



Statistical Notes



From the CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION/National Center for Health Statistics

Age Adjustment Using the 2000 Projected U.S. Population

Richard J. Klein, M.P.H., and Charlotte A. Schoenborn, M.P.H.

Introduction

Age adjustment, using the direct method, is the application of observed age-specific rates to a standard age distribution to eliminate differences in crude rates in populations of interest that result from differences in the populations' age distributions. This adjustment is usually done when comparing two or more populations at one point in time or one population at two or more points in time. Age adjustment is particularly relevant when populations being compared have different age structures, for example, the U.S. white and Hispanic populations. The classic literature on age adjusting,¹ as well as more recent National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) publications,²⁻⁵ has focused on adjusting death rates and provides comprehensive discussions of age-adjustment techniques. However, age adjustment can be applied to any population-based event.

This report describes several sets of age-adjustment weights, based on the year 2000 projected U.S. population, that were used to generate age-adjusted baseline data for a number of Healthy People 2010 objectives.⁶ This work builds on the foundation set by the Department of Health

and Human Services (DHHS), which established the year 2000 projected U.S. population as the standard population for age adjusting mortality statistics. The sets of weights provided in this paper use the age groupings most commonly found in existing DHHS publications. Age-adjustment weights, as well as examples of computer codes appropriate for calculating age-adjusted rates for complex sample surveys using the SUDAAN⁷ software package, are provided in this report. The weights and procedures shown are not intended as fixed rules for age adjustment, but rather as guidelines to promote and facilitate consistency and comparability in age-adjustment procedures among users of health-related data.

Historical Perspective

Several years ago, NCHS coordinated an effort that resulted in an agreement among certain Federal and State agencies to age adjust mortality data using the year 2000 projected U.S. population.^{3,4} In August 1998, the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the efforts of Virginia M. Freid (Division of Health and Utilization Analysis) who was a major contributor to the planning of this report and David A. Fessler (a summer intern with the Division of Health Promotion Statistics) who contributed substantially to the production of the data tables for this report.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Health Statistics

issued a policy statement directing all DHHS agencies to use the year 2000 projected U.S. population (hereafter referred to as the 2000 Standard Population) for age adjusting death rates beginning no later than data year 1999.⁸ This agreement resolved long-standing problems associated with the use of different standard populations for age adjusting death rates (U.S. 1940 population, U.S. 1970 population, U.S. 1980 population, etc.) by various agencies publishing mortality statistics, and the resultant difficulties experienced by data users in comparing these rates.

The decision to age adjust mortality data using the 2000 Standard Population focused on mortality data from the National Vital Statistics System and, therefore, was independent of other NCHS data systems (e.g., National Health Interview Survey, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, National Hospital Discharge Survey, National Nursing Home Survey, National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, National Hospital Medical Care Survey, and National Hospice and Home Care Survey). Data for those systems have been age adjusted using a variety of standard populations such as 1970 U.S. civilian noninstitutionalized population and 1980 U.S. resident population, which can be found in appendix II of *Health, United States, 1999*.⁹ To improve comparability of age-adjusted rates among data systems, these data for NCHS surveys are being recalculated using the 2000 Standard Population in *Health, United States, 2000* and *Health, United States, 2001*. In addition, beginning with 1999 data for the Chronic Disease Indicators, CDC will be producing age-adjusted data for States from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System using the 2000 Standard Population.

Calculation of Age-Adjustment Weights: Background, Process, and Procedures

The process of deriving age-adjustment weights for survey and other population-based data began by identifying age categories typically used for age adjustment and trying to ensure that all the various groupings could be constructed from a single “Master List” (table 1).

The source of the population figures in the Master List is the official Bureau of the Census projections for the year 2000 published in *Current Population Reports*, series P-25, no. 1130, table 2¹⁰. It is the same publication on which the standard population for mortality data is based.⁴ The Census projections are disaggregated by sex and race. However, only the totals for both sexes and all races combined were used to develop the Master List. The complete 2000 population projections are available from the Bureau of the Census web site at:

<http://www.census.gov/prod/1/pop/p25-1130/p251130.pdf>.

The Master List, consisting of 24 age groups, was developed to reduce discrepancies that can occur when extracting and combining numbers from the published Census projections. These projections for the 2000 population, both for single years of age and for 5-year age

Table 1. Master list: 2000 U.S. projected population and age-adjustment weights

Age	Population in thousands	Adjustment weight
All ages	274,634	1.000000
Under 1 year	3,795	0.013818
1 year	3,759	0.013687
2–4 years	11,433	0.041630
5 years	3,896	0.014186
6–8 years	11,800	0.042966
9 years	4,224	0.015380
10–11 years	8,258	0.030069
12–14 years	11,799	0.042963
15–17 years	11,819	0.043035
18–19 years	8,001	0.029133
20–24 years	18,257	0.066478
25–29 years	17,722	0.064530
30–34 years	19,511	0.071044
35–39 years	22,180	0.080762
40–44 years	22,479	0.081851
45–49 years	19,806	0.072118
50–54 years	17,224	0.062716
55–59 years	13,307	0.048454
60–64 years	10,654	0.038793
65–69 years	9,410	0.034264
70–74 years	8,726	0.031773
75–79 years	7,415	0.027000
80–84 years	4,900	0.017842
85 years and over	4,259	0.015508

NOTE: When using the NCHS Master List to obtain additional age groups not shown in table 2, the age-adjustment weights should be recalculated using the appropriate denominator and must add to 1 (see “Calculation of Age-Adjustment Weights: Background, Process, and Procedures”).

groups, are rounded to thousands. However, population counts for the 5-year age groups were calculated by summing the unrounded counts for single years (unpublished) and then rounding that sum. As a consequence, published sums of the single years of age do not necessarily add to the published 5-year totals, and weights based on the published Census projections may be slightly different depending on whether single-year or 5-year age groups are combined to produce the weights. By defining the Master List as the starting point for deriving age-specific population estimates and age-adjustment weights, such discrepancies are minimized. Once the Master List was established, weights were computed for a number of commonly used age distributions. The weight for a given age group is the size of the corresponding age group in the standard population divided by the total standard population for all ages of interest.

Table 2 shows 22 different population age distributions used in calculating baseline data from various major data systems for the Healthy People 2010 objectives that use age adjustment. Major data systems are those that are responsible for tracking five or more Healthy People 2010 objectives. Table A lists the distributions that were used by the major data systems. Age Distributions #4 and #18 each appear in two different data system groups. The specific groupings used depend on the age groups covered by the objective and on the number of events included in the measure used for the objective. These groupings can be used as a general

Table 2: Selected age distributions and age-adjustment weights based on the 2000 projected U.S. population

<i>Age</i>	<i>Population in thousands</i>	<i>Adjustment weight</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Population in thousands</i>	<i>Adjustment weight</i>
Distribution #1			Distribution #2		
All ages	274,634	1.000000	All ages	274,634	1.000000
Under 1 year	3,795	0.013818	Under 12 years	47,165	0.171738
1–4 years	15,192	0.055317	12–19 years	31,619	0.115131
5–14 years	39,977	0.145565	20–29 years	35,979	0.131007
15–24 years	38,077	0.138646	30–39 years	41,691	0.151806
25–34 years	37,233	0.135573	40–49 years	42,285	0.153968
35–44 years	44,659	0.162613	50–59 years	30,531	0.111170
45–54 years	37,030	0.134834	60–69 years	20,064	0.073057
55–64 years	23,961	0.087247	70–79 years	16,141	0.058773
65–74 years	18,136	0.066037	80 years and over	9,159	0.033350
75–84 years	12,315	0.044842			
85 years and over	4,259	0.015508			
Distribution #3			Distribution #4		
All ages	274,634	1.000000	All ages	274,634	1.000000
Under 18 years	70,783	0.257736	Under 18 years	70,783	0.257736
18–44 years	108,150	0.393797	18–44 years	108,150	0.393797
45–54 years	37,030	0.134834	45–64 years	60,991	0.222081
55–64 years	23,961	0.087247	65–74 years	18,136	0.066037
65–74 years	18,136	0.066037	75 years and over	16,574	0.060349
75 years and over	16,574	0.060349			
Distribution #5			Distribution #6		
2 years and over	267,080	1.000000	2 years and over	267,080	1.000000
2–5 years	15,329	0.057395	2–17 years	63,229	0.236742
6–11 years	24,282	0.090917	18–44 years	108,150	0.404935
12–19 years	31,619	0.118388	45–54 years	37,030	0.138647
20–29 years	35,979	0.134712	55–64 years	23,961	0.089715
30–39 years	41,691	0.156099	65–74 years	18,136	0.067905
40–49 years	42,285	0.158323	75 years and over	16,574	0.062056
50–59 years	30,531	0.114314			
60–69 years	20,064	0.075124			
70–79 years	16,141	0.060435			
80 years and over	9,159	0.034293			
Distribution #7			Distribution #8		
12 years and over	227,469	1.000000	18 years and over	203,851	1.000000
12–19 years	31,619	0.139004	18–24 years	26,258	0.128810
20–29 years	35,979	0.158171	25–44 years	81,892	0.401725
30–39 years	41,691	0.183282	45–64 years	60,991	0.299194
40–49 years	42,285	0.185893	65 years and over	34,710	0.170271
50–59 years	30,531	0.134221			
60–69 years	20,064	0.088205			
70–79 years	16,141	0.070959			
80 years and over	9,159	0.040265			
Distribution #9			Distribution #10		
18 years and over	203,851	1.000000	18 years and over	203,851	1.000000
18–24 years	26,258	0.128810	18–29 years	43,980	0.215746
25–34 years	37,233	0.182648	30–39 years	41,691	0.204517
35–44 years	44,659	0.219077	40–49 years	42,285	0.207431
45–64 years	60,991	0.299194	50–59 years	30,531	0.149771
65 years and over	34,710	0.170271	60–69 years	20,064	0.098425
			70–79 years	16,141	0.079180
			80 years and over	9,159	0.044930
Distribution #11			Distribution #12		
20 years and over	195,850	1.000000	20 years and over	195,850	1.000000
20–29 years	35,979	0.183707	20–39 years	77,670	0.396579
30–39 years	41,691	0.212872	40–59 years	72,816	0.371795
40–49 years	42,285	0.215905	60 years and over	45,364	0.231626
50–59 years	30,531	0.155890			
60–69 years	20,064	0.102446			
70–79 years	16,141	0.082415			
80 years and over	9,159	0.046765			

Table 2: Selected age distributions and age-adjustment weights based on the 2000 projected U.S. population—Con.

Age	Population in thousands	Adjustment weight	Age	Population in thousands	Adjustment weight
Distribution #13			Distribution #14		
20 years and over	195,850	1.000000	25 years and over	177,593	1.000000
20–44 years	100,149	0.511356	25–34 years	37,233	0.209654
45–64 years	60,991	0.311417	35–44 years	44,659	0.251468
65 years and over	34,710	0.177227	45–64 years	60,991	0.343431
			65 years and over	34,710	0.195447
Distribution #15			Distribution #16		
40 years and over	118,180	1.000000	45 years and over	95,701	1.000000
40–49 years	42,285	0.357802	45–49 years	19,806	0.206957
50–64 years	41,185	0.348494	50–64 years	41,185	0.430351
65 years and over	34,710	0.293704	65 years and over	34,710	0.362692
Distribution #17			Distribution #18		
50 years and over	75,895	1.000000	65 years and over	34,710	1.000000
50–64 years	41,185	0.542658	65–74 years	18,136	0.522501
65 years and over	34,710	0.457342	75 years and over	16,574	0.477499
Distribution #19			Distribution #20		
Under 18 years	70,783	1.000000	Under 65 years	239,924	1.000000
Under 5 years	18,987	0.268242	Under 18 years	70,783	0.295022
5–11 years	28,178	0.398090	18–44 years	108,150	0.450768
12–17 years	23,618	0.333668	45–64 years	60,991	0.254210
Distribution #21			Distribution #22		
5–64 years	220,937	1.000000	18–64 years	169,141	1.000000
5–17 years	51,796	0.234438	18–24 years	26,258	0.155243
18–44 years	108,150	0.489506	25–34 years	37,233	0.220130
45–64 years	60,991	0.276056	35–44 years	44,659	0.264034
			45–64 years	60,991	0.360593

guide for researchers developing measures to track health variables. The actual choice of age groupings to be used for age adjusting, however, is an analytic decision and is, of course, not limited to the age distributions shown in [table 2](#).

When creating the 22 age distributions shown in [table 2](#), the age-specific population counts were constructed to be consistent with the published Census projections. In some cases, minor adjustments to the age-adjustment weights were necessary for the weights to sum to one. With the exception of Age Distribution #1 (described below), age-specific counts for the age distributions shown in [table 2](#) were derived directly from the Master List ([table 1](#)). The total population for each distribution was obtained by summing the component age-specific population counts. Age-adjustment weights for each of the age distributions were obtained by dividing the age-specific counts by the total population over all ages in that age distribution, rounding to six decimal places, and making any necessary adjustments (where the impact would be the least) so that the weights summed to 1 (rounded to six decimal places: 1.000000.) Note that regardless of whether the age distribution covers all ages or a subset of all ages (e.g., adults, children, elderly), the weights are renormalized to always sum to 1.

Age Distribution #1, shown in [table 2](#), consists of age groups and age-adjustment weights previously published by the NCHS Division of Vital Statistics and used for age adjusting mortality statistics.⁴ An erratum sheet was published that shows the weight for the 75–84 year-old

group as 0.044842, instead of 0.044841 initially printed. This minor difference is an example of the adjustments that must be made to the actual weight for the weights to sum to 1. The actual calculated weight was 0.044841498, which when rounded to six decimal places, was 0.044841. However, using this weight, the sum of all of the weights fell short of 1. After making a minor modification that increased the weight to 0.044842, the age-adjustment weights summed to 1.

A similar procedure was used to obtain the weights for the five age distributions presented that required small adjustments so that the weights summed to 1 (see [table B](#).) In all cases, the modification (either increasing or decreasing the sixth decimal place so that the weights would sum to 1) was made to the age group where the impact on the age-adjustment calculation would be the least. No modifications were required for any other age groups.

It should be noted that the number of age groups used for age adjusting may have a small impact on the level of the age-adjusted rate. For example, Age Distributions #8 and #9 in [table 2](#) are both for adults 18 years and older. However, Age Distribution #9 uses five age groups (providing more detail in the 25–44 year-old age group) while Age Distribution #8 uses four age groups. Age adjustment using one of these distributions would yield slightly different estimates from the other.

In choosing the number of age groups for a specific analysis, two competing interests need to be addressed. First,

Table A. Age distributions used by major Healthy People 2010 data systems

Data systems	Age distributions used for Healthy People 2010 objectives
NHIS, BRFSS, MEPS	#3, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22
NHANES, CSFII	#2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12
NAMCS, NHAMCS.	#4, 13
NHDS.	#4, 18, 20, 21
NVSS-M	#1

BRFSS is Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.
 CSFII is Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals.
 MEPS is Medical Expenditure Panel Survey.
 NAMCS is National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey.
 NHAMCS is National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey.
 NHANES is National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.
 NHDS is National Hospital Discharge Survey.
 NHIS is National Health Interview Survey.
 NVSS-M is National Vital Statistics System - Mortality.

the more age groups utilized, the tighter the control of the effect of the differences in the age distribution among groups or time periods being compared. On the other hand, when too many age groups are used and the data become sparse for certain age groups, the resultant age-specific rates used in the computation of the age-adjusted rate may have larger variances. It should also be noted that the greater the difference between the age distribution of the standard population used to age adjust (in this case, the 2000 Standard Population) and the age distribution of the study population, the greater the difference between the crude rate and the age-adjusted rate for the study population. When the age distribution of the standard population and the age distribution of the study population are the same, the crude rate is equal to the age-adjusted rate.

These 22 age distributions cannot cover all possible situations that would require age adjustment. Analysts desiring age breakouts not specified here can use the Master List to generate other age distributions and their associated age-adjustment weights. In some cases, the Master List may not contain all of the age groups desired. Additional detail, including age-sex-specific counts for use in age-sex adjustment, is available from the original Census population projections.¹⁰ When extracting additional subpopulations from the Census projections, the new standard population counts should be as consistent as possible with the Master List, and the weights of the newly constructed age distributions must sum to 1.

Age-Adjusted versus Crude Rates: Definitions and Examples

Suppose that frequencies of the occurrence of a characteristic (e.g., being a current cigarette smoker) have been determined in each of several age groups for members of a study population (e.g., 1997 U.S. population, ages 18 years and older). These are age-specific frequencies of the characteristic (cigarette smoker). The age-specific rates of the characteristic are the ratios of the age-specific frequencies to the respective age-specific sizes of the study population.

Table B. Modifications to age-adjustment weights

Age distribution	Age group	Original value	Modified value
#2	40–49 years	0.153969	0.153968
#6	45–54 years	0.138648	0.138647
#7	50–59 years	0.134220	0.134221
#15	65 years and older	0.293705	0.293704
#20	Under 18 years	0.295023	0.295022

The crude rate of the characteristic is the total number of occurrences of the characteristic (cigarette smoker) across all age groups divided by the total study population (all persons ages 18 years and older). Age-specific and crude rates are often expressed as rates per 100 population (percents) or as rates per 1,000 or 100,000 population.

Suppose that population sizes for the same age groups have been obtained for a selected standard population. Then the age-adjusted rate of the characteristic is simply a weighted average of the age-specific rates. The weight for a given age group is the size of the corresponding age group in the standard population divided by the total standard population for all ages of interest. The weights thus add to 1. The age-adjusted rate has the advantage (over the crude rate) of being controlled for age when compared to age-adjusted rates for other study populations that have different age structures. (Age-adjusted rates being compared *must* all be based on the same standard population.)

To illustrate, suppose one wants to examine smoking rates by level of education for people 18 years and older. Because the age distributions of various education groups differ, it is desirable to age adjust the rates to ensure that any education differences that are observed are not confounded by differences in the age structure of the various education groups. In this example, each education group (e.g., less than high school, high school graduate) is considered to be a separate study population, and the smoking rate for each is age adjusted. Examples of the calculation of age-adjusted smoking prevalence rates by level of education are shown in [tables C and D](#). These tables illustrate two equivalent (except for differences caused by rounding) methods for calculating age-adjusted rates. [Table C](#) illustrates these calculations using the actual age-specific population frequencies from the 2000 Standard Population Age Distribution #8 as weights. [Table D](#) illustrates these calculations using age-adjustment weights computed as the ratio of the age-specific population frequencies in the Standard Population Age Distribution #8 to the total standard population in the age range covered. The age-adjustment weights in [table D](#) were rounded to six decimal places, but could have been rounded to any number with the possibility of slightly different results. Notice that the two methods yield identical results in percents shown to two decimal places.

Application to Healthy People Objectives

Age adjustment was used for most of the Healthy People 2000 objectives using mortality data, but only for a few selected other objectives.⁵ In Healthy People 2010, age

Table C. Example of calculation of age-adjusted 1997 smoking prevalence among U.S. adults, by level of education, using 2000 Standard Population

<i>Education level and age</i>	<i>1997 NHIS population (weighted)</i>	<i>Percent smokers</i>	<i>Number of smokers</i>	<i>2000 Standard Population</i>	<i>Number of smokers (adjusted)</i>	<i>Percent smokers (age adjusted)</i>
	<i>Column A¹</i>	<i>Column B²</i>	<i>Column C</i>	<i>Column D</i>	<i>Column E³ (column B) (column D)</i>	<i>Column F⁴ (column E sum/ column D sum)</i>
All education levels, 18 years and over⁵	193,844,144	24.7	47,879,504	203,851,000	50,004,162	24.53
18–24 years	24,819,361	28.7	7,123,157	26,258,000	7,536,046	
25–44 years	82,800,702	28.6	23,681,001	81,892,000	23,421,112	
45–64 years	54,473,365	24.4	13,291,501	60,991,000	14,881,804	
65 years and over	31,750,716	12.0	3,810,086	34,710,000	4,165,200	
All education levels, 18 years and over⁶	192,588,948	24.5	47,655,050	203,851,000	50,029,822	24.54
18–24 years	24,738,889	28.8	7,119,731	26,258,000	7,556,924	
25–44 years	82,341,232	28.6	23,531,470	81,892,000	23,403,088	
45–64 years	54,211,576	24.4	13,228,268	60,991,000	14,882,528	
65 years and over	31,297,251	12.1	3,775,581	34,710,000	4,187,282	
No high school diploma or GED, 18 years and over	37,647,649	30.5	11,482,533	203,851,000	69,188,135	33.94
18–24 years	5,556,522	36.8	2,044,800	26,258,000	9,662,944	
25–44 years	11,211,303	41.1	4,607,846	81,892,000	33,657,612	
45–64 years	9,640,956	34.9	3,364,694	60,991,000	21,285,859	
65 years and over	11,238,868	13.2	1,483,531	34,710,000	4,581,720	
GED, 18 years and over	5,058,510	48.8	2,468,553	203,851,000	91,893,718	45.08
18–24 years	685,628	66.7	457,314	26,258,000	17,514,086	
25–44 years	2,681,175	53.6	1,437,110	81,892,000	43,894,112	
45–64 years	1,266,066	39.0	493,766	60,991,000	23,786,490	
65 years and over	425,641	19.3	82,149	34,710,000	6,699,030	
High school graduate, 18 years and over	53,155,203	28.7	15,255,543	203,851,000	59,055,709	28.97
18–24 years	6,826,552	31.4	2,143,537	26,258,000	8,245,012	
25–44 years	21,463,973	35.5	7,619,710	81,892,000	29,071,660	
45–64 years	14,984,203	28.7	4,300,466	60,991,000	17,504,417	
65 years and over	9,880,475	12.2	1,205,418	34,710,000	4,234,620	
Some college or higher, 18 years and over	96,727,586	19.0	18,378,241	203,851,000	37,256,535	18.28
18–24 years	11,670,187	21.2	2,474,080	26,258,000	5,566,696	
25–44 years	46,984,781	21.0	9,866,804	81,892,000	17,197,320	
45–64 years	28,320,351	17.9	5,069,343	60,991,000	10,917,389	
65 years and over	9,752,267	10.3	1,004,484	34,710,000	3,575,130	

2000 Standard Population: Distribution #8		
	<i>Standard population (in thousands)</i>	<i>Adjustment weight</i>
Ages 18 years and over	203,851	1.000000
18–24 years	26,258	0.128810
25–44 years	81,892	0.401725
45–64 years	60,991	0.299194
65 years and over	34,710	0.170271

NOTE: For purposes of age adjusting, each education level can be considered a “study population.”

¹Excludes persons with unknown smoking status.

²Percents in this table should be entered into formulas as proportions, e.g., 24.7% = 0.247.

³Multiply the rate for each age-education-specific group (excluding 18 years and over) in column B by the standard population for the appropriate age group (column D) to get the age-adjusted frequency for each age group (column E). The 18 years and over frequencies are the sums of the age-specific frequencies.

⁴For each education level, sum the four age-adjusted frequencies (column E) to get the total age-adjusted frequency for ages 18 years and over. Divide that sum by the total standard population 18 years and over to get the age-adjusted rate for that education level and multiply by 100 to express the rate as a percent (column F).

⁵Includes persons with unknown education status.

⁶Excludes persons with unknown education status.

adjustment was also used for most of the objectives using mortality data. However, the use of age adjustment was expanded to include many other Healthy People 2010 objectives that measure health outcomes and risk factors. In Healthy People 2010, age-adjusted data are shown for objectives and population subgroups that include either all

ages or a wide range of ages. Objectives or population subgroups that include groups with relatively narrow age ranges (generally less than 40 years) are not adjusted.

For some population groups, age-adjusted rates are considerably different from crude rates. This occurs because the population distribution of the group is quite different

Table D. Example of calculation of age-adjusted 1997 smoking prevalence among U.S. adults, by level of education, using age-adjustment weights based on the 2000 Standard Population

<i>Education level and age</i>	<i>1997 NHIS population</i>	<i>Percent smokers</i>	<i>Number of smokers</i>	<i>Age-adjustment weight (2000 Standard Population)</i>	<i>Adjustment factor</i>	<i>Percent smokers (age adjusted)</i>
	<i>Column A¹</i>	<i>Column B²</i>	<i>Column C (column A) (column B)</i>	<i>Column D</i>	<i>Column E³ (column B) (column D)</i>	<i>Column⁴ (column E sum expressed as a percent)</i>
All education levels, 18 years and over⁵	193,844,144	24.7	47,879,504	1.000000	0.245297	24.53
18–24 years	24,819,361	28.7	7,123,157	0.128810	0.036968	
25–44 years	82,800,702	28.6	23,681,001	0.401725	0.114893	
45–64 years	54,473,365	24.4	13,291,501	0.299194	0.073003	
65 years and over	31,750,716	12.0	3,810,086	0.170271	0.020433	
All education levels, 18 years and over⁶	192,588,948	24.5	47,655,050	1.000000	0.245424	24.54
18–24 years	24,738,889	28.8	7,119,731	0.128810	0.037071	
25–44 years	82,341,232	28.6	23,531,470	0.401725	0.114805	
45–64 years	54,211,576	24.4	13,228,268	0.299194	0.073007	
65 years and over	31,297,251	12.1	3,775,581	0.170271	0.020541	
No high school diploma or GED, 18 years and over	37,647,649	30.5	11,482,533	1.000000	0.339406	33.94
18–24 years	5,556,522	36.8	2,044,800	0.128810	0.047402	
25–44 years	11,211,303	41.1	4,607,846	0.401725	0.165109	
45–64 years	9,640,956	34.9	3,364,694	0.299194	0.104419	
65 years and over	11,238,868	13.2	1,483,531	0.170271	0.022476	
GED, 18 years and over	5,058,510	48.8	2,468,553	1.000000	0.450789	45.08
18–24 years	685,628	66.7	457,314	0.128810	0.085916	
25–44 years	2,681,175	53.6	1,437,110	0.401725	0.215325	
45–64 years	1,266,066	39.0	493,766	0.299194	0.116686	
65 years and over	425,641	19.3	82,149	0.170271	0.032862	
High school graduate, 18 years and over	53,155,203	28.7	15,255,543	1.000000	0.289700	28.97
18–24 years	6,826,552	31.4	2,143,537	0.128810	0.040446	
25–44 years	21,463,973	35.5	7,619,710	0.401725	0.142612	
45–64 years	14,984,203	28.7	4,300,466	0.299194	0.085869	
65 years and over	9,880,475	12.2	1,205,418	0.170271	0.020773	
Some college or higher, 18 years and over	96,727,586	19.0	18,378,241	1.000000	0.182764	18.28
18–24 years	11,670,187	21.2	2,474,080	0.128810	0.027308	
25–44 years	46,984,781	21.0	9,866,804	0.401725	0.084362	
45–64 years	28,320,351	17.9	5,069,343	0.299194	0.053556	
65 years and over	9,752,267	10.3	1,004,484	0.170271	0.017538	

2000 Standard Population: Distribution #8		
	<i>Standard population (in thousands)</i>	<i>Adjustment weight</i>
Ages 18 years and over	203,851	1.000000
18–24 years	26,258	0.128810
25–44 years	81,892	0.401725
45–64 years	60,991	0.299194
65 years and over	34,710	0.170271

NOTE: For purposes of age adjusting, each education level can be considered a “study population.”
¹Excludes persons with unknown smoking status.
²Percents in this table should be entered into formulas as proportions, e.g., 24.7% = .247.
³Multiply the rate for each age-education-specific group (excluding 18 years and over) in column B by the age-adjustment weight for the appropriate standard age group (column D) to get the adjustment factor for each age group (column E). The 18 years and over age adjustment factors are the sums of the age-specific adjustment factors.
⁴For each education level, sum the four age-specific adjustment factors (column E) to get the total age-adjusted rate for that education level and multiply by 100 to express the rate as a percent (column F).
⁵Includes persons with unknown education status.
⁶Excludes persons with unknown education status.

Figure 1. SUDAAN code to produce age-adjusted rates using 2000 Standard Population figures (in thousands)

```

PROC DESCRIPT;
NEST
WEIGHT
VAR
CATLEVEL

STDVAR    AGEGRP8 ;
STDWGT    26258    81892    60991    34710 ;

SUBGROUP
LEVELS
TABLES

```

Figure 2. SUDAAN code to produce age-adjusted rates using 2000 Standard Population age-adjustment weights

```

PROC DESCRIPT;
NEST
WEIGHT
VAR
CATLEVEL

STDVAR    AGEGRP8 ;
STDWGT    0.128810    0.401725    0.299194    0.170271 ;

SUBGROUP
LEVELS
TABLES

```

from the distribution of the standard population, which, for Healthy People 2010, is based on the projected year 2000 population for the entire United States. For example, age-adjusted rates in the Hispanic population (especially Mexican Americans) for outcomes and behaviors that are generally more frequent among the older population are considerably higher than the corresponding crude rates. This is a result of the Hispanic population’s much younger age distribution than the standard population.

Age-adjusted baseline data are noted in *Healthy People 2010*⁶ and in *Tracking Healthy People 2010*¹¹ Part B: Operational Definitions. *Tracking Healthy People 2010* also includes a detailed discussion of the age-adjustment procedures and weights used for Healthy People 2010.

All age-adjusted data for the Healthy People 2010 objectives are adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population, although a number of different age distributions were used for different objectives based on the data produced by different data systems. The age distributions used for Healthy People 2010 data were derived from a single “Master List” (see [table 1](#)) and are shown in [table 2](#). A listing of the age distributions used to calculate age-adjusted rates for data from the major Healthy People 2010 data systems is shown in [table A](#).

Age Adjustment Using SUDAAN Software

When analyzing data from complex sample surveys (e.g., National Health Interview Survey, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey), the sample design must be taken into account. SUDAAN, software for the statistical analysis of correlated data, is often used to calculate variance estimates for NCHS and other survey data. SUDAAN is specifically designed for analysis of cluster-correlated data from studies involving recurrent events, longitudinal data, repeated measures, multivariate outcomes, multistage sample designs, stratified designs, unequally weighted data, and without-replacement samples. SUDAAN fits marginal or population-averaged models using generalized estimating equations (GEE). Robust variance estimates are computed that fully account for intraclass correlation, unequal weighting, stratification, and without-replacement samples. This section provides SUDAAN code that can be used to age adjust survey data. More information on SUDAAN can be found at <http://www.rti.org/patents/sudaan/sudaan.html>.

Either age-specific population frequencies or the age-specific weights shown in [table 2](#) (or derived from the Master List) may be used as weights to produce age-adjusted rates using SUDAAN’s PROC DESCRIPT procedure. All of the calculations shown in [tables C](#) and [D](#) can be accomplished with just two lines of SUDAAN code added to the existing PROC DESCRIPT code.

[Figure 1](#) shows PROC DESCRIPT code using standard population Age Distribution #8 from [table 2](#). The example variable (AGEGRP8) is a recode based on all ages of National Health Interview Survey sample adults and consists of the following four age categories: 18–24 years, 25–44 years, 45–64 years, and 65 years and over. The numbers shown after the word STDWGT represent the number of persons in each of these age categories in the 2000 Standard Population, rounded to the nearest thousand. The age groupings of AGEGRP8 and the age groupings of the standard population (Age Distribution #8) must match. SUDAAN uses the age-specific population frequencies to calculate the age-adjustment weights and then produces an age-adjusted rate for the total adult population, ages 18 and older.

[Figure 2](#) shows PROC DESCRIPT code using the same variable as above (AGEGRP8) and the same four age categories: 18–24 years, 25–44 years, 45–64 years, and 65 years and older. However, instead of the age-specific standard population frequencies shown in the STDVAR statement in [figure 1](#), this version uses the age-adjustment weights. These age-adjustment weights are the proportion each group represents of the total standard population ages 18 and older (rounded to six decimals) and correspond to the categories of the AGEGRP8 variable on the data file (i.e., ages 18–24 (0.128810), ages 25–44 years (0.401725), ages 45–64 (0.299194), and 65 years and older (0.170271).

The standard population age groupings must match those of the study population. For some survey data,

adjustment to the total population (i.e., all ages) is appropriate. In other cases, such as the example used here, subsets of the all-ages population are the appropriate standard (e.g., persons 18 years and older for the NHIS Sample Adult questionnaire). It should be kept in mind that in some cases, the 2000 Standard Population may not be the most appropriate standard, such as in studies of populations with very different age structures than the total U.S. population. However, to the extent that analyses employ the same standard population for age adjustment, it will be possible to compare and interpret results across data systems and across data years. Using age-adjustment standards other than the 2000 Standard Population should be appropriately justified.

References

1. Shryock HS, Siegel JS, et al. The methods and materials of demography. Academic Press. New York. 1976.
2. Feinleib M, Zarate AO (eds). Reconsidering age adjustment procedures: Workshop Proceedings. Vital Health Stat 4(29). 1992.
3. Anderson RN, Rosenberg HM. Report of the Second Workshop on Age Adjustment. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(30). 1998.
4. Anderson RN, Rosenberg HM. Age standardization of death rates: Implementation of the year 2000 standard. National vital statistics reports; vol 47 no 3. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
5. Curtin LR, Klein RJ. Direct standardization (age-adjusted death rates). Health People Statistical Notes No. 6 (revised). March 1995.
6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010. 2nd ed. with understanding and improving health and objectives for improving health. 2 vols. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 2000.
7. Shah BV, Barnwell BG, and Bieler GS. SUDAAN, Software for the statistical analysis of correlated data. SUDAAN User's Manual, Release 7.5. Research Triangle Park, NC. Research Triangle Institute. 1997. Additional information available at: <http://www.rti.org/patents/sudaan/sudaan.html> (Dec 2000).
8. Shalala DE. HHS policy for changing the population standard for age adjusting death rates. Memorandum from the Secretary. August 26, 1998.
9. National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 1999, with health and aging chartbook. Hyattsville, Maryland. 1999.
(<http://www.cdc.gov/nchswww/products/pubs/pubd/hus/hus.htm>)
10. Day JC. Population projections of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1995 to 2050, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P25-1130, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington. 1996.
(<http://www.census.gov/prod/1/pop/p25-1130/>)
11. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Tracking Healthy People 2010. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 2000.

Published issues of Healthy People Statistical Notes

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date of Issue</i>
Healthy People 2000		
1	Health Status Indicators for the Year 2000	Fall 1991
2	Infant Mortality	Winter 1991
3	Health Status Indicators: Definitions and National Data	Spring 1992
4	Issues Related to Monitoring the Year 2000 Objectives	Summer 1993
5	Revisions to Healthy People 2000 Baselines	July 1993
6	Direct Standardization (Age-Adjusted Death Rates	March 1995
7	Years of Healthy Life	April 1995
8	Evaluating Public Health Data Systems: A Practical Approach	June 1995
9	Monitoring Air Quality in Healthy People 2000	September 1995
10	Health Status Indicators: Differentials by Race and Hispanic Origin	September 1995
11	Operational Definitions for Year 2000 Objectives: Priority Area 20, Immunization and Infectious Diseases	February 1997
12	Operational Definitions for Year 2000 Objectives: Priority Area 13, Oral Health	May 1997
13	Healthy People 2000 Midcourse Revisions: A Compendium	August 1997
14	Operational Definitions for Year 2000 Objectives: Priority Area 14, Maternal and Infant Health	December 1997
15	Priority Data Needs: Sources of National, State, and Local-level Data and Data Collection Systems	December 1997
16	Operational Definitions for Year 2000 Objectives: Priority Area 6, Mental Health and Mental Disorders	February 1998
17	Operational Definitions for Year 2000 Objectives: Priority Area 21, Clinical Preventive Services	December 1998
18	Operational Definitions for Year 2000 Objectives: Priority Area 1, Physical Activity and Fitness	December 1998
19	Healthy People 2000: An Assessment Based on the Health Status Indicators for the United States and Each State	November 2000
Healthy People 2010		
20	Age Adjustment Using the 2000 Projected U.S. Population	January 2001

**DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Health Statistics
6525 Belcrest Road
Hyattsville, Maryland 20782-2003**

FIRST CLASS MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
CDC/NCHS
PERMIT NO. G-284

**OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300**

**To receive this publication regularly, contact
the National Center for Health Statistics by
calling 301-458-4636
E-mail: nchsquery@cdc.gov
Internet: www.cdc.gov/nchs/**

Suggested citation

Klein RJ, Schoenborn CA. Age adjustment using
the 2000 projected U.S. population. Healthy
People Statistical Notes, no. 20. Hyattsville,
Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics.
January 2001.