TLC Trial Form PSYCH1QA.01 Review of Tapes of Psychometric Assessment for Younger Children

Center ID:	
Study ID:	Т
Visit Code:	
Tape Number	T

INSTRUCTIONS:	This form is a version of TLC Form PSYCH1 and is designed for use in the reviewing of quality assurance tapes. The tape reviewer should
	score the test along with the examiner on the tape. Please review and return the tape and this form to Amy Bernstein at: Data
	Coordinating Center, TLC Trial, Harvard School of Public Health, 1639 Tremont Street, Boston, MA 02120, within 2 weeks of receipt.

### AGE OF CHILD

1.	Date of Review	/	_/ mm/dd/yy	
2.	Date of Birth	/	_/ mm/dd/yy	
3.	Child's Due Date	/	_/ mm/dd/yy	$()_1$ Not applicable
4.	Chronological Age	Years	Months Days	
5.	Adjustment for Prematurity		MonthsDays	$()_1$ Not applicable
6.	Corrected Age	Years	Months Days	$()_1$ Not applicable

# BAYLEY SCALES OF INFANT DEVELOPMENT --- II

#### MENTAL DEVELOPMENT

7.	Mental Development Raw Score	·	Items	
8.	Mental Development Index (Unadjusted for Prematurity)	I	Points	
9.	Mental Development Index (Adjusted forPrematurity)	I	Points (	), Not applicable

# **PSYCHOMOTOR DEVELOPMENT**

10.	Psychomotor Development Raw Score	Items
11.	<b>Psychomotor Development Index</b> (Unadjusted for Prematurity)	Points
12.	<b>Psychomotor Development Index</b> (Adjusted for Prematurity)	<i>Points</i> ( ) <sub>1</sub> Not applicable

#### DEVELOPMENTAL AGE

13.	Cognitive Developmental Age	Months
14.	Language Developmental Age	Months
15.	Social Developmental Age	Months
16.	Motor Developmental Age	Months

Center ID:	
Study ID:	T
Visit Code:	
Tape Number	T

BEHAVIOR RATING SCORES				
		RAW	PERCENTILE	
17.	<b>Orientation/Engagement Factor</b>			
18.	<b>Emotional Regulation Factor</b>			
19.	Motor Quality Factor			
20.	Additional Items		Not Applicable	
21.	Total Scores			

# EVALUATION OF TEST VALIDITY

23.

24.

22. In the best judgment of the TLC psychometrician, how well did this MDI measure the subject's current level of sensorimotor/cognitive development?

(	) <sub>0</sub> Not completed
(	), Poor
(	)2 Fair
(	), Good
(	) <sub>4</sub> Excellent
In the best judgment of the TLC pscyhometric	ian, how well did this PDI measure the subject s current level of fine and gross motor development?
(	) <sub>0</sub> Not completed
(	), Poor
(	)2 Fair
(	), Good
(	), Excellent
In the best judgment of the TLC psychometrici	an, how well did this BRS measure the subject's behavioral characteristics in the testing environment?
(	) <sub>0</sub> Not completed
(	), Poor
(	) <sub>2</sub> Fair
(	), Good
(	), Excellent

IF THE RESPONSE TO ANY OF QUESTIONS 32,33 OR 34 WAS FAIR, POOR, OR NOT COMPLETED, indicate the reason(s) below.

25.	III	( ) <sub>0</sub> No	( ), Yes, specify
26.	Hungry	( ) <sub>0</sub> No	( ), Yes
27.	Uncooperative	( ) <sub>0</sub> No	( ), Yes
28.	Inattentive	( ) <sub>0</sub> No	( ), Yes

Center ID:	
Study ID:	Т
Visit Code:	
Tape Number	T

30. Sleepy ( ), No ( ), Yes   31. Could not complete items ( ), No ( ), Yes   32. Environmental disturbance ( ), No ( ), Yes	
22 Environmental disturbance ( ) No. ( ) Ver	
32. Environmental disturbance ( ), No ( ), Yes	
33. Other reason for non-completion ( ) <sub>0</sub> No ( ) <sub>1</sub> Yes, specify	
EVALUATION OF TLC PSYCHOMETRICIAN	
34. Did the examiner put the child at ease before testing?	
$()_{0}$ No $()_{1}$ Yes	
if No, explain	
35. Did the examiner give the childwarm-up time?	
$()_{0}$ No $()_{1}$ Yes	
if No, explain	
36. Did the examiner pace the exam with the child s speed and interest, not the examiner s?	<u> </u>
$()_{0}$ No $()_{1}$ Yes	
if No, explain	
37. Did the examiner read the child's state and changing interests well (when to take abreak, when to alter the order of item admir rapport and interest)?	nistration to maintain
$()_{0}$ No $()_{1}$ Yes	
if No, explain	
38. Did the examiner encourage the child with non-contingent positive reinforcements throughout the exam ( you are really tryin, etc.)?	g ; good pointing
$()_{0}$ No $()_{1}$ Yes	
if No, explain	
39. Did the examiner go through the exam without chattering inappropriately?	
$()_{0}$ No $()_{1}$ Yes	
if No, explain	

Center ID:	
Study ID:	Т
Visit Code:	
Tape Number	T

40.	Did the examiner correctly administer the test, adhering as much as possible to standardized procedures?				
		( ) <sub>0</sub> No	$()_1$ Yes		
		if No, explain			
41.	Did the examiner avoid cuing and/or praising correct responses?				
		( ) <sub>0</sub> No	$()_1$ Yes		
		if No, explain			
			·····		
42.	Did the examiner begin and	end at the correct item-set?			
		( ) <sub>0</sub> No	( ) <sub>1</sub> Yes		
		if No, explain			
		_	<b>_</b>		
43.	TLC Reviewer				
		Signature		TLC Code	

**COMMENTS** Including comments on examiner s testing. Feel free to use additional pages. (Please print)