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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report is not intended as a stand-alone document. It is assumed that the reader has a basic understanding of Ernst (1986). Potential readers who do not, proceed at their own risk.

It has been proposed that the expected overlap of sample PSUs be used as a criterion for selection of a stratification in the redesign for those household surveys that will maximize overlap of PSUs using the procedure of Ernst (1986). In general, computing the exact expected overlap for several stratifications that are being evaluated would require the impractical operation of rumning the entire overlap procedure for each stratum, for each stratification.

A simple formula that provides a reasonable approximation to the overlap probability for each stratum in the new design would be a practical alternative. Such a formula, (2.4), is presented in this report. This formula requires that the initial and new stratifications be known, as well as the probability of selection for each PSU in the new design. (2.4) is an exact expression for the overlap probability when the new selection probability for each PSU in a new design stratum is equal to its initial selection probability. This formula will generally provide a good approximation to the overlap probability if the selection probabilities for the two designs are reasonably close.

## 2. THE APPROXIMATION FORMULA

Although the procedure of Ernst (1986) is presented in much more generality, the work here will be limited to the case when both the initial and new designs are one PSU per stratum. All surveys for which the use of this overlap procedure is under consideration satisfy this case.

Some notation will first be introduced. The notation of Emst (1986) will be used in a modified form arising from the simplifications possible in the one PSU per stratum case.

Let $S$ denote a stratum in the new design and let $r$ denote the number of strata in the initial
design containing PSUs in $S$. For $i=1, \ldots, r$, let $u_{i}$ denote the number of PSUs in $S$ that are in the $i$-th initial stratum, and then for $j=1, \ldots, u_{i}$, let $p_{i j}, \pi_{i j}$ denote the probability of selection in the initial and new designs, respectively, of the $j$-th PSU from the $i$-th initial stratum that is in $S$. Finally, for $i=1, \ldots, r$, let $y_{i}$ denote the probability that the $i$-th initial stratum is selected as the initial stratum on whose sample PSUs the selection probabilities are conditioned.

In general, an upper bound on the probability of overlap when using the procedure of Ernst (1986) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{u_{i}}\left(\left[\min \left\{\pi_{i j}, y_{i} p_{i j}\right\}\right]+\left[p_{i j}\left(\pi_{i j}-\min \left\{\pi_{i j} y_{i} p_{i j}\right\}\right)\right]\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $y_{i}$ 's are set which maximize (2.1). Furthermore, (2.1) is an exact expression for the overlap probability if and only if for all $i, j$ the $i j$-th PSU is never in the new sample when the $i$-th initial stratum is selected and the $i j$-th PSU was not in the initial sample.

In order to obtain a set of $y_{i}$ 's which maximize (2.1), and thus be able to express (2.1) in terms of PSU selection probabilities only, it is generally necessary to run the linear programming procedure in Ernst (1986). However, as will be shown, in the special case when $p_{i j}=\pi_{i j}$ for all $i, j$, an optimal set of $y_{i}$ 's is easily obtained, leading to (2.4), and furthermore, (2.2) holds, so that (2.4) is an exact expression for the overlap probability.

First, however, it will be explained how (2.1) and (2.2) were derived. For each $i, j$, there are three cases in which the $i j$-th PSU can be selected in the new sample, namely:
(a) The $i$-th initial stratum is the selected stratum and the $i j$-th PSU was in the initial sample.
(b) The $i$-th initial stratum is not the selected stratum.
(c) The $i$-th initial stratum is the selected stratum and the $i j$-th PSU was not in the initial sample.

The probabilities that the $i j$-th PSU was in the initial sample for cases (a), (b) and (c) are $1, p_{i j}$ and 0 , respectively. (For (b), this probability is not necessarily $p_{i j}$ if the sampling was not independent from stratum to stratum in the initial design, but $p_{i j}$ is used anyway for lack of a better value. This point is discussed in more detail in Emst (1986).) Consequently, the optimization procedure seeks to maximize the selection of the $i j$-th PSU when (a) occurs, and to minimize its selection when (c) occurs.

Consider now the terms in (2.1). The term within the first set of brackets is the joint probability that (a) occurs and that the $i j$-th PSU is selected in the new sample. This is because $y_{i} p_{i j}$ is the probability of (a) occurring and the $i j$-th PSU will always be selected in this case unless $y_{i} p_{i j}$ exceeds $\pi_{i j}$

The term within the second set of brackets is an upper bound on the joint probability that either (b) or (c) occurs and the $i j$-th PSU is in both samples, and is an exact value if and only if this PSU is never selected in the new sample when (c) occurs. This is because $\pi_{i j}-\min \left\{\pi_{i j}, y_{i} p_{i j}\right\}$ is the probability that (b) or (c) occurs and the $i j$-th PSU is in the new sample. In this event, the conditional probability that this PSU was also in the initial sample is $p_{i j}$ if (c) doesn't occur when this PSU is in the new sample, but is strictly less than $p_{i j}$ otherwise. Thus (2.1) and (2.2) are established.

Returning to the question of finding a set of $y_{i}$ 's which maximize (2.1) when $p_{i j}=\pi_{i j}$ for all $i_{\lambda}$, , first note that in this case (2.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{u_{i}}\left[\left(\pi_{i j}-\pi_{i j}^{2}\right) y_{i}+\pi_{i j}^{2}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]$$
\quad \sum_{j=1}^{u_{i 0}}\left(\pi_{i_{j} j}-\pi_{i_{j}}^{2}\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_{i}}\left(\pi_{i j}-\pi_{i j}^{2}\right), \quad i-1, \ldots, r,
$$
then the set of $y_{i}$ 's defined by $y_{i_{0}}-1, y_{i}-0, i \neq i_{0}$, maximizes (2.3) and the maximum value of (2.3) is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_{i 0}}\left(\pi_{i_{0} j}-\pi_{i_{0} j}^{2}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_{i}} \pi_{i j}^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Furthermore, with this set of $y_{i}$ 's, when the $i j$-th PSU is in the new sample, (c) cannot occur for this $i, j$. This is because for $i=i_{0}$,

$$
\pi_{i_{j} j}-\min \left(\pi_{i 0}, y_{i j} p_{i_{0} j}\right\}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, u_{i},
$$

and hence neither (b) nor (c) can occur, while for $i \neq i_{0}, y_{i}-0$, and hence neither (a) nor (c) can occur. Thus, (2.2) holds and (2.4) is an exact expression for the overlap probability.
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[^0]:    If $i_{0} \varepsilon\{1, \ldots, r\}$ satisfies

