation is passed,
- 25 they want to be, because of a first come, first served

- basis, they want to make sure they're part of the first 1 2 part of the queue. 3 DR. HAYNES: Yeah, right. 4 MR. WATSON: 5 Later in the report, I'll give an estimate about what 6 the total amount is, and what those borrowers are, how 7 8 many borrowers are in the queue. There's about twelve 9 borrowers in the queue at this point, four public 10 institutions, four public institutions, eight private institutions, and there's a total of about maybe \$250 11 million that they will borrow over the next -- and I'm 12 13 being very aggressive, but -- I would say over the next 14 year, next year to 24 months. 15 But again, those institutions cannot borrow unless we 16 get an increase in the statutory cap. 17 DR. HAYNES: 18 Alright. DR. THOMPSON: 19 Another new question. 20 21 MR. WATSON: 22 Yes, ma'am...?" 23 DR. THOMPSON: You exceeded the cap almost by 100 percent in the 24
 - past. Are there any new controls being put in, or any

1 provision made that this doesn't happen, because I imagine

2 | the liabilities increase as the total sum increases.

MR. WATSON:

Well, what happened, the program is actually, I would say about 17 years old. Last year we made a record seven loans; three loans to non-Katrina-affected schools, and four loans to Katrina-affected schools.

The GAO report that I previously talked about also has some criticism about the program, only allowing borrowers to, putting caps on the amount of money borrowers, institutions are able to borrow. Not taking into consideration that maybe some of the credit criteria of the institution, their ability to repay certain amounts of loans.

In today's environment, and I've received a recommendation from the designated bond authority, I'd like to look at the institution's ability to repay the loan, not necessarily the amount to dictate to an institution you should borrow \$5 million, \$10 million.

That -- the amount of money that you are able to borrow depends on the amount of money you're able to repay. But also I think it's important for individuals to note that the three loans that we made last year, if Katrina never had occurred, they would be coming back anyway to ask for more money.

And I think that's the part that most people fail to overlook.

We did exceed about almost 400 percent because of the Katrina-related loans. That was almost \$400\$ million.

But, again, I think, too, it's the responsibility -we need to be a little more proactive in saying that -and I've said this often times -- if legislation was
approved last November, we would've been coming back
anyway, preparing next year to say, okay, Congress, we
asked for additional \$400 million in new money. It's much
bigger than that. Maybe we need to ask for more.

So, the borrowing has changed. The manner and practices has changed at the department as the GAO required it, had asked the department to do.

And so we're a little more proactive, a little more abreast. We do a lot of marketing. We haven't been marketing as much as we would like, only because if there's no money, there's no need to market. The schools don't have the ability to borrow.

But we do still, we talk to institutions, that's why we have pipeline. I can imagine if we marketed a little heavier, and we had funding, our pipeline would be greater than the twelve institutions we have.

24 DR. HAYNES:

Oh, yes.

DR. FRANCIS:

The bottom line of this story is that the secretary has made the recommendations at one point that there are schools out there that need the money, and it's now in the hands of the Congress to hopefully in conference agree to the \$1.1 billion.

The concerns -- and this is not necessarily a recommendation of the Congress, as much as trying to understand the process -- that \$1.1 billion would certainly help us with people who are in the queue.

11 DR HAYNES:

12 Right.

DR. FRANCIS:

That's maybe two fifty. And the question gets to be, how is this linked to other aspects of our HBCU Capital Program, I'd hate to have us be wiped out completely. And this may be a question that should be asked later on in another conference from people who are in the Congress who might be able to explain this to us.

I think there will be people coming to talk about Congressional actions with respect with HBCU's. This might be an appropriate question, it's a process question.

We've got schools in line waiting. And there'll be others. And it's a \$1.1 billion, it's our only chance to make these loans if that \$1.1 billion at this time gets

passed. 1 2 DR. HAYNES: 3 Right. 4 DR. FRANCIS: I think part of it is that, because the program has 5 been around for such a long time, and for 17 years to get 6 rid of \$375 million, it could be looked at as, you know, 7 \$400 million will last you another 17 years, not realizing 8 9 that--10 DR. HAYNES: 11 The environment has changed. DR. FRANCIS: 12 13 -- the environment had changed dramatically. 14 DR. HAYNES: 15 Right. 16 DR. FRANCIS: 17 But, again, the department's official view is that we 18 are only requesting \$1.1 billion, to increase the cap of 19 the programs. I would think it would be appropriate for us, as a 20 21 committee, to ask, to suggest to the secretary, that she 22 reaffirm the department's strong recommendation that the 23 Congress would cede to the needs of the constituent members of higher education who are seeking the access 24 25 that the HBC account would program, and ask that this be

approved as recommended by her. 1 2 It's a matter of reaffirming--3 DR. HAYNES: 4 Reaffirming, yes, reaffirming. DR. FRANCIS: 5 --so that it doesn't get lost. 6 DR. THOMPSON: 7 8 Yes. 9 DR. HAYNES: 10 Right, right, right. DR. FRANCIS: 11 12 And to do that as a priority. 13 DR. HAYNES: 14 Yes. 15 DR. FRANCIS: 16 Because if you go through the whole maze of this, the 17 only opportunity we have for helping these schools is now. The secretary has signed off, we've recommended, the 18 secretary has done it, and it's now in the hands of the 19 Congress. And the Senate did not pass on this at all. 20 21 It's the House that did it. 22 So it's in a conference now with the manager having 23 to carry the load. And any support that needs to be gotten hopefully will be made available to pass that. 24 25 And I think that the secretary reaffirming that would

be helpful, very helpful. 1 2 DR. BASKERVILLE: 3 So moved. 4 DR. THOMPSON: 5 Seconded. DR. FRANCIS: 6 7 Okay, with that motion on the floor, and it's a matter of asking the secretary--8 9 DR. HAYNES: 10 To reaffirm. DR. FRANCIS: 11 12 --to reaffirm something that she has committed to do, 13 which is exceedingly essential for our program and for our institutions. 14 15 So all in favor, please signify by say "aye." 16 (A chorus of "ayes") DR. FRANCIS: 17 None opposed. The motion is carried. And we will 18 19 all hope for the best. 20 Alright. 21 Director, you're doing alright. 22 (Laughter.) 23 MR. WATSON: Thank you. The next thing I want to update you on 24 25 are our performance rating, program assessment rating to

which the Office of Management & Budget requires the program to sort of monitor itself and to see how essential the program is in development.

We actually have several performance measures here.

The performance measures, as I indicated, and I just want to keep you updated on what those performance measures are, and where we are in moving towards those, we are actually collecting data at this point to actually start reporting things to OMB.

We look at -- and all these changes aren't to the HBCU community as a whole, it only looks at borrowers within the program itself.

We will look at changes in revenue and investment return, and see how borrowers from the program have improved their investment and revenues since they've come to the program.

We want to estimate the subsidy costs, and how the subsidy costs change over the life of the loan for the program. So as you come to the program, does the borrower, have the subsidy costs increased because, let's say, of default, or things of that nature.

We also look at delinquency. I'm gonna see, look at borrowers' ability to repay their loans on time, and so now are required a links report. I want to see how that looks to other institutions, if HBCU is paying other

1 debts, and things very similar to that.

We also have a... who looks at the system, and that's how many institution borrowers come to the program, since it started the first year and returned the following year.

A lot of the work we do is based on dormitory work and things that are related around student life, and so how does coming into the program improve their student life and that sort of thing.

And, as I said, we're collecting data on these now, and I guess about in another, around December or so we should have a complete year data on which we can start to see how we're performing.

Right now I'm just looking at a very high-level look at this, we're actually out-performing our matrix, so we are doing pretty good as far as OMB, insofar as getting money and making recommendations. And it looks like the program is meeting, we are performing.

18 DR. STRICKLAND:

You know, on that, on that -- on a forum for assistance--

21 MR. WATSON:

22 Yes, sir--

DR. STRICKLAND:

--as you said, since so many of them are dormitoryrelated, I would think that the measure would be how many

```
of filling those dormitories would, you know, render
1
 2
     assistance. I don't care whether someone stays three
 3
     years or two years, the question is--
 4
     DR. HAYNES:
 5
          There's a dorm-full.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 6
 7
          Are we saying that?. There's a dorm-full.
     DR. HAYNES:
8
9
          Right.
10
     DR. STRICKLAND:
          You know, is enrollment increasing or stable?
11
12
     MR. WATSON:
13
         Right, right.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
14
15
          Rather than, well, let's just state , it's whether or
16
     not all three--
17
     MR. WATSON:
         Yeah.
18
     DR. STRICKLAND:
19
20
          Suppose you have three people in that dorm--
21
     MR. WATSON:
22
          That's correct.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
23
          --packed in that dorm.
24
25
```

MR. WATSON:

That's correct. Well, generally we look at our student housing revenue as a whole, and it depends on how the bonds are created. If you look at revenues, student housing revenue as a whole, not just the ones that we build, but all those revenues, because sometimes it's the general obligation of the university.

But, again, in creating these matrix, I've been in a few meetings where institutions -- and I guess I've been sort of trying to keep everybody happy, so to speak, and in doing so, most of this data, either to deviate or collect from the department, can get some things that the universities already are supplied.

So to sort of get anything new will create, sort of get some push-back from the universities.

DR. HAYNES:

Let me say this: This part exercise, of course, that OMB conducts, does cause a lot of various programs in the federal government, and I think it's important for the HBCU community to be aware that this exercise is underway with respect to this particular program, and if we've not communicated to them, that this is ongoing, because it's important, because a lot of our schools are aware of the fact that Title III is going to go through a part exercise.

1 MR. BACOTE:

2 As people finish theirs.

3 DR. HAYNES:

But I don't think it hurts us to get the word out that this is ongoing for this particular program as well.

MR. WATSON:

Yes, in fact, actually these measures -- one thing

I've done, all these institutions that are brought through

the program, all these institutions that are brought

through the program has actually, I've requested that it

actually give us input on what those measures should be.

I've also sent them letters to let them know what these are, because, in the past, I've seen there's been push-back about the part. I think it's important to get a buy-in, and also think if you don't receive that buy-in, then as a federal agency, we have to move forward.

And sending out -- every borrower in the program receives a letter from me about the part, what we're looking for for the part, what these other problems are gonna be, and how we're gonna measure that.

I sent those letters out, and I didn't get anything -- only borrowers in the program received those letters.

The borrowers received those letters, and I got, I received two back, and only one institution actually suggested a part rating, a particular part measure.

So, again, having the opportunity to give input, to give new input to a federal agency, we must move forward, and that's what I'm doing. But they are aware of what these part measures are.

DR. FRANCIS:

Yeah. Let me come back to Dr. Strickland's question, because -- I think you may have answered it -- but it is, it could be a concern, let me put it this way. You've borrowed money for a dormitory, on the basis of costs, that you have a need for. And things do change--

11 MR. WATSON:

12 Right.

DR. FRANCIS:

--when you make your matrixes, or that matrix forms say that part of what we call "auxiliary empathizers," a dormitory, that dormitory certainly has a quote -- all of you join in, I mean you -- but the general obligation to the university will come to the rescue if that dormitory say gets down to only 25 percent.

However, that seems to me -- and I think maybe I'm picking up on what you're saying -- when you do your assessment, you assess what has to deal with that dormitory, because it starts to impact the ability of that institution. If it's going to start rescuing this, it may not be taking care of that.

```
1
          So the question of overall its fiscal ability is in
     question.
 2
 3
     MR. WATSON:
 4
          Right.
 5
     DR. FRANCIS:
 6
          That's essentially--
 7
     MR. WATSON:
 8
          That's why we look at the--
9
     DR. FRANCIS:
10
          Okay.
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
          Most of our institutions are tuition-driven, and
13
     being tuition-driven, students play an important role in
14
     that. And that's why we have this measure again, this
15
     particular persistent measure actually -- is Dr. McNealy
16
     on the phone? --
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
18
          No.
19
     MR. WATSON:
20
          --he actually, and I asked was he on the phone
21
     because he actually worked with us to come up with this
22
     particular measure. He thought it was -- and, again, in
     creating these measures, we didn't want to pull, as to
23
     have a newer requirement from the federal government on
24
25
     institutions, so I was looking for information that we
```

already have, that we have already put together, without 1 2 having additional requirements on our bonds. 3 DR. STRICKLAND: 4 But--5 MR. WATSON: Go ahead, sir. 6 7 DR. STRICKLAND: 8 OMB has made percentage one of its mantras. 9 MR. WATSON: 10 Mmhm. DR. STRICKLAND: 11 12 I don't care what the program is--13 MR. WATSON: 14 That's right. 15 DR. STRICKLAND: 16 --OMB automatically says full persistence. MR. WATSON: 17 Right, right. 18 DR. STRICKLAND: 19 20 I don't know yet that persistence is the appropriate 21 assessment for this one. 22 MR. WATSON: 23 Yeah. DR. STRICKLAND: 24 25 Percentage may be enrollment. I mean, if my

```
enrollment is 3,000, and I'm trying to go to 4,000, the
1
 2
     residence sense allows me to go to 4,000--
 3
     MR. WATSON:
 4
         Right.
 5
     DR. STRICKLAND:
          --you may have 4,000 students whether it's 20 percent
 6
7
     or 30 percent or 40 percent of retention. You see, there s
     a difference.
8
9
     DR. THOMPSON:
10
         Yes.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
11
12
          Now, in the other side of that, for other purposes,
13
     persistence is important.
     MR. WATSON:
14
15
          Right, exactly.
16
     DR. STRICKLAND:
17
          But for this particular program, I think it's to
     build an enrollment--
18
     MR. WATSON:
19
20
          Right.
21
     DR. STRICKLAND:
22
          --is the key.
     DR. HAYNES:
23
24
          That's the key.
25
```

```
DR STRICKLAND:
1
 2
       And that's why percentage, you know, as a term,
 3
     requires, I think, definitional standards, if you will.
 4
     MR. WATSON:
 5
          Okay.
     DR. HAYNES:
 6
          That vary from program to program. And OMB should be
 7
     -- we should point that out to them. I think that's
 8
9
     important.
10
     MR. WATSON:
          Yeah, yeah, and for the...
11
     DR. HAYNES:
12
13
          It goes even further if you are, if you're an
     institution that has a large population of people who are
14
15
     local--
16
     MR. WATSON:
         Yes, mmhm.
17
     DR. FRANCIS:
18
19
          -- and you hence stop trying to get people from
     outside of your area, and are not successful--
20
21
     MR. HAYNES:
22
          Right.
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
          -- and then you have anticipated by your governor.
24
25
     You are, you are putting yourself at some risk--
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
          Yes.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
          --that ought to be put into that matrix. That's what
 4
 5
     I think that you're--
     MR. WATSON:
 6
 7
          Let me see if I -- let me just clarify the percentage
     a little bit.
8
9
          We define percentage as having, since the first time,
10
     for times seeking undergraduate students who are enrolled
     in the first year of an institution, and who are still
11
12
     there the next year.
13
          So if you do that, it's sort of, your enrollment
14
     stays stable.
15
          Now whether it grows or not--
16
     DR. THOMPSON:
17
          No, you're confusing percentage with raw numbers.
     MR. HAYNES:
18
19
         Okay.
     DR. THOMPSON:
20
21
          It is possible to have a growth in your overall
22
     enrollment, but a decline, as long as you have the
23
     percentage in there.
     MR. HAYNES:
24
25
         Yes.
```

```
DR. THOMPSON:
 1
 2
          So that you could have a decline in percentage, but
 3
     have far many more students at your school. And we can
 4
     talk about this later.
 5
     DR. HAYNES:
 6
          Yeah, the population could just --
     DR. THOMPSON:
 7
 8
         Exactly. Precisely.
 9
     DR. HAYNES:
10
          --overnight.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
         He's talking about retention--
13
     DR. THOMPSON:
14
         Yes.
15
     DR. FRANCIS:
16
          --in a total sense.
     DR. THOMPSON:
17
          Precisely.
18
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
          But yours is a retention between the first and the
20
21
     second year.
22
     DR. THOMPSON:
23
         Yes.
24
     DR. FRANCIS:
25
       But then you also have losses from the second to the
```

```
third.
1
 2
     MR. WATSON:
 3
          That's correct. And third to the fourth, right.
 4
     DR. THOMPSON:
 5
          But if your base enrollment has grown phenomenally,
     then the percentage is almost irrelevant, because your
 6
7
     percentage could have dropped while your numbers--
     DR. HAYNES:
8
9
          Actually went up.
10
     DR. THOMPSON:
          --has increased.
11
     DR. FRANCIS:
12
13
         That's what he's saying.
     DR. THOMPSON:
14
15
          Yes.
16
     MR. WATSON:
17
          Okay, just so now -- just so I can clarify, because
     I want to take this back so you can re-evaluate this
18
19
     particular measure--
     DR. THOMPSON:
20
21
         Uh-huh.
22
     MR. WATSON:
          --so we should look at overall enrollment rather than
23
     any particular year.
24
25
```

```
DR. THOMPSON:
1
 2
          Yes. Yes. I can give you a physical example to
 3
     bring this out.
 4
          Ten years ago I know a school of mine that had 2,000
     students enrolled, and had a retention rate of, say -- I
 5
     don't have my numbers, but let me use examples -- of 49
 6
 7
     percent. Okay? I grow enrollment. I now have 4100
 8
     students, but my enrollment, my percentage has slipped so
9
     that I'm down to, say, 45 percent.
10
          But if you look at the number of bodies that I must
11
     service, I have almost twice as many students on that
12
     campus. Yet the enrollment percentage--
13
     MR. HAYNES:
14
          Is down.
15
     DR. THOMPSON:
16
          -- the retention percentage is slightly less, but I
     have an incoming class of 1200, as opposed to 500 ten
17
18
     years ago. And so my budget has not increased, my faculty
19
     has not increased, but my numbers have grown that much.
          But if you look at percentages alone, I'm going
20
21
     downhill.
22
     MR. WATSON:
          Right, okay.
23
     DR. THOMPSON:
24
25
          Okay?
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
          Right.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          And you're talking about percentages overall.
 5
     DR. THOMPSON:
 6
          Yes.
     DR. FRANCIS:
7
8
          Not just first and second year.
9
     DR. THOMPSON:
10
          Exactly. And if you break it down, it will look
     negative, but if you look at raw numbers, then the school
11
12
     is exploding.
     MR. WATSON:
13
14
          Mmhm.
15
     DR. FRANCIS:
          And I don't think you have to change, as you said,
16
     what you are trying to do, I think is a matter of
17
     clarifying--
18
     MR. WATSON:
19
20
          Just the governmental standards.
21
     DR. FRANCIS:
22
          And you could call any one of us.
     DR. THOMPSON:
23
24
          Yeah, raw numbers.
25
```

```
MS. HARRIS:
1
 2
         Mr. Chairman, this is Andrea Harris on the phone.
 3
          Could you ask that someone --
 4
     DR. FRANCIS:
 5
         Would you say -- again, I need to get your name.
     We've got a reporter, so we want to make sure we get the
 6
 7
     name.
     MS. HARRIS:
8
9
         My name is Andrea Harris. And I was asking whoever
10
     has their music on -- and it's wonderful jazz -- but it's
     not--
11
12
     MR. STRICKLAND:
13
         That's the Muzak for the hotel.
14
     MS. HARRIS:
15
         Okay.
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
         We can't -- I can't hear it.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
18
19
         I'm hearing it.
     MR. WATSON:
20
21
         It's in the background, but it's the hotel--
     DR. STRICKLAND:
22
23
         It's the hotel Muzak system.
     MR. WATSON:
24
25
        Yeah.
```

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
         You hear it now?
 3
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 4
         Yeah, I can hear it now.
 5
     DR. FRANCIS:
         We're gonna see if we can have that adjusted. Thank
 6
7
     you.
8
         Are you picking it up in your--
9
     MS. HARRIS:
10
         Yes, we are.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
         Yeah, yeah, I'm gonna call it "picking it up"--
13
                 (Laughter.)
14
     DR. FRANCIS:
15
         Okay. It hadn't disturbed us yet because it's sort
16
     of mute in a way, but you're picking it up--
     DR. STRICKLAND:
17
         You're picking it up.
18
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
20
          --because you're quiet on that side.
21
     DR. THOMPSON:
22
         I'd like to make a motion, Mr. Chairman.
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
24
         Yes, yes.
25
```

```
DR. THOMPSON:
1
 2
          That in looking at retention, we introduce the
 3
     concept of real enrollment figures as opposed to an
     indicator that relates only to percentages.
 4
     DR. FRANCIS:
 5
          Okay. Can we get a second?
 6
 7
                      (No audible response.)
     DR. FRANCIS:
 8
9
          Second? And as we take the sense of that motion,
10
     that we be more than happy to work with our program and
     our executive Mr. Watson, to make sure it's clarified when
11
     we plan, or inform our constituent schools.
12
13
          Alright. All those in favor, please signify by
14
     saying "aye."
15
                         (Chorus of "ayes.")
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
          No one opposed. Motion is carried.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
18
19
          And we know... I may not accept that.
     DR. FRANCIS:
20
21
          Yeah, it's a ticklish thing. You gotta make sure
22
     that you get to the core of whether an institution is able
23
     to sustain its fiscal responsibilities, in looking at the
     total picture, not just one aspect.
24
25
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
          Right.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          That's what I keep telling my folks. You know, I
     want to know... Don't be adding restricted and
 5
     unrestricted together, to give me answers. I want two
 6
     separate ones. I want the one that's operational, because
 7
     it's like a floor manager, you know. I might like I got
 8
9
     a whole lot of--
10
     DR. HAYNES:
          Your inventory, your inventory.
11
     DR. FRANCIS:
12
13
         I said that one day in a board meeting, I said I want
     y'all to keep two sets of books.
14
15
                   (Laughter.)
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
          And one of my board members said, whoa! Why is that?
17
          And I said, well, because corporate people don't
18
     understand fund management, and restricted and
19
20
     unrestricted. And I have to watch them both. And the
21
     ones I keep my eyes on is that unrestricted.
22
     MR. WATSON:
          That's where you have your freedom, you have your
23
     freedom there.
24
25
```

47

1 DR. FRANCIS:

- Exactly. So I said it to say, we just have to make

 sure we distinguish for our brother and sister presidents

 in our reports.
- 5 Okay. That's it, we can move on now.
- 6 MR. WATSON:
- 7 I just wanted to give you a brief update on Commerce.
- 8 The last time we met I informed you that Commerce's
- 9 capital access program which falls under Commerce Bank,
- 10 was being acquired by TD Bank, so it has occurred.
- 11 DR. HAYNES:
- 12 It's a Canadian bank.
- 13 MR. WATSON:
- 14 It's a Canadian bank, and that has occurred. We have
- 15 | not seen any adverse effects to the program as a result of
- 16 | the merger. In fact, we've seen some improved, call it
- 17 reporting. The development of our newsletter has
- 18 improved, as well as some other programmatic things that
- 19 | we've, as far as implementing, which I'll talk about in
- 20 our next, when we talk about program activities a little
- 21 bit.
- 22 DR. HAYNES:
- 23 Don, so there are no problems with a foreign banking
- 24 institution acquiring Commerce Bank, any negative
- 25 implications for the operation of the program.

MR. WATSON: 1 2 Right. Commerce Bank actually operates some federal 3 programs today in the student loan program, where they 4 were -- when it came out, it wasn't as a result from them being part of TD, it was a result of some other things 5 that -- But Commerce actually does participate in federal 6 7 programs, and you have some foreign institutions that also participate in delivering Title IV aid, which is federal 8 9 money as well. 10 DR. FRANCIS: The issue was raised, I think, because some wondered 11 whether or not there would be prohibitions with respect to 12 13 a foreign country bonding an institution that was measurably involved in a federal program. And the answer 14 15 to that is no. 16 MR. WATSON: 17 No, right. 18 DR. HAYNES: 19 That's... DR. FRANCIS: 20 21 Okay, alright. Program initiative, I think, is that 22 where we were going? MR. WATSON: 23 Yes, the program activities. 24 25 And this is just to update on what we've been doing,

in light of not being able to loan, we do do other things.

We do work for our university. We help them relocate our

historic buildings, so they can start construction of two

new residence halls.

In the fall we'll be making a presentation to our university board on the program of what happens after you get a loan from the program, which is something new we'll start doing as well.

I believe a lot of schools already have come through the program, and their bond council thinks are pertinent, the board understands, and the president also understands, what happens after you get a loan through this program.

We've worked with the programs developed, and proceeds to address and review the loan collateralization.

As you all may be aware, the GAO report hinted that the program over-collateralizes

We've been working to look at that. In fact, we're working with Bennett College today to look at their collateralization situation. And, as I've said many times, if we're not prohibited by the statute, we'll do what we can to aid institutions and helping them get what they need to grow.

Also an issue that came up was the cost of issuance, and whether the DBA could do anything with cost of issuance. The DBA actually looked at the cost of

issuance, as well as the administrative fees, and has come up with a process to start to decrease cost of issuance and administrative fees, based on the amount of a loan, and as well for the administrative fee, the base loan amount of outstanding balance, of the institution's loans outstanding balance, those numbers will start to decrease as far as the administrative fees.

Cost of issuance, all the statute allows is up to 2 percent. They're looking at actually doing what, having a fee schedule.

So, for example, if someone borrows between \$5 and \$10 million, it's at one level. If you borrow \$40 million, you're at another level.

So the cost of issuance is starting to decline as you borrow more. And historically, and I think a lot of people don't realize it, historically the program, if you get a \$10 million loan, it's not enough to pay borrowing counsel, FA, and to continue some other things that needs to go on with the program.

And so we had to come up with a structure where, if you're only making a loan for \$5 or \$10 million in a year, then what does it look like. And if you make a loan for \$150 million a year, or you make loans worth \$150 million, what does that look like.

And so we had to come up with a varied schedule like

that, and I think that will be very helpful, and 1 2 institutions would embrace that. 3 DR. FRANCIS: Let me ask a question, Don. 4 5 Prior to looking at this new calculus in activating that issuance, was it a, was it a, was it a...flat -- when 6 7 we were working on another program, the question of issuance came up. Was it a 2 percent? 8 9 MR. WATSON: 10 It's up to 2 percent. DR. FRANCIS: 11 12 Yeah, we are allowed up to 2, is that it? 13 MR. WATSON: 14 Yes. 15 DR. FRANCIS: 16 But, was it a flat 2 percent, and now you're going 17 for a scale of issuance? MR. WATSON: 18 19 It was basically a flat 2 percent, but, and now we're looking at actually scaling it--20 21 DR. FRANCIS: 22 Uh-huh. But it can never go above 2 percent--MR. WATSON: 23 It can never go above 2 percent--24 25 DR. FRANCIS:

```
--in the scale.
1
 2
     MR. WATSON:
 3
          Well, actually, actually, it can go above 2 percent,
 4
     but the institution would have to, would have to sort of
     -- well, it's gone above 2 percent in the past.
 5
     DR. FRANCIS:
 6
 7
          Okay.
8
     MR. WATSON:
9
         But the institution actually took out a smaller loan
10
     to pay that additional cost of issuance fees.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
         Okay. Like a second mortgage.
13
                 (Laughter.)
14
     MR. BACOTE:
15
          (Off mic.) And the 2 percent of the total cost of
     issuance. We're talking about DBA--
16
17
     MR. WATSON:
18
         Yes.
     MR. BACOTE:
19
         (Off mic.) But that's just a part of that total
20
21
     cost.
22
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
         Okay, yes.
     MR. WATSON:
24
25
        That's the DBA's portion.
```

```
MR. BACOTE:
1
         (Off mic, unintelligible.)
 2
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
         Okay.
     MR. BACOTE:
 5
          (Off mic.) But we're just addressing one part of the
 6
     cost of issuance. Not adjusting counsel fees or--
 7
     DR. FRANCIS:
8
9
          No, no, I understand, it's on a schedule.
10
     MR. WATSON:
11
          Right, it's on a schedule.
     DR. FRANCIS:
12
13
         Okay.
     THE DEPONENT:
14
15
         We have a schedule now and--
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
         Okay, okay. I thought that was one of the issues
17
     that came up about cost of issuance in another--
18
     THE DEPONENT:
19
          It did. And I think that's something that wasn't
20
21
     realized, that cost of issuance is actually broken out in
22
     two portions. There's a DBA portion, and there's another
23
     portion which you have to pay counsel, real estate
     assessors--
24
25
```

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
         Yes.
     MR. WATSON:
         --and other individuals.
 4
 5
     DR. FRANCIS:
 6
         Okay.
7
     THE DEPONENT:
8
         And the DBA was willing to cut out on their piece.
     DR. FRANCIS:
9
10
         Okay.
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
         The other costs--
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
         Okay, that's fixed.
     MR. BACOTE:
15
         (Off mic.) The DBA fee was fixed.
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
18
         Okay.
19
     MR. BACOTE:
20
         (Off mic.) It was not based on the loan.
21
     DR. FRANCIS:
         The loan. Okay.
22
23
     MR. BACOTE:
24
          (Off mic.) And now we go into a schedule based on
25
     (unintelligible) up to a certain amount.
```

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
          That's good to know, because it was discussed at
 3
     length when we made our recommendations, you know, about
 4
     what happens with issuance. So now it's being looked in
     DBA doing on schedule. Okay. Alright?
 5
          Do we want to talk about collateralization now? Are
 6
 7
     you at that point?
     MR. WATSON:
 8
9
          I can go into a little more detail--
10
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
          No, go on the way you've scheduled it.
     MR. WATSON:
12
13
          Okay. The -- we're also working with SUNO to get its
14
     first student housing project off the ground. The entire
15
     campus now has what they call a lakeside and a parkside
16
     campus. The parkside was affected by Hurricane Katrina,
     and now they're scheduled to have the entire campus, the
17
18
     lakeside and the parkside campus, back fully online in
19
     2009. And I think that's a long fight, but I think
     they're progressing--
20
21
     DR. HAYNES:
22
          That s projected.
23
     MR. WATSON:
          That's projected.
24
25
```

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
          That's projected.
 3
     DR. HAYNES:
 4
          That's projected, because that could slip. Well,
     yeah, that's why I said they were scheduled to be back on
 5
 6
     line before 2009.
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
8
          And that would -- when would the dormitories be
9
     expected? Is that a projection?
10
     MR. WATSON:
          I would say before 2009, maybe spring of 010. I'm
11
     sorry, spring of 2010.
12
     DR. FRANCIS:
13
14
          Okay. Because I know what Victor is trying to do,
15
     and he has been passionate about it, and aggressive about
16
     it, but you've gotta have enrollment--
     MR. WATSON:
17
          Right.
18
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
          --you know, in order--
20
21
     MR. WATSON:
22
         Right.
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
          --to be able to do a filling of those dormitories.
24
25
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
         No question about that, right.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
         Yes.
 5
     MR. WATSON:
 6
         And that's still a--
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
8
          So it's a package. But at least you're on it.
9
     MR. WATSON:
10
         Yes.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
         And working with it; that's good. That's good.
13
     MR. WATSON:
14
          Their number of beds, their number of beds actually
15
     will probably be less than 25 percent of their community
16
     schools. And, Dr. Francis, you know the area better than
     I--
17
     DR. FRANCIS:
18
19
         Yes.
     MR. WATSON:
20
21
          --but that will probably be some of the only housing
22
     for students in that area, that close by. Although
     they're working--
23
     DR. FRANCIS:
24
25
         So the estimate is 25 percent--
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
          I think it's 25 percent of what their total--
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
          Of their total enrollment?
 4
     MR. WATSON:
 5
          --of what their total enrollment would be, if I
 6
     remember those numbers correctly.
 7
     DR. FRANCIS:
 8
9
          Okay. Yeah. And the amount is still in flux, and I
10
     think he's trying to work that out.
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
          Right.
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
          Thank you.
15
     MR. WATSON:
16
          And now I'll just talk a little bit about some of our
     program activities, and without the ability to originate
17
     new loans, we have not aggressively initiated contact with
18
19
     the HBCU community for the first two quarters. But the
     interest in the program is overwhelming, I'm just gonna
20
21
     give you some of our program highlights.
22
          We have 12 institutions that could potentially borrow
23
     from the program. Those consist of 4 public HBCUs, and 8
     private HBCUs, with enrollments that range between 600
24
25
     students and 5,000 students. And they're requesting loan
```

amounts between \$5 and \$80 million. So we have a total borrowing from those institutions of a quarter billion dollars.

Of those 12 institutions, 4 have completed what we call pre-applications, and the problem received calls and inquiries from about 13 other schools. And, again, those inquiries are just that, inquiries, without any funding. Some people are skeptical about proceeding forward.

In the month of February we took a tour to 5 HBCUs across the state of Alabama. I want to try to schedule something very similar to visit with CFOs and presidents. I saw most of Alabama from my hotel room, and in a car. Not that Sam wasn't better company, but when we go to these visits, we actually educate people on the program, talk a little bit about their strategic goals, and how we can try to come in and help, and that sort of thing.

Some schools are actually currently involved in a program, so during those visits we talk about what can we do to improve the program, how are we doing. Some of the institutions aren't, have never thought about coming into the program, but we still look at all HBCUs as being our customers, and help where we can.

We've conducted on-site visits to 5 institutions that we're funding through the program. We've met with Meharry College as well as Fisk to discuss potential projects, and

1 provide them with updates on program funding.

We've also made visits to officials of the universities to discuss program developments.

We attended several events, inaugural events, banquets, and other things of such nature, as well as the DBA is also exhibited at a lot of conferences, and speak at several events including the White House initiative event that was held on May 29th.

In spring of this year we delivered our second version of our newsletter, which is to inform the entire HBCU community about the program, updates on the program, who our board members are, and try to give them some idea of what the credit markets look like, and that sort of thing.

We also use that as an opportunity to highlight who all was in the program, also who came to the program, who have now, the program has affected in some way.

Our last issue was... Atlanta, the issue before that was South Carolina State, but we think it's important that HBCUs as a total, the entire HBCU community understands that the program does.

In the past I believe a lot of people who have not worked directly with us don't understand the commitments that we have, and those sort of things.

So I think it was very good for them to hear from

other presidents, CFOs and the like to hear what the program is doing.

And I think one the institutions work with us, and Dr. Haynes talked with Reverend Best, and they'll tell you, we do everything we can to help you get on a firm footing, and that's what we're about.

I tell people we're the federal government, but we're not here to see you fall, we're here to help you succeed.

And I believe in that old mantra that, if everything else fails, you should be able to rely on your government. And I think that's how we look at it here.

And those newsletters go to HBCU presidents, CFOs, the presidents and board's advisors receive them. Our board members of course receive them. And other members of the HBCU community.

But, again, that's probably our major marketing tool, and it gives you updated programs--

DR. FRANCIS:

You know the sad part about it is, right now, these right now contacts, because in the earlier years, you had a hard time getting HBCUs to be involved in the issues, for a lot of reasons, and now you have that interest, and we don't have the money...

DR. HAYNES:

Mmhm.

DR. FRANCIS:

...and unless we get it in a hurry, we will lose the ground that has been traveled, and what you do not want lose. From the travels, and the way you have explained what has to be done and so forth, you've got presidents who are looking for this program to help them.

DR. HAYNES:

8 Absolutely.

DR. FRANCIS:

And right now the problem is you don't have any money. So we've got to get the first \$1.1 billion, and get it understood that it has to be an ongoing funding. Because what you've done is you've cultivated a lot of folks, and I think from an educational standpoint, you've brought that weight and advice to the secretary, and we're gonna have to make as strong a case as possible that what has been done under this administration is a whole lot different than in the past, and we've created a demand that has to be funded.

DR. STRICKLAND:

Mr. Chairman, I was going to say that in that reaffirmation letter that the secretary has sent, we need to supply her with this information, and make sure that's a part of that, absolutely. Because, going back to the May 29th meeting at UBC for the new college presidents,

- that we had 15 there, and I want to commend Don and Sam,
- 2 Sam made the presentation, Don was on the road at the
- 3 time, but the interest on the part of those new presidents
- 4 | in the capital finance program was very, very high.
- 5 And they really appreciated hearing more about it,
- 6 | its possibilities. And, again, that issue of having
- 7 enough money available came up, and they were not
- 8 disheartened, but they are concerned that this is a
- 9 | vehicle that was designed to help the community, and it's
- 10 about to evaporate--
- 11 DR. FRANCIS:
- 12 Because of lack of--
- DR. HAYNES:
- 14 --because of lack of funds, yes.
- 15 DR. FRANCIS:
- 16 Yes, we have a big educational job to do with the
- 17 | hope that that's understood, that this is a program, in a
- 18 way, that becomes a part of a Title III, Title IV, and
- 19 | HBCU Capital, it's a total complimentary with the need for
- 20 new presidents, to help students to fund their education,
- 21 | he gets Title III to help in programs that need to be
- 22 enhanced, and then he gets capital funding if in fact his
- 23 enrollment grows at all. He updates his environment,
- 24 which is buildings, and the like.
- 25 Because you can't compete--

```
DR. HAYNES:
1
 2
          That's right.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          --nowadays--
     DR. HAYNES:
 5
          That's the key word, competition, competitiveness.
 6
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
          Okay. We operated with World War 2 dormitories for
 8
9
     years.
10
                   (Laughter.)
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
          That they left. Yes, Dr. Thompson.
13
     DR. THOMPSON:
14
          Mr. Chairman, yes, as a new member, I'm so happy to
15
     be here, but I have a concern, and the concern is just
16
     what you said; that we are competitive --
17
     DR. FRANCIS:
18
          Right.
     DR. THOMPSON:
19
           --competing with each other, for the quality of your
20
21
     dorms, the appearance of your campus, the strength of your
22
     academic programming, but what it seems to me that needy
23
     schools, truly needy schools are still in danger of
     disappearing, mainly because we operate on the ability to
24
25
     repay. And the school that's truly needy, that ability is
```

not there; the campus is probably in some state of
decline, but the inability to repay keeps that school out
of the loop.

And so it seems to me that the needy schools, that
there probably needs to be another route for assistance
for schools that are not well off, that don't have a great
endowment sitting on the side, that cannot repay right

So maybe if we include the structure, infrastructure, it will attract more students, it will become a better place, and then delay the possibility.

But as you said, in seeking to approve these applications, you look at the ability to repay.

14 DR. STRICKLAND:

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

now.

Well, a part of that is even a small struggling school has responsibility to develop a comprehensive business plan that at least shows an indication that given these kinds of circumstances, that the outcomes are realizable.

DR. THOMPSON:

21 Yes.

22 DR. STRICKLAND:

That in five years, here is where the rubber meets the road, and the money is available now to really do this.

```
DR. THOMPSON:
1
 2
          Yes.
 3
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 4
          So I think the opportunity is still there, and I
     think what you're saying is, how can we blend the concept
 5
     of ability to repay with a very structured infrastructure
 6
     kind of presentation, that allows you make a different
 7
     kind of proposal.
8
9
     DR. FRANCIS:
10
          Is somebody signing off or signing on? Is somebody
11
     signing--
12
     MS. CHISOLM:
13
          No, it's Bridget Chisolm; I just changed phones.
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
15
          Okay, thank you.
16
     MR. WATSON:
17
          I think probably what I failed to say earlier is that
     a log of institutions that come through this program are
18
     needy institutions. And we look at their current ability
19
     to repay--
20
21
     DR. HAYNES:
22
          Let's say, "limited resource."
23
                   (Laughter.)
     MR. WATSON:
24
25
         Yes.
```

1 DR. HAYNES:

2 Right, the limited resources.

3 MR. WATSON:

4 Of limited resources--

5 DR. HAYNES:

6 That's better. For the public record.

7 MR. WATSON:

Yes. Those institutions with limited resources have come to the program. It is a loan program, but we just don't look at an institution today; we look at where we think they're gonna be. And we look at their strategic plan. When we set foot on a campus, it's not just, hey, this is the loan application, and let's go to closing. We walk the campus. I make it a personal thing to walk a campus, because I believe it's easier to put stuff on a piece of paper than it is to show it yourself.

And so, when we go on these campuses, we actually meet with students. We meet with students, we meet with the president. Sometimes we meet with the CFOs and board members, but I think it's important that we actually walk the campus and see the strategic plan that you just told us about in their office actually matches what we see when we step foot in your campus.

And I think that's -- and I think an executed plan is someone who has a plan, and has the passion to execute

that plan. Most of the institutions have complimentaries, 1 2 and, as I said, of the institutions, of the 18 or so 3 institutions that have come to this program, we only have one default out \$70 million, we have a 1 percent default 4 5 rate. I think those things, for the record, speaks volumes 6 7 of our program. 8 (Several speaking on mic simultaneously; 9 unintelligible.) 10 DR. FRANCIS: 11 What Dr. Thompson is saying, and it struck me, you said something at breakfast this morning, saying the same 12 13 thing, and we do, I mean, send out and get information 14 about sponsored programs, and all that. But I think there 15 is another dimension and you may be touching on it. 16 We can't do part of what you say under this HBC cap, 17 but if we can identify more agencies, like the White House 18 initiative, that would fund some of the things that are important for the institution that cannot be done here, it 19 would be very, very important. 20 21 Because you make the point, this is a loan program. 22 DR. HAYNES: 23 Yes, yes, yes, yes. DR. FRANCIS: 24 25 I sit on a bank board and every now and then, my

chairman has to consult the presidency but we're not a 1 2 social institutions... 3 (Laughter.) DR. FRANCIS: 4 5 ..we're happy to help, but we can't, but there are other government programs, and we could tell the 6 president, where some is... a new president, you can't 7 8 get it under the HBCU capital, but you might be able to 9 get it here, some of the monies that you would spend, or 10 would have to be spending with HB capital you could spend there, and then build up enough to show your credit 11 worthiness. 12 13 DR. HAYNES: 14 Yes, yes. 15 DR. THOMPSON: 16 Yes. 17 DR. FRANCIS: It just struck me, the old HUD program, that's not in 18 existence anymore. That's where capital financing must 19 20 have come. 21 DR. HAYNES: 22 It came out of--23 MR. WATSON: I've done a little on this capital financing piece, 24 25 because, again, coming from a policy background, I like to

```
know how things come about.
1
 2
     DR. FRANCIS:
 3
          When did HUD leave and this come in?
 4
     MR. WATSON:
          Well, it's 1998 the amendments actually repealed the
 5
     last program which was at odds, chapter program, college,
 6
     higher--
 7
 8
     DR. HAYNES:
9
          Right.
10
     MR. WATSON:
11
          --access loan programs. Something similar to that.
          After that -- those programs weren't just for HBCUs;
12
13
     those programs were for...
14
     DR. HAYNES:
15
          Revenue
16
     MR. WATSON:
17
          All the institutions had their case.
     DR. HAYNES:
18
19
          That's right, right.
     MR. WATSON:
20
21
          And this kind of program started in 1950, and, well,
22
     I guess, part of my feeling, in doing that research, what
     I've notice, too, is that each one of those programs, one
23
     program came about -- and all those programs are very
24
25
     different from this program, they had appropriation
```

```
levels. This program has a statutory line item, which
1
 2
     dictates how much insurance we can get.
 3
          Each program lived for a while, died off, and a new
     program came right after it. And that happened, and all
 4
 5
     the elements of these programs were recreated.
          So to look at the history of these capital
 6
     improvement programs, that started with the Office of
 7
 8
     Education, then the U.S. Department of Education, and
9
     today we still actually do the services with a $300
10
     million portfolio for those former institutions.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
          Which ones? The HUD ones?
12
13
     MR. WATSON:
          The HUD ones. There was about $300 million that are
14
15
     outstanding, the loans are still outstanding. Those loans
16
     are still outstanding. And I believe it's about $300
17
     million that's still outstanding.
     DR. FRANCIS:
18
19
          Still outstanding?
     MR. WATSON:
20
21
          Still outstanding. They were very low-rate interest
22
     loans for--
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
          At 3 percent.
24
25
```

```
MR. WATSON:
 1
 2
         Right. There were some...
 3
     DR. HAYNES:
 4
        They still owe--
 5
     MR. WATSON:
 6
         ...loans--
 7
     DR. FRANCIS:
         That was the total--
 8
 9
     DR. HAYNES:
10
         We can go back to that.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
         It wasn't just HBCUs.
13
     MR. WATSON:
14
         No, that was graduate and --
15
     DR. HAYNES:
16
         It was.
     MR. WATSON:
17
18
          Yeah, it was graduate, graduate schools.
     DR. HAYNES:
19
20
          It was graduating on...
21
     MR. WATSON:
22
          Yes, they were both, they were both.
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
          Yeah, but the reason I'm saying is, it took a long
24
25
     time for us to convince our board this is what we needed
```

to do. But I remember the dormitory and in addition to a 1 2 student center and it was 3 percent money, and about, I 3 forget, maybe it's 20 years ago, but about 10 years after that, the Education Department of HUD said--4 MR. WATSON: 5 It was a, it was a--6 DR. FRANCIS: 7 8 --we will buy back the loan at 50 percent, and $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ 9 said, no, thank you. I can handle the 3 percent, you 10 know, and so I'm still paying on my, it's down to little 11 or nothing, but I'm still paying that 3 percent on that. 12 So, that's the, that's the an amorphous system, and 13 you're saying of that--14 DR. HAYNES: 15 Yeah, \$300 million, that's what's out there. 16 DR. FRANCIS: 17 Three hundred million still, that's the--DR. HAYNES: 18 Good to know the people were... 19 MR. WATSON: 20 21 But it's four programs, not including this one, but 22 of that \$300 million, it's about three to four different 23 programs that created those loans. Again, most of that is -- there was some 1 percent 24

loan money in there from very, very early on, and in

```
visiting campuses, I forget what they call the structures,
1
 2
     I don't know if Sam remembers what they were, but these
 3
     buildings were very clean--
 4
     DR. HAYNES:
 5
          They had a kind of a community -- I remember there
     was some requirement --
 6
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
 8
          Buying out members at 3 percent.
9
     DR. HAYNES:
10
          Yeah.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
11
          We had one in '94, and that's about 20 years.
12
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
          Because I think we really -- the White House
14
15
     initiative -- for the White House initiative might very
16
     well be -- I mean, he's done the history of say this one,
17
     but if there were ways that we could find -- and I think
18
     we're gonna hear this a lot -- monies that complements
19
     needs of the institutions to be competitive, that are
     quote outside of the loan category.
20
21
          We all are facing this with financial aid. I mean,
22
     we went, you know, financial aid for a long was SEOG, Work
     Study, you know, and then, boom!, loans became the big
23
     project.
24
25
```

```
DR. STRICKLAND:
1
 2
          The big project.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          And we are now trying to get, you know, build-downs.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 5
          Build-downs, yeah.
 6
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
8
          And so I think from an institutional standpoint, now
9
     people will say Title III could be one aspect, and that's
10
     true, because Title III does have its percent cap of 50
     percent and the like.
11
12
          But it's a matter of getting more money in the pool
13
     for Title III, so the schools then could -- because that
14
     would--
     DR. THOMPSON:
15
16
          Precisely, because in the non-revenue-generating
17
     buildings, like it's easy to do a dorm, a residence hall
     because you will get some --
18
     DR. STRICKLAND:
19
          It will produce revenue.
20
21
     DR. THOMPSON:
22
          --precisely. But take a new library--
     DR. THOMPSON:
23
          Precisely.
24
25
```

DR. STRICKLAND: 1 2 Or a science building. 3 DR. FRANCIS: 4 Or an academic building that needs to be renovated, because it's non-revenue producing, other than your 5 tuition. Your tuition is paying salaries, and light and 6 7 all of the other things. I think, Leonard, we might--8 9 DR. HAYNES: 10 Yeah. DR. FRANCIS: 11 12 --we might have a look at that. I don't know -- it 13 may not be that much, but even if it isn't, that may be 14 our new agenda. 15 DR. THOMPSON: 16 Precisely. DR. HAYNES: 17 18 Okay. DR. FRANCIS: 19 20 Even increasing Title III. Because I think our lady, 21 Edith Green, had that in mind when she started. 22 DR. HAYNES: When she started Title III. 23 DR. STRICKLAND: 24 25 No other problem has been reported as a, is as the

1 formula still favors the large institution. 2 DR. THOMPSON: 3 Yes. 4 DR. FRANCIS: 5 No question. 6 DR. STRICKLAND: 7 And so that the smaller ones that Dr. Thompson is 8 talking about may not be greatly affected by Title III, 9 you know, because --10 DR. FRANCIS: No question about it. 11 12 DR. STRICKLAND: 13 --let's give them \$8 million, otherwise give them a 14 million. 15 DR. THOMPSON: 16 Yes, mmhm. DR. STRICKLAND: 17 18 And... a programmatic one is... So if you're gonna 19 do that, you got to have a different kind of concept for 20 this. DR. FRANCIS: 21 22 That may be the vehicle DR. STRICKLAND: 23 24 It may be, yeah, yeah. 25

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
          Sometimes it's easy to suggest a vehicle, than create
 3
     a new one.
 4
     DR. THOMPSON:
          A new one, mmhm.
 5
     DR. FRANCIS:
 6
 7
          Okay. I want to get to one on your report, but go
     ahead. I want to get to collateralization because I think
8
9
     some of our institutions, in your next report, could even
10
     go further in explaining what you've been doing with the
     DBA with respect to collateralization.
11
12
     MR. WATSON:
13
         Yes, we actually--
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
15
          Did I get ahead of you on that?
16
     MR. WATSON:
17
          No, actually I was finished. I briefly touched upon
     the collateralization, but I didn't the actual policy--
18
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
          Right.
20
21
     MR. WATSON:
22
          --DBA requirements here, but--
23
     DR. FRANCIS:
          Let's talk about that with the board.
24
25
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
          --collateralization, the program has been -- some
 2
 3
     institutions say the program is over-collateralized. The
     program borrowed with a treasury rate of plus 22-1/2 basis
 4
     points -- I'm sorry -- a little less than 1/4 percent.
 5
          And some institutions say that we're over-
 6
 7
     collateralized. But when you look at the institutions
 8
     that come through to the program, at the time they come
9
     through to the program, those institutions are -- what was
10
     the word?--
     DR. HAYNES:
11
          Limited resources.
12
13
     MR. WATSON:
14
          --with limited resources--
15
                   (Laughter.)
16
     MR. WATSON:
17
          --these institutions that have limited resources--
18
     DR. HAYNES:
          Yeah, limited resources.
19
     MR. WATSON:
20
21
          -- and so, in going in with limited resources, and
22
     maybe some stability issues. And so when you look at
23
     that, you have to look at all of those things.
          But, so any institution is involved in the program,
24
25
     and that I've visited, if there is an issue -- and if we
```

can address the issue without having any statutory change,
we'll see what we can do to make those changes.

And collateralization is one of those.

We use collateral as a means to -- as a basis for what an institution is gonna have if they don't repay the loan. What I try to explain to individuals is that a lot of our schools are not in downtown areas, they're not in -- and in looking at those things, and without fearing default, for example -- we, an institution may appraise for a certain amount, but you have to take other things into consideration when you're looking at what's gonna happen.

We have that situation today. And so, but we've actually come up with a process to look at collateral, have some things to look at. The institution make a written request, you know, and we'll ask for some things from the institution.

What this program has been doing for a long time, in an effort to try to save institutions money who are coming through the program, we've asked for insurance of values, whether they're appraised values.

Appraisals cost much more than an insurance statement that you can provide to us.

And so in looking at those things, we look at the insurance value, is not a true value, and, of course, an

appraised value may not be the true value, when it comes
to market, but we look at those things, and some people
say we're taking more collateral than we needed to.

But as your financial resources improve, as your leadership improves, strategic plan improves, those things, we're willing to look at those things.

But, again, when you look at those things, you have to be very careful about what you're looking at. Again, the appraisal value and insurance values. A lot of institutions are -- can only be used as education institutions, and a lot of people do not buy colleges everyday.

So, with that being the case, you can have something on the books for 10, 15, 20 years, when someone actually picks up a buyer, and at that point the real estate starts to crumble, and those sort of things.

So I think you should have to start looking at those things. And a lot of what we do is we take buildings. We don't ask for cash, or part of your cash endowment, and things like that, for the most part. So in doing that, you know, we look at collateral. But we do have a process when we review collateral.

DR. FRANCIS:

Okay. Take an example, without giving a name, let's take X institution and that institution has a -- I'm gonna

- 1 | make it -- a \$10 million loan out on the HBCU. And they
- 2 have mortgaged the entire campus.
- 3 MR. WATSON:
- 4 Yes?
- 5 DR. FRANCIS:
- And they have paid down say \$2 million, so their loan
 on the HBCU account is \$8 million. And they have an
 escrow, I'm assuming -- after listening to this previous
 conversation, the escrow is going down -- but let's assume
 there is a fair amount of money in the pool, and their
- 11 escrow is okay.
- 12 And now they want to build a \$4 million facility,
- which would add \$12 million to their overall... does the
- 14 escrow, the whole picture has to be taken into
- 15 | consideration, I assume, so the current fiscal
- 16 circumstances of the institution--
- 17 MR. WATSON:
- 18 Right.
- 19 DR. FRANCIS:
- 20 -- and, you know, enrollment is stable, its fiscal
- 21 | maybe has increased since it made its \$10 million, and so
- 22 | forth, but you can have them to refinance under the same
- 23 | matrixes you've used as the original loan, right?
- 24 And the reason I'm saying that, I can see a number of
- 25 institutions, with new presidents and some of the old

ones, who see what Dr. Thompson was saying earlier about 1 2 competitiveness, and wanting to make sure that -- and they 3 want to come back in, but they say they got handcuffs on 4 because they have mortgaged their entire campus. So our program would allow you, without changing 5 anything, to say let's take a look, just as you're taking 6 7 a look at, the DP is taking a look at the issuance, and 8 you go back and say, okay, well, you're looking much 9 better than you looked even when we made the original 10 loan. Because we took a blanket and threw it over your 11 whole campus. Right? 12 MR. WATSON: 13 Mmhm. DR. FRANCIS: 14 15 And so we're going to pull that blanket off a little 16 bit, and I'd guess you call releasing some of the 17 collateral to be able to refinance, I mean, I'm doing it in simple terms, but is that what--18 MR. WATSON: 19 You don't necessarily have to do that, and just 20 21 because...Sally...? 22 MS. WANNER: 23 Yes? MR. WATSON: 24 25 Correct me if I'm wrong -- Sally Wanner is the

- general counsel for the Department of Education, a program
- 2 | counsel, but just in case I missed something, you can jump
- 3 in -- but with most of these things, even if we had an
- 4 entire campus encumbered--
- 5 DR. FRANCIS:
- 6 Mmhm?
- 7 MR. WATSON:
- 8 --we've come across, even in the last seven deals we
- 9 did last year, some, most creditors don't release
- 10 | collateral period.
- 11 What they would ask is that you sort of -- once you
- 12 get collateral, is you hold onto your collateral.
- But people ask us to come in and go on parity, which
- 14 | means we have the same level of commitment to getting our
- 15 | funds out of this piece of collateral.
- We've gone on -- we've taken second liens in some
- 17 | things, so we're not first, first in line; we're second in
- 18 line.
- 19 So, and people have done us the same way. And we all
- 20 do people the same way.
- 21 But, again, it's not -- you know, people look at that
- 22 | issue, and I think they need to look at the whole, and not
- 23 just say, let us release some of this. Because once you
- 24 start releasing, then our exposure sort of increases.
- 25 And, again, we use insurance values rather than

```
appraised values.
1
 2
           So a lot of people believe their campuses are worth
 3
     $300 million. Well, when you get an appraisal of your
 4
     campus, your campus really isn't -- the insurance value
 5
     may be close to that, but if you get an appraisal, your
     appraisal will be way less than what you believe it is.
 6
 7
          And I think a lot of people need to sort of
     understand that as well, when you're looking at
 8
9
     collateral.
10
     DR. FRANCIS:
          Okay. So, Don, your clarification to me is good.
11
     You're not releasing collateral, but you're putting --
12
13
     it's an umbrella. And remember I have given you an entire
14
     mortgage, to use simple terms--
15
     MR. WATSON:
16
          Right.
17
     DR. FRANCIS:
          --for my first $10 million, which is now $8 million,
18
     and I've got equity, just like in a home.
19
20
     MR. WATSON:
21
          Mmhm.
22
     DR. THOMPSON:
23
          Yes.
     DR. FRANCIS:
24
25
          So what you're saying to me, I'm not gonna let you
```

1 release anything, but I tell you under this umbrella, I'm

2 gonna let you refinance to build another building under

3 that same quote entire encumbrance of the campus?

Is that essentially what's happening?

5 MR. WATSON:

A couple things can happen.

7 DR. FRANCIS:

8 Okay.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 MR. WATSON:

What happened in this process, the designated bond authority would give me a recommendation. They could recommend that we release collateral, that we take a second lien, or that we take a second loan position to a piece of collateral that we already hold. There could be any number of things that happen. But we do have some institutions that want us to release collateral altogether. And once we release that collateral, it sort of goes away and we don't have access anymore to it, regardless of what -- Finances are very interesting, and you all know this better than I.

But what you look at today on an audited financial statement is what happened yesteryear. It's not what's going on today.

Unaudits change so many times. And so when we look at those things, we have to take those things consider

erasing what you're looking at, whether you're gonna give 1 up the government's position because, from the 2 3 government's standpoint, it's more liability to the taxpayer. From the program standpoint, it increases the 4 5 liability to an institution that's already in the program. So I like to look at things holistically, and that's 6 7 what--DR. FRANCIS: 8 9 Is that Sally? 10 MR. WATSON: 11 Sally? 12 MS. WANNER: 13 Yeah, I think the answer, the one part is, I think we have released collateral in the past, and I don't rule it 14 15 out in the future, but I think the point that Don is 16 making is \that it's really hard to value, really figure out what we're gonna be -- Because the reason we have 17 18 collateral is if there is a default, and they can't pay it 19 back, and it's really hard to say what we're gonna get out of a college campus, which is hard to say what we're gonna 20 21 get out of a building that might be in the middle of the 22 campus. 23 So, a release, it would have to be a pretty extraordinary showing of a change of position for us to 24

say, okay, well, we thought we wouldn't be safe in the

1 past if we didn't have this collateral, but now we think

2 we are.

3 DR. FRANCIS:

I guess what I was getting at -- and I understand fully what you and Don are just saying -- I'm looking at a campus that has improved its circumstances, and still has a loan out there, but wants to do another building, and feels, because it has encumbered the entire campus -- and it may be semantics in many ways -- they feel they can't do it because what they signed was over the total value of their campus for \$10 million.

And I would make it simple. I would say, okay, you keep your blanket over that campus, but you allow, under the matrixes that you've been talking about, that they do have equity. I mean, that's what the campus is saying--MR. WATSON:

17 Yeah.

18 DR. FRANCIS:

--we have grown, and I've got some equity, and I want to use my equity to go and do something else.

And what you say is, okay, well, we'll look at all of those matrixes again, we're not going to release anything, but we're gonna loan you money because we see equity in you.

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
          Well, for us, it's much simpler to do it that way for
 3
     us.
 4
     DR. FRANCIS:
 5
          Yes.
     MR. WATSON:
 6
 7
          But if they want to go to someone outside of our
     finance program --
8
9
     DR. FRANCIS:
10
          That's another story.
     MR. WATSON:
11
12
          --we still have to look at it, because, again, we
13
     want to make sure we're secure.
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
15
          Yeah.
16
     MR. WATSON:
          It's sort of, we'll refinance your home, we'll get a
17
18
     second mortgage with someone who's not your first holder,
     they're not gonna say, hey, it's fine... I'm gonna give
19
20
     you everything. We want to know what's going on with that
21
     second one.
22
     MR. WATSON:
23
          You're gonna want some position of your own. You
     both want the same asset.
24
25
```

1 DR. FRANCIS:

- 2 My example was keeping it -- it's not going outside,
- 3 but I would assume that we oughta be as good, if not
- 4 better, than outside, so that person's equity would be
- 5 recognized, that's all.
- 6 MR. WATSON:
- 7 We've had a couple of those -- I mean, we have
- 8 borrowers who come to the program I would say three times;
- 9 | someone else has come through two. A couple institutions
- 10 have come through it twice. And we do look at that.
- 11 DR. FRANCIS:
- 12 Okay.
- 13 MR. WATSON:
- 14 And if we have an institution that's, for instance,
- 15 | in the program, and they are looking at -- because, again,
- we have to look at it, not just as that deal that happened
- 17 | five years ago, we want to look at that deal as it's going
- 18 on today.
- 19 And we look at our debt as being, you look at our
- 20 debt like you look at any other debt. This debt is
- 21 outstanding, once you refinance that, refund those bonds,
- 22 and it could be for additional bonds, how this is gonna
- 23 work out. So you take that into consideration.
- 24 DR. FRANCIS:
- Okay. The reason I'm raising all this is if you read

1 the GAO's report, one of the issues that surfaced from

2 campuses that were in the program was what they called

4 MR. WATSON:

Right "over-collateralized ratio."

DR. FRANCIS:

And from our position here, as a board, what we're saying is we would address quote the issue of so-called over-collateralization, in the manner you just described.

So it's not a fatal matter; it's a matter of what your circumstances are today.

12 MR. WATSON:

Right. And just, and just -- I wasn't there when the GAO came around, but if I was there, I believe a lot of things that the GAO reported, I think there may have been some communication pieces, for example, because a lot of institutions who would think their over-collateralized, or who would say they're over-collateralized, couldn't, at the time they came to the program, have gotten a loan at treasury plus a quarter percent.

A private institution would have charged them a higher interest rate, and maybe taken less collateral, or have a higher reserve requirements than the 5 percent escrow requirement we have as statute.

So there's tons of pieces of you have to put together

when you're looking at-- and that's the only thing we have. Everything else we have is actually written as

3 statute.

So if we don't have collateral, then someone's gonna say, well, you didn't take the right amount of collateral, and now the taxpayers are on the hook for that entire loan. And, you know, and of course, although we are government, they're gonna compare us to what the private sector is doing, and they're gonna say, but the private sector would've charged them this interest rate. We don't charge that interest rate.

DR. STRICKLAND:

You're utilizing it through your part indicators, for instance.

Let's say I'm Institution X, and I got a loan. If I show extremely positive changes in my total revenues and investment return, if my record indicates that I've made my payments every six months, as I've indicated, without delay, and if I show you stability and persistent in enrollment, I would be a good candidate for using my equity for another loan.

22 MR. WATSON:

23 Plus the credit criteria are a little more--

24 DR. STRICKLAND:

I know, but--

MR. WATSON: 1 2 We do look at all of that, yes. 3 DR. FRANCIS: 4 That's part of the package. MR. WATSON: 5 Yes. 6 DR. STRICKLAND: 7 8 That's part of the package, that's what I mean, yeah. 9 DR. FRANCIS: 10 Yeah. I read the report, and I could tell there may 11 have been some answers that were given that were not totally accurate, but anything that surfaced that the 12 13 people in the program complained about is I think what you've been doing in your travels; explaining in a better 14 15 way than what is said. 16 Because what killed the program clearly was so many 17 things that people thought were cocooning (phonetic) and 18 one was over on that... MR. WATSON: 19 Right, yes. 20 21 DR. FRANCIS: 22 And one was over-collateralization. DR. STRICKLAND: 23 Let me just -- just at this point in this 24 25 presentation, I think Mr. Watson has made a tremendous

```
difference in the perception of this program, and how it
1
     works for our institutions, and the possibilities that it,
 2
 3
     you know...and I'd like for the record to show that we--
 4
     DR. FRANCIS:
 5
          He sold more goods than we can produce.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 6
 7
           Yeah.
 8
                             (Laughter)
9
     DR. STRICKLAND:
10
          He's done a terrific job, so--
     MR. WATSON:
11
           Thank you. I did admit that when I was interviewed
12
13
     for the job I told them I wanted this to be, in the next
14
     five years, they don't have to go back to the Congress and
15
     ask for more money; I didn't know it was gonna be the
16
     same--
17
     DR. STRICKLAND:
18
          It's the same.
     MR. WATSON:
19
          At least no snake oil--
20
21
                             (Laughter)
22
     DR. FRANCIS:
           But you've answered, I think what should be a
23
     continuing bit of information to us, proves about the
24
25
     issue of over-collateralization.
```

I'm gonna -- I underlined in the GAO report the 1 2 number of things that the president should had waited and 3 said they had a concern about. 4 MR. WATSON: 5 Yeah. DR. FRANCIS: 6 7 And it seems that you're addressing all of those, and 8 we need to communicate that to that bigger audience out 9 there as well. 10 MS. WANNER: 11 Can I ask something else? DR. FRANCIS: 12 13 Yes, you sure can. 14 MS. WANNER: 15 Thank you. I don't think there are many loans out 16 there -- I'd have to check -- that have take a security 17 interest in the entire campus. It's sad that what happens 18 is when the loan is taken out, there is negotiation 19 between the school and consideration by the designated bonding authority, of, you know, how much money is needed 20 21 to secure this loan. 22 And sometimes it's revenues; sometimes it's 23 buildings; sometimes it's a combination; sometimes it's not a general obligation. 24 25 But I think that's a good time for the school to

```
present whatever information it has, as to the value of
1
 2
     its assets.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          Yeah. And I'm glad you said that, because giving due
     respect to the DBA, schools that have never done this
 5
     before, are anxious to get it, they're ready to sign
 6
     because the wolf is at the door.
 7
     DR. STRICKLAND:
8
9
          Yes.
10
     MR. WATSON:
11
          Right.
12
     DR. FRANCIS:
13
          And they realize later, oooh, we gave up too much.
     DR. HAYNES:
14
15
          Too much.
16
                            (Laughter)
17
     MR. WATSON:
          Well, I'll just say for the record--
18
     DR. STRICKLAND:
19
20
          Or they change presidents. Which is the issue.
21
     Which is an issue.
22
     DR. FRANCIS:
          How did they do that?
23
     DR. STRICKLAND:
24
25
       How did they do that?
```

(Laughter) 1 2 DR. FRANCIS: 3 Okay. 4 DR. HAYNES: Yeah, yeah, leave that alone. 5 MR. WATSON: 6 But, I mean, there's several things that the GAO 7 report talks about. It talks about the values in escrow; 8 9 it talked about pre-payment, what someone called a pre-10 payment penalty, but it all depends on what the market 11 rate is and the rate in which you borrow. But, again, when we've gotta talk, we tell them, if 12 13 you don't want to be part of that -- something called a 14 pre-payment penalty, but depending on the way the market 15 is, because it could actually be money in your pocket 16 instead of money out of your pocket, and so that's one 17 thing. The other thing is, we tell them, if you don't want 18 19 to pay that, how do you get around not paying that? And, you know, and so I think those payments are probably worth 20 21 more than before, because we're now sharing out that 22 information with them. I think it makes a bigger diffe-23 rence. DR. FRANCIS: 24 25 Yeah, well, I think information sharing is so

```
important for all of us, in anything we do; the more
1
 2
     information you have, the better, and the more facts we
 3
     have, the better decision we're gonna make.
 4
          And so I think what you're doing is providing that
 5
     information. Okay.
 6
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 7
          I move to certain point of direction and recom-
8
     mendation. Yes, yes, yes, yes, yes.
9
     DR. FRANCIS:
10
          Unanimous.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
11
12
         Exactly.
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
14
          And we thank you. Just hold back some of your
15
     selling a little bit till we get some money.
16
                             (Laughter)
17
     MR. WATSON:
          Well, not as heavily as we would've if we had money.
18
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
          Okay. Well, maybe we need to send you to the
20
21
     Congress.
22
     DR. STRICKLAND:
23
         Yeah.
     DR. HAYNES:
24
25
        Or the Senate.
```

```
DR. STRICKLAND:
1
 2
          To the Senate side.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
          Okay.
 5
                             (Laughter)
     DR. FRANCIS:
 6
 7
          Okay.
     MR. WATSON:
8
9
          That's actually it for my report.
10
     DR. FRANCIS:
          Okay.
11
12
     MR. WATSON:
13
          There were actually issues -- there was actually
14
     items here for board discussion and recommendations, but
15
     throughout the meeting you have provided those.
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
          Yes.
18
     MR. WATSON:
19
          But I would like to suggest, while we're here --
20
     because it's been hard to try to get a quorum -- so what
21
     I would like to suggest a December meeting for July 12th
22
     (sic) or the 19th in Washington, DC.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
23
          This is our meeting for July 12th.
24
25
```

```
MR. WATSON:
1
 2
          I'm sorry, December 12th or 19th, with SACS (phonetic)
 3
     the week before.
 4
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 5
          Why do you need a SACS (phonetics)?
     DR. HAYNES:
 7
          That is going to be easy.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
8
          That's possible--
9
10
     MR. WATSON:
11
          You said it's possible.
     DR. HAYNES:
12
13
         Yeah, we're gonna be there.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
14
          I'm going to be there.
15
16
     MR. WATSON:
17
         You only have to be there Sunday.
     DR. HAYNES:
18
          Oh, he wants it to be in DC then.
19
     DR. FRANCIS:
20
          Well, we want to be in DC because I think it's
21
22
     important -- you all obviously, Sam, Derek and myself,
     think the bank is involved.
23
          Sally, who is our general counsel is there.
24
25
```

```
DR. STRICKLAND:
1
 2
         Okay, okay.
 3
     MR. WATSON:
 4
         I think at some point you'll have to actually get an
     update from our general counsel, you need to pass a piece
 5
     of paper, and also what we're gonna need to do is redo our
 6
 7
     charter.
     DR. FRANCIS:
8
9
         So that's gonna have to be when now?
     MR. WATSON:
10
          In Washington, DC, December 12th or the 19th.
11
12
     DR. STRICKLAND:
13
         The 12th.
     MR. WATSON:
14
15
         They both are Fridays.
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
         And when is SACS?
17
     MR. WATSON:
18
         SACS is the week before, the 6th.
19
     DR. STRICKLAND:
20
21
         The week before when? SACS ends on that Wednesday,
22
     I think.
     MR. WATSON:
23
24
         The 12th?
25
```

```
DR. STRICKLAND:
1
 2
         Yeah, yeah, yeah.
     DR. FRANCIS:
 3
 4
          Look at that and see if it's right. I don't know
     why, but I think I'm there Sunday and Monday only.
 5
     DR. STRICKLAND:
 6
 7
          SACS is the 6th thru the 9th.
     DR. FRANCIS:
8
9
          Okay.
10
     DR. STRICKLAND:
         Which is thru that Tuesday.
11
     DR. FRANCIS:
12
13
          The 19th gets close to Christmas, it gets easier with
     me, but I would just as soon--
14
15
     DR. STRICKLAND:
16
          Well, I think that's the last day of approval for
     some of us. I mean, the 12th--
17
     (Several persons speaking simultaneously; unintelligible)
18
     MR. WATSON:
19
          I'll try to do it close to every six months, but if
20
21
     you want it to be--
22
     DR. STRICKLAND:
23
         It's probably better.
     MR. WATSON:
24
25
         --in October, we can go back to October--
```

```
DR. FRANCIS:
1
 2
          October is a big education month.
 3
     MR. WATSON:
 4
         Right.
 5
     DR. FRANCIS:
          We got more things in October. Precedential things.
 6
     DR. STRICKLAND:
7
          December 12th, might be--
8
9
     MR. WATSON:
10
         The 12th?
     DR. STRICKLAND:
11
12
         Yeah.
13
     DR. FRANCIS:
          We're not wedded to the board, we do Fridays because
14
15
     the board -- but if we do SACS, we can actually do the
16
     Friday--
17
     DR. STRICKLAND:
          But you said--
18
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
20
          The 12th might be -- if she says that I'm okay on the
21
     12th and the 19th, I'm gonna take the 12th. The 12th.
22
     DR. STRICKLAND:
          The 12th.
23
24
     DR. FRANCIS:
25
         That's why I don't carry a calendar. She tells me
```

when I can go, where should I go, and when should I come 1 2 back, so if the 12th is okay, she is probably going to 3 hold me to that. 4 DR. STRICKLAND: Okay, the 12th. 5 DR. FRANCIS: 6 7 Alrighty. DR. STRICKLAND: 8 9 Okay. 10 DR. FRANCIS: We can go to public comments now. What is that? Oh, 11 it's ten minutes, is that right? My watch -- so we can 12 13 allow for about 20 minutes? MR. WATSON: 14 15 How many public comments do we have? 16 MS. CHISOLM: 17 Don, this is Bridget. We have a public conference. MR. WATSON: 18 19 Okay, Bridget. Anymore, other than Bridget? MS. CHISOLM: 20 21 Pardon me? 22 DR. FRANCIS: 23 What we're trying to do is to find out how many on the phone wish to speak, and then we're gonna divide the 24 25 --I'm gonna say 20 minutes. I got penalized for the hour

```
over in Atlanta, so if we get 20 minutes, and we divide it
1
 2
     by five people, that's almost an average five -- I let my
 3
     math go a little bit -- if we have four, then we have five
 4
     minutes. So the question is, how many wish to speak.
     DR. HAYNES:
 5
         On the record.
 6
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
         On the record.
8
9
     MS. HARRIS:
10
          Other than Bridget, my name is Andrea Harris, and I
     just want 30 seconds.
11
12
     DR. FRANCIS:
13
         Oh, okay. And Bridget...?
     MS. CHISOLM:
14
15
          Yes, sir.
16
     MR. DUGAN:
          And this is Jim Dugan, for LeMoyne Owen. Bridget is
17
18
     going to be speaking for LeMoyne Owen.
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
          Okay, and then who else? That's it?
20
21
     DR. HAYNES:
22
          Okay.
     DR. FRANCIS:
23
          Alrighty. So we'll start -- you say we got 15
24
25
     minutes?
```

```
1
     DR. HAYNES:
 2
         Mmhm.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
       Okay.
 5
     MS. CHISOLM:
 6
         Thank you for the opportunity to address the board.
     DR. FRANCIS:
 7
 8
       And this is who?
 9
     MS. CHISOLM:
10
         This is Bridget Chisolm.
     DR. FRANCIS:
11
12
         Okay, Bridget is now -- Bridget, give it a full name,
     for our--
13
     MS. CHISOLM:
14
15
         Bridget Chisolm.
16
     DR. HAYNES:
       Chisolm.
17
     MS. CHISOLM:
18
19
         Like Shirley.
     DR. FRANCIS:
20
21
       Bridget Chisolm.
22
     DR. HAYNES:
23
         The organization? State the organization.
     DR. FRANCIS:
24
25
       The organization is...?
```

```
MS. CHISOLM:
1
 2
        It is BWC Consulting.
 3
     DR. FRANCIS:
 4
         BWB Consulting.
 5
     DR. HAYNES:
 6
         BWC. Where is she located?
     DR. FRANCIS:
7
         Memphis, Tennessee.
8
9
     MS. CHISOLM:
10
         In Memphis, Tennessee. I'm speaking for LeMoyne Owen
     College, Bennett College for women and Fisk University.
11
12
     DR. FRANCIS:
         You're located, Bridget, where?
13
14
     MS. CHISOLM:
15
         In Memphis, Tennessee.
16
     DR. FRANCIS:
17
         Okay, go, thank you.
     The reporter wants all of that, and he's giving hand
18
19
     signals.
     DR. HAYNES:
20
         For the record.
21
22
     DR. FRANCIS:
         Okay, alrighty.
23
     MS. CHISOLM:
24
25
       Okay.
```

DR. FRANCIS:

You got the floor.

MS. CHISOLM:

Thank you.

Seven months ago, as you know, we addressed the board meeting with LeMoyne Owen College President, Johnny Watson and Jim Dugar to address what we wanted to discuss and it still remains the issue today, how to make the HBCU Capital Financing Program more user friendly around the use campus capital, so we can improve our facilities and increase cash flow. And you gave us some directives after that meeting, Dr. Francis--

DR. FRANCIS:

14 Yes.

15 MS. CHISOLM:

--and we made considerable ground with the managers amendments being passed, and Don mentioned that we have lost some ground since then. All of the initiatives that we had in that amendment have kind of been pushed to the side and after --

21 DR. FRANCIS:

Which, which -- excuse me, would you give us a little report on that; some of us know a little bit about it, but I don't think the entire committee does.

1 MS. CHISOLM:

Okay. Working with you, Dr. Francis, and the

advisory board, USBS... we came to an agreement last

spring, on the best way to amend the program for all the

HBCU's, even though we sort of started out with a smaller

group--

7 DR. FRANCIS:

8 Right.

9 MS. CHISOLM:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

--that collective position, and it was referred to as the community, was that the interest rate should be kept at 1 percent, the cost of insurance should be limited to 1 percent, and those terms, along with some others, such as deferments for various periods, were adopted by the House of Representatives and the Higher Ed Organization Bill.

17 DR. FRANCIS:

18 Yeah.

19 MS. CHISOLM:

After the bill passes, however, the community changed its position on the reduced escrow, the forbearance provision, and the deferment position. The community did (phonetic) maintain its position on the interest, the 1 percent interest rate, and the 1 percent cost of issuance.

But soon after the House removed most of those

subsequent changes in the program, including the 1 percent interest rate, and the 1 percent cost of issuance, there are many factors that contributed to this, but the most significant is the battle over the Historically Black Graduate Institutions.

And because of this bias, the language and the dominance and the depth (phonetic), they currently agreed to that half incentive (phonetic) only increases the statutory cap to \$1.5 billion. It does limit the loan collatoralization at 100 percent versus 125 percent. As a new member to the advisory board, as you can see, most of these are really for the peripheral changes to the program and it doesn't address what we started out trying to address.

And while -- are we to understand that the HBTI (phonetic) is an important issue for certain schools in the community, however if we don't think it's and either or, it's at both ends because this is something that is to all the HBCU community, however it has become such a dogfight between the House and the Senate that the House is just strongly opposed to expanding the current way (phonetic) which has a bipartisan effort to expand it, and the House is not fighting for HBCU Capital Financing Program.

They ask the community to make choice, and it's an

either/or. And we are just wanting to make it at both 1 2 ends. 3 DR. FRANCIS: 4 Excuse me, just a minute, you missed me when you said 5 something about "graduate programs." MS. CHISOLM: 6 Yeah, apparently the Historically Black graduate 7 8 institutions designation is an issue that is part of this, 9 you know, larger, higher-ed, you have to forgive me, this 10 is not my strength, I have been focused on the capital 11 program, but, it has come up as kind of a dogfight, if you will, and the House--12 13 DR. FRANCIS: 14 Well, that was what I was getting at. 15 MS. CHISOLM: 16 Mmhm? 17 DR. FRANCIS: That was really a separate issue all together. I 18 think it had over and around for a while, but this came as 19 a separate issue from various Congress persons who were 20 21 interested in getting masters degrees--22 DR. HAYNES: 23 326. DR. FRANCIS: 24 25 --people do the 326. And the reason I'm saying this

is we tried to keep all these separate to make sure that 1 2 we didn't get caught in an undertow--3 DR. HAYNES: Right. 4 5 DR. FRANCIS: -- and he's gonna be around here sometime tomorrow, I 6 7 believe, but this Chicago Congress person moved to take --8 and I think what you call the dogfight, which had nothing 9 to with HBCU Capital, to a Title VII, as I recall. 10 DR. HAYNES: 11 Right. DR. FRANCIS: 12 13 And the conference committee, even to this day, is still considering -- if they haven't done it -- creating 14 15 the VII to put all of the masters degree programs in VII 16 rather than in 326. 17 DR. HAYNES: 18 Right. DR. FRANCIS: 19 So that these were separate. I think that, I think 20 21 -- let me just tell you my recollection to that, because 22 I spent many hours on this, trying to find out what's 23 going on, and how what we were recommending was fairing -my understanding was that the CBO scored the program 24 25 aspects of what we recommended, and then told the Congress that this was just too much. And that's when the Congress
started backing up on our -- and now I'm talking about the
HBCU Capital math, because I think, if you want to call it
compromise, the understanding on the master's degree
program that wanted to go to 326 has in effect, as of

today, as of yesterday, I'm told, it is now in VII.

So that fight is over, and our attention really is separate in that we want HBCU Capital to go forward. But the mountain, or the elephant that put on us was the scoring by the CBO. And in our earlier conversation I think you may have heard us say, we've got to salvage something out of this, and hopefully it is getting the increase to one point--

DR. HAYNES:

15 One billion.

16 DR. FRANCIS:

--one billion, one billion.

I just wanted to correct that, because I don't -- I think they are two separate issues, the 326 Title III and the masters program.

So I'm just telling you, in passing, that I was told rather clearly that the chairman of the conference committee was dead set that he was not gonna change the current law and adding anything other than a term of degree program into 326, and the he would put money into

1 VII.

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And I think that has been done by now, as of today.

3 So, I just wanted to make sure that you understood that,

4 and that our emphasis is still on HBCU Capital.

5 MS. CHISOLM:

6 Okay, well, we -- and I thank you for the update,

because we were not getting that clarity--

DR. FRANCIS:

9 Okay.

10 MS. CHISOLM:

-- that that was going to stay around. That to your point we also understood that the scoring was off. We wanted to just say, we went back and did some fine tuning on our end and we would like to put before you what we think is a win-win that, you know, meets the requirements of the Department of Education and the scoring, as well as the borrowers. Because quite frankly, for the schools that I am speaking on behalf, it is not that our balance sheet can't do the program, because it is a loan, and we know we have to be able to pay it back.

However, it's like we mark a tax credit they make good deals better.

And so what we would like to set forth today is kind of a compromise, if you will. Instead of what I think is on the table, and you guys going back and giving us a

proposal in six months, because quite frankly, if the cap
gets lifted it's first come, first served, it's, you know,
we don't want to, we aren't in a position to wait six
months because it is business.

We have, you know, doors opened, and kids coming, trying to execute divisions about our president.

So we would propose that we have an interest rate, not the 1 percent, we concede that, but to, but that federal discount rate for... which is around 2 percent.

The 2 percent money, you know, it works all day long and they don't... the banks to take a loss.

So there is money in there for the government to make some... and you can add the 22 basic points to that rate, whatever that rate tends to be, intended to...

We'd also like for a two-third, one-third split to be applied, it should be applied, of the incremental 400 million according to the statute split. Because right now, given the Q (phonetic)that Don mentioned on the majority of schools in the QR (phonetic) private, however if the two-thirds/one third is applied to the whole 1.1 billion, because 684 million is already accounted for, it only leaves \$175 million for the private schools, and that wouldn't cover the Q.

So we would like the split to be applied on the incremental monies that are available, the \$400 million.

```
And, third, to eliminate the pooling of the escrow
1
     account. I think everybody understands the import of our
 2
 3
     discussion, again to Dons point, only one loan has been...
 4
     and we would still concede the 5 percent escrow
     requirement for each school loan, whatever that loan tends
 5
     to be.
 6
          So those are the three things we would like you to
 7
 8
     support us on to let the three House members know who are
9
     driving this, Miller, Scott and Dave, that this is
10
     something that we want now, as we are in Q to make, to do
11
     loans under the capital program.
     DR. FRANCIS:
12
13
          Let me ask you: And that's strictly for the ten
14
     schools that -- I say ten -- that we originally talked
15
     about, it's not for the total program?
16
     MS. CHISOLM:
17
          Well, actually, we would offer that for the entire
18
     program--
19
     DR. FRANCIS:
20
          Okay.
21
     MS. CHISOLM:
22
          -- and we had discussions and negotiations, if it has
23
     to pare back to the smaller number, based on that
     criteria, obviously we would be up for that.
24
25
          But originally I think your direction back to us was
```

how do we make this--1 2 DR. FRANCIS: 3 Right. 4 MS. CHISOLM: 5 --for the entire community. DR. FRANCIS: 6 7 Correct. MS. CHISOLM: 8 9 So these are three fair points for the entire 10 community. DR. FRANCIS: 11 12 Yeah, it has to be for the entire -- I just wanted to 13 make sure we were clear--MS. CHISOLM: 14 15 Yes. 16 DR. FRANCIS: --that it would be for the entire community. Now 17 processwise, I must confess you having struggled with this 18 since we met in Atlanta, and then we had Thurgood 19 20 Marshall, UNCF, and NAFIO (phonetic) to sign off on the 21 program that got shot down. 22 How do we get into that committee that is being chaired by Miller now? And I'm not sure about that, to be 23 honest with you. 24 25 I think that that which you are proposing would have

to come as a part of a combination -- and I think you indicated, maybe you didn't -- what the Congress has said, and that put the staff of that committee in particular, that committee, that staff as a group, has bounced any proposal back to the three organizations and asked for their approval of proposals, and that's the reason why I knew so much about the other one, in a sense.

Now, I don't know whether there is, as you call it, time is running on us, because we don't know when the committee is gonna act. There are some people here tomorrow that we're gonna ask that question of.

But what I'm -- and I'm a little confused -- is that
the difference between what you are recommending and what
was, what was considered by the Congress that got shot
down earlier, I'm not sure if there's any difference
between what it is you're proposing, with respect to these
various discounts or interest payments, and the like. And
I think that has go to go through, I guess, some
tailoring.

MS. CHISOLM:

Yeah, and I think actually there are some significant ones. One is the interest rate, is it 1 percent, which, you know, there were concerns that it was gonna cost them to win. So, tying it to a rate that they currently lend out, lend to other institutions, financial institutions,

1 | if not a loss to, you know, to the federal financing bank.

2 So that's one issue. So you're not lending at quote

3 a loss. Second, we did not pass the issuance cost at 1

4 percent; it stays at 2 percent.

5 DR. FRANCIS:

Right.

MS. CHISOLM:

It's currently in the legislature. The splits are as they are in the legislation and we're just asking you to apply it to the incremental, for the other money that has already been allocated pursuant to the loans that were made, so that doesn't change the cost. So that's not an issue.

And then the escrow requirement of 5 percent did not change. All we're saying is to pool it to eliminate the pool, not to eliminate the requirements of 5% escrow.

So really we have addressed, and have not, you know, not changed the technical point for the Department of Education, but we have made the program better for our institutions, because of the borrowing rate.

And so, and that affords us, you know, quite a bit, and it forces the underwriting to stay really within the institution, as opposed to cross-collateralizing it, so on the go-forward. And that is on the \$400 million. So I, you know, everything that's been done on the other, you

know, it's been done. So we're not trying to untangle 1 2 that, but to go forward. 3 DR. FRANCIS: I think I do understand that. Is Sally still on? 4 5 MS. WANNER: Yes. 6 DR. FRANCIS: 7 8 One issue: Under that proposal, would the Congress and/or would all the CBO want to come back in and say we 9 10 gotta score that as well? MS. WANNER: 11 I would think so, yes. 12 13 DR. FRANCIS: 14 And the reason I'm saying all this, we, we -- I'm 15 concerned about how fast the Congress is gonna act, if 16 it's gonna act at all. 17 And yours is rightfully (phone interference). You are, you are on a quick time; you want and you need funds 18 now, but I think time is gonna be against us on this one. 19 Can you send, can you send your proposal to Don so 20 21 that we will have on the record a public comment. We can 22 find out, even tomorrow if necessary, what we know about Congressional action because there are people here who 23 have been dealing with this, and I don't know whether --24

at least whether you heard -- what I have heard was that

25

the committee had come very close to making a -- I used
the word "cunning," but maybe that's not fair to them -saying that they were not gonna quote change aspects of
the program, like the Senate did, that the conference
committee is gonna agree, but the Senate to the secretary,
who in turn would ask the advice of this school, regarding
any proposals on the HBCU Capital.

Now if I'm wrong or not, I may try to get that clarified tomorrow.

But the point is that the committee in session now, with George Miller chairing it, is not quote persuaded to make any changes, but to send it to the secretary, and then to us, to see how we can help the institutions in the HBCU Capital, which includes the proposal that I think you're making. That's the word.

MS. CHISOLM:

In our -- and this is in our back channel, with our

Sen. Lamar Alexander, from Tennessee, former education

secretary, if we can have your support, and we can work -
and "support," meaning both in support with Chairman

Miller, just to take these three points, and then we can

go to work on the Senate side.

But they need our community to be strong and have...

at least on these three points, and get them back on

Chairman Miller's radar screen, and they will, we can duke

- 1 it out. Because this isn't, you know, obviously between
- 2 LeMoyne and Fisk, it's important to him, as our senior
- 3 senator, so, you know, he is, he is our advocate and we
- 4 | will guard this for us, but he needs us to step up to the
- 5 | plate and not be, you know, silent on it, or not stress
- 6 how important it is.
- 7 And it really would mean a tremendous amount if we
- 8 could have the support of this advisory board committee,
- 9 and I have sent these points and recommendations on to
- 10 you, so it should on your e-mail.
- 11 DR. FRANCIS:
- 12 Okay.
- 13 MR. WATSON:
- 14 Bridget, Bridget, this group doesn't have the
- 15 | authority to, I'd say this group doesn't have the
- 16 authority to make any recommendations to the Congress. We
- 17 can only make recommendations to the secretary herself.
- 18 MS. CHISOLM:
- 19 But you can support it, because that's -- I mean, you
- 20 can offer, you could make a motion to support these three
- 21 | items, if you feel comfortable with them, your board with
- 22 that. Because that's coming from the direction that we
- 23 had from before.
- 24 DR. HAYNES:
- Well, I think what Don is trying to say, and we are

- 1 | all listening, because we're not the experts in this, but
- 2 | we can't do this without going through the secretary.
- 3 Ours is advice to the secretary, not to the Congress.
- 4 And I think the secretary has taken a position at
- 5 | this point I time regarding this, but we are barred from
- 6 making a direct recommendation to the Congress.
- 7 MR. WATSON:
- 8 Exactly.
- 9 DR. HAYNES:
- And that's the reason why, Bridget, that our other
- group, three, went and ask for a consideration, and they
- 12 bounced it back and said, all three have to sign off, and
- then we'll bring it.
- 14 And after doing that, we still lost when the CBO came
- 15 | in. That's the only reason we were given for it.
- But I don't think we, as a group, can make that as a
- 17 direct recommendation.
- 18 Let me ask you: Is Senator Lamar Alexander on that
- 19 | conference committee? Do you know?
- 20 MS. CHISOLM:
- No, he's been working with Senator Kennedy's staff,
- 22 the Senator's office, and he is not on the conference
- 23 committee.
- 24 DR. FRANCIS:
- Okay. Yeah, we've got people asking, answering

questions from the Sen. Kennedy's staff as well, and I 1 would like to make a phone call myself to find out where 2 3 this at this point, because I got the impression -- and I hate to say this -- that they've closed the book on us, 4 5 and is gonna send it to the secretary, and then the secretary was gonna be instructed to talk to us. 6 7 And at that point we could say what our position is, 8 directly to the secretary. 9 And that's why we really need to see what you have, 10 because it sounds a lot like what they shot down on us, 11 but you've adjusted some of the things. But what I'm hearing you say is that you've changed 12 13 nothing, other than you're asking that the pooling not 14 take place. Is that it? 15 MS. CHISOLM: 16 That's right. 17 DR. FRANCIS: 18 Is that -- I'm just -- because you gotta know, you're talking to somebody who now happens to be chairing this, 19 but I've been arguing about getting rid of the pooling for 20 21 a long time, and I got shot down on that. 22 So, I'm not against the proposal, it's just that that 23 isn't flying because of the collateral situation, and the rest. 24 25 But, go ahead. Did we read that correctly?--is

- pooling a major concern of that proposal, is that correct? 1 2 MS. CHISOLM: 3 Right. And the two major points are that a building, that the interest rates be lowered down, during, putting 4 it through the fed borrowing rate at the banks, or 5 consistent with that, and then making sure that the 6 incremental \$400 million, and that the pooling, you know, 7 go away. And if the pooling can't, if the pooling must 8 9 stay, then to have the interest rate. 10 Because, we can -- you know, again, we're trying to 11 have the pool, to have the best structure we can have when 12 we do these loans. 13 DR. FRANCIS: Well I under--14 15 MS. CHISOLM: 16 And right now, you know, the structure is so, is so 17 tight that you have very little flexibility in what you 18 can do. And this gives us options, and we have to nego-19 tiate based on the strengths of our respective ins-20 titutions. 21 DR. FRANCIS: 22 Okay. Well--23 MR. HANSEL:
- Dr. Francis, is Derek Hansel. I want to actually just point out a quick thing on the interest rate.

MR. WATSON: 1 Derek, Derek, I was just wanting to get through the 2 3 public comment period, just so that we can let the public make their comments, because we're actually going over 4 5 time again. 6 But--MR. HANSEL: 7 8 Okay. 9 MR. WATSON: 10 --I am going to explain to the board about the fed funds rate after the meeting, and how that affects 11 12 borrowing costs and things like that. Because it's not 13 the same as a fixed interest rate or even the six--14 MR. HANSEL: 15 Well, that was the point I was going to make. 16 DR. FRANCIS: 17 Well, okay, he is gonna cover that, Derek, with us. 18 I just want to give the public as much time as possible, 19 and I know we've run over it a little bit, but that's a major concern, and I think we got the picture, and I think 20 21 in being prepared for whatever we are able to do, which 22 means going through the secretary at this point, I'd like 23 for you to email this by -- what's the day?--I don't know even know what day it is, today is Friday? 24 25

1 MR. WATSON:

I have to see her on Monday, I'll see Bridget on

3 Monday.

4 DR. FRANCIS:

On Monday. On Monday then we get this entire document and I'm not being, or want to throw cold water, but everything I'm hearing you say that blew us out of the water on already. Because my pooling went out before we could even get to the 1 percent money, and the like.

But, I'd like you to send that to Don. We would be able, as I just asked Sally, as general counsel, how does CBO fit into this.

And, number two, it is of course based on the \$400 million that we don't have now, but, of course, hopefully we'll get.

And then know, what is it as a fact that George

Miller is asking us, or his committee is asking us to do.

And as you probably know, we have people who are direct,

are in direct contact with that staff, and I want to make

sure that that door hasn't closed completely. If it's

still open, we need to know that.

But that's not what I, that's not what I heard, and the like, and we spent a lot of time with them on it.

So, would you get that to Don, because, again, it's not that we have not showed an interest, we went really

with the three organizations to the mat with the staff, 1 2 and didn't win. And if we're gonna try another attempt at 3 it, we want to make sure it's distinct from the work that we've done thus far, so that we don't get shot down again. 4 Don, is that an understanding, that we want that, and 5 we can deal with our general counsel? And, and find out 6 7 what the secretary is asking and is prepared to do. MR. WATSON: 8 9 Right. That is the --10 DR. FRANCIS: 11 The secretary may have been already told that the conference committee is sending it back to her. Okay? 12 13 Thank you, Bridget, and, again, we all, as you've 14 heard the first part of this discussion, in the first part 15 of this meeting, we want to try to make this program work, 16 get money in it, and to help the schools that you 17 represent, and need those resources. Is there anybody 18 else? 19 MR. WATSON: Andrea? 20 21 MS. HARRIS: 22 Yes, I just wanted to thank the advisory board for 23 your leadership, and in particular Dr. Francis for helping us to move this issue along. 24 25 I would simply ask that we support all those

recommendations that have come from Bridget, and really
ask that the trade association, representing HBCU stay on
track of this issue as well, because I don't think that
we're gonna get a chance any time in the future to make
this much difference for HBCU.

DR. FRANCIS:

I'm optimistic in this sense. I'm not optimistic, as I said earlier, about what's gonna happen immediately. Because it seems like the committee has done everything it's going to do on this, and in particularly in the Senate, it didn't even touch it in their own, and we were fighting it through the manager's report in the conference committee.

And I think they've already punted. But that's what we're gonna check out.

But all is not lost. I understand the need for money now, but I think if you look down the road, if we can get our \$1.1 billion, which we have to have, and then keep coming back, you heard earlier in the meeting how certain things we have been able to get changed, working with the DBA and the like.

I think it's unfortunate that all the stars have to line up, and I think time is gonna be extremely important. And we took our one best shot, but I don't think it's over with at all.

MS. HARRIS:

I really appreciate it, and I do think that, from my vantage point, you have heard statements that, well, it looks like if the community works together, the community, some may not have been speaking with consistent or ask that we be consistent, because when we send mixed messages, even the leadership of the Senate will say that sometimes we may well become within the HBCU community our own worst enemy in not being able to move something forward.

But as we stay on point with that. I would also ask that, at some point, we not, we not give up on the, on moving forward to deal with this issue of eliminating the pools escrow, because there is no pools escrow with the private school loans within the Department of Education. There is no pools escrow to the Rural Housing and Low Income Housing Development Fund, and there is no pools escrow within Rural or Commercial, or Urban Infrastructure, lending programs. There is no pools escrow and so when you look at the intent of this program, when you look at the intent of this program, then you recognize that those with limited wealth, and in the least of positions, are being asked to cover one another, and no one has asked that other investment firm... of anyone else.

So, I still have some challenges with the pools escrow, and I have in turn asked, just so you know, the Center for Responsible Lending, and the NAFCA (phonetic) Reinvestment Coalition of the CRA Advocates, and they must join with us, in taking a look at issues around pools escrow, where they do and do not exist.

And also looking at whether or not there's anything that we can do in a larger form to also address mandatory arbitration language that still exists in some of these, in some documents.

11 DR. FRANCIS:

- 12 Well--
- 13 MS. HARRIS:

Make sure that you are in a strong position, and I would ask that -- the one thing that I would ask that the committee not do and that is that the committee not agree to putting anything, any efforts off for six months to study, you know. That means that nothing happens, that's all the study means, so I'd ask that you proceed with having the conversations, when you can't make recommendations, you can only make recommendations to the secretary, but if you have no conversations with those other legislators that will be at your conference this week, ...some of us who have limited resources, they fly down there for two hours to meet.

DR. FRANCIS:

Well, this -- well, it just happens that Natio (phonetic) was here, and Natio (phonetic) invited the other people, and they presented us an opportunity to speak, but make sure it's clear, when I said I was told that the committee was going to send it back, it wasn't that we asked that it be sent back, but that they were sending it back because the committee could not, and did not, agree once the CBO scored the whole thing.

So, and I'm just also saying, I chaired at least four different meetings of the three groups, and I can tell you, unless somebody knows more than I know about this, and I saw the letters, the HBCU Capital Program that we submitted had, as its highest priority, all of the things that was recommended, from the 1 percent on down.

I mean, there was no break in that chain. If there was a question, the question was on another part of the agreement where we would help the schools, that were discussed in Atlanta, for monies aside from the capital finance program.

And that one got to be a matter of contention, but I thought that was settled, but at the same time, HBCU Capital was our number one priority, without question.

Well, I thank you very much. And we will again keep in touch, and with the sense of what is out there, what we

```
need to do. One of the items on our agenda, which we
1
2
     talked about, is how can we help the schools under our
3
     program? And that's not gonna change.
4
          Thank you all very much for being so diligent in
5
     working with us for the last two and half hours.
6
     MR. WATSON:
7
          Thank you.
8
     MS. HARRIS:
9
         Thank you.
10
     MS. CHISOLM:
11
          Thank you, goodbye.
12
     DR. HAYNES:
13
         Are we adjourned?
          I move that we adjourn.
14
     DR. FRANCIS:
15
16
          I got a motion to adjourn.
     DR. STRICKLAND:
17
18
          So moved.
     DR. FRANCIS:
19
20
         All those in favor?
                         (Chorus of "ayes.")
21
22
        (Whereupon the above-named meeting was concluded.)
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER I, RAYMOND JOSEPH PORTELL, Court Reporter, and member of Crespo Rodrguez, Inc.: DO HEREBY CERTIFY: That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and correct record of the testimony given which was taken down by me, and thereafter reduced to the typewritten form. I FURTHER CERTIFY: That I am not in any way involved or interested in the outcome of said action. WITNESS my hand this _____, 2008 in San Juan, Puerto Rico. RAYMOND JOSEPH PORTELL Court Reporter