## Appendix 1. Agenda - original

I. Agenda: CI beluga Recovery Management Model

Review of Modeling during and after the ALJ hearing (Rod or Dan) ( $1 / 2$ hour)
Deterministic Model (Draft EIS Model)
fixed policy for the first 4 years (2001-2004)
Data driven management approach
Current population data and estimation methods (1 hour)
Annual abundance estimate (Rod)
Harvest (Barbara?)
Other mortalities (Barbara?)
Model basics (Andre) (1⁄2 hour)
Relation between models and reality, why use a model. The population models that we are using, underlying assumptions and how it works
Projection (Andre) ( $1 / 2$ hour)
Delay in recovery calculation
The inputs and results from the population projection
Alternative models, what is not included in this model
Multiple simulation methods (Andre) ( $1 / 2$ hour)
Distribute parameters and initial values. Distribution of recovery times
Interpretation of the recovery time distribution as a probability of delay criteria
Advantages and disadvantages of this approach
Model parameters (Rod or Dan) (1 hour)
Basis for identified priors of K, Rmax, MNPL and initial population size, biology, statistics
Recovery criteria (Rod or Dan (1/2 hour)
Policy choice for values of acceptable delay and confidence level
Recovery goals: fixed at 780, or determined as fraction estimate of K
Alternatives to the identified values
Sensitivity to changes in model parameters and recovery criteria
Current Technical Committee issues for discussion (1 hour)
Trigger points vs whole model approach
Types of trigger points
Population size dependent
Size by date table
Growth rate dependent
Setting trigger points using Monte Carlo simulations
Annual analysis of data using the model and all available data
Comparison of using predetermined triggers annual reassessment using the full model and data.
Testing for model failure
Floor on abundance estimates
Estimating Rmax
Information / analyses to modify the range of parameter values
Policy issues
Choice of performance measure. The current analyses emphasize the percent
delay. This is a perfectly reasonable performance measure but are there alternatives (e.g., those used by the IWC for bowheads) and statistics which might help non-experts understand better the results of the projections.
Consideration of policy variables. This is part of the TOR (not sure who leads this discussion but the agenda item needs to be there)
Harvest policy implications of non-subsistence harvest-related impacts. If the population declines (even in the absence of a harvest) due to other factors:
poaching
pollution
noise
boat interactions
killer whale mortality
How to improve research and monitoring

