

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	ES-1
ES.1 Description of the Proposed Action.....	ES-1
ES.2 Status of the Western Arctic Stock of Bowhead Whales.....	ES-1
ES.3 Subsistence Hunting of Bowhead Whales	ES-1
ES.4 Alternatives.....	ES-2
ES.5 Alternative 1 (No Action) – Do not grant the AEWC a quota.....	ES-2
ES.6 Alternative 2 – Grant the AEWC an annual strike quota of 67 bowhead whales, not to exceed a total of 255 landed whales over the five years 2008 through 2012, with no unused strikes added to the annual quota.	ES-2
ES.7 Alternative 3 – Grant the AEWC an annual strike quota of 67 bowhead whales, not to exceed a total of 255 landed whales over the five years 2008 through 2012, with no more than 15 unused strikes from the previous year added to the annual strike quota. This alternative would continue management as in the recent past, and as adopted in action by the IWC in late May 2007. This is the agency’s preliminary preferred alternative.	ES-3
ES.8 Alternative 4 – Grant the AEWC an annual strike quota of 67 bowhead whales, not to exceed a total of 255 landed whales over the five years 2008 through 2012, where, for unused strikes, up to 50 percent of the annual strike limit is added to the strike quota for a subsequent year.....	ES-3
ES.9 Summary of Effects	ES-3
ES.9.1 Alternative 1–Direct and Indirect Effects on the Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Stock	ES-3
ES.9.2 Alternative 2–Direct and Indirect Effects on the Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Stock.....	ES-4
ES.9.3 Alternative 3–Direct and Indirect Effects on the Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Stock.....	ES-4
ES.9.4 Alternative 4–Direct and Indirect Effects on the Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Stock.....	ES-4
ES.9.5 Effects of the Alternatives on Individual Whales.....	ES-5
ES.9.6 Effects of the Alternatives on Other Wildlife.....	ES-5
ES.9.7 Socio-cultural Effects of the Alternatives.....	ES-5
ES.9.8 Cumulative Effects of the Alternatives.....	ES-5
 1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	 1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.1.1 Summary of the Proposed Action.....	1
1.1.2 Location of Action	1
1.1.3 Summary of Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Status.....	1
1.1.4 Eskimo Tradition of Subsistence Hunt of Bowhead Whales.....	3
1.2 Legal Framework.....	3
1.2.1 Federal Trust Responsibility.....	3
1.2.2 International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling	4
1.2.3 Whaling Convention Act (WCA)	6

1.2.4	NOAA-AEWC Cooperative Agreement.....	7
1.2.5	Marine Mammal Protection Act and Endangered Species Act	9
1.2.6	National Environmental Policy Act.....	9
1.3	Public Involvement and Scoping Process.....	10
2.0	ALTERNATIVES, INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	13
2.1	Alternative 1 (No Action) – Do not grant the AEWC a quota.....	13
2.2	Alternative 2 – Grant the AEWC an annual strike quota of 67 bowhead whales, not to exceed a total of 255 landed whales over five years (2008 through 2012), with no unused strikes added to the annual quota.	13
2.3	Alternative 3 – Grant the AEWC an annual strike quota of 67 bowhead whales, not to exceed a total of 255 landed whales over the five years 2008 through 2012, with no more than 15 previously unused strikes from the previous year are added to the annual strike quota. This alternative would continue management as in the recent past and as quoted by the IWC in late May 2007. This is the agency’s preliminary preferred alternative.	14
2.4	Alternative 4 – Grant the AEWC an annual strike quota of 67 bowhead whales, not to exceed a total of 255 landed whales over the five years 2008 through 2012, where, for unused strikes, up to 50% of the annual strike limit is added to the strike quota for a subsequent year.	14
2.5	Alternatives Considered but Not Carried Forward	14
2.6	Environmentally Preferred Alternative.....	15
2.7	Preferred Alternative.....	15
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	17
3.1	Geographic Location.....	17
3.2	The Western Arctic Stock of Bowhead Whale	17
3.2.1	Current Abundance, Trends, Genetics, and Status	18
3.2.2	Migration and Distribution	21
3.2.3	Commercial Whaling.....	24
3.2.4	Subsistence Hunts	24
3.2.5	Natural Mortality	25
3.2.6	Contaminants	26
3.2.7	Fishery Interactions.....	27
3.2.8	Offshore Activities, Petroleum Extraction.....	27
3.3	Other Wildlife	31
3.3.1	Other Marine Mammals.....	32
3.3.2	Marine Birds	38
3.3.3	Other Species	40
3.4	Socio-economic Environment.....	40
3.5	Eskimo Tradition of Subsistence Hunt of Bowhead Whales.....	41
3.5.1	Methodology of Eskimo Subsistence Hunt.....	47
3.5.2	Results of Recent Hunts.....	47
3.6	Co-management of Subsistence Whaling with AEWC	49
3.6.1	Description of Management.....	49

3.6.2	Quota Distribution among Villages	51
3.6.3	Monitoring and Enforcement of Hunting Regulations	51
3.6.4	Reporting requirements to NOAA and IWC	52
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	53
4.1	Methodology	53
4.1.1	Definition of Terms.....	53
4.1.2	Steps for Determining Level of Impact	54
4.1.2.1	Determining the quota.....	56
4.1.2.2	Impact Criteria	56
4.1.3	Steps for Identifying Cumulative Effects	59
4.1.3.1	Relevant Past and Present Actions within the Project Area	60
4.1.3.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions (RFFAs).....	61
4.1.3.3	Project Area and Scope for Analysis	63
4.2	Incomplete and Unavailable Information	63
4.3	Resources and Characteristics Not Carried Forward For Analysis	64
4.4	Direct and Indirect Effects of the Alternatives on the Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Stock	64
4.4.1	Alternative 1.....	64
4.4.2	Alternative 2.....	65
4.4.3	Alternative 3.....	65
4.4.4	Alternative 4.....	65
4.5	Direct and Indirect Effects of the Alternatives on Individual Whales.....	65
4.6	Cumulative Effects of the Alternatives on the Western Arctic Bowhead Whale Stock.....	66
4.6.1	Offshore Petroleum Extraction Activities Including Seismic Surveys.....	66
4.6.1.1	Past and Present Oil and Gas Activities.....	66
4.6.1.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Oil and Gas Activities.....	71
4.6.1.3	Effects of Noise on Bowhead Whales	73
4.6.1.4	Oil Spills	74
4.6.2	Climate Change - Cumulative Effects of Environmental Variability	76
4.6.2.1	Past and Present Effects of Climate Change.....	76
4.6.2.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Climate Change Effects	77
4.6.3	Commercial Shipping and Fishing.....	77
4.6.3.1	Past and Present Effects	77
4.6.3.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Effects	78
4.6.4	Research Activities	79
4.6.4.1	Past and Present Effects	79
4.6.4.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Effects	80
4.6.5	Other Development.....	80
4.6.5.1	Past and Present Effects	80
4.6.5.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Effects	81
4.6.6	Cumulative Effects of the Alternatives on Bowhead Whales.....	81
4.6.6.1	Anthropogenic Mortality from Sources other than Subsistence Whaling	81
4.6.6.2	Disturbance from Sources other than Subsistence Whaling	82
4.6.6.3	Contribution of the Alternatives to Cumulative Effects	82

4.7	Direct, Indirect and Cumulative Effects on Other Wildlife	83
4.7.1	Direct and Indirect Effects of the Alternatives	83
4.7.2	Cumulative Effects of the Alternatives	84
4.7.2.1	Past and Present Effects	84
4.7.2.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Effects	85
4.7.2.3	Cumulative Effects.....	85
4.8	Direct, Indirect and Cumulative Sociocultural Effects	86
4.8.1	Effect on Subsistence Patterns	86
4.8.2	Effects on Eskimo Health and Public Safety	89
4.8.2.1	Nutritional Benefits and Risks	89
4.8.2.2	Public Safety	91
4.8.3	Effects on Other Tribes and Aboriginals	93
4.8.4	Effects on the General Public	94
4.8.5	Environmental Justice.....	95
4.8.5.1	Affected Populations.....	95
4.8.5.2	Environmental Justice Effects Analysis.....	96
4.9	Summary of Effects	97
5.0	LIST OF PREPARERS AND PERSONS CONSULTED	105
5.1	Principal Authors	105
5.2	Contributors	105
5.3	Bowhead EIS Steering Committee	106
6.0	COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION	107
7.0	REFERENCES	109
8.0	APPENDICES	135
8.1	Quantification of Subsistence and Cultural Need for Bowhead Whales by Alaska Eskimos 2007 Update Based on 2000 U.S. Census Data (April 2007)	135
8.2	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration-Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission Cooperative Agreement (2003).....	142
8.3	Federal Register Notice on Whaling Provisions: Aboriginal Subsistence Whaling Quotas (March 12, 2007).....	149
8.4	Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission Management Plan	151
9.0	INDEX	161

TABLES

Table ES-1	Bowhead Whale Subsistence Harvest EIS Effects at a Glance	ES-7
Table ES-2	Summary of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Effects on Bowhead Whales	ES-9
Table ES-3	Summary of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Effects – Other Wildlife	ES-11
Table ES-4	Summary of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Effects – Socio-cultural.....	ES-13
Table 3.2.7-1	Evidence of Bowhead Whales Interacting with Ropes, Fishing Gear and Vessels, 1978-2004	27

Table 3.4-1	2005 AEW Community Population and Ethnicity	41
Table 3.4-2	Portion of Residents Living Below Poverty Level in 2000	41
Table 3.5-1	Bowhead Whales Landed 1997 - 2006	42
Table 3.5-2	Estimated Edible Pounds of Bowhead Whale 1997 - 2006	42
Table 3.5-3	Community Subsistence Harvest Levels by Species Group (Pounds per Capita).....	43
Table 3.5-4	Proportion of Subsistence Food Provided by Various Species Groups.....	43
Table 3.5-5	Rates of Participation in Bowhead Subsistence Activities	44
Table 4.1-1	Criteria for Determining Impact Level for Effects on Bowhead Whales	57
Table 4.1-2	Criteria for Determining Impact Level for Effects on Other Wildlife.....	58
Table 4.1-3	Criteria for Determining Impact Level for Effects on Socio-Cultural Resources	58
Table 4.1-4	Past, Present, and RFFAs Considered in the Impact Analyses.....	62
Table 4.1-5	RFFAs Considered in the Cumulative Impact Analyses	63
Table 4.9-1	Summary of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Effects on Bowhead Whales	99
Table 4.9-2	Summary of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Effects – Other Wildlife	101
Table 4.9-3	Summary of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Effects – Socio-cultural.....	103

FIGURES

Figure 1.1.2-1	Subsistence Whaling Villages.....	2
Figure 3.2-1	Circumpolar area occupied by the five bowhead whale stocks	17
Figure 3.2.1-1	Abundance and trends of the Western Arctic bowhead whale population, 1978-2001 (from George et al., 2004a).	19
Figure 3.2.1-2	Annual number of Western Arctic bowhead whales landed and struck by Eskimo villages in Alaska, 1998-2006, compared to the IWC-SC catch limit control rule for the population Q1998-2001 = 102 whales (lower bound) and Q2002-2006 = 155 whales (lower bound).	21
Figure 3.2.2-1	Western Arctic bowhead whale distribution and migratory patterns during the spring (a) and autumn (b) (from Angliss and Outlaw 2005).....	22
Figure 3.2.4-1	Number of bowhead whales landed and struck and lost by subsistence hunters in the United States, Canada and Russia, 1974-2006.....	25
Figure 3.5-1	Bowhead whale subsistence sensitivity. Draft prepared by the National Science Foundation, the Barrow Arctic Science Consortium, and the North Slope Borough (2003).....	45
Figure 3.5.2-1	Number (a) and cumulative percent (b) of Western Arctic bowhead whales landed by Eskimo villages in Alaska, 1974-2006 (from AEW and NSB 2007).	48
Figure 3.5.2-2	Efficiency of the Western Arctic bowhead whale subsistence hunt, 1973- 2005 (from AEW and NSB 2007).....	50
Figure 3.5.2-3	Western Arctic bowhead whale harvest by season for each Eskimo village in Alaska, 1974-2006 (from AEW and NSB 2007).	50
Figure 4.6.1.1-1	Offshore North Slope Oil and Gas Lease Areas	69

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ADCCEC	Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development
ADF&G	Alaska Department of Fish and Game
AEWC	Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission
AWI	Animal Welfare Institute
ACIA	Arctic Climate Impact Assessment
AMAP	Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme
ASRC	Arctic Slope Regional Corporation
Cd	cadmium
K	carrying capacity
cm	centimeters
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CI	Confidence Interval
CV	Coefficient of Variation
in ³	cubic inches
dB	decibels
dB re 1 μ Pa at 1 m	decibels re 1 microPascal at 1 meter
DEW-Line	Defensive Early Warning System
DDTs	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethanes
ESA	Endangered Species Act
EA	environmental assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EO	Executive Order
FR	Federal Register
ft	feet
FONSI	finding of no significant impact
FMP	Fishery Management Plan
G&G	Geological and Geophysical
Hz	hertz
HCHs	hexachlorocyclohexanes
ISS	Ice/Sea Segments
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IAWPRC	International Association on Water Pollution Research and Control
ICRW	International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling
IWC	International Whaling Commission
kHz	kilohertz
km	kilometers
MMC	Marine Mammal Commission
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MSY	Maximum Sustained Yield
Hg	mercury
m	meters

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

mi.	miles
MMS	Minerals Management Service
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRC	National Research Council
n. mi.	nautical miles
NPFMC	North Pacific Fishery Management Council
N(#)	number of whales estimated to have passed within # km of visual range based on visual surveys from shore
OSP	optimum sustainable population
OCs	Organochlorines
OCS	Outer Continental Shelf
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
POP	Platforms of Opportunity Program
PAHs	polyaromatic hydrocarbons
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PBR	potential biological removal
psi	pounds per square inch
P(#)	proportion of whales estimated to have passed within #km range based on acoustic data and aerial surveys
Q	A catch control rule developed by the IWC Scientific Committee (see page 21 for discussion)
ROI	rate of increase
RFFAs	reasonably foreseeable future actions
ROD	Record of Decision
RY	replacement yield
Se	selenium
SE	standard error
State	State of Alaska
st. mi.	statute miles
SLA	Strike Limit Algorithm
SEIS	Supplemental EIS
TOX	toxaphene
TEK	traditional ecological knowledge
2-D	two-dimensional
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
U.S.C.	United States Code
WF	Whaleman Foundation
WCA	Whaling Convention Act
Y-K	Yukon-Kuskokwim

This page intentionally left blank.