| Title             | Test-bed for Nanopositioning Technique                       |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                   | Enhancement  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Requestor | Deming Shu   |  |  |  |  |
| Date              | 03-23-2008   |  |  |  |  |
| Group Leader(s)   | John Quintana  |  |  |  |  |
| Machine or Sector | Efim Gluskin and Gabrielle Long                              |  |  |  |  |
| Manager           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category          | Accelerator hardware and insertion device upgrades and x-ray |  |  |  |  |
|                   | science enablers   |  |  |  |  |
| Content ID*       | APS_XXXXXX Rev. ICMS_Revision ICMS Document Date             |  |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>This row is filled in automatically on check in to ICMS. See Note <sup>1</sup>

**Description:** 

| Start Year (FY) | 2009 | <b>Duration (Yr)</b> | 5 |
|-----------------|------|----------------------|---|
|-----------------|------|----------------------|---|

## **Objectives:**

To construct an experimental test-bed for multidimensional nanopositioning technique enhancement with 30-pm special resolution laser interferometric diagnostic tools.

#### **Benefit:**

At APS, the required instrument nanopositioning performances and capabilities, such as resolution, dynamic range, repeatability, stability, and multiple axes synchronization, often exceed the limit of commercial availability. With brighter APS x-ray sources and novel x-ray focusing optics, scientists at the APS will need more customized advanced instruments with state-of-the-art nanopositioning capabilities in next five years. The proposed project will prepare a test-bed to support APS scientists and users to meet challenging nanopositioning needs for their scientific goals. The project will benefit APS users who may use x-ray nanoprobe, high-energy-resolution monochromators and analyzers, and sample manipulators with nanometer-scale resolution and stability. The project will also benefit the development of x-ray resonator for cavity FEL for APS ERL upgrade.

| Risks of Project: See Note <sup>2</sup> |
|---|
| NA                                      |
|   |
|   |

Consequences of Not Doing Project: See Note <sup>3</sup>

NA

Cost/Benefit Analysis: See Note <sup>4</sup>
NA

## **Description:**

Supported by Argonne LDRD program, a 1-D nanopositioning system with laser Doppler displacement meter (LDDM) has demonstrated its 30-pm special resolution and 100-pm closed-loop positioning capability [1]. A prototype of hard x-ray nanoprobe, which is acting as a CNM early user instrument (EUI) with 2-D LDDM-based nanopositioning stages, has been constructed and demonstrated a relative mechanical stability and repeatability of better than 4 nm between its zone plate optics holder and sample holder [2]. The test results from these prototypes have demonstrated the system stability differences between 1-D and 2-D stages, and have showed that the stacked roller-bearing-based-stages are the major sources for higher vibration noise on a multidimensional nanopositioning system.

To overcome the obstacle of developing multidimensional nanopositioning system, an experimental test-bed is needed to explore novel design options and to diagnose and optimize their structural dynamics details.

The test-bed includes a 3-D high-resolution, high-stiffness nanopositioning system with six laser interferometric encoders with 30-pm special resolution. A metal base with acoustic-insulated housing is part of the construction. A sub-nanometer closed-loop resolution is expected for this 3-D nanopositioning test-bed. Cooperated with an existing atomic force microscope head, the test-bed will also have mechanical testing capabilities in subnanometer scale for various commercial and customized nanopositioning devices, such as sample manipulators in nanometer scale. References

- [1] D. Shu, Y. Han, T. S. Toellner, and E. E. Alp, Proc. SPIE Vol. 4771 (2002) pp.78-90.
- [2] D. Shu et al., Proc. 8th Int. Conf. X-ray Microscopy, IPAP Conf. Series 7, (July 2006) 56-58.

# **Funding Details**

**Cost:** (**\$K**)

Use FY08 dollars.

| Year  | AIP | Contingency |
|-------|-----|-------------|
| 1     | 60  | 9           |
| 2     | 80  | 12          |
| 3     | 80  | 12          |
| 4     | 50  | 7.5<br>4.5  |
| 5     | 30  | 4.5         |
| 6     |     |             |
| 7     |     |             |
| 8     |     |             |
| 9     |     |             |
| Total | 300 |             |

Contingency may be in dollars or percent. Enter figure for total project contingency.

**Effort: (FTE)** 

The effort portion need not be filled out in detail by March 28

|      | Mechanical | Electrical |           | Software |      |          |                 |       |
|------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|------|----------|-----------------|-------|
| Year | Engineer   | Engineer   | Physicist | Engineer | Tech | Designer | <b>Post Doc</b> | Total |
| 1    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 2    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 3    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 4    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 5    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 6    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 7    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 8    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |
| 9    |            |            |           |          |      |          |                 | 0     |

#### **Notes:**

<sup>1</sup> **ICMS**. Check in first revision to ICMS as a *New Check In*. Subsequent revisions should be checked in as revisions to that document i.e. *Check Out* the previous version and *Check In* the new version. Be sure to complete the *Document Date* field on the check in screen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> **Risk Assessment.** Advise of the potential impact to the facility or operations that may result as a consequence of performing the proposed activity. Example: If the proposed project is undertaken then other systems impacted by the work include ... (If no assessment is appropriate then enter NA.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> **Consequence Assessment.** Advise of the potential consequences to the facility or to operations if the proposal is not executed. Example: If the proposed project is not undertaken then \_\_\_\_ may happen to the facility. (If no assessment is appropriate then enter NA.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> **Cost Benefit Analysis.** Describe cost efficiencies or value of the risk mitigated by the expenditure. Example: Failure to complete this maintenance project will result in increased total costs to the APS for emergency repairs and this investment of \_\_\_\_ will also result in improved reliability of \_\_\_\_\_. (If no assessment is appropriate then enter NA.)