# America's Families and Living Arrangements: 2003 

## Population Characteristics

## INTRODUCTION

The decades-long decline in the proportion of family groups with children that were married-couple families leveled off during the mid-1990s, at about 68 percent from 1996 to 2003
(Figure 1). This change reflects declining divorce rates and reduced nonmarital fertility, especially among teens. Between 1970 and 1996, the median age at first marriage also increased but since 1996 has been fairly stable for both men and women.

Basic trends in household and family composition, living arrangements and marital status of adults, and characteristics of unmarried-couple households are presented in this report.' A new section is included that highlights married-couple families with a stay-at-home parent.

[^0]Figure 1.
Family Groups with Children by
Type of Family Group: 1970 to 2003
Percent


Note: Family groups are family households plus all related and unrelated subfamilies. These subfamilies may consist of either married couples or parent-child units, and the reference person of that family group may be either related or unrelated to the householder.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements: 1970 to 2003.
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## Households

Changes in the number and type of households are influenced by patterns of population growth, shifts in the age composition of the population, and the decisions individuals make about their living arrangements. Demographic trends in marriage, cohabitation, divorce, fertility, and mortality also affect family
and household composition. Moreover, shifts in social norms, values, laws, the economy, and improvements in health also influence how people organize their lives. Individual decisions produce aggregate societal changes in household and family composition.

## Number of households

In 2003, the number of households in the United States reached 111 million (Table 1), up from 63 million in 1970. ${ }^{2}$ The increase in the average growth rate of households, however, declined between the 1970s and the year 2000. Between 1970 and 1980, the growth in the number of households was 1.7 million per year; during the 1980s it declined to 1.3 million per year, and in the 1990s to 1.1 million per year, not different from what it had been during the 1960s. ${ }^{3}$ Since 2000 the growth rate has increased.

## Family and nonfamily households

Traditionally, family households have predominated- 81 percent of all households in 1970 were family households, but the proportion dropped to 68 percent by 2003.

Figure 2 divides family and nonfamily households into various categories: married couples with and without children, other family households, men and women living alone, and other nonfamily households. The most noticeable trend is the decline in the proportion of

[^1]
## Definition Box 1.

A household contains one or more people-everyone living in a housing unit makes up a household. One of the people who own or rent the residence is designated as the householder. For the purposes of examining family and household composition, two types of households are defined: family and nonfamily.

A family household has at least two members related by birth, marriage, or adoption, one of whom is the householder. Family households are maintained by married couples or by a man or woman living with other relatives-children may or may not be present. Family units that do not include the householder are called subfamilies-see Definition Box 2 for more information about subfamilies.

A nonfamily household can be either a person living alone or a householder who shares the housing unit only with his or her nonrel-atives-for example, boarders or roommates. The nonrelatives of the householder may be related to each other (that is, they could be part of an unrelated subfamily).

Reference people are the members of a household around whom family units are organized. In family households, the householder is always the reference person for the primary family, while another member of the household would be the reference person for a related or unrelated subfamily.

Children include sons and daughters by birth, stepchildren, and adopted children of the householder regardless of the child's age or marital status. Own children are a subset of all children-they identify the householder or a family reference person as a parent in a household, family, or family group. In this report, own children are limited to those children who are never-married and under age 18.
married-couple households with their own children from 40 percent of all households in 1970 to 23 percent in 2003.

In contrast, the proportion of households that were made up of married couples without children dropped only slightly over the period-28 percent in 2003, compared with 30 percent in 1970. The third family household compo-nent-families whose householder was living with children or other relatives but had no spouse pres-ent-increased from 11 percent of all households in 1970 to 16 percent in 2003. However, since 1995 the proportion of households that are single-parent families
(included in the other-family households percentage) has been stabilizing (1995 and 2003 were not statistically different, 9 percent in both years). Figure 2 shows these groups as a proportion of all households; the trend parallels that shown in Figure 1 for family groups.

The top three segments of the graph in Figure 2 represent all nonfamily household types. The figure shows that the majority of the increase in nonfamily households was due to the growth in one-person households; that is, people living alone. The proportion of oneperson households increased by

Table 1.
Households by Type and Selected Characteristics: 2003
(In thousands, except average size)

| Characteristic | All households |  | Family households |  |  |  | Nonfamily households |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | 90-percent confidence interval (Standard error) $( \pm)^{1}$ | Total | Married couple | Other families |  | Total | Male householder | Female householder |
|  |  |  |  |  | Male householder | Female householder |  |  |  |
| All households . | 111,278 | 457 | 75,596 | 57,320 | 4,656 | 13,620 | 35,682 | 16,020 | 19,662 |
| Age of householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 to 24 years | 6,611 | 141 | 3,551 | 1,379 | 789 | 1,383 | 3,060 | 1,507 | 1,552 |
| 25 to 34 years | 19,056 | 234 | 13,438 | 9,536 | 1,011 | 2,892 | 5,617 | 3,343 | 2,274 |
| 35 to 44 years | 24,069 | 261 | 18,741 | 14,001 | 1087 | 3,652 | 5,328 | 3,278 | 2,051 |
| 45 to 54 years | 22,623 | 253 | 16,863 | 13,297 | 922 | 2,644 | 5,760 | 2,971 | 2,789 |
| 55 to 64 years | 16,260 | 217 | 11,261 | 9,543 | 413 | 1,305 | 4,999 | 2,023 | 2,976 |
| 65 years and over | 22,659 | 254 | 11,741 | 9,565 | 434 | 1,743 | 10,918 | 2,898 | 8,020 |
| Race and ethnicity of householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only. | 91,645 | 438 | 62,297 | 49,915 | 3,500 | 8,881 | 29,349 | 13,070 | 16,278 |
| Non-Hispanic | 81,166 | 423 | 53,845 | 44,101 | 2,674 | 7,070 | 27,321 | 11,968 | 15,353 |
| Black only | 13,465 | 199 | 8,928 | 4,165 | 762 | 4,000 | 4,538 | 2,043 | 2,495 |
| Asian only | 3,917 | 109 | 2,845 | 2,286 | 223 | 337 | 1,073 | 526 | 547 |
| Hispanic (of any race) | 11,339 | 183 | 9,090 | 6,189 | 872 | 2,029 | 2,249 | 1,228 | 1,021 |
| Size of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 person. | 29,431 | 285 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | 29,431 | 12,511 | 16,919 |
| 2 people. | 37,078 | 315 | 32,047 | 24,310 | 1,992 | 5,745 | 5,031 | 2,660 | 2,371 |
| 3 people. | 17,889 | 227 | 17,076 | 11,526 | 1,403 | 4,147 | 813 | 556 | 257 |
| 4 people. | 15,967 | 216 | 15,672 | 12,754 | 733 | 2,185 | 295 | 212 | 83 |
| 5 people. | 7,029 | 145 | 6,969 | 5,719 | 296 | 955 | 60 | 42 | 17 |
| 6 people.. | 2,521 | 88 | 2,489 | 2,004 | 142 | 344 | 31 | 19 | 12 |
| 7 or more people | 1,364 | 65 | 1,343 | 1,007 | 90 | 246 | 22 | 19 | 2 |
| Average size | 2.57 | 0.01 | 3.19 | 3.22 | 3.11 | 3.12 | 1.24 | 1.32 | 1.17 |
| Number of related children under 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No related children | 72,367 | 408 | 36,685 | 30,261 | 2,240 | 4,183 | 35,682 | 16,020 | 19,662 |
| With related children | 38,911 | 322 | 38,911 | 27,059 | 2,416 | 9,437 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 1 child. | 16,511 | 219 | 16,511 | 10,378 | 1,429 | 4,704 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 2 children. | 14,333 | 205 | 14,333 | 10,800 | 683 | 2,850 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 3 children. | 5,771 | 132 | 5,771 | 4,235 | 220 | 1,317 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 4 or more children | 2,296 | 84 | 2,296 | 1,646 | 84 | 566 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Presence of own children under 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No own children. | 75,310 | 413 | 39,628 | 31,406 | 2,741 | 5,481 | 35,682 | 16,020 | 19,662 |
| With own children | 35,968 | 311 | 35,968 | 25,914 | 1,915 | 8,139 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| With own children under 12 | 26,251 | 271 | 26,251 | 19,168 | 1,295 | 5,788 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| With own children under 6. | 15,584 | 213 | 15,584 | 11,743 | 729 | 3,111 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| With own children under 3. | 9,081 | 165 | 9,081 | 7,014 | 451 | 1,615 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| With own children under 1. | 2,917 | 94 | 2,917 | 2,255 | 181 | 481 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner. | 75,909 | 414 | 57,092 | 47,676 | 2,721 | 6,695 | 18,817 | 7,742 | 11,075 |
| Renter | 33,799 | 303 | 17,604 | 9,007 | 1,873 | 6,724 | 16,195 | 7,951 | 8,244 |
| Occupies without payment.. | 1,570 | 69 | 900 | 637 | 62 | 201 | 670 | 327 | 343 |

X Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ This number, when added to or subtracted from the estimated total number of households in each category or the average household size, represents the 90 -percent confidence interval around the estimate.

Note: Data are not shown separately for the other race groups because of the small sample sizes in the Current Population Survey in the 2003 Annual Social and Economic Supplement.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.

9 percentage points between 1970 and 2003 (from 17 percent to 26 percent), compared with an increase of 4 percentage points in other nonfamily households (from 2 percent to 6 percent) during the same period. Women living alone represented 67 percent of one-person households in 1970. By 2003, men were closing this gap, but women still represented more than half (58 percent) of one-person households.

## Household size

Households have decreased in size, with the most profound changes occurring at the extremes, the largest and smallest households (Figure 3). Between 1970 and 2003, households with five or more people decreased from 21 percent to 10 percent of all households. During the same period, the share of households with only one or two people increased from 46 percent to 60 percent. In addition, between 1970 and 2003, the average number of people per household declined from 3.14 to 2.57 (Table 1). ${ }^{4}$

## Households with children

Households with their own children made up less than one-third of all households in 2003. The decline in the proportion of households with their own children under age 18 is an important component in the overall decline in household and family size over the last 30 years. Households with own children dropped from 45 percent of all households in 1970 to 35 percent in 1990, and 32 percent in 2003.

[^2]Figure 2.
Households by Type: 1970 to 2003
(Percent distribution)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements: 1970 to 2003.

## Married-couple households

In 2003, 57 million married-couple households resided in the United States, representing 76 percent of family households (Table 1). Although married-couple households have increased since 1970, when they numbered 45 million, they grew at a far slower rate than other family households-by an average of 0.8 percent per year, compared with 3 percent per year for other types of family households.

Householders in married-couple households were older than those in other family households. Thirtythree percent of married-couple
householders were at least 55 years old, while about 21 percent of unmarried male and female family householders were this old.

In 2003, more than three-quarters of households maintained by an Asian-only householder and of those maintained by a nonHispanic White-only householder were married-couple households ( 80 percent and 82 percent, respectively). However, a smaller proportion of family households with a Hispanic householder were married-couple households (68 percent), and less than one-half (47 percent) of family households

Figure 3.
Households by Size: 1970 to 2003
(Percent distribution)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements: 1970 to 2003.
with a Black-only householder were married-couple households. ${ }^{5}$

[^3]Changes in fertility, marriage, divorce, and mortality have all

Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches.

For further information on each of the major race groups and the Two or More Races population, see the Census 2000 Brief Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin: 2000 (C2KBR/01-1) and other Census 2000 Briefs, available at <www.census.gov/population /www/cen2000/briefs.html>. Note, however, that the decennial census included the category Some Other Race. The CPS uses only five categories to describe race: White, Black, Asian, American Indian or Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.

Hispanics may be any race and people of every race may be Hispanic. Based on the population 15 years and older in the 2003 CPS ASEC, 3 percent of those who reported only Black and 2 percent of those who reported only Asian were Hispanic.
contributed to declines in the size of American households. Between 1970 and 1990, the number of births to unmarried women relative to those to married women increased, raising the proportion of children living with a single parent. However, in recent years the rate of births to unmarried teenage women has been steadily declining, while the birth rate for all unmarried women aged 15-44 peaked in 1994 and has changed very little since 1995.6 Over this period, the proportion of women remaining childless and delaying childbearing also rose. ${ }^{7}$ Increases in divorce also reduced the size of households. Divorce generally separates one household into two smaller ones. The divorce rate rose rapidly through the 1970s and 1980s and leveled off during the 1990s. ${ }^{8}$ The cumulative effect of these trends was to reduce the average size of households.

Delays in marriage and improvements in the life expectancy and health of the elderly may have mixed effects on the average

[^4]household size. Delays in marriage may increase the number of one-person households if young adults live independently for longer periods, but it may also increase the size of other households if young adults either return to or stay in their parents' households or live with roommates for longer periods before marrying. Better health status of the elderly could increase the number of married couples, if both men and women live longer, or could increase the number of one-person households, as survivors may live independently for longer periods of time.

## Families and family groups

The family is a vital institution in American society. Families are often the first and frequently the last source of support for individuals. To measure the demographic changes and characteristics of families, the Census Bureau developed two different conceptual universes, family households and family groups. Family households are identified by members who are related to the householder. Family units (by marriage or parenthood) in the household that do not directly include the householder are called subfamilies, and they can be either related to the householder or not. An example of a related subfamily is a child and that child's spouse living in the child's parents' household. Married couples or parents and children who are not related to the householder would be unrelated subfamilies. The count of family units regardless of whether the householder is in that "family" is a count of "family groups." In 2003, there were 76 million family households and 79 million family groups (Table 2). The additional family groups were largely related subfamilies (3 million), with

## Definition Box 2.

Households can contain more than one married-couple or single-parent family, and nonfamily households can contain families that are not related to the householder. In 1970, the Census Bureau developed the concept of the family group to count all of these types of families.

Family groups are family households plus all related and unrelated subfamilies. These subfamilies may consist of either married couples or parent-child units. The reference person of the subfamily group may be either related or unrelated to the householder, and if unrelated, live in either a family or nonfamily household. An individual may be counted in two different family groups. For example, a woman may be the daughter of a householder and also the mother of her own daughter living in the household, which would constitute a related mother-child subfamily.

525,000 additional unrelated subfamilies.

In 2003, 49 percent of the 79 million family groups in the United States included own children45 percent of married-couple family groups and 60 percent of non-married-couple family groups included an own child under age 18 (Table 2). As was the case with households, much of the change in the composition of family groups occurred among larger families. For example, among family groups with children, the percentage with four or more children decreased from 17 percent in 1970, to 8 percent in 1980, to 5 percent in 1990 and in 2003. In 2003, 33 percent of married-couple family groups and 31 percent of those with a male reference person included own children under 12 , while 48 percent of family groups with a female reference person included own children under 12.

Reference people in married-couple family groups also had higher levels of completed education than either male or female reference people in other family groups. In 2003, 31 percent of the reference people in married-couple family groups had graduated from
college, and 57 percent had attended college, compared with 14 percent and 43 percent, respectively, for the reference people in other family groups (Table 3). Reference people in married-couple family groups and male-maintained family groups were more likely to be employed (69 percent and 70 percent, respectively) than those in family groups maintained by women (63 percent). Thirty-one percent of reference people in male-maintained family groups and 34 percent of reference people in female-maintained family groups were divorced; another 46 percent in male-maintained, and 36 percent in female-maintained, family groups were never married.

Most married-couple family groups (83 percent) lived in households that were owned or being bought by the householder (Table 2). Much smaller proportions of other types of family groups lived in households that were owned or being bought by the householder ( 59 percent and 51 percent, respectively).

## One-parent family groups

Another way of looking at family change is to examine the marital status of the parents with whom

Table 2.
Family Groups by Type and Selected Characteristics: 2003
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Total | Married couple family groups | Other family groups |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Male reference person | Female reference person |
| All family groups . | 79,210 | 58,586 | 20,624 | 5,001 | 15,623 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family household. | 75,596 | 57,320 | 18,285 | 4,665 | 13,620 |
| Related subfamily | 3,089 | 1,232 | 1,856 | 260 | 1,596 |
| Unrelated subfamily. | 525 | 34 | 491 | 84 | 407 |
| Size of family group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 people. | 36,084 | 25,254 | 10,830 | 2,885 | 7,945 |
| 3 people. | 17,454 | 11,831 | 5,623 | 1,269 | 4,354 |
| 4 people. | 15,457 | 12,887 | 2,571 | 531 | 2,040 |
| 5 people. | 6,703 | 5,721 | 982 | 174 | 808 |
| 6 or more. | 3,512 | 2,894 | 618 | 141 | 477 |
| Number of own children under 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| No own children | 40,363 | 32,141 | 8,222 | 2,741 | 5,481 |
| 1 child. | 17,103 | 10,152 | 6,951 | 1,422 | 5,529 |
| 2 children. | 14,232 | 10,687 | 3,544 | 609 | 2,935 |
| 3 children. | 5,490 | 4,096 | 1,393 | 170 | 1,223 |
| 4 or more children | 2,022 | 1,509 | 513 | 58 | 455 |
| Presence of own children under 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| No own children. | 40,363 | 32,141 | 8,222 | 2,741 | 5,481 |
| With own children | 38,847 | 26,445 | 12,402 | 2,260 | 10,142 |
| With own children under 12. | 28,557 | 19,593 | 8,964 | 1,547 | 7,417 |
| With own children under 6 | 17,127 | 12,014 | 5,112 | 878 | 4,234 |
| With own children under 3 . | 10,023 | 7,206 | 2,817 | 530 | 2,287 |
| With own children under 1 | 3,255 | 2,318 | 937 | 203 | 734 |
| Family income in 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$10,000 | 5,176 | 1,584 | 3,593 | 426 | 3,167 |
| \$10,000-\$14,999 | 3,674 | 1,694 | 1,981 | 309 | 1,672 |
| \$15,000-\$19,999 | 4,433 | 2,385 | 2,048 | 387 | 1,661 |
| \$20,000-\$24,999 | 4,780 | 2,853 | 1,927 | 465 | 1,462 |
| \$25,000-\$29,999 | 4,757 | 3,032 | 1,725 | 388 | 1,337 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 8,822 | 5,988 | 2,835 | 763 | 2,072 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 7,874 | 5,779 | 2,095 | 634 | 1,461 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 15,837 | 13,244 | 2,593 | 889 | 1,704 |
| \$75,000-\$99,999 | 10,288 | 9,308 | 980 | 390 | 590 |
| \$100,000 and over. | 13,567 | 12,720 | 847 | 349 | 498 |
| Tenure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner. | 59,525 | 48,632 | 10,892 | 2,950 | 7,942 |
| Renter | 18,743 | 9,297 | 9,446 | 1,988 | 7,458 |
| Occupies without payment. | 942 | 657 | 285 | 62 | 223 |

X Not applicable.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.
children reside. In 2003, 39 million family groups in the United States included children (Table 2), up from 30 million in 1970.
Single-mother families increased from 3 million in 1970 to 10 million in 2003, while the number of single-father families grew from
less than half a million to 2 million.

The number of two-parent family groups with children remained relatively stable at about 26 million over the same period, but their proportion of all family groups with children declined from 87 percent
in 1970 to 69 percent in 1995, and remained fairly level at about 68 percent from 1996 to $2003 .{ }^{9}$

[^5]Table 3.
Family Groups by Type and Selected Characteristics of the Family Reference Person ${ }^{1}$ : 2003
(In thousands)

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

X Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A family reference person is the person in the household around whom a family unit is defined. This may be the householder, either the husband or wife in a married couple subfamily, or the parent in a parent-child subfamily.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.

Meanwhile, from 1970 to 2003 the proportion of single-mother family groups grew to 26 percent from 12 percent and that of single-father family groups grew to 6 percent from 1 percent. As with marriedcouple family groups with children, since 1996, the percentages of both single-mother and single-father family groups have been fairly level.

Several demographic trends have affected the shift from two-parent to one-parent families. A larger
proportion of births occurred to unmarried women in the 1990s than in the 1960s and 1970s, increasing the proportion of never-married parents. ${ }^{10}$ A partial explanation is that the delay of marriage also increased the likelihood of a nonmarital birth. Another factor was the growth in

[^6]divorce among couples with children. These trends may have important implications for the wellbeing of children, and the programs and policies that relate to welfare, family leave, child care, and other areas of work and family life. Of the 12 million one-parent family groups, the 10 million maintained by women were more likely than the 2 million maintained by men both to include more than one child ( 45 percent compared with 37 percent) and

Table 4.
Single Parents by Sex and Selected Characteristics: 2003
(In thousands)


${ }^{1}$ Married spouse absent includes separated.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.
to have family incomes below the poverty level ( 32 percent compared with 16 percent). Women maintaining one-parent family groups were also more likely than corresponding men never to have married (44 percent and 38 percent, respectively).

Whether the single parent is divorced or never married may be an important indicator of the quality of life for children in these family groups. Children living with
divorced single mothers typically have an economic advantage over children living with those who never married. Divorced parents are, on average, older, have more education, and have higher incomes than parents who never married. ${ }^{11}$ Non-Hispanic White single-mother family groups were more likely to
${ }^{11}$ Martin T. O'Connell, Children with single parents-how they fare, Census Brief, CENBR/97-1, U.S. Census Bureau: Washington, DC, 1997.
be the result of a marital disruption (49 percent were divorced) than an out-of-wedlock birth (31 percent were never married). Black single mothers were the least likely to be divorced (20 percent) and the most likely to be never married (62 percent). Hispanic single mothers were more likely than non-Hispanic White single mothers or Black single mothers to be in a related subfamily (22 percent, compared with 13 percent and 15 percent respectively).

Table 5
Married-Couple Family Groups With Stay-At-Home Parents: 2003
(In thousands)

| Characteristic |
| :---: | 

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.

## Figure 4.

## Reason For Being Out of the Labor Force for the Past Year for Married Mothers and Fathers With Children Under Age 15: 2003



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.

## Stay-at-home parent family groups

Recently, much interest has focused on married couples with children and a "stay-at-home" parent. This term typically describes a family where the father or the mother stays home to care for the children while the other spouse is employed. A 1993 estimate of

2 million stay-at-home fathers, a figure that has been widely publicized, was based on the number of fathers providing the primary care for their children under 15 years old while their spouses were at work. ${ }^{12}$ This number, however,

[^7]included 1.6 million fathers who actually were employed. ${ }^{13}$

In this report, estimates of the number of stay-at-home mothers and fathers caring for children under 15 are based not on the parents' activities as childcare providers but rather on the primary reason they were not in the labor force for the previous 52 weeks. The labor-force-based measure is an item asked on the Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC) to the Current Population Survey (CPS) and allows consistent measures of stay-athome parent families over time.

In 2003, 23 million married-couple family groups included children under 15 years old. Table 5 shows how many had mothers or fathers out of the labor force for the preceding 52 weeks and the primary reason. Most parents in these mar-ried-couple families participated in the labor force for at least one week of the prior year ( 71 percent of mothers and 96 percent of fathers). However, 7 million mothers and 1 million fathers were out of the labor force all year.

The ASEC asked the primary reason why adults were out of the labor force for the entire previous year. Table 5 shows the distribution of these reasons and the percentage of all married-couple family groups with children under 15 that they represent, while Figure 4 shows the proportions of mothers and fathers who reported each reason. In 2003, 6 million ( 88 percent) of the 7 million married mothers out of the labor force said that the primary reason was to
${ }^{13}$ Lynne Casper, My Daddy Takes Care of Me! Fathers as Care Providers, Current Population Reports, P70-59 <www.census.gov /prod/3/97pubs/p70-59.pdf> (U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 1997) and PPL-53Detailed Table 5.

## Definition Box 3.

Marital Status. Marital status, as shown in this report, reflects the person's status at the time of the survey. For example, the category "divorced" represents only those divorced and not remarried at that time. It is not a count of divorces that occurred in 2003, nor a count of all people who have ever divorced in their lifetime. The category "married" includes both adults who lived with their spouse and those who lived apart, including those who were separated. "Unmarried" includes those who had never married or were divorced or widowed at the time of the survey.

Median Age at First Marriage. The median age at first marriage shown in this report is calculated indirectly by estimating the proportion of young people who will marry during their lifetime, calculating one-half of this proportion, and determining the age (at the time of the survey) of people at this half-way mark by interpolation. It does not represent the actual median age of the population who married during the calendar year.

Cohabitation. Since 1995 and in our historical tables since 1996, a category of relationship to the householder has been available
from the Current Population Survey for use in the direct measurement of cohabitation. This category allows respondents to identify an individual in the household as the 'unmarried partner' of the householder. This direct measure replaces a previously derived indirect measure of cohabitation, which inferred the relationship based on the presence of only two unmarried, opposite-sex, unrelated adults in the household. Cohabiting couples in which neither partner is the householder are not tabulated by either of these measures.

The postponement of marriage since 1970 has led to a substantial increase in the percentage of young, never-married adults. The proportion of women 20 to 24 years old who had never married more than doubled between 1970 and 2003-from 36 percent to 75 percent (Table 6). The increase was relatively greater for women 30 to 34 years old, more than tripling, from 6 percent to 23 percent. Changes were also dramatic for men-the proportion of men 20 to 24 years old who had never married increased from 55 percent in 1970 to 86 percent in 2003. Men 30 to 34 years old experienced an increase from 9 percent to 33 percent. However, the majority of men and women in 2003 had been married by the time they were 30 to 34 years old ( 72 percent), and among men and women 65 years old and over, 96 percent had been married.

During most of the time that the median age at first marriage was increasing, divorce was also on the

Figure 5.
Median Age at First Marriage for the Population 15 Years and Over by Sex: 1970 to 2003


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements: 1970 to 2003.
rise, although the rate leveled off during the 1990s. Both of these demographic shifts altered the marital composition of the population (Figure 6). Overall, never-married and divorced men and women composed a larger share of the population in 2003 than they did in 1970, while the proportion currently married declined. For example, 25 percent of women 15 years and older were never married and 13 percent were divorced or separated in 2003, compared with 22 percent and 6 percent, respectively, in 1970. In contrast, 52 percent of women aged 15 and over were currently married in 2003, down from 60 percent in 1970. The same trend
occurred for men, but in all time periods shown in Figure 6, men were more likely than women to be currently married. They were also more likely never to have been married. Women, on the other hand, were more likely than men to have been widowed or divorced. These differences are partially due to higher age-specific mortality among men-thereby leaving more women as widows-and higher rates of remarriage among men than women after divorce. ${ }^{14}$

[^8]
## LIVING ARRANGEMENTS OF YOUNGER AND OLDER ADULTS

## Younger adults

Differences in marriage and divorce patterns by age and sex are reflected in the variety of living arrangements of young adults. In 2003, 55 percent ( 7.6 million) of men 18 to 24 years old lived at home with one or both of their parents. Although women typically marry at younger ages, a sizable proportion in this age group (46 percent) lived at home with at least one of their parents (Table 7). Among people 18 to 24 years old, 9 percent of men and 16 percent of women were married and living with their spouse. In 2003, living alone was not very common among these younger adultsabout 5 percent of men and women did so. Both men and women in this age group were more likely to live with others (cohabit or live with roommates or people other than spouses) or live with their parents than to live alone or with a spouse. In this age group, about 31 percent of men and women lived with people who were neither their spouse nor their parent.

For 25- to 34-year-olds, married life was the most likely ( 52 percent) type of living arrangement. In 2003, 48 percent of men and 57 percent of women in this age group were married and living with their spouse. Living alone also occurred for both men and women at these ages: 11 percent and 8 percent, respectively, in 2003. Some 25- to 34 -year-olds lived with at least one of their parents: 14 percent of men and 7 percent of women.

Figure 6.
Marital Status of the Population 15 Years and Over by Sex: 1970 to 2003


## Women



Note: Married includes both "spouse present" and "spouse absent"; separated are shown with divorced for this figure.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements: 1970 to 2003.

Table 6.
Marital Status of the Population 15 Years and Over by Sex and Age: March 1970 and 2003
(In thousands)

| Sex and Age | 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | March 1970 percent never married ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Married spouse present | Married spouse absent | Separated | Divorced | Widowed | Never married |  |  |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 15 years and over | 225,057 | 117,172 | 3,139 | 4,723 | 21,649 | 13,995 | 64,380 | 28.6 | 24.9 |
| 15 to 19 years. | 20,176 | 257 | 43 | 70 | 39 | 16 | 19,751 | 97.9 | 93.9 |
| 20 to 24 years. | 19,856 | 3,181 | 177 | 213 | 243 | 16 | 16,026 | 80.7 | 44.5 |
| 25 to 29 years. | 18,696 | 8,158 | 308 | 476 | 832 | 50 | 8,872 | 47.5 | 14.7 |
| 30 to 34 years. | 20,505 | 12,268 | 317 | 515 | 1,606 | 80 | 5,720 | 27.9 | 7.8 |
| 35 to 44 years. | 44,025 | 28,633 | 759 | 1,461 | 5,567 | 407 | 7,197 | 16.3 | 5.9 |
| 45 to 54 years. | 40,196 | 27,299 | 606 | 1,056 | 6,478 | 842 | 3,914 | 9.7 | 6.1 |
| 55 to 64 years. | 27,387 | 18,949 | 393 | 550 | 4,157 | 1,779 | 1,558 | 5.7 | 7.2 |
| 65 years and over | 34,217 | 18,427 | 535 | 382 | 2,725 | 10,806 | 1,341 | 3.9 | 7.6 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 15 years and over | 108,696 | 58,586 | 1,651 | 1,905 | 8,976 | 2,697 | 34,881 | 32.1 | 28.1 |
| 15 to 19 years. | 10,241 | 66 | 13 | 37 | 21 | 7 | 10,098 | 98.6 | 97.4 |
| 20 to 24 years. | 9,953 | 1,156 | 78 | 63 | 93 | - | 8,563 | 86.0 | 54.7 |
| 25 to 29 years. | 9,366 | 3,573 | 170 | 171 | 327 | 14 | 5,112 | 54.6 | 19.1 |
| 30 to 34 years. | 10,177 | 5,733 | 187 | 185 | 678 | 21 | 3,371 | 33.1 | 9.4 |
| 35 to 44 years. | 21,702 | 14,045 | 406 | 587 | 2,335 | 88 | 4,242 | 19.5 | 6.7 |
| 45 to 54 years. | 19,578 | 13,704 | 322 | 413 | 2,821 | 202 | 2,117 | 10.8 | 7.5 |
| 55 to 64 years. | 13,158 | 9,970 | 200 | 260 | 1,679 | 292 | 757 | 5.8 | 7.8 |
| 65 years and over | 14,521 | 10,341 | 274 | 190 | 1,022 | 2,074 | 621 | 4.3 | 7.5 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 15 years and over | 116,361 | 58,586 | 1,488 | 2,817 | 12,673 | 11,297 | 29,499 | 25.4 | 22.1 |
| 15 to 19 years. | 9,935 | 193 | 30 | 32 | 18 | 9 | 9,652 | 97.2 | 90.3 |
| 20 to 24 years. | 9,903 | 2,025 | 99 | 150 | 150 | 16 | 7,463 | 75.4 | 35.8 |
| 25 to 29 years. | 9,330 | 4,585 | 138 | 305 | 505 | 36 | 3,760 | 40.3 | 10.5 |
| 30 to 34 years. | 10,329 | 6,535 | 130 | 330 | 928 | 58 | 2,349 | 22.7 | 6.2 |
| 35 to 44 years. | 22,322 | 14,588 | 353 | 875 | 3,233 | 319 | 2,955 | 13.2 | 5.2 |
| 45 to 54 years. | 20,617 | 13,595 | 283 | 643 | 3,658 | 640 | 1,797 | 8.7 | 4.9 |
| 55 to 64 years. | 14,229 | 8,980 | 193 | 290 | 2,478 | 1,487 | 801 | 5.6 | 6.8 |
| 65 years and over | 19,696 | 8,086 | 261 | 192 | 1,704 | 8,732 | 720 | 3.7 | 7.7 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ The 1970 percentages include 14-year-olds, and thus are for $14+$ and 14-19.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.


## Older adults

Among the population 75 years and over, 67 percent of men were living with their spouse in 2003 compared with 29 percent of women in this same age group (Table 7). In contrast, 50 percent of women were living alone, compared with 23 percent of men. The proportion not currently married but living with
either relatives or nonrelatives was about twice as high for women as for men: 22 percent compared with 10 percent.

Among the population 65 to 74 years old, the likelihood of living with a spouse was higher for both men and women than it was among people 75 years and older; in the younger age group, 74 percent of
men lived with their spouse compared with 54 percent of women. Living alone was also less common for people 65 to 74 years old than for people 75 years and older for both men and women. These differences in living arrangements reflect higher male mortality. With increasing age, however, both men and women were more likely to live alone.

Table 7.
Living Arrangements of Younger and Older Adults by Age: 2003
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Number |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| YOUNGER ADULTS |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 18 to 34 years |  |  |  |  |
| Total...... | 33,354 | 33,251 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living alone | 2,934 | 2,411 | 8.8 | 7.3 |
| Living with spouse. | 10,517 | 13,311 | 31.5 | 40.0 |
| Child of the householder - not living with a spouse ... | 10,200 | 7,590 | 30.6 | 22.8 |
| Other living arrangement. | 9,703 | 9,939 | 29.1 | 29.9 |
| 18 to 24 years |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 13,811 | 13,592 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living alone | 722 | 814 | 5.2 | 6.0 |
| Living with spouse. | 1,211 | 2,191 | 8.8 | 16.1 |
| Child of the householder - not living with a spouse.. | 7,569 | 6,215 | 54.8 | 45.7 |
| Other living arrangement. | 4,309 | 4,372 | 31.2 | 32.2 |
| 25 to 34 years |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 19,543 | 19,659 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living alone | 2,212 | 1,597 | 11.3 | 8.1 |
| Living with spouse. | 9,306 | 11,120 | 47.6 | 56.6 |
| Child of the householder - not living with a spouse ... | 2,631 | 1,375 | 13.5 | 7.0 |
| Other living arrangement............. | 5,394 | 5,567 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| OLDER ADULTS |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 65 years and over |  |  |  |  |
| Total.. | 14,521 | 19,695 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living alone | 2,725 | 7,824 | 18.8 | 39.7 |
| Living with spouse. | 10,341 | 8,086 | 71.2 | 41.1 |
| Other living arrangement. | 1,455 | 3,785 | 10.0 | 19.2 |
| 65 to 74 years |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 8,268 | 9,831 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living alone | 1,291 | 2,911 | 15.6 | 29.6 |
| Living with spouse. | 6,141 | 5,257 | 74.3 | 53.5 |
| Other living arrangement. | 836 | 1,663 | 10.1 | 16.9 |
| 75 years and over |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 6,253 | 9,864 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living alone | 1,434 | 4,913 | 22.9 | 49.8 |
| Living with spouse. | 4,200 | 2,829 | 67.2 | 28.7 |
| Other living arrangement. | 619 | 2,122 | 9.9 | 21.5 |

Note: More specific relationship information and information for other age groups is available in Table A2 Family Status and Household Relationship of People 15 Years and Over, by Marital Status, Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 2003 on the U.S. Census Bureau Web site at the following URL: <www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003.html>.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.

## Unmarried-partner households

In 2003, 4.6 million households were classified as unmarriedpartner households; that is, the householder was living with someone of the opposite sex who was identified as their unmarried partner. The proportion of all households that were unmarried-partner households has been steadily
increasing, from 2.9 percent of all households in 1996 to 4.2 percent in 2003 (Figure 7). These figures may under-represent the number of cohabiting couples because only householders and their partners, not all unmarried couples present in a household, are tabulated. Moreover, respondents may be reluctant to classify themselves as cohabiting and may describe
themselves as roommates, housemates, or friends. ${ }^{15}$

[^9]Figure 7.

## Unmarried-Partner Households: 1996 to 2003



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements: 1996 to 2003.

In 2003, 9.2 million men and women lived together in 4.6 million unmarried-partner households (Table 8). Cohabiting women tended to be younger than cohabiting men-24 percent of women and 16 percent of men were under 25. In contrast, 4 percent of married women and 2 percent of married men were this young. The proportion of unmarried partners who were 25 to 34 years old, while considerable (about one-third of men and women), was smaller than the proportion 35 years and older ( 43 percent of women and 50 percent of men). Among married couples, the majority of wives and husbands were 35 years and older ( 77 and 82 percent, respectively).

In 2003, 41 percent of unmarriedpartner households and 45 percent of married couples included children under 18. More unmarried partners with children were under age 35 (64 percent of women and

57 percent of men) than married couples with children ( 37 percent of women and 28 percent of men).

Unmarried partners with children were also more likely to have a high school diploma or less education compared with married couples with children. In 2003, 63 percent of female and 70 percent of male unmarried partners with children had a high school diploma or less, compared with about 40 percent of both men and women who were married with children under 18.

Employment patterns differed for married spouses and unmarried partners with children. The proportion of married women with children and female unmarried partners with children who were employed were not statistically dif-ferent-about two-thirds each. Among men in 2003, a greater proportion of married men with children (91 percent) than male
unmarried partners with children (81 percent) were employed.

In 2003, 80 percent of male partners and 72 percent of female partners in unmarried-partner households were employed. Married men and women were employed less often, 74 percent and 59 percent, respectively. This difference is affected by both the number of older men and women who were retired and by lower labor force participation among married women than among single women.

Table 9 compares men and women who were unmarried partners with married couples. In unmarried-partner households, 29 percent of women had higher levels of education than their partners, compared with 22 percent of wives in married couples. The percentages for unmarried partners with children were not different from those of married couples with children.

Unmarried partners overall were more similar in terms of their labor force status. In 2003, both partners worked in 61 percent of all unmar-ried-partner couple households, compared with 51 percent in married couples. The relationship reverses for couples with children. About 56 percent of unmarried partners with children reported that both partners were employed, compared with 61 percent of married couples with children.

In 19 percent of unmarried-partner households, but 23 percent of married couples, only the male partner was employed. Among couples with children present, only the man was employed in 25 percent of unmarried couples, compared with 30 percent of married couples.

Women in unmarried-partner households were also more likely than married women to earn more than their partners-23 percent of women in unmarried-partner households earned at least $\$ 5,000$ more than

Table 8.
Characteristics of Unmarried Partners and Married Spouses by Sex: 2003
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Unmarried partners |  |  |  | Married spouses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | With children under $18^{1}$ |  | Total |  | With children under $18{ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Total . | 4,622 | 4,622 | 1,877 | 1,877 | 58,586 | 58,586 | 26,445 | 26,445 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 to 24 years. | 751 | 1,121 | 292 | 452 | 1,221 | 2,217 | 702 | 1,355 |
| 25 to 34 years. | 1,577 | 1,490 | 770 | 758 | 9,306 | 11,120 | 6,603 | 8,338 |
| 35 to 44 years. | 1,141 | 983 | 588 | 528 | 14,045 | 14,588 | 11,239 | 11,506 |
| 45 to 54 years. | 689 | 641 | 175 | 131 | 13,704 | 13,595 | 6,668 | 4,864 |
| 55 to 64 years. | 288 | 280 | 42 | 9 | 9,970 | 8,980 | 1,104 | 318 |
| 65 years and over | 174 | 106 | 9 |  | 10,341 | 8,086 | 128 | 63 |
| Race and ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only ........ | 3,713 | 3,755 | 1,420 | 1,466 | 50,822 | 50,590 | 22,367 | 22,306 |
| Non-Hispanic. | 3,103 | 3,146 | 1,051 | 1,083 | 44,628 | 44,313 | 18,423 | 18,299 |
| Black only | 661 | 558 | 343 | 287 | 4,360 | 4,167 | 1,295 | 2,058 |
| Asian only | 96 | 149 | 30 | 38 | 2,384 | 2,744 | 602 | 1,460 |
| Hispanic (of any race). | 679 | 678 | 402 | 421 | 6,599 | 6,701 | 4,227 | 4,295 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school. | 843 | 736 | 452 | 411 | 8,435 | 7,105 | 3,475 | 2,992 |
| High school graduate | 1,799 | 1,627 | 858 | 770 | 17,293 | 19,425 | 7,344 | 7,440 |
| Some college | 1,178 | 1,395 | 420 | 531 | 14,289 | 15,652 | 6,787 | 7,493 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher. | 801 | 863 | 147 | 164 | 18,570 | 16,403 | 8,838 | 8,519 |
| Labor force status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed. . | 3,705 | 3,345 | 1,527 | 1,260 | 43,439 | 34,848 | 24,001 | 17,554 |
| Unemployed | 351 | 249 | 181 | 125 | 1,903 | 1,379 | 1,041 | 751 |
| Not in labor force | 566 | 1,028 | 169 | 492 | 13,244 | 22,359 | 1,403 | 8,140 |
| Earnings in 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Without earnings | 510 | 904 | 177 | 401 | 12,145 | 20,942 | 1,263 | 7,424 |
| With earnings | 4,111 | 3,718 | 1,699 | 1,477 | 46,439 | 37,643 | 25,182 | 19,020 |
| Under \$5,000 | 207 | 370 | 104 | 210 | 1,629 | 3,791 | 579 | 2,236 |
| \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 276 | 360 | 116 | 155 | 1,507 | 3,426 | 592 | 1,884 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 345 | 491 | 163 | 214 | 2,227 | 4,137 | 1,104 | 2,125 |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999 | 487 | 513 | 216 | 188 | 2,843 | 4,013 | 1,564 | 2,045 |
| \$20,000 to \$24,999 | 488 | 497 | 241 | 209 | 3,370 | 4,110 | 1,937 | 2,109 |
| \$25,000 to \$29,999 | 458 | 412 | 198 | 185 | 3,359 | 3,569 | 1,873 | 1,720 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | 766 | 472 | 285 | 158 | 7,440 | 5,665 | 3,977 | 2,771 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | 424 | 266 | 173 | 78 | 5,727 | 3,497 | 3,138 | 1,601 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 421 | 269 | 130 | 65 | 9,530 | 3,695 | 5,340 | 1,658 |
| \$75,000 and over. | 239 | 68 | 73 | 15 | 8,807 | 1,740 | 5,078 | 871 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ May be "own children" of either partner or both partners. Excludes ever married children under 18 years.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.
their partners, compared with 17 percent of married women. In addition, 15 percent of unmarried partners but 31 percent of married couples included men who earned at least \$30,000 more than their partners or wives, respectively. Spouses and unmarried partners also differed by age. In 2003, 22 percent of female unmarried partners but

13 percent of wives were two or more years older than their male partners or husbands, respectively. ${ }^{16}$

[^10]
## Source of the data

The data in this report are from the Annual Social and Economic

[^11]Table 9.
Characteristics of Male-Female Unmarried and Married Couples: 2003
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Unmarried couples |  | Married couples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With children under 18 | Total | With children under 18 |
| Total. | 4,622 | 1,877 | 58,586 | 26,445 |
| Age difference |  |  |  |  |
| Male 6 or more years older than female. | 1,104 | 456 | 11,466 | 5,162 |
| Male 2 to 5 years older than female | 1,306 | 559 | 20,601 | 9,087 |
| Within 1 year of each other. | 1,189 | 476 | 18,830 | 8,770 |
| Female 2 to 5 years older than male | 602 | 244 | 5,379 | 2,608 |
| Female 6 or more years older than male. | 421 | 142 | 2,309 | 818 |
| Race difference ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Same-race couples. | 4,152 | 1,663 | 55,938 | 25,003 |
| Both White only . | 3,558 | 1,369 | 49,725 | 21,826 |
| Both Black only | 521 | 270 | 3,990 | 1,967 |
| Both Asian only | 73 | 24 | 2,223 | 1,210 |
| Interracial couples. | 228 | 47 | 1,043 | 527 |
| Black only/White only | 138 | 30 | 416 | 224 |
| Black only/Asian only | 13 | 4 | 49 | 24 |
| White only/Asian only. | 77 | 13 | 578 | 279 |
| All remaining combinations | 242 | 167 | 1,605 | 915 |
| Hispanic-origin difference ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Both Hispanic. | 514 | 333 | 5,706 | 3,710 |
| Neither Hispanic | 3,778 | 1,387 | 50,992 | 21,632 |
| One Hispanic and one non-Hispanic. | 330 | 156 | 1,888 | 1,102 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| Male more education than female | 1,017 | 399 | 14,030 | 5,552 |
| Male and female same education | 2,261 | 936 | 31,866 | 14,971 |
| Female more education than male | 1,344 | 542 | 12,690 | 5,922 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |
| Male only employed. | 888 | 476 | 13,314 | 7,968 |
| Female only employed | 529 | 209 | 4,723 | 1,520 |
| Neither employed | 389 | 140 | 10,424 | 923 |
| Both employed. | 2,816 | 1,052 | 30,125 | 16,034 |
| Earnings difference in $2002{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Male \$30,000 or more than female . | 708 | 269 | 18,352 | 10,762 |
| Male \$5,000 to \$29,999 higher than female | 1,765 | 788 | 15,580 | 8,581 |
| Within \$4,999 of each other . . . . . . . . . . | 1,093 | 399 | 14,731 | 3,189 |
| Female \$5,000 to \$29,999 higher than male | 824 | 357 | 7,007 | 2,807 |
| Female \$30,000 or more than male | 232 | 63 | 2,917 | 1,106 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ This race comparison is regardless of Hispanic origin. Data are not shown separately for the other race groups because of the small sample sizes in the Current Population Survey in the 2003 Annual Social and Economic Supplement. The mutually exclusive race groups shown in this portion of the table are the most commonly reported single race groups. Other single race groups and those reporting multiple races are included in the "All remaining combinations" group. They may or may not be interracial couples.
${ }^{2}$ This difference does not consider race. People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
${ }^{3}$ Includes people with no earnings or loss.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2003.

Supplement (ASEC) to the 2003 Current Population Survey. The population represented (the population universe) in the ASEC is the civilian noninstitutionalized population living in the United States. Members of the Armed Forces living off post or with
their families on post are included if at least one civilian adult lives in the household. The institutionalized population, which is excluded from the population universe, is composed primarily of the population in correctional institutions and nursing homes
(91 percent of the 4.1 million institutionalized people in Census 2000). Most of the data from the ASEC were collected in March (with some data collected in February and April), and the data were controlled to independent population estimates for March

2003．For annual time series from the CPS，data collected in the 2003 ASEC may be compared with data collected in the March Supplement to the CPS in prior years．

## Accuracy of the estimates

Statistics from surveys are subject to sampling and nonsampling error． All comparisons presented in this report have taken sampling error into account and are significant at the 90 －percent confidence level． This means the 90 －percent confi－ dence interval for the difference between the estimates being com－ pared does not include zero． Nonsampling errors in surveys may be attributed to a variety of sources，such as how the survey is designed，how respondents inter－ pret questions，how able and will－ ing respondents are to provide cor－ rect answers，and how accurately the answers are coded and classi－ fied．The Census Bureau employs quality control procedures through－ out the production process includ－ ing the overall design of surveys， the wording of questions，review of the work of interviewers and coders，and statistical review of reports to minimize these errors．

The Current Population Survey weighting procedure uses ratio
estimation whereby sample esti－ mates are adjusted to independent estimates of the national popula－ tion by age，race，sex，and Hispanic origin．This weighting partially corrects for bias due to undercoverage，but biases may still be present when people who are missed by the survey differ from those interviewed in ways other than age，race，sex，and Hispanic origin．How this weighting proce－ dure affects other variables in the survey is not precisely known．All of these considerations affect com－ parisons across different surveys or data sources．

For further information on statistical standards and the computation and use of standard errors，go to ＜www．census．gov／apsd／techdoc ／cps／cpsmar03．pdf＞or contact Jana Shepherd of the Census Bureau＇s Demographic Statistical Methods Division on the Internet at ＜dsmd．source．and．accuracy＠ census．gov＞．

## MORE INFORMATION

Detailed tables from the 2003 Annual Social and Economic Supplement to the CPS are available on the Internet at the Census Bureau＇s World Wide Web site ＜www．census．gov＞．Once on the
site，click on Subjects A－Z，select＇$F$ ，＇ then select＇Families．＇From the ＇Families＇page，select＇2003 CPS＇and then choose from the list of options．
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[^0]:    The data in this report are from the Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC) to the 2003 Current Population Survey (CPS). The population represented (the population universe) in the ASEC is the civilian noninstitutionalized population living in the United States. Members of the Armed Forces liv ing off post or with their families on post are included if there is at least one civilian adult living in the household. Most of the data from the ASEC were collected in March (with some data collected in February and April), and the data were controlled to independent population estimates for March 2003.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The estimates in this report are based on responses from a sample of the population. As with all surveys, estimates may vary from the actual values because of sampling variation or other factors. All comparisons made in this report have undergone statistical testing and are significant at the 90-percent confidence level unless otherwise noted.
    ${ }^{3}$ Annual estimates of family and nonfamily households are presented in Table HH-1, "Households by Type: 1940 to Present," at the following Internet address:
    <www.census.gov/population/socdemo /hh-fam/tabHH-1.pdf>.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Table HH-4, "Households by Size: 1960 to Present," <www.census.gov/population /socdemo/hh-fam/tabHH-4.pdf>.
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