Appendix 1. Agenda - original

I. Agenda: CI beluga Recovery Management Model

Review of Modeling during and after the ALJ hearing (Rod or Dan) (½ hour)

Deterministic Model (Draft EIS Model)

fixed policy for the first 4 years (2001-2004)

Data driven management approach

Current population data and estimation methods (1 hour)

Annual abundance estimate (Rod)

Harvest (Barbara?)

Other mortalities (Barbara?)

Model basics (Andre) (1/2 hour)

Relation between models and reality, why use a model. The population models that we are using, underlying assumptions and how it works

Projection (Andre) (½ hour)

Delay in recovery calculation

The inputs and results from the population projection

Alternative models, what is not included in this model

Multiple simulation methods (Andre) (1/2 hour)

Distribute parameters and initial values. Distribution of recovery times

Interpretation of the recovery time distribution as a probability of delay criteria

Advantages and disadvantages of this approach

Model parameters (Rod or Dan) (1 hour)

Basis for identified priors of K, Rmax, MNPL and initial population size, biology, statistics

Recovery criteria (Rod or Dan (½ hour)

Policy choice for values of acceptable delay and confidence level

Recovery goals: fixed at 780, or determined as fraction estimate of K

Alternatives to the identified values

Sensitivity to changes in model parameters and recovery criteria

Current Technical Committee issues for discussion (1 hour)

Trigger points vs whole model approach

Types of trigger points

Population size dependent

Size by date table

Growth rate dependent

Setting trigger points using Monte Carlo simulations

Annual analysis of data using the model and all available data

Comparison of using predetermined triggers annual reassessment using the full model and data.

Testing for model failure

Floor on abundance estimates

Estimating Rmax

Information / analyses to modify the range of parameter values

Policy issues

Choice of performance measure. The current analyses emphasize the percent

delay. This is a perfectly reasonable performance measure but are there alternatives (e.g., those used by the IWC for bowheads) and statistics which might help non-experts understand better the results of the projections.

Consideration of policy variables. This is part of the TOR (not sure who leads this discussion but the agenda item needs to be there)

Harvest policy implications of non-subsistence harvest-related impacts. If the population declines (even in the absence of a harvest) due to other factors:

poaching pollution noise boat interactions

killer whale mortality How to improve research and monitoring