1	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	
2	James M. McHale U.S. Securities and Exchange Commissi	on Lus Angles
3	100 F St., N.E.	8Y
4	Washington, D.C. 20549-4030	
	202.551.4476 (Telephone)	[.
5	202.772.9245 (Facsimile) mchalejm@sec.gov	
6	menarejm@sec.gov	
7	Local Counsel	
8	John B. Bulgozdy, (Bar No. 219897)	
0	5670 Wilshire Blvd., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
9	Los Angeles, California 90036-3648	
0	323.965.3322 (Telephone) 323.965.3816 (Facsimile)	
1	323.703.3010 (Facsinine)	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		The same of the sa
5		Case No
.6	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.
7	Plaintiff,	
8	v. ,	COMPLAINT
9	ALAN D. LIBMAN, A/K/A DAMIAN VANDERHAUS)	
20	}	
21	Defendant.	
1	}	
2		
23	Disimile H.C. H.C. Co., W. A. D. L. C.	
24	Plaintiff, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") alleges that:	
1	anoges mat.	
25		
26		
7		

#### **SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. Alan D. Libman ("Libman" or Defendant) is a securities fraud recidivist, and as alleged in this complaint, engaged in the fraudulent offer and sale of securities. Through Investment Strategies, a non-existent entity, Libman offered investors the opportunity to invest in purported celebrity-related and historical memorabilia and artwork. The investments that Libman offered and sold to investors were securities because they qualify as "investment contracts" under the federal securities laws.
- 2. Libman made numerous material misrepresentations to investors regarding the investments. For example, Libman often promised specific, large rates of return over brief periods, usually 30-120 days. Although Libman initially paid returns to some of the investors, he eventually stopped paying his investors the promised returns and evaded contact with them. In 2004, he fled to Canada and did not return to the United States until 2006. Moreover, instead of using the investors' funds as he promised, Libman used their money for other purposes, including to cover his personal expenses and life style. Since the investments did not yield the claimed returns, investors lost most of their investments.
- 3. From 2000 through 2004, Libman's false representations led at least twenty investors to give him more than \$1 million for the schemes that

he carried out through Investment Strategies. While Libman's victims reside throughout the United States, many live in metropolitan Los Angeles.

- 4. By soliciting investors, negotiating over investment terms, and representing that he would accept compensation for his efforts from the investment proceeds, Libman acted as a broker under the federal securities laws. Libman failed, however, to register as a broker with the Commission and, consequently, acted as an unregistered broker. Libman also failed to register with the Commission any of the securities that he offered and sold to investors.
- 5. Libman, unless restrained and enjoined, is reasonably likely to continue to engage in the acts and practices set forth in this complaint and in acts and practices of similar purport and object. The Commission requests that this Court enjoin Libman permanently from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c) and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)], Sections 10(b), 15(a)(1), and 15(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78o(a)(1), and 78o(b)(6)(B)(i)], and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. The Commission also seeks an order requiring Libman to disgorge his ill-gotten gains plus prejudgment interest and to provide an accounting for the receipt and disposition of all investor

funds. Further, pursuant to Section 21(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(e)], the Commission seeks an order requiring Libman to comply with an administrative broker-dealer bar order previously entered against him and such other equitable relief as the Court may deem appropriate. Finally, the Commission seeks civil penalties from Libman pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)].

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77u(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21(e) and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e) and 78aa]. Libman, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert, made use of the means or instruments of transportation and communication in and the means or instrumentalities of, interstate commerce, or of the mails, in connection with the acts, transactions, practices and courses of business that this complaint alleges.
- 7. Certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business that this complaint alleges took place in the Central District of California. That conduct includes the offer, purchase, and sale of securities, and acts and transactions involved in the misappropriation of investor funds

and securities. Venue properly lies in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa].

#### **DEFENDANT**

- 8. Alan D. Libman, 63 years old, resided in California prior to his incarceration in November 2006. At the time of the alleged violations, he was a California resident who maintained offices in Santa Monica, California and New York, New York. Libman has used the alias "Damian Vanderhaus" in connection with some of his fraudulent activity.
- 9. On May 26, 1992, in a settled action that the Commission brought, the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California enjoined Libman permanently from violating and/or aiding and abetting violations of the antifraud, securities registration, and other provisions of federal securities laws including, specifically, Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)], and Sections 10(b), 15(c)(2), and 17(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78o(c)(2), and 78q(a)], and Exchange Act Rules 10b-5, 10b-9, 15c2-4, 17a-3, and 17a-4 [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.10b-9, 240.15c2, 240.17a-3 and 240.17a-4]. See SEC v. Alan D. Libman, C.A. No. 88-5919 MR (1992). (Attachment A). The complaint in that action alleged that Libman and

others participated in unregistered public offerings of securities through nationwide telephone solicitations. That complaint further alleged that Libman made, or caused to be made, material misrepresentations to investors concerning, among other things, the use of proceeds of the offerings, the financial condition and business prospects of the four companies in which individuals invested, the projected increase in the market value of the securities, and the safety of the investments. The order also required Libman to pay \$25,000, representing funds he received as compensation from proceeds of the offering fraud.

- 10. On February 4, 1994, pursuant to a settlement with Libman, the Commission entered an Order permanently barring Libman from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, investment company, or municipal securities dealer based on the prior entry of the injunction against him. *See In the Matter of Alan D. Libman*, Admin. Proc. File No. 3-7803 (February 4, 1994). (Attachment B).
- 11. On November 7, 2006, a Grand Jury sitting in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California indicted Libman on multiple counts of mail fraud and money laundering. *See United States v. Libman*, 06 CR 835 (C.D. Cal., November 7, 2006). (Attachment C). The indictment states that Libman "knowingly and with the intent to defraud, devised,

participated in, and executed a scheme to defraud victims as to a material matter, and to obtain money or property from such victims by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and the concealment of material facts." Indictment, ¶ 3. The indictment further outlines that, in "furtherance of the fraudulent scheme," Libman "met with and telephoned victims and falsely informed these victims that Investment Strategies was a reputable company that offered short-term investments in high-grade collectibles, including rare historical documents, presidential memorabilia, and other rare and limited collectibles." Indictment, ¶ 4.a. In connection with that indictment, federal criminal authorities arrested Libman on November 20, 2006. He presently remains in custody of those authorities pending his criminal trial.

#### **FACTS**

#### **Libman's Fraudulent Scheme**

12. "Investor A" was Libman's family dentist for nearly 20 years.

Beginning in or about 2000, Libman, using the business name Investment

Strategies, solicited money from nine investors, including Investor A and

Investor's A's dental hygienist, his friends, and his family members.

Libman promised to use their money to fund the purchase or manufacture,

under Libman's direction, of valuable collectibles. Those collectibles

included "D-Day" commemorative coin sets and memorabilia pertaining to the late President Ronald Reagan and the late Princess Diana of Great Britain. Libman represented, orally and in writing, that he would then sell these collectibles at a significant profit for the benefit of these investors. In total, Libman raised over \$800,000 from these nine individuals.

- 13. Each of the investors wrote checks to Investment Strategies, which Libman deposited in bank accounts held in the name of Investment Strategies at Washington Mutual Bank in Santa Monica, CA and Sterling National Bank in New York, NY. Libman also deposited some investor funds into a bank account in his own name at First Federal Bank in West Hollywood, CA.
- 14. With respect to most of these investments, Libman gave the nine investors a one-page investment contract referencing a specific rate of return on their investment. The rate of return was usually from 30% to 55% for a fixed time, typically three months. At first, Libman made some timely payments of purported profits (usually ranging from \$3,000 to \$6,000) to the investors. At the end of the three-month periods, Libman often encouraged the investors to roll over the principal into a new investment, for which he provided a new contract.

- 15. In 2004, Libman stopped paying purported profits and started rolling over investments without the investors' permission, and he no longer returned phone calls from investors. Libman made the last "profit" payment in April 2004. In June and early July 2004, Libman's assistant sent a letter to investors which stated that, because Libman had been working in Europe and on the West Coast, he had been unable to liquidate assets and return investor funds due to "difficult market conditions."
- 16. At some point in 2004, Libman's former offices in Santa Monica, California became vacant and his whereabouts unknown. After Libman disappeared from contact with these investors, they lost the remainder of their investments. By June 2004, the referenced accounts that previously held investors' funds contained nothing. On some occasions, Libman used investors' money for his living expenses and other personal purposes, instead of purchasing memorabilia and collectibles.
- 17. One example of Libman's conduct illustrates the extent of his deceit. On July 2, 2003, Libman induced "Investor B," who is Investor A's brother, to give him a check for \$50,000 so that Libman could fund the minting and subsequent sale of a three-piece coin set commemorating the "End of Camelot" and the late President John F. Kennedy. With respect to this scheme, Libman executed a contract on Investment Strategies letterhead

and gave it to Investor B. The contract stated that Investment Strategies would sell these coins on television for \$995, with \$5 per coin set sold to go to Investor B until this sales program was over. The contract stated, "I guarantee that you shall at least recover back the dollar amount invested regardless of the number of coin sets sold." It also stated, "Should the television campaign not commence by August 15, 2003, or any extension thereof, you shall be entitled to a refund in full." With respect to this contract, Libman told Investor B that he, Libman, would take a percentage of the proceeds from reselling the coin sets.

a check for \$200,000 for the same Kennedy coin set investment. Prior to their investments in the Kennedy coin sets, Libman made numerous oral representations to Investors B and C concerning the coins, including the representation that Libman had personally negotiated with the government of Ghana to have the coins approved as official currency in that country, that Libman was negotiating a contract to sell the coins with ShopNBC, a television shopping channel, and that the investment was basically risk-free. Libman also told Investor C that, because Libman was investing the majority of the money for the project himself, he would be the first to lose money if the project did not succeed. Libman signed and gave Investor C a contract

for the coin sets similar to the contract Libman executed with Investor B.

Under the terms of that contract, however, Investor C's "investment in the amount of \$200,000 [entitled him] to a payment of \$20 per coin set sold."

That distinction from the contract with Investor B apparently resulted from the fact that Investor C had invested more money than Investor B.

- Investors B and C to further the sale of Kennedy coin sets. Instead, he transferred a large portion of these funds to his personal checking account and used the funds he received from Investors B and C to make payments to his ex-wife, his girlfriend, and to cover various personal and lifestyle expenses. Over the next year, Libman gave a series of excuses in person, on the phone, and via e-mail to Investors B and C for the delay in the sale of the Kennedy coin set sales. After June 2004, Libman failed to respond to messages from Investors B and C. From that point onward, Investors B and C could not make contact with Libman. Libman never refunded any of that \$250,000 to Investors B or C.
- 20. In another instance of Libman's fraudulent scheme, in approximately February 2004, Libman represented to Investor A that he had identified a customer for three Abraham Lincoln montages and that Libman was trying to raise \$1,000,000 for this investment. Libman told Investor A

that, by April 1, 2004, Investor A would receive a 55% return on his investment. On February 20, 2004, Investor A pooled his money with two other family members and gave Libman's assistant a \$15,000 check made out to Investment Strategies. Libman then gave Investor A a receipt, which acknowledged his \$15,000 investment in these montages. Libman never gave Investor A the promised 55% return on his investment or the montages, nor did he return Investor A's \$15,000 investment.

- 21. During the period 2000 to 2004, at least twenty other people in the Los Angeles area, Florida, and elsewhere, invested with Libman in similar purported valuable collectibles and have been unable to recover part or all of their invested funds. In connection with these investments, Libman made similar false representations regarding the use to which the invested funds would be put and the promised returns on the investments.
- 22. By engaging in the conduct described above, Libman offered and sold securities to investors. The investments that Libman offered and sold to investors were securities because they qualify as "investment contracts." Libman obtained investments of money from investors claiming that they would receive profits as a result of his efforts. Libman also told certain investors that his own funds were invested as well and that he would profit or lose his own funds based upon the success or failure of the venture.

Libman knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that he made material misstatements or omissions of fact to investors in offering and selling the investment contracts.

#### Lack of Registration with the Commission

- 23. Libman did not file any registration statements with the Commission in connection with the investment contracts he offered and sold to investors. No exemption from registration applied to the investment contracts offered and sold by Libman. Consequently, Libman engaged in the unregistered offer and sale of securities.
- 24. By soliciting investors, negotiating over investment terms, and representing that he would accept compensation for his efforts from the investment proceeds, Libman acted as a broker. Libman failed, however, to register as a broker or dealer with the Commission. Moreover, Libman acted as a broker while subject to the previously issued Commission administrative order barring Libman from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, investment company, or municipal securities dealer. *See In the Matter of Alan D. Libman*, Admin. Proc. File No. 3-7803 (February 4, 1994). (Attachment B).

#### **FIRST CLAIM**

## Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5

- 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 above are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 26. As set forth more fully above, defendant Libman, directly or indirectly, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, knowingly or recklessly has: (1) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (2) made untrue statements of material fact, or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (3) engaged in acts, practices and courses of business which have operated or would have operated as a fraud or deceit upon purchasers of the securities or other persons.
- 27. By reason of the foregoing, defendant Libman violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5)].

## 

#### 

## 

#### **SECOND CLAIM**

#### Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

- 28. Paragraphs 1 through 27 above are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 29. As set forth more fully above, defendant Libman, directly or indirectly by use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or of the mails, in the offer or sale of securities, knowingly or recklessly: (1) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (2) obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of material fact, or omissions to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (3) engaged in transactions, practices and courses of business which have operated or would have operated as a fraud or deceit upon purchasers of the securities or other persons.
- 30. By reason of the foregoing, defendant Libman violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)].

#### THIRD CLAIM

#### Violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act

- 31. Paragraphs 1 through 30 above are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 32. Defendant Libman's investment programs described above constituted investment contracts, and hence securities, within the meaning of Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77b(a)(1)] and Section 3(a)(10) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(10)].
- 33. No registration statement was filed or in effect with the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act with respect to the securities and transactions described in this Complaint, and no exemption from registration exists with respect to the securities and transactions described in this Complaint.
- 34. As set forth more fully above, defendant Libman, directly and indirectly, has been: (1) making use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell securities, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise; and (2) making use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer

to buy through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise, without a registration statement having been filed or being in effect with the Commission as to such securities.

35. By reason of the foregoing, defendant Libman violated Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77e(a) and (c)].

#### **FOURTH CLAIM**

#### Violations of Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act

- 36. Paragraphs 1 through 35 above are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 37. As set forth more fully above, defendant Libman, while not being registered as a broker or dealer with the Commission, directly or indirectly, while being either a person other than a natural person or a natural person not associated with a broker or dealer which is a person other than a natural person, made use of the mails or a means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of, securities.
- 38. By reason of the foregoing, defendant Libman violated Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)(1)].

#### FIFTH CLAIM

#### Violations of Section 15(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Exchange Act

- 39. Paragraphs 1 through 38 above are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 40. As set forth more fully above, defendant Libman was barred from associating with a broker or dealer pursuant to a Commission order. Section 3(a)(18) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(18)] defines the term "person associated with a broker dealer" to include "any person directly or indirectly controlling, or controlled by, or under common control with such broker or dealer." By acting as an unregistered broker or dealer, Libman "controlled" a broker or dealer and therefore was a "person associated with a broker dealer."
- 41. By reason of the foregoing, Libman has failed to comply with the Commission's 1994 order barring him from associating with a broker or dealer, in violation of Section 15(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(b)(6)(B)(i)].

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- (a) permanently enjoining defendant Libman from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c) and 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)], Sections 10(b), 15(a)(1), and 15(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78o(a)(1), and 78o(b)(6)(B)(i)], and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5];
- (b) Ordering defendant Libman to provide an accounting for the receipt and disposition of all investor funds;
- (c) Ordering defendant Libman to disgorge ill-gotten gains from the conduct alleged herein, plus prejudgment interest on that amount;
- (d) Ordering defendant Libman to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)];
- (e) Ordering defendant Libman, pursuant to Section 21(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(e)], to comply with the 1994 Commission Order barring him from associating with a broker or dealer; and