

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

JAN 1 5 2009

The Honorable Marge Harouff Deputy Commissioner Nebraska Department of Education 301 Centennial Hall, South, 6th Floor P.O. Box 94987 Lincoln, NE 68509-4987

Dear Interim Commissioner Harouff:

As we approach our seventh year of implementing the accountability provisions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, I want to take a moment to thank you and your colleagues for all your hard work to help realize the goals of the *No Child Left Behind Act of 2001* (NCLB) which has led to real and meaningful improvements in student achievement. These outcomes are due, in no small part, to the efforts of the dedicated educators in your state. We have seen an increased attention on high expectations for every child, an improvement in student performance across the board and a decrease in achievement gaps.

As Secretary Spellings is fond of saying, "what gets measured, gets done." With that in mind, I want to take this opportunity to update you on the status of some NCLB cornerstones with respect to Nebraska. This letter, which includes more current information regarding the state's assessment system, replaces the one sent to you on January 8. Detailed information on specific components of your state's assessment and accountability system is contained in an attachment to this letter.

- Assessment system: An assessment system that produces valid and reliable results is fundamental to an accountability system that holds schools and districts accountable for educating all students. Information regarding both the reading/language arts and mathematics assessment system used in determining adequate yearly progress for schools and districts in your state as well as details of the 2007–08 administration of science assessments are attached.
- Accountability components: The Department's new Title I regulations provide for greater scrutiny to states' accountability systems, including establishing a uniform and more accurate measure of calculating high school graduation rates that is comparable across states and requiring that states ensure that statistical measures maximize the inclusion of students and student subgroups in accountability determinations. Hence, the regulations also require that all states submit portions of their Accountability Workbook for peer review. In the attachment to this letter you will find information on Nebraska's minimum group size, annual measurable objectives, confidence interval, full academic year definition, and graduation rate.
- Departmental flexibilities: Over the past several years, the Secretary has offered several flexibilities to states, such as growth model and differentiated accountability pilots, assessing students with disabilities and recently arrived limited English proficient students (LEP), and discretionary grant programs, such as the Teacher Incentive Fund, Enhanced Assessment Grants, and State Longitudinal Data System Grants. I am pleased to note that Nebraska is participating in two of these endeavors.
 - General Supervision Enhancement Grant: Nebraska received funds to work toward development of an alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards (AA-MAAS). (Year 1: \$400,000; Year 2: \$300,000; Year 3: \$300,000)

400 MARYLAND AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, DC 20202 www.ed.gov

 Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems Grant: Nebraska Statewide Longitudinal Data System; Amount: \$3,468,335

In addition, for your information, I am enclosing a file that provides information across all states on the current assessment status, participation in flexibilities offered by the Department, AYP information, and discretionary grants. I wish you continued success in raising the achievement in Nebraska. NCLB has focused our attention on closing achievement gaps and increasing the awareness of those students who have often been left behind: economically disadvantaged, limited English proficient, and students with disabilities. I have enjoyed the opportunity to work with you and all your colleagues across the country on such important issues.

Sincerely.

Kerri L. Briggs, Pk.D

Enclosures

cc: Governor Dave Heineman Marilyn Peterson Pat Roschewski

Assessment System

Your assessment system is *Approval Pending*. This means Nebraska's standards and assessment system does not meet all statutory and regulatory requirements of Section 1111(b)(1) and (3) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB). As a result, Nebraska entered into a compliance agreement with the Department on October 8, 2008. Nebraska is working to meet the assessment development deadlines outlined in the compliance agreement, which includes the development of new assessments in reading/language arts, mathematics, and science. I encourage you to consider whether there are any areas in which the Department can provide or facilitate technical assistance in meeting the statutory or regulatory requirements or as you consider changes to your current assessment system.

- o Nebraska's science assessments are not yet fully compliant.
 - In 2007–08, the Department required that the state meet four minimal criteria related to the content area of science: have science content standards; have a general and alternate science assessment; include all students in one of the science assessments (i.e., either the general or alternate); and report the results of the science assessments. Nebraska met these requirements.
 - Beginning with the 2008-09 school year, science assessments will be included in the states' assessment status. For additional detail, please see the enclosed fact sheet. The development of new science assessments is part of the existing compliance agreement and Nebraska must submit evidence of its science assessments for peer review as determined by that agreement.

Accountability System

- Minimum group size (the state-defined minimum number of students necessary to have valid and reliable AYP determinations): Nebraska's minimum group size is 30. (The average across all states is approximately 30 students.)
- Annual measurable objectives (AMO) (the yearly target for the percentage of students required to be proficient or above for a school to make AYP):
 - 2008-09: Nebraska's goal for this year is 81 and 83 percent of students in grades 3-8 and high school, respectively, scoring proficient in reading/language arts and 83, 79, and 81 percent of students in grades 4-5, grades 6-7, and high school, respectively, scoring proficient in mathematics.
 - AMO type: Nebraska set its AMOs consistent with the statutory requirements, using a stair-step method. This means that Nebraska's AMOs increased in three-year increments through 2013–14 to reach 100 percent proficiency.
- O Confidence interval: The state applies a confidence interval of 99 percent to the percentage of students scoring proficient or above in the school.
- o Full academic year definition (for purposes of determining whether a student's score must be included in AYP determinations): In Nebraska, a student must be enrolled from the last Friday in September until the end of the assessments or end of the school year in order to be included in AYP determinations.
- Graduation rate:
 - Currently, Nebraska is using a graduation rate that can be described as a completer rate, which means that the number of graduates is divided by the number of graduates plus dropouts from each of the previous four years.
 - As required by the recently issued Title I regulations, states must report graduation rate data, in the aggregate and disaggregated by subgroup, using the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate beginning with report cards providing assessment results for the 2010-11 school year.
 - The graduation rate target Nebraska requires for the district or school to make AYP is 83.97 percent or improvement from the previous year.

 According to the National Governor's Association (NGA) 2008 report Implementing Graduation Counts: State Progress to Date, 2008, Nebraska "is taking steps to report the NGA Compact graduation rate by 2011."