

- Bilby, R. E., B. R. Fransen, and P. A. Bisson. 1996. Incorporation of nitrogen and carbon from spawning coho salmon into the trophic system of small streams. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 53:164-173.
- Bingham, N., and A. Harthorn. 2000. Spring run chinook salmon workgroup: a cooperative approach to watershed management in California. Pages 647-654 in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Brennan, K., D. L. Prokopowich, and D. Gretsich. 1997. Kodiak Management Area commercial salmon annual management report, 1995. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Information Report 4K97-30, Kodiak.
- Burger, C. V., W. J. Spearman, and M. A. Cronin. 1997. Genetic differentiation of sockeye salmon subpopulations from a geologically young Alaskan lake system. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 126:926-938.
- Carvalho, G. R., and L. Hauser. 1994. Molecular genetics and the stock concept in fisheries. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 4:326-350.
- CCW (Comprehensive Coho Workgroup). 1994. Comprehensive coho management plan. Interim Report. Western Washington Treaty Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia.
- Collie, J. S., and R. M. Peterman. 1990. Experimental harvest policies for a mixed-stock fishery: Fraser River sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 47:145-155.
- Cormack, R. M., and J. R. Skalski. 1992. Analysis of coded wire tag returns from commercial catches. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 49:1816-1825.
- Cramer, S. P. 2000. The effect of environmentally driven recruitment variation on sustainable yield from salmon populations. Pages 485-503 in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Drinkwater, K. F., and R. A. Myers. 1987. Testing predictions of marine fish and shellfish landings from environmental variables. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 44:1568-1573.
- English, K. K., R. C. Bocking, and J. R. Irvine. 1992. A robust procedure for estimating salmon escapement based on the area-under-the-curve method. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 49:1982-1989.
- Field, J. D. 1997. Atlantic striped bass management: where did we go right? *Fisheries* 22(7):6-8.
- Fraidenburg, M. E., and R. H. Lincoln. 1985. Wild chinook salmon management: an international conservation challenge. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 5:311-329.
- Frederick, S. W., and R. M. Peterman. 1995. Choosing fisheries harvest policies: when does uncertainty matter? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 52:291-306.
- Fried, S. M. 1994. Pacific salmon spawning escapement goals for the Prince William Sound, Cook Inlet, and Bristol Bay areas of Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division, Special Publication 8, Juneau.
- Fujita, R. M., and T. Foran. 2000. Creating incentives for salmon conservation. Pages 655-666 in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Geiger, H. J., and J. P. Koenings. 1991. Escapement goals for sockeye salmon with informative prior probabilities based on habitat considerations. *Fisheries Research* 11:239-256.
- Hatch, D. R., M. Schwartzberg, and P. R. Mundy. 1994. Estimation of Pacific salmon escapement with a time-lapse video recording technique. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 14:626-635.
- Hilborn, R. 1992. Hatcheries and the future of salmon in the Northwest. *Fisheries* 17(1):5-8.
- Hilborn, R. C., and C. J. Walters. 1992. *Quantitative fisheries stock assessment*. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Hilborn, R., E. K. Pikitch, and M. K. McAllister. 1994. A Bayesian estimation and decision analysis for an age-structured model using biomass survey data. *Fisheries Research* 19:17-30.
- Hiss, J. M., and E. E. Knudsen. 1993. Chehalis Basin fishery resources: status, trends, and restoration. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fishery Resource Office, Olympia, Washington.
- Holmes, R. A., and R. D. Burkett. 1996. Salmon stewardship: Alaska's perspective. *Fisheries* 21(10):30-32.
- Irvine, J. R., R. C. Bocking, K. K. English, and M. Labelle. 1992. Estimating coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) spawning escapements by conducting visual surveys in areas selected using stratified random and stratified index sampling designs. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 49:1972-1981.

- Kline, T. C., J. J. Goering, O. A. Mathisen, and P. H. Poe. 1993. Recycling of elements transported upstream by runs of Pacific salmon: II. 15N and 13C evidence in the Kivchak River watershed, Bristol Bay, Southwestern Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 50:2350–2365.
- Larkin, P. A. 1977. An epitaph for the concept of maximum sustained yield. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 106:1–10.
- Lawson, P. W. 1993. Cycles in ocean productivity, trends in habitat quality, and the restoration of salmon runs in Oregon. *Fisheries* 18(8):6–10.
- Lawson, P. W., and R. M. Comstock. 2000. The proportional migration selective fishery model. Pages 423–433 in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Lestelle, L. C., L. E. Mobrand, J. E. Lichatowich, and T.S. Vogel. 1996. Applied ecosystem analysis: a primer. BPA Project Number 9404600. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.
- Lichatowich, J., L. Mobrand, L. Lestelle, and T. Vogel. 1995. An approach to the diagnosis and treatment of depleted Pacific salmon populations in Pacific Northwest watersheds. *Fisheries* 20(1):10–18.
- Lincoln, R. 1994. Molecular genetics applications in fisheries: snake oil or restorative? *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 4:389–392.
- Ludwig, D., R. Hiborn, and C. Walters. 1993. Uncertainty, resource exploitation, and conservation: Lessons from history. *Science* 260:17, 36.
- MacDonald, D. D., C. R. Steward, and E. E. Knudsen. 2000. One Northwest community — people, salmon, rivers, and sea: toward sustainable salmon fisheries. Page 687–701 in E. E. Knudsen, C. R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable Fisheries Management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Marshall, S., and nine coauthors. 1987. Application of scale pattern analysis to Alaska's sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) fisheries. Pages 307–326 in H. D. Smith, L. Margolis, and C. C. Wood, editors. *Sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) population biology and future management*. Canadian Special Publications in Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 96.
- Milner, A. M., and R. G. Bailey. 1989. Salmonid colonization of new streams in Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management* 20:179–192.
- Mundy, P. R. 1997. The role of harvest management in the future of Pacific salmon populations: shaping human behavior to enable the persistence of salmon. Pages 315–329 in D. J. Stouder, P. A. Bisson, and R.J. Naiman, editors. *Pacific salmon and their ecosystems: status and future options*. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Narver, D.W. 2000. Review of salmon management in British Columbia: What has the past taught us? Pages 67–74 in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Nehlsen, W., J. E. Williams, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1991. Pacific salmon at the crossroads: stocks at risk from California, Oregon, Idaho, and Washington. *Fisheries* 2(2):4–21.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1997. Final rule: endangered and threatened species; threatened status for southern Oregon/northern California coast coho evolutionarily significant unit (ESU) of coho salmon. 62-FR-24588. Washington, D.C.
- NRC (National Research Council). 1996. *Upstream: Salmon and Society in the Pacific Northwest*. Committee on Protection and Management of Pacific Northwest Salmonids. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- OFWC (Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission). 1995. Oregon coho salmon biological status assessment and staff conclusion for listing under the Oregon Endangered Species Act. Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission, Portland, Oregon.
- Palmisano, J. F., R. H. Ellis, and V. W. Kaczynski. 1993. The impact of environmental and management factors on Washington's wild anadromous salmon and trout. Washington Forest Protection Association and Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia.
- Pearcy, W. 1992. *Ocean ecology of north Pacific salmonids*. University of Washington Press, Seattle.
- PFMC (Pacific Fishery Management Council). 1978. *Freshwater habitat, salmon produced, and escapements for natural spawning along the Pacific coast of the United States*. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, Oregon.
- PFMC. 1994. Review of 1993 ocean salmon fisheries. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, Oregon.
- PFMC. 1996. Review of 1995 ocean salmon fisheries. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, Oregon.

- PNPTC, and WDFW (Point No Point Treaty Council and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 1995. 1995 Management framework plan and salmon runs' status for the Hood Canal region. Point No Point Treaty Council and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia.
- Rand, P. S., J. P. Scandol, and E. E. Walter. 1997. Nerkasim: a research and educational tool to simulate the marine life history of Pacific salmon in a dynamic environment. *Fisheries* 22(10):6-13.
- Ricker, W. E. 1954. Stock and recruitment. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 11:559-623.
- Ricker, W. E. 1958. Maximum sustained yields from fluctuating environments and mixed stocks. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 15:991-1006.
- Ricker, W. E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. *Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Bulletin* 191, Ottawa.
- Riddell, B. E. 1993. Spatial organization of Pacific salmon: what to conserve? Pages 23-41 in J. G. Cloud and G. H. Thorgaard, editors. *Genetic conservation of salmonid fishes*. Plenum Press, New York.
- Schmidt, A. E. 1996. Interceptions of wild Salmon Lake coho salmon by hatchery supported fisheries. *Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series* 96-26. Anchorage.
- Schwarz, C. J., R. E. Bailey, J. R. Irvine, and F. C. Dalziel. 1993. Estimating salmon spawning escapement using capture-recapture methods. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 50:1181-1197.
- Slaney, T. L., K. D. Hyatt, T. G. Northcote, and R. J. Fielden. 1996. Status of anadromous salmon and trout in British Columbia and Yukon. *Fisheries* 21(10):20-35.
- Tschaplinski, P. J. 2000. The effects of forest harvesting, climate variation, and ocean conditions on salmonid populations of Carnation Creek, Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Pages 297-327 in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Van Alen, B. W. 2000. Status and stewardship of salmon stocks in Southeast Alaska. Pages XXX-XXX in E. E. Knudsen, C.R. Steward, D. D. MacDonald, J. E. Williams, and D. W. Reiser, editors. *Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Varnavskaya, N. V., and six coauthors. 1994. Genetic differentiation of subpopulations of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) within lakes of Alaska, British Columbia, and Kamchatka, Russia. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 51(Supplement 1):147-157.
- Walters, C. J. 1986. *Adaptive management of renewable resources*. MacMillan Press, New York.
- Walters, C. J., and J. S. Collie. 1988. Is research on environmental factors useful to fisheries management? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 45:1848-1854.
- Walters, C., and A. M. Parma. 1996. Fixed exploitation rate strategies for coping with effects of climate change. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 53:148-158.
- Walters, C., D. Pauly, and V. Christensen. 1998. Ecospace: prediction of mesoscale spatial patterns in trophic relationships of exploited ecosystems, with emphasis on impacts of marine protected areas. *International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, CM* 1998/S:4.
- WDF and WDW (Washington Department of Fisheries and Washington Department of Wildlife). 1993. 1992 Washington State salmon and steelhead stock inventory. Appendix Three: Columbia River Stocks. Washington Department of Fisheries and Washington Department of Wildlife, Olympia.
- WDF et al. (Washington Department of Fisheries, Puget Sound Treaty Indian Tribes, and Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission). 1992. 1992 Puget Sound coho salmon forecasts and management recommendations. Washington Department of Fisheries and Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Olympia.
- WDFW and WWTIT (Washington Department of Fisheries and Western Washington Treaty Indian Tribes). 1994. 1992 Washington State salmon and steelhead stock inventory. Appendix One, Puget Sound stocks, North Puget Sound Volume. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and Western Washington Treaty Indian Tribes, Olympia.
- Woody, C. A. 1998. Ecologic and genetic variation of two sockeye salmon populations of Tustumena Lake, Alaska. Doctoral dissertation. University of Washington, Seattle.
- Wright, S. 1981. Contemporary Pacific salmon fisheries management. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 1:29-40.

APPENDIX TABLE 17.1

Summary of escapement goal-setting methods for U.S. salmon management units, by species and state (or Alaskan region).

Quality	Method	Species					All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	
Alaska (Alaska Peninsula/Aleutian Islands)							
Excellent	Combined-strong						0
Good	Habitat-advanced					2	2
	Spawner/recruit						0
Fair	Historic	1				3	4
	Combined-weak		9		16		25
	Habitat					4	4
Poor	Recent escapements	4		5		3	12
	Index						0
No method	No goal	1	3	4	4	5	17
No information	No information						0
Alaska (Bristol Bay)							
Excellent	Combined-strong						0
Good	Habitat-advanced						0
	Spawner/recruit	1			1	9	11
	Historic						0
Fair	Combined-weak	1		3			4
	Habitat						0
	Recent escapements	1					1
Poor	Index						0
No method	No goal	25	24	10	9	9	77
No information	No information						0
Alaska (Chignik)							
Excellent	Combined-strong						0
Good	Habitat-advanced						0
	Spawner/recruit					2	2
	Historic		5		5		10
Fair	Combined-weak						0
	Habitat						0
	Recent escapements	1					1
Poor	Index						0
No method	No goal			2			2
No information	No information						0
Alaska (Cook Inlet)							
Excellent	Combined-strong					3	3
Good	Habitat-advanced						0
	Spawner/recruit						0
	Historic	3		1			4
Fair	Combined-weak	2	14		30	12	58
	Habitat						0
	Recent escapements	20		6		1	27
Poor	Index						0
No method	No goal						0
No information	No information						0

APPENDIX TABLE 17.1 (continued)
Summary of escapement goal-setting methods for U.S. salmon management units, by species and state (or Alaskan region).

Quality	Method	Species						All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Steelhead	
Alaska (Kodiak)								
Excellent	Combined-strong							0
Good	Habitat-advanced							0
	Spawner/recruit					5		5
Fair	Historic					5		5
	Combined-weak					1		1
	Habitat							0
Poor	Recent escapements	3	77	41	100	26		247
	Index							0
No method	No goal		7	6	6	1		20
No information	No information		1			4		5
Alaska (Prince William Sound)								
Excellent	Combined-strong					1		1
Good	Habitat-advanced					1		1
	Spawner/recruit					1		1
Fair	Historic		7		16			23
	Combined-weak	1						1
	Habitat							0
Poor	Recent escapements	1		2		2		5
	Index							0
No method	No goal							0
No information	No information							0
Alaska (Southeast)								
Excellent	Combined-strong							0
Good	Habitat-advanced							0
	Spawner/recruit	1						1
Fair	Historic							0
	Combined-weak	3		1				4
	Habitat		2	2	2	1		7
Poor	Recent escapements	3						3
	Index			4	1	6		11
No method	No goal		10					10
No information	No information	3			3	1	7	14
Washington								
Excellent	Combined-strong			4				4
Good	Habitat-advanced			16			38	54
	Spawner/recruit	1					1	2
Fair	Historic	3			5			8
	Combined-weak	1	4	12		1		18
	Habitat	8	1			1		10
Poor	Recent escapements	9	59		1		1	70
	Index	2						2
No method	No goal	2	3	12	6	1	33	57
No information	No information	28	16	1	1	3	20	69

APPENDIX TABLE 17.1 (continued)
Summary of escapement goal-setting methods for U.S. salmon management units, by species and state (or Alaskan region).

Quality	Method	Species					Steelhead	All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye		
Oregon								
Excellent	Combined-strong							0
Good	Habitat-advanced							0
	Spawner/recruit		1	1				2
Fair	Historic	7						7
	Combined-weak	3						3
	Habitat							0
	Recent escapements							0
Poor	Index							0
No method	No goal	2	1	1				4
No information	No information						84	84
California								
Excellent	Combined-strong							0
Good	Habitat-advanced	1						1
	Spawner/recruit							0
Fair	Historic							0
	Combined-weak							0
	Habitat							0
	Recent escapements	1						1
Poor	Index							0
No method	No goal	5						5
No information	No information							0

APPENDIX TABLE 17.2
Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each method of escapement estimation is used.

Quality	Method	Species					Steelhead	All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye		
Alaska (Alaska Peninsula/Aleutian Islands)								
Excellent	Dam count							0
	Trap count					2		2
Good	Dam or trap estimate							0
	Tower							0
Fair	Sonar							0
	Mark/recapture							0
Poor	Combined							0
	Foot survey							0
	Aerial survey	11	117	20	300	51		499
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
None	Test fishing							0
	No method							0
No information	No information		1	3				4

APPENDIX TABLE 17.2 (continued)

Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each method of escapement estimation is used.

Quality	Method	Species						All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Steelhead	
Alaska (Bristol Bay)								
Excellent	Dam count		0					0
	Trap count							0
Good	Dam or trap estimate							0
	Tower					5		5
	Sonar			1				1
Fair	Mark/recapture							0
	Combined	2	1	1	3	4		11
Poor	Foot survey							0
	Aerial survey	29	24	9	7	9		78
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
	Test fishing							0
None	No method			2				2
No information	No information							0
Alaska (Chignik)								
Excellent	Dam count							0
	Trap count							0
Good	Dam or trap estimate	1				2		3
	Tower							0
	Sonar							0
Fair	Mark/recapture							0
	Combined			1				1
Poor	Foot survey							0
	Aerial survey		48	3	48			99
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
	Test fishing							0
None	No method							0
No information	No information							0
Alaska (Cook Inlet)								
Excellent	Dam count							0
	Trap count							0
Good	Dam or trap estimate			1		4		5
	Tower							0
	Sonar					3		3
Fair	Mark/recapture							0
	Combined	8		3	4	5		20
Poor	Foot survey	2	3	3	15			23
	Aerial survey	15	11		12	4		42
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
	Test fishing							0
None	No method							0
No information	No information							0

APPENDIX TABLE 17.2 (continued)

Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each method of escapement estimation is used.

Quality	Method	Species						All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Steelhead	
Alaska (Kodiak)								
Excellent	Dam count							0
	Trap count	3	4	14	20	14		55
Good	Dam or trap estimate							0
	Tower							0
	Sonar							0
Fair	Mark/recapture							0
	Combined							0
Poor	Foot survey		1	33	15	1		50
	Aerial survey		86	24	138	28		276
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
	Test fishing							0
None	No method							0
No information	No information			3		1		4
Alaska (Prince William Sound)								
Excellent	Dam count		0					0
	Trap count							0
Good	Dam or trap estimate							1
	Tower							0
	Sonar					1		1
Fair	Mark/recapture							0
	Combined	1				16		17
Poor	Foot survey							0
	Aerial survey	9	202	30	419	3		663
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
	Test fishing							0
None	No method							0
No information	No information		1					1
Alaska (Southeast)								
Excellent	Dam count							0
	Trap count	2		4				6
Good	Dam or trap estimate		4	2		23	2	31
	Tower							0
	Sonar							0
Fair	Mark/recapture					1		1
	Combined	19		3				22
Poor	Foot survey					1		1
	Aerial survey	12	742	658	3	102	18	1535
	Boat survey							0
	Snorkeling							0
	Test fishing							0
None	No method	1	770	1707		84	316	2878
No information	No information	51			2405			2456

APPENDIX TABLE 17.3
Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each escapement estimation type is used.

Quality	Method	Species					All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	
Alaska (Alaska Peninsula/Aleutian Islands)							
Excellent	Total						0
Good	Total estimate					2	2
	Peak count	10	115	18	294	51	488
	Good index						0
	Total redds						0
Fair	One count						0
	Fair index						0
	Redd survey						0
Poor	One count—sporadic						0
	Poor index						0
	Carcass count						0
	Carcass index						0
None	No method						0
No information	No information	1	3	5	6		15
Alaska (Bristol Bay)							
Excellent	Total						0
Good	Total estimate	2		2	2	8	14
	Peak count	26	25	9	8	10	78
	Good index						0
	Total redds						0
Fair	One count	3					3
	Fair index						0
	Redd survey						0
Poor	One count—sporadic						0
	Poor index						0
	Carcass count						0
	Carcass index						0
None	No method			2			2
No information	No information						0
Alaska (Chignik)							
Excellent	Total						0
Good	Total estimate	1	48	1	48	2	100
	Peak count						0
	Good index						0
	Total redds						0
Fair	One count			3			3
	Fair index						0
	Redd survey						0
Poor	One count—sporadic						0
	Poor index						0
	Carcass count						0
	Carcass index						0
None	No method						0
No information	No information						0

APPENDIX TABLE 17.3 (continued)
Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each escapement estimation type is used.

Quality	Method	Species					All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	
Alaska (Cook Inlet)							
Excellent	Total						0
Good	Total estimate	3		4	31	10	48
	Peak count		14				14
	Good index						0
	Total redds						0
Fair	One count	22					22
	Fair index			3		6	9
	Redd survey						0
Poor	One count—sporadic						0
	Poor index						0
	Carcass count						0
	Carcass index						0
None	No method						0
No information	No information						0
Alaska (Kodiak)							
Excellent	Total					13	13
Good	Total estimate	3	3	14	14		34
	Peak count		88	35	159	29	311
	Good index						0
	Total redds						0
Fair	One count					1	1
	Fair index						0
	Redd survey						0
Poor	One count—sporadic						0
	Poor index						0
	Carcass count						0
	Carcass index						0
None	No method						0
No information	No information			25		1	26
Alaska (Prince William Sound)							
Excellent	Total						0
Good	Total estimate		203		419	3	625
	Peak count	10		30		18	58
	Good index						0
	Total redds						0
Fair	One count						0
	Fair index						0
	Redd survey						0
Poor	One count—sporadic						0
	Poor index						0
	Carcass count						0
	Carcass index						0
None	No method						0
No information	No information						0

APPENDIX TABLE 17.3 (continued)
Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each escapement estimation type is used.

Quality	Method	Species							All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Steelhead		
Alaska (Southeast)									
Excellent	Total	2		1					3
Good	Total estimate		4	6		27	2		39
	Peak count	19		14	1	1			35
	Good index								0
	Total redds			2					2
Fair	One count		61			8	1		70
	Fair index								0
	Redd survey	2							2
Poor	One count—sporadic		680	644		90	17		1431
	Poor index								0
	Carcass count								0
	Carcass index								0
None	No method	1	770	1707		84	316		2878
No information	No information	61	1		2407	1			2470
Washington									
Excellent	Total		2	6					8
Good	Total estimate						3		3
	Peak count	1	2						3
	Good index	12		10			6		28
	Total redds	7		5					12
Fair	One count						2		2
	Fair index	2	6	18			4		30
	Redd survey	14		21			15		50
Poor	One count—sporadic	1							1
	Poor index	2		4					6
	Carcass count								0
	Carcass index	3							3
None	No method		1	24			19		44
No information	No information	33	134	2	14	6	66		255
Oregon									
Excellent	Total	6							6
Good	Total estimate								0
	Peak count	22	8						30
	Good index			19					19
	Total redds								0
Fair	One count								0
	Fair index								0
	Redd survey	1							1
Poor	One count—sporadic								0
	Poor index								0
	Carcass count								0
	Carcass index	6							6
None	No method								0
No information	No information						120		123

APPENDIX TABLE 17.3 (continued)
Number of populations, by species and state (or Alaskan region), for which each escapement estimation type is used.

Quality	Method	Species						All Species
		Chinook	Chum	Coho	Pink	Sockeye	Steelhead	
California								
Excellent	Total							0
Good	Total estimate	4						4
	Peak count							0
	Good index							0
	Total redds							0
Fair	One count							0
	Fair index							0
	Redd survey							0
Poor	One count—sporadic							0
	Poor index							0
	Carcass count							0
	Carcass index							0
None	No method	1						1
No information	No information	2						2

APPENDIX TABLE 17.4

Number of management units and stocks per management unit for each U.S. state (or Alaskan region) and species.

State or region	Species	Number of management units	Number of populations	Stocks per management unit
Alaska (Alaska Peninsula and Aleutian Islands)	Chinook	6	11	1.8
	Chum	12	118	9.8
	Coho	9	23	2.6
	Pink	20	300	15.0
Alaska (Bristol Bay)	Sockeye	17	53	3.1
	Chinook	28	31	1.1
	Chum	24	25	1.0
	Coho	13	13	1.0
Alaska (Chignik)	Pink	10	10	1.0
	Sockeye	18	18	1.0
	Chinook	1	1	1.0
	Chum	5	48	9.6
Alaska (Cook Inlet)	Coho	2	4	2.0
	Pink	5	48	9.6
	Sockeye	2	2	1.0
	Chinook	25	25	1.0
Alaska (Kodiak)	Chum	14	14	1.0
	Coho	7	7	1.0
	Pink	30	31	1.0
	Sockeye	15	16	1.1
	Chinook	3	3	1.0
Alaska (Prince William Sound)	Chum	85	91	1.1
	Coho	47	74	1.6
	Pink	106	173	1.6
	Sockeye	43	45	1.0
	Chinook	2	10	5.0
Alaska (Southeast)	Chum	7	203	29.0
	Coho	2	30	15.0
	Pink	16	419	26.2
	Sockeye	5	21	4.2
	Chinook	10	85	8.5
Washington	Chum	12	1516	126.3
	Coho	7	2374	339.1
	Pink	6	2408	401.3
	Sockeye	8	211	26.4
	Steelhead	7	336	48.0
	Chinook	52	75	1.4
Oregon	Chum	82	145	1.8
	Coho	43	90	2.1
	Pink	13	14	1.1
	Sockeye	6	6	1.0
	Steelhead	92	115	1.2
California	Chinook	12	35	2.9
	Chum	2	8	4.0
	Coho	2	19	9.5
California	Steelhead	84	120	1.4
	Chinook	7	7	1.0