Original Transcript

IN RE: MARINE MAMMAL HEALTH and STRANDING RESPONSE PROGRAM

MEETING MINUTES

April 9, 2007 1:16 p.m.

New England Aquarium Central Wharf Boston, Massachusetts

Lauren Sullivan, Notary Public, Professional Shorthand Reporter, Jack Daniel Court Reporting & Video Services, Inc.



Technologies you can use • Experience you can Trust

100 Franklin Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Phone: 617.557.0039 • Toll Free: 866.814.0039 • Toll Free Fax: 866.557.0041

www.jackdanielreporting.com

	2	
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	SARAH HOWLETT	
4	SARAH WILKIN	
5	Office of Protected Resources	
6	National Marine Fisheries Service	
/	1315 East-West Highway	
8	Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	
9	301.713.2332	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		



1

2

MEETING MINUTES

APRIL 9, 2007

3

PROCEEDINGS:

4

MS. HOWLETT: Thanks

5

everybody for coming today to our

6

Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

7

with the Marine Mammal Health and

8

Stranding Response Program. I am Sarah

9

Howlett and over here is my colleague,

10

Sarah Wilkin.

11

The purpose of today's meeting is

12

just to present an overview of the

13

information that's in the draft, PEIS to

14

provide you, the public, with an

15

opportunity to comment on the draft, PEIS.

16

And also to discuss the next step that's

17

we, NMFS will be taking, revising and

18

finalizing the PEIS.

19

This is our fourth public hearing.

20

We started last week in San Francisco and Seattle and in Silver Spring, Maryland and

21

we have our last hearing tomorrow at St.

22

23

Petersburg. So we ask that you please

24

sign in, which I think everybody did, to



present oral comments, if you want to make oral comments today, you can sign in as well. If you have written comments, they may be turned into us today.

And just to let you know that our meeting today is being transcribed by a court reporter.

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8 I'm just going to go a little bit 9 over the background of the National 10 Environmental Policy Act, also known as 11 NEPA, process. So NEPA requires NMFS as 12 an agency to analyze the potential 13 environmental impacts of its actions. And 14 it just means that NMFS needs to consider 15 the environmental consequences during 16 decision making to reduce, prevent, or 17 eliminate environmental damage.

NEPA also requires NMFS to provide areas for public involvement in the PEIS process. This is obviously one of them and the scoping process, which occurred in January, February of 2005 was also an opportunity for public comment.

It's important to know that NEPA



1 does not dictate the decision that will be 2 made by NMFS, but it helps to inform the 3 decision making process.

And why did we draft a PEIS? It's known as policy to prepare a PEIS for agencies acting that are the subject of significant public controversy, they may establish a precedent and principle, they may result in cumulatively significant impact or they may have adverse effects or threaten an endangered species or their habitats.

And just to give summary on why we did a PEIS. A PEIS is a more comprehensive document than a normal PEIS and it considers the impacts of a bunch of related projects or actions. And so you'll notice that in the PEIS, we cover a broad range of impacts and actions instead of being very specific and detailed.

So this is the NEPA process flow chart. As I said, the scoping process, which began actually with the notice of intent in the federal register on December



1 2005 was under our scoping process. 2 We then did our environmental analysis and 3 we drafted the PEIS. Obviously we are in 4 the public comment period, which is 45 5 days long and will end on April 30th. 6 After that, we will publish the 7 final PEIS for a 30-day public review and 8 then we will issue our record of decision. 9 So obviously, like I said, the public comments and drafts are due April 10 11 30th. Once we receive these comments, 12 NMFS will review them and furnish them 13 into the document as we see fit. And we 14 also have to respond to each of these 15 comments as well and then we will finalize 16 the PEIS. 17 It will be issued for a 30-day 18 public review, hopefully in June of 2007, 19 and while the public may comment on these 20 -- On the final PEIS, NMFS does not have 21

to respond to the comments nor do we have take them into consideration when we issue our ROD. And so the ROD will be published September or -- Sorry, summer of



22

23

24

2007. And the ROD is simply a document stating NMFS position on the alternatives, why we chose these alternatives and the time line to implement the actions.

So, just a brief overview of the document itself. Section 1 is the purpose and needs of the proposed actions.

Section 2 lists our alternatives, and if you'd look at the document, you'll know there's a suite of alternatives under six different topics, which is stranding response, carcass disposal, rehab release, disentanglement and biomonitoring and research.

Section 3 is the effect and environment and these are the areas of the environment that we thought our actions would actually impact.

Section 4 are the environmental consequences or impacts that we feel our actions may have on those resources in Section 3.

Section 5 is the mitigation. So these are the actions that we feel we can



take or implement to minimize or reduce the impacts in Section 4.

And Section 6 is the cumulative impact, which includes our impacts, plus those that are past, present and future in the same areas that our actions are occurring.

Now, I will turn this to Sarah and she can tell you about the proposed actions and preferred alternatives.

MS. WILKIN: Thank you.

The proposed actions for our document is a broad one that's composed of several kind of smaller actions, but it includes issuing the policies and best practices for marine mammal stranding response, rehab and release, which is a combination of several different documents that have been developed in the last couple of years, issued together in one kind of handbook for best practices.

This includes the new stranding agreement template, the criteria -Evaluation criteria for issuing stranding



agreement, the release guidelines, the rehab facility guidelines, the disentanglement policies and guidelines and also the oil spill response.

Secondly, is the issue of a permit under the Marine Mammal Protection Act -To the program. We currently have an MMPA ESA Permit that will be expiring at the end of June this year, so we've applied for a new one and we'll hopefully be issued that.

The stranding agreement will continue to be issued and renewed on a case-by-case basis and other day-to-day operations would continue including response, rehabilitation, release etc. following the policies of best practices handbook. So -- And the other thing to focus on is our federal action which would be the activities that NMFS undertakes, kind of, on behalf of, or in association with, the stranding number, such as issuing the stranding agreement, signing a release to termination document, etc.



1 However, we are covering in our 2 environmental analysis, the activities that 3 are conducted by the training network 4 since they're being done under the 5 authorities. Also, part of the proposed action will be the continued issuance of 6 7 the Prescott Grant to support those 8 day-to-day operations. 9

So as Sarah said, we divided the 10 activities of the network and our program into some kind of broad topic. And under each one, we looked at three alternatives. 13 And we came up with one alternative under 14 each activity that what we called the 15 preferred alternative or what we believe 16 we should actually implement.

So I'm just going to go through them and I'm going to give you an overview of what we're proposing.

Under Stranding Agreement and Response, our preferred alternative, A4. It says that the new stranding agreement template will be used, essentially from here on out, so the next time your



11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

100 Franklin Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

stranding agreement is issued or renewed, you would see it would be given to you in this -- Under this template. The final 4 stranding agreement evaluation criteria would also be implemented for the next issuance. So when you are kind of applying to either renew or get a new stranding agreement issue, you would be evaluated based on this criteria.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And the current activities of the training network would continue with the ability to add new adaptive activities as necessary. We would look at those activities at that time, decide if they were covered under the environmental analysis that had been done as part of this document. If not, we would do a supplemental document then. But we have given ourselves some freedom to kind of enhance and change the activity for the future.

Carcass disposal and our preferred alternative is B3 and basically this boils down to the recommendation to transport



1 chemically euthanized carcasses or other
2 carcasses where we have introduced
3 chemicals into them, off-site for disposal.

4 And the disposal could then be

5 incineration, landfill or another means,

6 such as composting, but basically not

7 leaving that -- Those chemicals and the

8 carcass in the environment.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

However, animals that die naturally or are euthanized by another means, like chemical, can be disposed of by whatever means is feasible and allows, depending on the locality where the stranding occurred. So that would include beach burial, towing or incineration, landfill.

Under the rehabilitation activities and preferred alternative C3, which would essentially continue the rehabilitation activities with the ability for NMFS to designate these abilities and modify rehab activities as necessary. We would also implement the final rehabilitation facility standards and enforce them to what we call the minimum level. If you look at the



document, it has kind of criteria listed under minimum and the recommended. And 2 3 those would be enforced to be on an instruction program, which we are beginning 4 to implement and we would phase in the 5 6 rehab facility standards over the next three years.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That's our proposed time line, that's something that you can comment on if you think that's enough time.

The release of rehabilitated animals, under the preferred alternative D3, currently, this activity would continue. And again, we have the ability to modify these in the future, if necessary. But we would also implement the final criteria effective immediately, so these are the criteria that NMFS would use when analyzing a new determination request to decide if the animal should be released or not.

Disentanglement is the next kind of activity area and a preferred alternative under this is E3, which would continue



1 with the current activity for the
2 disentanglement network, with the ability
3 to add new participants and modify
4 activity and technology, as necessary.

5 Again, if those modifications 6 stayed within the scope of PEIS, they 7 would be covered. If they went outside, 8 we would do something else. Disentanglement 9 of small cetaceans -- Would continue under 10 the authority of your stranding agreement. 11 The new ESA MMPA permit that was issued to 12 the program would authorize current and 13 future disentanglements for ESA-listed 14 species which aren't covered any other 15 way.

The East coast network would essentially continue its current activities and continue -- The current way of being organized. The west coast network would modify to coordinate the structure and training with the East coast paragon and that would take affect immediately. That has already actually begun after several training that were held there last year.



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And the disentanglement guidelines are training prerequisite to the criteria document would be implemented nationwide in a phased-in system over the next time period.

Biomonitoring and research is our final category of activities. Our preferred alternative here is F3, which would result in the MMHSRP obtaining a new ESA MMPA permit to include current and some future biomonitoring and research activities.

We do note here, we have applied for a new permit. If it can't be issued prior to the expiration of the current permit, we will be exploring ways to extend or amend the permit so that there is not a gap.

And finally, I wanted to draw your attention to mitigation, which is Section 5 and I think that is a key section in the document for you, the public, members of the stranding program to look at.

Mitigation, the purpose is to



Technologies you can use • Experience you can Trust

1 protocols that policy handbook is 2 identified as a major mitigation measure. 3 By implementing those policies, we feel 4 like we have minimized or eliminated some of the potential negative impact. 5 6 draw your attention to mitigation 7 because I would look at it because this is 8 essentially what we are promising to do 9 the future to reduce our impact, not only 10 on our behalf as NMFS, but also on your 11 behalf as the stranding network. 12

And now, it's time for oral comments.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HOWLETT: Did anybody want to give an oral comment today? Okay. So if you're obviously not giving an comment today, written comments, you hand in any that are here today. We have comment sheets out there as well you can use if you want to write anything down today and hand in.

You also may provide email, mail or fax to Dave Cottingham, who is the chief of Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle division.



avoid, minimize or eliminate the negative 2 impact from the actions. And so, what 3 we've done was for every alternative where 4 we identified a significant impact that 5 could occur from our activities or our 6 actions, we have developed a mitigation 7 measure or something that we feel we can 8 implement to reduce that impact. These 9 are some of the examples.

1

21

10 In where the stranding has 11 occurred, the stranding response, 12 sensitive or protective habitat, for 13 instance, a park. The stranding network will coordinate with the responsible 14 15 authorities in determining how to go about 16 Again, when doing a response, 17 particularly for live animals, only doing 18 procedures on live animals, qualified personnel will be used for capture, 19 restraint, specifying that, that would be 20 experienced veterinarians, if possible, 22 otherwise, that the activities will be done under the guidance of a veterinarian. 23 24 Also, the uses of the standards and



1 is also on your hand-outs as well, 2 you don't need to write this down. 3 Let me just ask you that you bring 4 specific concerns forward regarding the 5 content of the PEIS and specifically to 6 the alternatives or the environmental 7 consequences that you think we should 8 consider. And we do have additional 9 information available for review at the 10 public libraries, at our web page, which 11 is also listed up here and also, if want to receive a copy of NEPA PEIS, you 12 13 will automatically receive a comment. 14 if you don't make a comment, but you want 15 one, you can just check out the sign-in 16 sheet or let us know, either way. 17 And thank you for your 18 participation and if you have informal 19 questions you'd like answered, we can do 20 that off the record. And that's it. 21 (Proceedings concluded 22 1:47 p.m.) 23 24



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

I, LAUREN SULLIVAN, A Professional
Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in
and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts,
do hereby certify that the witness whose
deposition is hereinbefore set forth was
duly sworn, and that such deposition is a
true record of the testimony given by the
witness.

I further certify that I am neither related to or employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this $24^{\rm th}$ day of April 2007.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Hauren Lullwan

Lauren Sullivan

Notary Public

My commission expires October 5, 2012

