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## Background

BIPS (for Business Interactive Processing System) Graphic Support was originally called CAPS II Graphic Support. CAPS II stood for Census Automated Processing Systems, the II signifying second generation.

The earlier CAPS I had been the development and support of a set of files, programs, and network configurations for the Standard Statistical Establishment Listing (SSEL), in effect a master file of establishments in the economic areas including company affiliation, administrative data, and historical data, with capabilities for retrieving and updating this information.

The second generation or CAPS II effort -- with participation from Agriculture, Business, Construction, and Industry Divisions -- concerned itself with analytical tabulations of Economic Census data. The prototype systems for 1987 were being developed by the interdivisional Analytical Tabulation Review and Edit Referral Committee, initially chaired by Al Barten and later by Mark Wallace, both of Business Division. The ultimate configuration was to be used to produce an electronic listing of the 1987 Census tabulations, following the edit referral phase for individual records. These electronic listings aimed to eliminate the voluminous output of paper formerly required for analyst review, and to replace them with listings directly on display screens. From 600 microcomputers the analysts were to transmit corrections to hard disk for main frame processing, thus short circuiting a difficult and very time consuming cycle necessitated by the former paper corrections, keying,
recycling, and the like. Much of the attention in 1985 was directed to record length, file size, screen content, up and downloading, and other feasibility factors.

Statistical Research Division proposed graphics as an aid for dynamic analysis of the tabulations. By early 1986, it became apparent that the different subject matter Divisions had divergent needs in terms of both hardware and software, so SRD focused on the Business Division application, or as it came to be called, BIPS.

## Core of the BIPS graphics project

The goal remained to construct a paperless tabulation review. Working closely with Business Division analysts, SRD's yraphics programmers familiarized themselves with the overall project development and created graphic outlier identification routines which are the basis of a graphics review system.

This new system, called PLOTLIER, uses a menu of chart choices that will plot the ratios of ' 87 to ' 82 data with the mean and two standard deviation limits shown for:

Establishments by KB
Sales by KB
Establishments by county
Sales by county
Examples of each chart format are included in attachments A through C. Demonstrations of the live system can be provided on request.

This system interactively prompts the user through a selection of menus to choose a particular chart type, whether for establishments or sales, by Kind of Business or county. After displaying a chart on the screen, the operator can elect to see a frequency plot of the same data,
a table of the values that went into that chart or return to the higher level menu. The outliers are very noticeable in this chart layout since not only do they fall outside the standard deviation limits shown on the scatterplot but they are also flagged with the ID of the unit (county or KB code). While pinpointing of individual outlier records enables retrieval for detailed review, the bar-chart presentation permits easy identification of anomalous situations, bi-modal distributions, or suspicious skewness.

Though PLOTLIER was originally written for use on local micro-computers, a version was al so created which pemits portability to any mini-computer that uses standard FORTRAN.

Other Important Contributions
By the summer of 1986 two additional tasks had been identified. First, Mark Wallace requested SRD's assistance in providing some graphical insight into the appropriateness of symmetrical two sigma limits for outlier definition, that is, the assumption of a normal (or Gaussian) bell-shaped distribution. Second, he wanted some exploratory data analysis techniques applied to current-to-current ratios, such as sales to payroll, in addition to the current-to-prior period tests within a single field.

Since Texas had many observations (i.e. counties), SRD requested that state file for experimentation. Business Division provided it. New Jersey was also used as a subject for graphic exploration. The basic data files utilized correspond to Table 8 of the 1982 Census of Retail Trade publications, RC82-A-44 and RC82-A-31 respectively, as well as the corresponding 1977 data in some instances. These were therefore published or "clean" files by county and by $K B$ (kind of business).

In addition to tabular summaries of statistical measures, such as attachments D1 and D2 which compute location measures (mean, median, mid-range) and dispersion measures (range, standard deviation, minimum and maximum, lower and upper quartile, etc.) and distributional measures (third and fourth moments, among others), SRD provided ten kinds of exploratory analytical graphic outputs:

1) scatterplots of values by county; attachments E and F are examples.
2) frequency histograms; attachments $F$ and $G$ are examples.
3) relative histograms; attachments H 1 and H 2 are examples.
4) frequency, or line plots, which are used in much the same way as histograms; attachments J1, J2.
5) percent point plots, which are interpreted in the same way as curnulative density plots; see attachment $K$ for example.
6) draftsman plots, which show the graphic correlation between variables $X$ and $Y$ for each two variables at a time, for all variables of interest; see attachment $L$.
7) probability plots, which assume a certain theoretical underlying distribution and compare it to the observed distribution. A straight line at a 45 degree slope would indicate that the theoretical distribution type is a good fit. Attachment M1 for 1982 Annual Payroll/Establishments shows a fairly straight line but it does not pass through the origin nor have a 45 degree slope so it implies that a chi-square distribution was reasonable but the assumed mean and spread were not ideal. See attachments M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, M7 for examples with assumed Lognormal, Logistic, Extreme Value type 1, Normal, and
chi-square distributions being tested. Probability plots with linear subsegments and curved lines can al so be interpreted.
8) PPCC or probability plot correlation coefficient plots show -at their maximum against the $Y$ axis -- which value of the distribution parameter (X axis) would produce the best match of theoretical and observed distributions. See attachments N1, N2, and N3 for examples assuming Pareto, Tukey Lambda, and Extreme Value type 2 distributions. The Tukey Lambda, for instance, has approximately a . 98 correlation if -.4 is used as the parameter for the hypothetical distribution.

- Correlations that high indicate a strong correspondence between the observed and hypothesized distributions.

9) the starburst plot is a graphical technique for displaying multivariate data. The length of each ray of the star depicts the value of a different variable. In this case each star represents a county in the state, going across the page in alphabetical county order. Each ray of the star is one of several variables:

A Establishments
B Sales
C Annual Payroll
D First Quarter Payroll
E Employees
The first star is labelled with the above letter codes for orientation. The length of each ray (variable) is scaled against the minimum and maximum value observed within the state; a large star reflects a large county, etc. For New Jersey see attachment P1.
10) the nomalized starburst plot is similar to the above but for scale. Small counties are shown too small for close inspection in the regular starburst pattern, but nomalized, the shapes of the stars or multivariate relationships can be studied. Atypical shapes indicate atypical relationships of the variables (without regard for the county size). See Q1 and for Texas Q2. We feel that the starburst plots show great potential for multivariate analysis.

Utility of the Project
PLOTLIER will probably be used by Business Division as part of their outlier identification review for the 1987 Census. To the best of our knowledge, this would be the first use the Bureau has ever made of analytical graphics for interactive data review.

Business Division has not had an opportunity to thoroughly digest the graphical products which were provided as an aid in setting editing limits. It is fairly clear that the $1982 \div 1977$ values cannot all be said to be normally distributed. Mark Wallace's staff will examine these outputs in much more detail when time permits.

The within-year ratios, such as sales to payroll, were run through the outlier identification programs (PLOTLIER) and al so summarized in various of the ten graphic forms just described. We suggested that the data distributions might be better behaved if the ratios were computed as ratios of means rather than the mean of ratios, but in fact neither the mean nor the limits bore any obvious relation to the distribution when that was attempted. On the other hand, when ratios with a zero in
the numerator (or denominator) were excluded from the computations, the mean and limits did appear to relate to the graphic distribution. We look forward to the time when Business Division personnel can study the graphs more fully, so that we can interact with them.

The following chart style could be used to analyze the outlier:

Sales
Annual Payroll
Employment


This chart uses one line for each of the three categories. The scale is not identified by values, but it extends the full range from its min to its max. The two tics projecting from the double line reflect the $s t a n d a r d$ deviations from the mean. The lower case letters show the position of the establishment from the 1982 census and the upper case letters show their current status. If the establishment was not tabulated in the 1982 census then a lower case letter will not appear. Up to 26 outliers (A-Z) can be displayed on one chart.

Attachment B


State: 34 Frequency Plot for Sales $\quad 1$ Mean: 0.92 CO's Across Kind of Business: 52 ff $\quad$ St Dev: 0.65 - 1.1 !


## Attachment C



State: 34 Frequency Plot for Establishments KB's Across County: 035 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Y Mean: } & 1.09 \\ \text { St Dev: } & 0.82-1.37\end{array}$


```
itle nj - kb 53 - sales ratio
THE TITLE HAS JUST BEEN SET TO
    NJ - KB 53 - SALES RATIO
>summary
SUMMARY
NUMBER OF OBSERUATIONS =

\section*{*********************************************************************** \\ * LOCATION MEASURES * DISPERSION MEASURES * *}
```

***************************************************************************

* MIDRANGE $=.1072945+001 *$ RANGE $=.9722500+000$ *
* MEAN * $1011954+001$ *TAND. DEU . $2121337+000$
* MIDMEAN - . $1070532+001$ * AU. AB. DEU. . $1462924+000$
* MEDIAN - .1015860+001 * MINIMUM *

```

```

***********************************************************************

* RANDOMNESS MEASURES $\quad$ * STRIBUTIONAL MEASURES *

```


```

j

```
```

TITLE ammal payroll
THE TITLE HAS JuST BEEN SET TO
zsummary x3

```

SUMMARY
number of observations -
254

```

\$

```


```

    MIDRANGE = .1032044+007 * RANGE = . 2064089+007
    HEAN . .37039604 STAND. DEUN . 1662460+006
    MIDNEAN = .3666783+085 * AU. AB. DEU. = .3479511+005
    HEDIAN = .5672000+004 * MINIMUM . .0008000
    .5672000+004 * MINIMLMM * .0000000
        OUER QUART. = .1958500+004
        LOWER HINGE* = :1996000+004
        UPPER HINGE = .1996000+004
        UPPER HINGE = .1544300+005
        UPPER QUART. = . 1598825+005
    ```



```

    MUTOCO COEF: -.7435275-002 * ST. 3RD MOM. E .9419373+001 
    ```





NEW JERSEY - KB 53 GENERAL MERCHANDISE


sales/anmual payroll
,

gales/annual payroll

sales/annual payroll


SALES/ESTABLISHMENTS





KB 53 GENERAL MERCHANDISE




ANNUAL PAYROLL/ESTABLISHMENTS


NEW JERSEY - KB 33 GENERAL MERCHANDISE


NEW JERSEY - KB 53 general merchandise


NEW JERSEY - KB 53 general merchandise




ANUAL PAYROLL/ESTABLISHENS


CHI-SQUARED PROBABILITY PLOT

NEW JERSEY - KB 53 general merchandise


NEW Jersey - KB 53 general merchandise

new Jersey - Kb 53 general merchandise


NJ KB 53 0-1 ONLY



NORMALIZED
 \(-\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \theta \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes-\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\Leftrightarrow \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \theta \otimes \otimes \theta \theta-\phi \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\Leftrightarrow \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \theta \otimes \theta \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(-\phi \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \theta \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\) \(\otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes \otimes\)```

