## HARP SEAL (Phoca groenlandica): Western North Atlantic Stock

## STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

The harp seal occurs throughout much of the North Atlantic and Arctic Oceans (Ronald and Healey 1981; Lavigne and Kovacs 1988); however, in recent years, numbers of sightings and strandings have been increasing off the east coast of the United States from Maine to New Jersey (Katona et al. 1993; Stevick and Fernald 1998; B. Rubinstein pers. comm., New England Aquarium). These appearances usually occur in January-May, when the western North Atlantic stock of harp seals is at its southern most point of migration. The worlds' harp seal population is divided into three separate stocks, each identified with a specific breeding site (Bonner 1990; Lavigne and Kovacs 1988). The largest stock is located in the western North Atlantic off eastern Canada and is divided into two breeding herds which breed on the pack ice. The Front herd breeds off the coast of Newfoundland and Labrador, and the Gulf herd breeds near the Magdalen Islands in the middle of the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Sergeant 1965; Lavigne and Kovacs 1988). The second stock breeds in the White Sea off the coast of the Soviet Union, and the third stock breeds on the West Ice off of eastern Greenland (Lavigne and Kovacs 1988; Anon 1998).

Harp seals are highly migratory (Sergeant 1965; Stenson and Sjare 1997). Breeding occurs at different times between mid-February and April for each stock. Adults then assemble north of their whelping patches to undergo the annual molt. The migration then continues north to Arctic summer feeding grounds. In late September, after a summer of feeding, nearly all adults and some of the immature animals migrate southward along the Labrador coast, usually reaching the entrance to the Gulf of St. Lawrence by early winter. There they split into two groups, one moving into the Gulf and the other remaining off the coast of Newfoundland. Following mating, the seals disperse to feed, and in late April they again concentrate in large numbers on the ice to molt.

The extreme southern limit of the harp seal's habitat extends into the U.S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) during winter and spring. The influx of harp seals and geographic distribution in New England to mid-Atlantic waters is based on stranding data.

## POPULATION SIZE

The total population size of harp seals is unknown; however, three seasonal abundance estimates are available which used a variety of methods including aerial surveys and mark-recapture (Table 1). Generally, these methods include surveying the whelping concentrations and modeling pup production. Harp seal pup production in the 1950s was estimated at 645,000 (Sergeant 1975), decreasing to 225,000 by 1970 (Sergeant 1975). Estimates began to increase at this time and have continued to rise, reaching 478,000 in 1979 (Bowen and Sergeant 1983; Bowen and Sergeant 1985) and 577,900 in 1990 (Stenson et al. 1993).

Roff and Bowen (1983) developed an estimation model to provide a more precise estimate of total population. This technique incorporates recent pregnancy rates and estimates of age-specific hunting mortality (CAFSAC 1992). Total population can be determined by multiplying pup production by a factor between 5.35 and 5.38 , giving a total of approximately three million harp seals in 1990 (Table 1).

Shelton et al. (1992) applied a harp seal estimation model to the 1990 pup production and obtained an estimate of 3.1 million (range 2.7-3.5 million; Stenson 1993) (Table 1). Using a revised population model, 1994 pup count data, and two assumptions regarding pup mortality rates; Shelton et al.. (1996) estimated pup production and total population size for the period 1955-1994. The 1994 total population estimates were 4.5-4.8 million harp seals (Table $1)$.

Table 1. Summary of abundance estimates (pups and total) for western North Atlantic harp seals. Year and area covered during each abundance survey, and resulting abundance estimate ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {min }}$ ) and coefficient of variation (CV).

| Month/Year | Area | $\mathrm{N}_{\min }$ | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | Eastern Atlantic Canada-Labrador | 577,900 pups | None reported |
| 1994 | Eastern Atlantic Canada-Labrador | 702,900 pups | 0.09 |
| 1990 | Eastern Atlantic Canada-Labrador | 3 million | None reported |
| 1990 | Eastern Atlantic Canada-Labrador | 3.1 million | None reported |
| 1994 | Eastern Atlantic Canada-Labrador | $4.5-4.8$ million | None reported |

## Minimum population estimate

Present data are insufficient to calculate the minimum population estimate for U.S. waters. It is estimated there are at least 4.5-4.8 million harp seals in Canada (Shelton et al.. 1996).

## Current population trend

The population appears to be increasing in U.S. waters, judging from the increased number of stranded harp seals, but the magnitude of the suspected increase is unknown. However, most of these strandings represent juveniles and pups, and there is no evidence of a resident stock in U.S. waters (Rubinstein 1994; Rubinstein pers. com.). In Canada, since 1990 the average annual growth rate has been estimated to be about 5\% (Shelton et al.. 1996).

## CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. The best data are based on Canadian studies. Recent studies indicate that pup production has increased, but the rate of population increase cannot be quantified at this time (Stenson et al.. 1996). The mean age of sexual maturity was 5.8 yrs in the mid-1950's, declining to 4.6 yrs in the early 1980's and then increasing to 5.4 yrs in the early 1990's (Sjare et al.. 1996).

For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.12 . This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that pinniped populations may not grow at rates much greater than $12 \%$ given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow et al. 1995).

## POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a "recovery" factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size is unknown. The maximum productivity rate is 0.12 , the default value for pinnipeds. The recover factor $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ for this stock is 1.0, the value for stocks with unknown population status, but know to be increasing. PBR for the western North Atlantic harp seal in U.S. waters is unknown. Applying the formula to the minimum population estimate for Canadian waters results in a "PBR" of 288,000 harp seals.

## ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

Harp seals have been commercially hunted since the mid-1800's in the Canadian Atlantic (Stenson 1993). A total allowable catch (TAC) of 200,000 harp seals was set for the large vessel hunt in 1971. The TAC varied until 1982 when it was set at 186,000 seals, and remained at this level through 1995 (Stenson 1993; Anon 1998). The TAC was increased to 250,000 and 275,000, respectively in 1996 and 1997 (Anon 1998). Catches ranged from 124,000 to 231,000 from 1971-1982, declining to a range of 19,000 to 94,000 between 1983-1995, and increased dramatically to 242,000 (1996) and 261,000 (1997) (Stenson 1993; Anon 1998). The commercial catches do not account for subsistence takes.

Total annual estimated average fishery-related mortality or serious injury to this stock during 1992-1996 was 329 harp seals ( $\mathrm{CV}=0.33$; Table 2 ).

## Fishery Information

USA
Data on current incidental takes in U.S. fisheries are available from several sources. In 1986, NMFS established a mandatory self-reported fishery information system for large pelagic fisheries. Data files are maintained at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC). The Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Sea Sampling Observer Program was initiated in 1989, and since that year several fisheries have been covered by the program. In late 1992 and in 1993, the SEFSC provided observer coverage of pelagic longline vessels fishing off the Grand Banks (Tail of the Banks) and provides observer coverage of vessels fishing south of Cape Hatteras.

Recent by-catch has been observed by NMFS Sea Samplers in the New England multispecies sink gillnet fisheries, but no mortalities have been documented in the Mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet, Atlantic drift gillnet, pelagic pair trawl or pelagic longline fisheries.

In 1993, there were approximately 349 full and part-time vessels in the New England multispecies sink gillnet fishery, which covered the Gulf of Maine and southern New England (Table 2). An additional 187 vessels were reported to occasionally fish in the Gulf of Maine with gillnets for bait or personal use; however, these vessels were not covered by the observer program (Walden 1996) and their fishing effort was not used in estimating mortality. Observer coverage in terms of trips has been $1 \%, 6 \%, 7 \%, 5 \%, 7 \%, 5 \%$, and $4 \%$ for 1990 to 1996, respectively. The fishery has been observed in the Gulf of Maine and in Southern New England. There were 69 harp seal mortalities observed in the New England multispecies sink gillnet fishery between 1990 and 1996. Annual estimates of harp seal by-catch in the New England multispecies sink gillnet fishery reflect seasonal distribution of the species and of fishing effort. Estimated annual mortalities (CV in parentheses) from this fishery during 1990-1996 was zero (1990-1993), 861 in 1994 (0.58), 694 in 1995 (0.27), and 89 in 1996 ( 0.55 ). The 1994 and 1995 by-catches, respectively, include 16 and 153 animals from the estimated number of unknown seals (based on observed mortalities of seals that could not be identified to species). The unknown seals were prorated, based on spatial/temporal patterns of by-catch of harbor seals, gray seals, harp seals, and hooded seals. Average annual estimated fishery-related mortality and serious injury to this stock attributable to this fishery during 1992-1996 was 329 harp seals $(\mathrm{CV}=0.33)$. The stratification design used is the same as that for harbor porpoise (Bravington and Bisack 1996). The by-catch occurred only in winter (January-May) and was mainly in waters between Cape Ann and New Hampshire. One observed mortality was in waters south of Cape Cod.

## CANADA

An unknown number of harp seals have been taken in Newfoundland and Labrador groundfish gillnets (Read 1994). Harp seals are being taken in Canadian lumpfish and groundfish gillnets, and trawls, but estimates of total removals have not been calculated to date (Anon. 1994).

There were 3,121 cod traps operating in Newfoundland and Labrador during 1979, and about 7,500 in 1980 (Read 1994). This fishery was closed at the end of 1993 due to collapse of Canadian groundfish resources.

In 1996, observers recorded four harp seals (one released alive) in Spanish deep water trawl fishing on the southern edge of the Grand Bank (NAFO Areas 3) (Lens, 1997). Seal by-catches occurred year-round, but interactions were highest during April-June. Many of the seals that died during fishing activities were unidentified. The proportion of sets with mortality (all seals) was 2.7 per 1,000 hauls (0.003).

Table 2. Summary of the incidental mortality of harp seal (Phoca groenlandica) by commercial fishery including the years sampled (Years), the number of vessels active within the fishery (Vessels), the type of data used (Data Type), the annual observer coverage (Observer Coverage), the mortalities recorded by on-board observers (Observed Mortality), the estimated annual mortality (Estimated Mortality), the estimated CV of the annual mortality (Estimated CVs) and the mean annual mortality ( CV in parentheses).

| Fishery | Years | Vessels | Data Type $^{1}$ | Observer <br> Coverage $^{2}$ | Observed <br> Mortality | Estimated <br> Mortality | Estimated <br> CVs | Mean <br> Annual <br> Mortality |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England <br> Multispecies <br> Sink Gillnet | $92-96$ | 349 | Obs. Data <br> Weighout, <br> Logbooks | $.07, .05$, <br> $.07, .05$, <br> .04 | $0,0,33$, <br> 27,9 | $0,0,861$, <br> 694,89 | $0,0, .58$, <br> $.27, .55$ | 329 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | $(.33)$ |  |  |  |  |

1 Observer data (Obs. Data) are used to measure by-catch rates, and the data are collected within the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Sea Sampling Program. NEFSC collects Weighout (Weighout) landings data, and total landings are used as a measure of total effort for the sink gillnet fishery. Mandatory logbook (Logbook) data are used to determine the spatial distribution of some fishing effort in the New England multispecies sink gillnet fishery.
${ }^{2}$ The observer coverage for the New England multispecies sink gillnet fishery is measured in trips.

## Other Mortality

From 1988-1993 strandings each year were under 50, approaching 100 animals in 1994, and exceeding 100 animals in 1995-1996 (Rubinstein 1994; B. Rubinstein, pers. comm.). In addition, in 1996 there was a stranding in North Carolina. The increased number of strandings may indicate a possible shift in distribution or expansion southward into U.S. waters; if so, fishery interactions may increase.

## STATUS OF STOCK

The status of the harp seal stock, relative to OSP, in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown, but the population appears to be increasing in Canadian waters. The species is not listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act. The total fishery-related mortality and serious injury for this stock is believed to be very low relative to the population size in Canadian waters and can be considered insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. The level of human-caused mortality and serious injury in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown, but believed to be very low relative to the total stock size; therefore, this is not a strategic stock.
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