## CUVIER'S BEAKED WHALE (Ziphius cavirostris): Western North Atlantic Stock

## STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

The distribution of Cuvier's beaked whales is poorly known, and is based mainly on stranding records (Leatherwood et al. 1976). Strandings have been reported from Nova Scotia along the eastern USA coast south to Florida, around the Gulf of Mexico, and within the Caribbean (Leatherwood et al. 1976; CETAP 1982; Heyning 1989; Houston 1990 ). Stock structure in the North A tlantic is unk nown.

Cuvier's beaked whale sightings have occurred princip ally along the contine ntal shelf ed ge in the mid-Atlantic region off the northe ast USA coast (CETAP 1982; Waring et al. 1992; NMFS unpublished data). Most sightings were in late spring or summer. Based on sighting data, this species is a rare inhabitant of waters off the north east USA coast (CETAP 1982).

## POPULATION SIZE

The total number of Cuvier's beaked whales off the eastern USA Canadian Atlantic coast is unknown.

However, eight estimates of the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales (Ziphius and Mesoplodon spp.) from selected regions of the habitat do exist for select time periods. Sightings were almost exclusively in the continental shelf edge and continental slope areas (Figure 1). An abundance of 120 undifferentiated beaked whales ( $\mathrm{CV}=0.71$ ) was estimated from an aerial survey program conducted from 1978 to 1982 on the continental shelf and shelf edge waters between Cape Hatteras, North Carolina and Nova Scotia (CETAP 1982). An abundance of 442 ( $\mathrm{CV}=0.51$ ) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from an August 1990 shipboard line transect sighting survey, conducted principally along the Gulf


Figure 1. Distribution of beaked whale sightings from NEFSC and SEFSC shipboard and aerial surveys during the summ er in 1990-1998. Isobaths are at 100 m and $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$. Stream north wall between Cape Hatteras and Georges Bank (Anon. 1990; Waring et al. 1992). An abundance of $262(\mathrm{CV}=0.99)$ und ifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a Ju ne and July 1991 shipboard line transect sighting survey conducted primarily between the 200 and 2,000m isobaths from Cape Hatteras to Georges Bank (Waring et al. 1992; Waring 1998). An abundance of 370 $(\mathrm{CV}=0.65)$ and $612(\mathrm{CV}=0.73)$ un differentiated beaked whales was estimated from line transectaerial surveysconducted from August to September 1991 using the Twin Otter and AT-11, respectively (Anon. 1991). As recommended in the GAMMS Workshop Report (Wade and Angliss 1997), estimates older than eight years are deemed unreliable, therefore should not be used for PBR determ inations. Further, due to chang es in survey meth odology these data should not be used to $m$ ake com parisons to more current estim ates.

An abundance of $330(\mathrm{CV}=0.66)$ undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a June and July 1993 shipboard line transect sighting survey conducted principally between the 200 and $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ isobaths from the southern edge of Georges Bank, across the Northeast Channel to the southeastern edge of the Scotian Shelf (Table 1; Anon. 1993). Data were collected by two alternating teams that searched with $25 \times 150$ binoculars and were analyzed using

DISTANCE (Buckland et al. 1993; Laake et al. 1993). Estimates include school-size bias, if applicable, but do not include corrections for $g(0)$ or dive-time. Variability was estimated using bootstrap resampling tech niques.

An abundance of $99(C V=0.64)$ undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from an A ugust 1994 shipboard line transect surv ey conducted within a Gulf Stream warm-core ring located in continental slope waters southeast of Georges Bank (Table 1; Anon. 1994). Data were collected by two alternating teams that searched with $25 \times 150$ binoculars and an independent observer who searched by naked eye from a separate platform on the bow. Data were analyzed using DISTANCE (Buckland et al. 1993; Laake et al. 1993). Estimates include school-size bias, if applicable, but do not include corrections for $\mathrm{g}(0)$ or dive-time. Va riability was estimated using bootstrap resam pling techniques.

An abundance of $1,519(\mathrm{CV}=0.69)$ undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a July to September 1995 sighting survey conducted by two ships and an airplane that covered waters from Virginia to the mouth ofthe Gulf of St. Lawrence (Table 1; Palka et al. in review). Total track line length was $32,600 \mathrm{~km}$. The ships covered waters between the 50 and 1000 fathom depth contour lines, the northern edge of the Gulf Stream, and the northern Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy region. The airp lane covered waters in the mid-Atlantic from the coastline to the 50 fath om depth contour line, the southern Gulf of Maine, and shelf waters off No va Scotia from the coastline to the 1000 fathom depth contour line. Data collection and analysis methods used were describe d in Palka (1996).

An abundance of $2,600(\mathrm{CV}=0.40)$ for undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a line transect sighting survey conducted during July 6 to September 6, 1998 by a ship and plane that surveyed $15,900 \mathrm{~km}$ of track line in waters north of Maryland ( $38^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) (Figure 1; Palka et al. in review). Shipboard data were analyzed using the modified direct duplicate method (Palka 1995) that accounts for school size bias and $g(0)$, the probability of detecting a group on the track line. Aerial data were not corrected for $g(0)$.

An abundance of 596 ( $\mathrm{CV}=0.50$ ) for undifferentiated be aked whales was estimated from a shipboard line transect sighting survey conducted between 8 July and 17 A ugust 1998 that surveyed $5,570 \mathrm{~km}$ of track line in waters south of Maryland ( $38^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) (F igure 1; Mullin in review). Abundanceestimates were made using the program DISTANCE (Buckland et al. 1993; Laake et al. 1993) where school size bias and ship attraction were accounted for.

The best available abundance estimate for undifferentiated beaked whales is the sum of the estimates from the two 1998 USA Atlantic surveys, $3,196(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$, where the estimate from the northern USA Atlantic is 2,600 $(\mathrm{CV}=0.40)$ and from the southern USA A tlantic is $596(\mathrm{CV}=0.50)$. This joint estimate is considered best bec ause together these two surveys have the most complete coverage of the species' habitat.

Because the estimates presented here were not dive-time corrected, they are likely negatively biased and probably underestimate actual abundance. Given that Mesoplodon spp. prefers deep-water habitats (Mead 1989) the bias may be substantial.

Table 1. Summary of abundance estimates for the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales which include Ziphius and Mesoplodon spp. Month, year, and area covered during each abundance survey, and resulting abundance estimate ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {best }}$ ) and coefficient of variation (CV).

| Month/Year | Area | CV |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Jun-Jul 1993 | Georg es Bank to Scotian shelf, shelf ed ge only | 330 | 0.66 |
| Aug 1994 | warm-core ring SE of Georges Bank | 99 | 0.64 |
| Jul-Sep 1995 | Virginia to Gulf of St. Lawrence | 1,519 | 0.69 |
| Jul-Sep 1998 | Maryland to Gulf of St. Lawrence | 2,600 | 0.40 |
| Jul-Aug 1998 | Florida to Maryland | 596 | 0.50 |
| Jul-Sep 1998 | Gulf of St. Lawrence to Florida (COMBINED) | 3,196 | 0.34 |

* from data collected on the Twin Otter and AT-11, respectively.


## Minimum Population Estimate

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed $60 \%$ confidence interval of the lognormally distributed best abun dance estimate. Th is is equivale nt to the 20 th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by W ade and A ngliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for undifferentiated beaked whales is 3,196 $(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$. The minimum population estimate for the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales (Ziphius and Mesoplodon spp.) is $2,419(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$. It is not possible to determine the minimum population estimate of only Cuvier's beaked whales.

## Current Population Trend

There are insufficient data to determine the population trend s for this spec ies.

## CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PROD UCTIVITY RATES

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. Life history parameters that could be used to estim ate net pro ductivity in clude: leng th at birth is 2 to 3 m , length at sexual maturity 6.1 m for females, and 5.5 m for males, maximum age for females we re 30 growth layer groups (GLG's) and for males was 36 GLG's, which may be annual layers (Mitchell 1975; Mead 1984; Houston 1990).

For purposes of this assess ment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04 . This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than $4 \%$ given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow et al. 1995).

## POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a "recovery" factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size for the u ndifferen tiated complex of beaked whales is $2,419(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04 , the default value for cetaceans. The "recovery" factor, which accountsfor endangered, depleted, threatened stocks, or stocks of unknown status relative to op timum sustainable population (OSP) is assume d to be 0.5 because this stock is of unknown status. PBR for all species in the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales (Ziphius and Mesoplodon spp.) is 24. It is not possible to determine the PBR for only Cuvier's beaked whales.

## ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

The 1994-1998 total average estimated annual fishery-related mortality of beaked whales in the USA EEZ was $9.5(\mathrm{CV}=0.04)$.

## Fishery Information

There is no historical information available that documents incidental mortality in either USA or Canadian Atlantic co ast fisheries (Read 1994).

Current data on incidental takes in USA fisheries are available from several sources. In 1986, NMFS established a mandatory self-reported fisheries inform ation system for large pelagic fisheries. Data files aremaintained at Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC). The Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Sea Sampling Observer Program was initiated in 1989, and since that year several fisheries have been covered by the program. In 1986, NMFS established a mandatory self-reported fisheries information sy stem for large pe lagic fisheries. Data files are maintained at Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC). In late 1992 and in 1993 the SEFSC provided observer coverage of pelagic longline vessels fishing off the Grand Banks (Tail of the Banks) and currently provides observer coverage of vessels fishing south of Cape Hatteras.

Total fishery-related mortality and serious injury cannot be estimated separately for each beaked whale species because of the uncertainty in speciesidentification by fishery observers. The Atlantic Scientific Review Group advised adopting the risk-averse strategy of assuming that any beaked whale stock which occurred in the USA Atlantic EEZ might have been subject to the observed fishery-related mortality and serious injury.

Bycatch has been observed by NMFS Sea Samplers in the pelagic drift gillnet fishery, but no mortalities or serious injuries have been docu mented in the pelagic longline, pelag ic pair trawl, Northeast multispecies sink gillnet, mid-A tlantic coastal sink gillnet, or N orth Atlan tic bottom trawl observed fisheries.

## Pelagic Drift Gillnet

The estimated total number of hauls in the pelagic drift gillnet fishery increased from 714 in 1989 to 1,144 in 1990; thereafter, with the introduction of quotas, effort was severelyreduced. The estimated number ofhauls in 1991 , $1992,1993,1994,1995,1996$, and 1998 were $233,243,232,197,164,143$, and 113 respectively. In 1996 and 1997 , NMFS issued management regulations with prohibited the operation of this fishery 1997. Further, in January 1999 NMFS issued a Final Rule to prohibit the use of driftnets (i.e., permanent closure) in the North Atlantic swordfish fishery (50 CFR Part 630). Fifty-nine different vessels particip ated in this fishery at one time or another between 1989 and 1993. Since 1994 , betwe en 10 and 13 vessels have participated in the fishery (Table 2). Observer coverage, expressed as percent of sets observed, was $8 \%$ in $1989,6 \%$ in $1990,20 \%$ in $1991,40 \%$ in $1992,42 \%$ in $1993,87 \%$ in $1994,99 \%$ in $1995,64 \%$ in 1996 , and $99 \%$ in 1998 . Effort was concentrated along the southern edge of Georges Bank and off Cape Hatteras. Examination of the species composition of the catch and locations of the fishery throughout the year, suggested that the pelagic drift gillnet fishery be stratified into two strata, a southern or winter stratum, and a northern or summer stratum. Estimates of the total bycatch, for each year from 1989 to 1993, were obtained using the aggregated (pooled 1989-1993) catch rates, by strata (Northridge 1996). Estimates of total annual bycatch for 1994 - 1998 were estimated from the sum of the observed caught and the product of the average bycatch per haul and the number of unobserved hauls as recorded in self-reported fisheries information. Variances were estimated using bootstrap re-sampling techniques. Bycatch of beaked whales has only occurred from Georges Canyon to Hydrographer Canyon along the continen tal shelf break and co ntinental slo pe during July to O ctober. Forty-six fishery-rela ted beaked wha le mortalities were observed between 1989 and 1998. These included: 23 Sowerby's ; 4 True's; 1 Cuvier's; and 18 undifferentiated beaked whales. Recent analysis ofbiological samples (genetics and morphological analysis) have been used to determine species identifications for some of the bycaught animals. Estimation of bycatch mortality by species is still underway, therefore the follow ing estimates are for undifferentiated beaked whales. The estimated annual fishery-related mortality ( CV in parentheses) was 60 in $1989(0.21), 76$ in $1990(0.26), 13$ in $1991(0.21), 9.7$ in 1992 ( 0.24 ), 12 in $1993(0.16) 4.8$ in $1994(0.08), 9.1$ in $1995(0), 13$ in $1996(0.12)$, N A in 1997 , and 11 in $1998(0)$ (Table 2). During July 1996 , one beaked whale was entangled and released alive with "gear in/around a single body part". Annual mortality estimates do not include any animals injured and released alive. The 1994-1998 total average estimated annual fishery-rela ted mortality of beaked wh ales in the USAEEZ was 9.5 (CV=0.04) (Table 2), assuming the 1996 injured beaked whale was not a serious injury.

Table 2. Summary of the incidental mortality for the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales which include Cuvier's beaked whale (Ziphius cavirostris), and Mesoplodon beaked whale, by commercial fishery including the years sampled (Years), the number ofvesselsactive within the fishery (Vessels), the type of data u sed (Data Type), the annual observer coverage (Observer Coverage), the mortalities recorded by on-board observers (Observed Mortality), the estimated annual mortality (Estimated Mortality), the estimated CV of the annual mortality (Estimated CVs) and the mean annual mortality (CV in parentheses).

| Fishery | Years | Vessels ${ }^{1}$ | Data Type ${ }^{2}$ | Observer Coverage ${ }^{3}$ | Observed <br> Mortality | Estimated Mortality ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { CVs }^{4} \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Annual <br> Mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pelagic <br> Drift <br> Gillnet | $\begin{aligned} & 94- \\ & 98^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1994=12 \\ & 1995=11 \\ & 1996=10 \\ & 1998=13 \end{aligned}$ | Obs. Data Logbook | $\begin{gathered} .87, .99 \\ .64, \text { NA } \\ .99 \end{gathered}$ | 4, 9, 8 , NA, 11 | $\begin{gathered} 4.8,9.1^{5}, \\ 13, \text { NA, } 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .08,0, .12, \\ \mathrm{NA}, 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5^{6} \\ & (.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (.04) \end{gathered}$ |

2 Observer data (Obs. Data) are used to measure bycatch rates, and the data are collected within the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Sea Sampling Prog ram. M andatory logbo ok (Logbook) data are used to measure total effort, and the data are collected at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC).
3 The observer co verage and unit of effort for the Pelagic Drift Gillnet is a set.
4 For 1991-1993, pooled bycatch rates were used to estimate bycatch in months that had fishing effort but did not have observer coverage. This method is described in Northridge (1996). Because observer coverage increased substantially from 1994-1996, by catch rates for this period are single y ear estimates.
5 One vessel was not observed and recorded 1 set in a 10 day trip in the SEFSC mandatory logbook. If you assume the vessel fished 1.4 sets per day as estimated from the 1995 SS data, the point estimate may increase by 0.8 animals. However, the SEFSC mand atory logbook data was taken at face value, and therefore it was assumed that 1 set was fished within this trip, and the point estimate would then increa se by 0.1 animals.
$6 \quad$ The fishery did not operate in 1997; the ave rage ann ual mortality is based on the number of years (4; 19941998) that the fishery operated.

## Other Mor tality

From 1992- to 1998, a total of 49 beaked whales stranded along the USA Atlantic coast between Florida and Massachusetts (NMFS unpublished data). This includes: 28 (includes one tentative identification) Gervais' beaked whales (one 1997 animal had plastics in esophagus and stomach, and Sargassum in esophagus; two 1998 animals that stranded in Septem ber in South C arolina sho wed sign s of fishery interactions); 2 True's beaked whales; 5 Blainville's beaked wha les; 11 Cuvier's beaked whales (one 1996 animal showed signs of human interactions propeller marks) and 4 unidentified animals.

## STATUS OF STOCK

The status of Cuvier's beaked whale relative to OSP in USA Atlantic EEZ is unknown. This species is not listed as threaten ed or en dange red und er the En dange red Spe cies Act. There are in sufficient data to determine population trends and the level of hum an-caus ed mortality and serious injury is unknown because of uncertainty regarding species identification in observed fisheries. If one were to assume that the incidental fisheries mortality of the four Mesoplodon spp. and Z. cavirostris was random with respect to species (i.e., in proportion to their relative abundance), then the minimum population estimate for all of those stocks would need to sum to at least 950 in order for an annual mortality of 9.5 animals not to exceed the PBR of any one of these species. Because an assumption of unselective incidental fishing mortality is probably overly optimistic a nd repre sents a best case situation, it is likely that a combined minimum population estimate of substantially greater than 950 would be necessary for an annual mortality of 9.5 to not exceed the PBR of any one of these five stocks. The largest recent abundance estimate available for beaked whales in the
western North A tlantic was $3,196(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$ which would result in a minimum population estimate of 2,419 beaked whales; however, this estimate does not include a correction factor for submerg ed anim als which may be substantial. Although a species specific PBR cannot be determined, the total fishery mortality and serious injury for this group is not less than $10 \%$ of the calculated PBR and, therefore, cannotbe considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. This is a strategic stock becau se of uncertainty regarding stock size and evidence of fishery-re lated mortality and serious injury.
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