SSLMC Proposal Ranking Tool

Update! September 2006

Kristin Mabry NOAA Fisheries Analytical Team

Proposal Ranking Tool

What it Is

•Spatially and temporally explicit model

•AHP

SSLMC Expert Judgment

What it's NOT

The "One Tool"

No Benefits or trade-offs analyzed



Hard to judge impacts from proposals that don't "fit" into the model

No anthropogenic effects

Bycatch?

Photo by Rolf Ream, 1993, NMML

Other tools? •White paper on bycatch •Written committee report

Proposal Ranking Tool

A quick review of the variables included

Season, % TAC, Duration, Site-type, proximity, % sites, sub-region, target

Season

DEFINE

 Summer May through September B and C fishing seasons Winter October through April D and A fishing seasons • Winter to Summer shift Summer to Winter shift

Target Species Removals

Slight increase in amount harvested

to 5% of TAC

Moderate increase

to 10% of TAC

Large increase

10% of TAC

No change or a decrease in amount harvested

Duration

- Shorter fishing season relative to status quo DEFINE
- Longer fishing season relative to status quo
- No change



•Summer Rookery - >50 pups counted since 1975 •Summer Haulout - > 200 since 1990 •Summer Other – site does not meet minimum number of observations in the summer to count as haulout or rookery, but is still considered important habitat Winter Rookery – site is a rookery in summer and a a haulout in winter •Winter Haulout – > 100 since 1990 •Winter Other - site does not meet minimum number of observations in the winter to count as haulout or rookery, but is still considered important habitat

Proximity

0-3nm 3-10nm 10-20nm 20+nm Not CH



Percentage of SSL Sites

Within each proximity grouping, how many sites in that region in that proximity category are affected?

1-10%
11-25%
26-50%
51-75%
76-100%

DEFINE – which list of sites? Are all sites the same?

Sub-Region

Western Steller Sea Lion Non-Pup Counts in Alaska 1955-2004



Target Species

Walleye Pollock (Theragra chalcogramma)



Atka Mackerel (Pleurogrammus monopterygius)



Pacific Cod (Gadus macrocephalus)



Proposal Ranking Tool

here comes the hierarchical structure...

Relative significance of proposed changes in fishery regulations						
that pertain to SSL and their prey						
Effects of fishing on fish	h 0.250	Effects c	of fishin	ig on SSL	0.750	
How does fishing alter the prey field? ⁰	Ho .250 S <i>sp</i>	ow sensitive are SL to fishing? Datial/temporal	0.643	How sense SSL to f diet com	sitive are ishing? <i>position</i>) 0.107
Season Summer Winter Summer-Winter Winter-Summer	0.068 0.061 0.061 0.059	Summer Rookery Summer Haulout Summer Other Winter Rookery Winter Haulout	0.175 0.120 0.056 0.126 0.068	Season Sub-region	Summer Winter EGOA CGOA	0.060 0.047
% TAC 1-5% 6-10% >10% No change	Proximity	Vinter Other / 0-3 nm 3-10 nm 10-20 nm 20+ nm	0.000		WGOA EAI/BS CAI WAI Pribs	
Duration Shorter Longer Same duration	% sites	Not CH 1-10% 11-25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%		Target	Pacific co Pollock Atka mac	d kerel
Sept 2006 SSLMC						

Proposal Ranking Tool Sensitivity Analyses

•How does model output change when input categories change? We will test some example proposals to see how the score changes.

•How sensitive is the model output to changes in expert judgments? Interactive selectivity analysis shows the resulting weights of children when the mother node judgment changes.

•How robust is the model output to rank order changes?

Next model report will include results showing that model was fairly robust. A 10% increase in weighting was needed to effect change in rank order.

Expectations of model output?

For an example...

Variable	Hypothetical proposal #1
Season	summer
Target species removals	big increase
Fishing duration	shorter
SSL site types	rookery
Proximity to an SSL site	0-3nm
% of SSL sites affected	76-100%
Season	summer
Geographic sub-regions	WAI
Target fish species	Atka mackerel

Relative significance of proposed changes in fishery regulations							
that pertain to SSL and their prey							
Effects of f	Effects of fishing on fish 0.250 Effects of fishing on SSL 0.750						
How doe alter the p	es fishing prey field? 0.250	Ho SS spa	w sensitive are SL to fishing?	0.643	How sense SSL to f diet com	sitive are ishing? <i>position</i>	e 0.107
Season	Summer 0.068 Winter 0.061 Summer-Winter 0.061 Winter-Summer 0.059	Site-type	Summer Rookery Summer Haulout Summer Other Winter Rookery Winter Haulout	0.175 0.120 0.056 0.126 0.068	Season Sub-region	Summer Winter EGOA CGOA	0.060 0.047
% TAC	1-5% 6-10% >10% No change	Proximity	0-3 nm 3-10 nm 10-20 nm 20+ nm	0.000		WGOA EAI/BS CAI WAI Pribs	
Duration	Shorter Longer Same duration	% sites	Not CH 1-10% 11-25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%		Target	Pacific co Pollock Atka mack	d erel
Sept 2006 SSLMC							

<u>Hypothetical</u> proposal #1	How does fishing alter the prey field?	How sensitive are SSL to fishing? spatial/temporal	How sensitive are SSL to fishing? diet composition		
Weight based on judgments	. <mark>2</mark> 50	. <mark>643</mark>	.107		
Model score	.0191	.0080	.0138		

Total Score = 0.409

Expectations of model output?

Another example...

Variable	Hypothetical proposal #2
Season	winter
Target species removals	slight increase
Fishing duration	longer
SSL site types	other
Proximity to an SSL site	20+nm
% of SSL sites affected	n/a
Season	winter
Geographic sub-regions	CGOA
Target fish species	Pacific cod

Relative significance of proposed changes in fishery regulations							
that pertain to SSL and their prey							
Effects of	Effects of fishing on fish 0.250 Effects of fishing on SSL 0.750						
How do alter the	es fishing prey field? ^{0.250}	Ho SS spa	w sensitive are SL to fishing?	0.643	How sense SSL to f diet com	sitive are ishing? position	e 0.107
Season	Summer 0.068 Winter 0.061 Summer-Winter 0.061 Winter-Summer 0.059	Site-type	Summer Rookery Summer Haulout Summer Other Winter Rookery Winter Haulout Winter Other	0.175 0.120 0.056 0.126 0.068 0.068	Season Sub-region	Summer Winter EGOA CGOA	0.060 0.047
% TAC	1-5% 6-10% >10% No change	Proximity	0-3 nm 3-10 nm 10-20 nm 20+ nm			WGOA EAI/BS CAI WAI Pribs	
Duration	Shorter Longer Same duration	% sites	Not CH 1-10% 11-25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%		Target	Pacific co Pollock Atka mac	od kerel
Sept 2006 SSLMC							

<u>Hypothetical</u> proposal #2	How does fishing alter the prey field?	How sensitive are SSL to fishing? <i>spatial/temporal</i>	How sensitive are SSL to fishing? diet composition	
Weight in model	. <mark>2</mark> 50	. <mark>643</mark>	.107	
Model score	.0015	.0030	.0004	

Total Score = 0.0049

Compare Model Scores

Hypothetical proposal #1

Hypothetical proposal #2

0.409

0.0049

More impact

Less impact

NMML Photo Gallery, 2002