

100.9 BOTE BEE 117

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

23:01 002-20-A9A

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b),
 20(d)(1) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C.
 §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1), and 77v(a), and Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e), and 27
 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1),
 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e), and 78aa. Defendants have, directly or indirectly, made use
 of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the
 facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts,
 practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the
Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.
§ 78aa, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct
constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district,
and all of the defendants reside and/or are located in this district.

SUMMARY

3. This case involves the ongoing fraudulent offer and sale of more than
\$7 million in securities by defendants Sun Empire, LLC ("Sun Empire"), ECAM,
LLC a/k/a Empire Capital Asset Management ("ECAM"), Delilah A. Proctor
("Proctor"), and Shauntel A. McCoy ("McCoy") (collectively "Defendants").

4. From at least June 2008 through the present, Defendants have been soliciting investors for a multi-level marketing scheme operated from an Anaheim, California hotel. The scheme involves the recruitment of investors who in turn are encouraged with promises of financial incentives to recruit other new investors. The Defendants represent to investors that Sun Empire and ECAM pool investor funds so that Proctor can invest the funds in high yield instruments with domestic and international banks and other offshore entities.

5. In reality, the defendants are operating a multi-level marketing Ponzilike scheme using money raised from later investors to pay prior investors.

Defendants expend investor funds for their own personal use or for use by other entities they control. These other entities are not disclosed to potential investors.

6. The Defendants, by engaging in the conduct described in this complaint, have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. By this complaint, the Commission seeks emergency relief against the Defendants, including a temporary restraining order, an asset freeze, the appointment of a receiver, accountings, an order expediting discovery, and an order prohibiting the destruction of documents, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctions, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and civil penalties.

DEFENDANTS

7. Sun Empire, LLC was incorporated in Nevada in 2000. Delilah A. Proctor is the manager of Sun Empire. Sun Empire's business address is 631 West Katella Avenue, Suite 500, Anaheim, California, which is the address for the Desert Palms Hotel and Suites.

8. ECAM, LLC a/k/a Empire Capital Asset Management was incorporated in California on December 10, 2008. Delilah Proctor is the manager of ECAM. ECAM's business address is 5820 Miramar Rd, Suite 210, San Diego, California 92121-2556. Since about January 2009, ECAM has been soliciting investors from the Desert Palms Hotel and Suites.

9. Delilah A. Proctor, age 57, resides in Corona, California. Proctor is the manager of ECAM and Sun Empire. Proctor was associated with two registered broker-dealers between April 2003 and June 2006. She holds licenses as an Investment Company Products/Variable Contracts Limited Principal, but is not currently associated with any broker-dealer.

10. Shauntel A. McCoy, age 36, resides in Fontana, California. McCoy makes investor presentations on behalf of ECAM and is a manager of at least two Sun Empire and/or ECAM investment clubs. From March 2007 through February

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2008, McCoy was associated with a registered investment adviser headquartered in Georgia. Her prior employment includes positions as a loan originator, a youth counselor for the California Youth Authority, and a parole agent with the California Department of Corrections. McCoy is not currently associated with any registered broker-dealer or investment adviser.

RELIEF DEFENDANTS

11. Sun Commerce and Investment is believed to be incorporated in Panama and doing business in Milpitas, California. According to the website of Sun Investment Savings and Loan, Sun Commerce is "a fully registered, licensing [sic] financial institution, and is a subsidiary of the Sun Group and functions as a management and processing entity operating in support of Sun Investment Savings and Loan." Approximately \$2,356,000 was transferred from proposed defendants to Sun Commerce and Investment.

12. Infinity Investment Club, LLC is a Wyoming limited liability corporation with its principal place of business at the Desert Palms Hotel and Suites in Anaheim, California. Approximately \$185,000 was transferred from proposed defendants to Infinity Investment Club, LLC.

13. Sunland Investment Club, LLC is a Wyoming Limited Liability
Company with its principal place of business in Murietta, California. At least
\$33,000 was transferred from proposed defendants to Sunland Investment Club,
LLC.

THE FRAUDULENT OFFERING

14. Defendants are soliciting hundreds of investors in a multi-level
marketing scheme conducted from the Desert Palms Hotel and Suites in Anaheim,
California. Defendants offer investors several investments which purportedly
generate high-yield returns. Relief defendants, Sun Commerce and Investment,
Infinity Investment Club, and Sunland Investment Club are recipients of some of
the investor funds raised by the defendants.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

A.

Sun Empire Solicitation in June 2008

15. Sun Empire has been soliciting investors to attend "invitation only" presentations at the Desert Palms Hotel and Suites. One such investor was invited by a friend to one of these presentations in June 2008, which included approximately 70 potential investors. This investor witnessed two other similarly-sized groups meeting with Proctor immediately before and after his group met with Proctor. Proctor introduced herself as the CEO of Sun Empire and presented the Sun Empire investment opportunity.

9 16. In June 2008, Sun Empire, through Proctor, told investors they would 10 receive at least \$35,000 per month on a \$4,995 investment and that their 11 investments were guaranteed to be safe. She represented that the funds from each 12 investment group or "club" were pooled with other investment clubs' funds 13 together into one "super corporation" and invested in secure offshore investments. 14 Prospective investors received a flyer entitled "Super Million Dollar Program," 15 detailing how an investor would earn a return of \$35,000 per month on a \$4,995 investment. Prior to investing, each investor was required to provide his social 16 17 security number, purportedly to allow Sun Empire to obtain the FICO score for the 18 investor. Proctor told investors that the better their FICO scores, the higher the 19 rate of return they would receive.

20 17. Proctor promised investors that their investments were guaranteed by 21 Sun Investment Savings and Loan. Proctor claimed that she had invested \$1 22 million in Sun Investment Savings and Loan and received high rates of return on 23 her investment. She told investors that she founded Sun Empire so that other 24 investors could take advantage of these high returns. Proctor told investors that the 25 identity of the bank's owner was a secret, but she provided investors with the 26 website address for the bank. As of March 30, 2009, Sun Investment Savings and 27 Loan's website advertised certificates of deposit with returns as high as 19.30%. 28 The website also provided investors with information regarding high return private

placement programs, savings accounts, and international project development funding.

18. On October 7, 2008, the California Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI") issued a cease and desist warning to Sun Investment Savings and Loan because it was operating without a license from the DFI. The DFI sent an examiner to Sun Investment Savings and Loan, but the location was the office of a software company. The individual who occupied the office told the DFI examiner that the software company and Sun Investment Savings and Loan might be indirectly related. On October 15, 2008, in response to the DFI's cease and desist warning, an attorney for Sun Investment Savings and Loan wrote "this company is not doing any banking business . . . this is merely a U.S. Administrative Office . . . the company is actually located in Sweden." Despite these facts, the website for Sun Investment Savings and Loan solicited investments as recently as March 30, 2009.

19. In or about November 2008, one Sun Empire investor was told by his group leader that his funds had never been invested offshore as represented. This investor eventually received a refund of his principal after he complained to the Better Business Bureau and the California Attorney General. He never received any of the promised profits.

20. After this investor received his refund, Sun Empire solicited him to invest in other programs offered by Sun Empire. The solicitation included a flyer from Sun Empire entitled "Sun Group to the Rescue." This flyer describes two different investment options purporting to be fully "guaranteed for the Original Investment and Profit."

21. Option one purported to be a one year investment opportunity
requiring an investor to form an investment club and raise a minimum of \$100,000.
The leader of the investment club was promised 3% commissions per year of the
amount raised. Further, each participant in the club was guaranteed 12% per year

5

1

2

3

returns. The flyer indicated that the investment was fully guaranteed for "the 2 original investment and profit."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

22. Option two purported to be a four-year investment opportunity requiring an investor to form an investment club and raise a minimum of \$100,000. The flyer stated that the investors in the club would double their money in four years and the club leader would receive 3% of the amount raised each year.

Presentation by ECAM, Proctor, and McCoy to a Group of Potential B. Investors

23. On January 19, 2009, Proctor and McCoy on behalf of ECAM, made a presentation to a group of potential investors. During this presentation, Proctor told investors this presentation was the grand opening of ECAM, which was designed to help investors enter the high-yield investment market in a safe and consistent manner. McCoy stated that Proctor founded ECAM, and also started an entity called "Sun Capital," which is still purportedly operating and supposedly providing 250 investors with returns.

24. McCoy's presentation outlined several programs offered by ECAM. McCoy described the first program as the College Advantage Program ("CAP"), which allows an investor to invest \$5,000 and, in the case of an investor who does so on behalf of a child who is between the ages of one (1) and nine (9) years old, allows the investor to receive \$1,000,000 by the time the investor's child enters college. McCoy further explained that if the child is older, then the investor would need to invest \$10,000 to have \$1,000,000 by the time the child enters college. McCoy further stated that an investor's money doubles every year and the invested principal never fluctuates.

25. McCoy also described a retirement account similar to an IRA or 401K, which, McCoy explained, is a "roll-over" program and does not require taxes to be paid on the appreciation until retirement. McCoy stated that this program had an investment return of 4% every month, equaling an annual return

1 || rate of 48%.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26. McCoy also described Certificates of Deposits that the organization could offer that have returns five to ten times higher than those available through banks. McCoy added that these returns are "guaranteed."

27. McCoy stated that the money invested never leaves the account of ECAM. McCoy claimed ECAM was able to obtain favorable rates for the money it invested because Proctor uses the large amount of money pooled together from various investors and obtains better returns as a result. McCoy explained that Proctor has access to financial vehicles otherwise only available to extremely wealthy individuals because of the pooled money.

28. McCoy explained that individuals interested in ECAM could obtain one of 125 positions that Proctor has set up in the organization by accumulating funds to invest in the program. The positions are directly related to the amount of money a person can obtain from other investors. For example, an "Investment Club Leader" would need to provide \$250,000 for investment. As a result, the "Investment Club Leader" would receive 1.75% every month of the \$250,000 brought into ECAM. Other positions include "Branch Manager" (requiring the "Branch Manager" to bring in \$1,000,000) and "Regional Branch Manager."

29. McCoy stated that the return on investments is at least 36% per year and that the invested assets are protected. She further assured that nothing could happen to the investments and that the investments are never at risk.

30. McCoy explained the requirements necessary to join ECAM. She stated that an investor must be invited to join, and that currently, the minimum investment amount is \$10,000. McCoy then stated that this minimum amount is likely to go up.

26 31. During her presentation, McCoy also stated that the scheme was
27 legitimate based upon registration pursuant to "Regulation D of the Securities Act
28 of the 1930s and 1940s."

7

oer d str y 1 im e 32. McCoy then introduced Proctor as the next speaker. Proctor stated that the retirement program was currently open and that the program could fill up at any time, as investors are coming in quickly. Proctor claimed that the retirement program has a return of 4% per month, which could only be taxed if the money is removed from the program. Proctor further stated that the minimum investment amount for the retirement program was \$50,000.

C. <u>The Defendants Misrepresented Promised Returns And Use Of Investor</u> <u>Proceeds</u>

33. The Defendants promised returns such as \$35,000 per month or 36% per year, which are virtually impossible to guarantee through legitimate investments. In fact, investors did not receive these returns.

34. Investor proceeds were not used for the promised purposes. First, Defendants' promises of investments involving Sun Investment Savings and Loan were false. According to the California Department of Financial Institutions, Sun Investment Savings and Loan does not exist at the business location alleged by defendants and was not authorized by the state to do business as a financial institution. As a result, investor proceeds were not likely to have been invested as promised.

35. Second, an investor was told by his group leader in November 2008 that his funds had never been invested offshore as represented.

36. Third, money from investors went into accounts in the name of Empire Club, Inc. – a Proctor-controlled entity – instead of going into foreign investments, CD's, or other promised forms of investment. Based on a review of Empire Club's bank records, Sun Empire investor funds were wired to Empire Club's bank account. Proctor is a signatory on the Empire Club account.

37. Funds in the Empire Club account did not go to promised investments,
but instead were at least partially disbursed to related companies owned and
controlled by Proctor. Some disbursements from the Empire Club account went to

1

2

3

Sun Empire and ECAM. Other disbursements went to unrelated Proctor-controlled entities.

38. For example, the Empire Club account disbursed \$1,421,572 to an account in the name of D'Quadrant Strategies, a Nevada LLC managed by Proctor and another individual. Proctor is a signatory on the D'Quadrant Strategies bank account. Records from the D'Quadrant bank account for the same period indicate that 100% of the deposits into the D'Quadrant bank account were from the Empire Club account and almost 50% of the disbursements from the D'Quadrant bank account were made to Baja Sand and Gravel, an apparent construction company managed by Proctor and another individual and located at the same address as D'Quadrant.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraud in The Offer or Sale of Securities Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act (Against All Defendants)

39. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. The Defendants, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails directly or indirectly:

a.

with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;

b. obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or

c. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which

operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

41. By engaging in the conduct described above, the defendants violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraud in Connection With The Purchase or Sale of Securities Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder (Against All Defendants)

42. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

43. The Defendants, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter:

a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;

 made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or

c. engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.

44. By engaging in the conduct described above, the Defendants violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the Defendants committed the alleged violations.

II.

Issue judgments, in forms consistent with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining the Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a), and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

III.

Issue, in a form consistent with Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction freezing the assets of each of the Defendants and Relief Defendants and any entity affiliated with any of them, appointing a receiver over Sun Empire and ECAM, prohibiting each of the Defendants from destroying documents, granting expedited discovery, and requiring accountings from each of the Defendants.

IV.

Order each of the Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from their illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

V.

Order each of the Defendants to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d), and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3).

Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

VII.

Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary.

DATED: April 2, 2009

MO

Attorney for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission