THIRD MEETING

PA/HO, Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended Date: 6-3-----

Great Hall of the People

Peking, China - April 20, 1972

CHINESE DELEGATION

Premier Chou En-lai	Premier of the Chinese People's Republic	
Prof. Chou Pei-yuan	Vice-President of the Chinese People's Institute of Foreign Affairs Vice-Chairman of the Revolutionary Committee of Peking University	
Mr. Chiao Kuan-hua	Vice-President of the Chinese People's Institute of Foreign Affairs Vice-Minister of the Chinese Foreign Ministry	
Mr. Chang Wen-chin	Director of Department of American and Western European and Australasian Affairs of the Foreign Ministry	
Mr. Chou Ch'iu-yeh	Secretary-General of the Chinese People's Institute of Foreign Affairs	
Mr. Chu Chuan-hsian	Deputy-Director of the Protocol Department of the Foreign Ministry	
Mr. Hu Hung-fan	Council Member of the Chinese People's Institute of Foreign Affairs	
Mrs. Cheng Chi-hung	Council Member of the Chinese People's Institute of Foreign Affairs	
Miss Tang Wen-sheng	Interpreter	
Mrs. Tzu Chung-yun	Interpreter	
Mr. Chao Chi-hua	Interpreter	
Mr. Lian Cheng-pao	Stenographer	
AMERIC	AN DELEGATION	

AMERICAN DELEGATION

Sen. Mike Mansfield (D., Mont.)	Majority Leader, U.S. Senate
Sen. Hugh Scott (R., Penn.)	Republican Leader, U.S. Senate
Mr. Frank Valeo	Secretary of U.S. Senate
Mr. Bill Hildenbrand	Admin. Asst. Republican Leader, U.S. Senate
Mr. Norvil Jones	Staff Member - Senate Committee on Foreign Relations

Meeting lasted 1 hr. 45 min. (8:55p.m. - 10:40p.m.)

April 20, 1972

Premier - How many more days in Peking?

Mansfield & Scott - Three.

<u>Premier</u> - Do not want to overtire you. Let's set limit for 10:30 p.m. and resume later if we do not finish. What way could tension in Far East be reduced?

Mansfield - Settlement of war in Viet-Nam.

Premier - War in Indo-China.

Mansfield - Correct way to put it - other steps in due time will be taken - question of Taiwan for China to settle.

Japan - apprehension understandable - but maybe not as bad.

Korea - U.S. not Asian power but Pacific power - Pacific power in Pacific, not on mainland. Equality of all nations and all peoples in the area. Viet-Nam - neutralization. Korea - reunification. Japan-U.S. & USSR - getting together to guarantee neutralization of Indo-China.

Scott - With belief that solution will be pacific and may take a while - the reunification of China without U.S. presence, including Taiwan, can occur after the end of Viet-Nam conflict both Mansfield and I want end. It should be brought to end immediately. Question of POW is of emotional concern to Americans but most Americans want U.S. out totally, air, ground and sea. Should occur at the peace table under mutual conditions. of Viet-Nam should choose freely their government - my view cannot be resolved without settling POW question. U.S. people agreed to total withdrawal but not without conditions. Korea - we have withdrawn 1/3 of our forces - total withdrawal should be accomplished with an armistice. Realize your disillusionment with Geneva Accord - maybe a new accord with new nations and those who did not sign before - U.S. has always looked west with depth of concern that at times has been low temperature, but always concerned - Europe is like peaks and valleys - sometimes we have been involved - sometimes not. In the west we are riding a wave toward agreement - the finest time that I have seen in my 71 years but we must do it together.

<u>Premier</u> - It seems then that both Senators agree that to relax tensions the primary question is Viet-Nam. To be more precise Indo-China and the withdrawal of foreign troops. Do you think the escalation by bombing North Viet-Nam can bring this about?

Mansfield - I made a statement in Honolulu that it would prolong the war - both sides should stop acting like little boys with chips on shoulders and sit down at Paris table (repeats Kissinger secret talks.) It appears to me we place too much emphasis on pride - we have lost in this war - through April 1st a total of 302,802 wounded - 45,000 dead, 10,123 dead from non-combat -total 55,802 dead, 358,622 total casualties. It includes 147,631 South Vietnamese dead and Allied forces 4,876 dead and the other side 805,395 casualties -- this war in some fashion must be brought to an end - not on battlefield - must be by negotiation. Nobody wins a war anymore - I think the talks should be expanded to include Laos and Cambodia - I do not believe bombing is the way to shorten it but to lengthen it. As Senator Scott has pointed out the stumbling block is the POW question. President Nixon did make a proposal some months ago that if agreement could be reached for a time certain if all POWs were released, he would be willing to consider a proposal.

Scott - Radio Hanoi reports that renewed bombing has unified North Viet-Nam. It has also in my view unified America - I support the President's position. What other course is open to the U.S. pending withdrawal of our remaining forces? Expand talks - there is open invasion (from North) now. First time since Sparta moved against Athens that a country has moved its entire forces out of its country to invade another. The bombing has now been temporarily suspended but if I were Hanoi I would offer to release all POWs - this would have vast effect on public opinion and the President would be aware of it. Do not agree that bombing prolongs war - we may be entering last phase.

(Chou asks for list of POWs.)

Scott & Mansfield - U.S. thinks may be as high as 1,600, but Hanoi admits to 400 to 500.

<u>Premier</u> - Sorry to interrupt but heard from unofficial sources that President has temporarily suspended bombing but Secretary Laird in testimony said it was not correct.

Mansfield & Scott - Not aware of such testimony.

<u>Premier</u> - I heard that in the past the Senate has expressed opinion that a final date for withdrawal could be reached if all POWs were released on final date.

<u>Mansfield</u> - Passed Senate twice - a date certain depending upon release of prisoners.

<u>Premier</u> - By American Armed Forces - do you include Air Force and Navy?

<u>Mansfield</u> - Yes - all in Viet-Nam. No forces in Laos or Cambodia except advisors.

<u>Premier</u> - I have heard that Sen. Mansfield had urged the talks in Paris be expanded to include Prince Sihanouk.

Mansfield - Yes, plus King Sri Savang of Laos.

<u>Premier</u> - As far as betrayal by Lon Nol government, we regard him as not the true representative, whereas you deal with him as the government. Here we can only differ - we feel that Sihanouk speaks not only for persons outside Cambodia but for the large forces in Cambodia. Originally, mostly peasants but now large groups of city dwellers are leaving to support national forces of liberation in the country.

<u>Mansfield</u> - About half of Cambodia now so occupied - but in Laos, where U.S. forces left North Viet-Nam ignored "62" agreement and increased from 15,000 to 70,000 - am I correct?

<u>Premier</u> - Of course, you speak from your information but I would like to call your attention to the facts: China was a signatory to the "62" agreement - at the time the issue was never solved that within the area of the Pathet Lao there was a group of bandit meos who stubbornly and obstinately refused to move from the area assisted by the CIA, then and now, with Thai troops being furnished and supported by CIA.

Mansfield - CIA was not involved at time of "62" agreement - CIA came later after N. Viet-Nam did not live up to agreement to withdraw troops.

<u>Premier</u> - This causes us to go back to agreements of 1954 - we can go into that later - did CIA meddle in affair to install Lon Nol at the expense of Sihanouk?

Mansfield - No, as far as I can determine, CIA had no part.

<u>Scott</u> - If they had anything to do with it, Sen. Symington would have unearthed it.

Mansfield - When Nixon came into office he reduced forces in Viet-Nam from 549,000 to 85,000 and is continuing. I expect him to continue down to 69,000 May 1st-- he will make another announcement prior to May 1st. It is my belief, it is his intention to announce further troop withdrawals - Nixon has reversed trend from in to out.

<u>Premier</u> - Shall we go? I affirm one point - we have noticed that Nixon has indeed made these withdrawals, only that in itself is not enough. Essential issue we must end the war - we must find a way out. We three are in charge of the talks - we are pledged not to interfere in the sovereignty of these countries. We can, of course, give our suggestions to them. The same that the two Senators can offer advice but final action is up to the government. We truly are waiting.

Mansfield - For what?

Premier - For the end of the war in Viet-Nam.