Microscopy-Today, Vol 6 (2003), Pge 8-12

The Scanning Confocal Electron Microscope

Nestor J. Zaluzec
Materials Science Division,
Electron Microscopy Center
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA
Zaluzec@aaem.amc.anl.gov

Abstract

The Scanning Confocal Electron Microscope, is an instrument which permits the observation and characterization of sub-surface structures of thick, optically opaque materials at nanometer level resolutions. The instrument merges the capabilities of the scanning, transmission and x-ray microscopes, and achieves unprecedented resolutions in optically dense materials, by implementing the technology of confocal imaging into an electron microscope.

Introduction

In today's technologically driven society, many important electronic, magnetic, and photonic devices are being manufactured at a continuously decreasing scale. To maximize component density and further decrease size, such devices also are being fabricated as multi-layered , partially metallized structures. A well known example is the microelectronic device/ integrated circuit, which can have from one to five or more layers in a structure that may be only 2-10 microns thick (figure 1). Within the individual layers of this device, important features can range in size from about 100 micrometers to 10's of nanometers. This regime of materials, thickness and resolution is beyond reach of conventional optical microscopy, but is critically important to the materials science, microelectronics and the new emerging nanoscience communities.



Figure 1 Cross-section of a typical semiconductor device, illustrating layered structure.

Unfortunately, the imaging of sub-micron, sub-surface features of thick optically dense materials at high resolution has always been a difficult and/or time consuming task in materials research. As a result, this role is

often relegated to technologically complex and expensive instrumentation having highly penetrating radiation, such as the synchrotron-based Scanning Transmission X-ray Microscope (STXM) or involves the careful preparation of thin cross-section slices for study using the Transmission/Scanning Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM/STEM).

The Scanning Confocal Electron Microscope (SCEM), is an electron-optical implementation of the Scanning Confocal Optical Microscope (SCOM) which permits the observation and characterization of sub-surface structures of thick, optically opaque materials [Zaluzec 2003]. The device merges the concept of confocal imaging with the ease of use of the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), the penetration ability of the scanning, transmission and x-ray microscopes, and achieves unprecedented resolutions in optically dense materials. It provides both large fields of view as well as a nanometer scale spatial resolution. The SCEM functions as much as 100 times faster than the STXM, yet can be constructed for only a fraction of the cost.

The SCEM differs from its optical counterpart in the sense that all optical microscopes, scanning enabled or not, employ visible (or near visible) light as both their illumination and detection signals. This restricts the SCOM and its family of related instruments to the study of materials which are optically transparent for the wavelengths employed. To-date, this has resulted in SCOMs being adopted as an imaging tool mainly in the life science community. The use of SCOM in non-optically-transparent materials in the materials science community has as a consequence been relegated mainly to imaging only the surfaces of solids, a task which is often accomplished using the SEM because of it's generally superior resolution.

The Instrument

We have over the last few years, modified the ANL AAEM [Zaluzec-1991], and then developed and refined the technology in order to implement the principles of confocal imaging into the electron optical column as illustrated in figure 2. The basic components of the SCEM consist of: a high brightness, high voltage (50-300 kV), field emission electron source and aperture system (1), at least one illumination lens(2), the sample and stage (3), at least one imaging lens (4), the detector aperture and detector (5), illumination scanning system (6) and de-scanning system (7). Ancillary detectors can be also added to the instrument to augment the SCEMs

characterization capabilities using such techniques as x-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS), however these are not required to implement the SCEM mode, they are, however, valued peripherals.

In brief, in a confocal microscope, the source(1), specimen(3) and detector(5) are conjugate image points in a optical system. Imaging is accomplished by adjusting the illumination and detection lenses to bring the source, sample, and detector into focal conjunction. As the illumination is scanned across the specimen, a position resolved de-scanned signal is developed from the intensity measured on the conjugate detector [Minsky 1961] . This signal is subsequently used to modulate a computationally synchronized display producing an image. The experienced microscopist will note the similiarity to STEM mode in figure 1, this is not a coincidence, as STEM is a subset of the more general SCEM mode, the difference being in the configuration and implementations used for the post specimen lenses.



Figure 2. Schematic Diagram of the principle components of the ANL SCEM.

The use of confocal mode with its appropriate aperturing, lens setting, scanning and de-scanning systems allows the optimization of signal collection and most importantly the removal of spurious or undesirable scattering which blurs and/or obscures image details. In implementing the confocal mode, one substantially extends the range and thickness of a material from which usable images can be obtained, when compared to conventional electron microscopy methods. This is elucidated further by the examples which follow.

Comparison of the SCEM with other Instruments

The principle functionality of SCEM at this time, resides in its ability to image subsurface features in extremely thick materials. In figure 2, we demonstrate this capability by first comparing low magnification images of an integrated circuit bonding pad (~ 80 x 80 microns) on a self supporting ~ 10 micron thick substrate. Figure 2a presents an optical image of the

bond pad, while figure 2b the corresponding SEM image of the same area. Both of these micrographs illustrate the problem of using visible light or secondary electrons. Although surface details are well resolved, sub-surface structures are obscured. Figure 2c is a low magnification 300 kV TEM image of the same bond pad illustrating the blurring which results from multiple inelastic scattering sources which obscure the image detail and rendering the image virtually useless. Figure 2d, presents the corresponding SCEM image, dramatically illustrating the how the confocal mode, minimizes the blurring action of the scattering and permits the direct observation of the sub-surface features.



Figure 2. Comparison of Optical (a), SEM (b), TEM (c) and SCEM(d) images of the same bond pad in a semiconductor device.

Figure 3 presents a higher resolution example taken from a thick (~ 4 micron) multilayered microelectronic device and compares the SEM (3a), TEM (3b) and the SCEM (3c) modes. As in the previous case, we see that the SEM produces a very detailed image of the surface of the device, but little else. The TEM, hints at the subsurface structure (the dark vias along the conduction paths) but the yields virtually no usable information about the internal structure of sample due to the extreme blurring caused by multiple scattering processes. The SCEM image (3c), however, provides images of a wealth of sub-surface features, including the interconnect layers and the vias between layers all of which are undetected by both SEM



Figure 3. Comparison of SEM (a), TEM (b), SCEM (c) and EF-STEM (d) images of an integrated circuit.

and TEM. For comparison, figure 3d presents an energy filtered (elastic) STEM image of the same area, which is devoid of signal as the mean energy loss of this area exceeds 2 keV, the corresponding energy filtered TEM image will be similar.

Because of the lack of useable information derived from TEM imaging of thick specimens such as these, the STXM has frequently been the instrument of choice for imaging of buried structures in integrated circuits. Having a spatial resolution of ~ 130 nm [Levine etal 2000)] it requires minimal specimen preparation when compared to cross-sectional TEM and has been used successfully for the study of submicron structures relevant to the microelectronics community.

We next compare in figure 4, high resolution images of an electromigration void formed in an integrated circuit having undergone accelerated life testing. The void in the conduction path was formed in buried Titanium-Nitride (TiN) coated Aluminum (Al) wires (~ 1000 nm wide) which are interconnected by Tungsten (W) vias all of which were located ~5 microns beneath the surface of a ~10 micron thick specimen. Figure 4a, shows the SEM image of the area of interest, in which one can only observe locating fiducial marks produced by a Focussed Ion Beam (FIB) instrument. In figure 4b, we present the STXM image of the same area in which one clearly resolves the two level metallization stack, the interconnect lines, the vias, the FIB marks and the extended void which has formed in the lower wire. Figure 4c, presents the corresponding SCEM image. It is pertinent to point out that the images shown in figure 4 were all acquired from the same specimen, without any additional preparation. Furthermore, while the image quality of the STXM and SCEM images are comparable, it should be appreciated that the STXM image was acquired the use of a Synchrotron Beam Line at the ANL Advanced Photon Source. The acquisition time of the 301 x 301 pixel STXM image was ~ 60 minutes, and the necessitated the coordinated efforts of synchrotron beam line operators, technicians, and the individual scientists involved in the measurements, all of which was necessarily conducted at a large multi-user multi-million dollar national user facility. In contrast, the SCEM image was measured by a single person working alone, in the relative convenience of a standard laboratory space , the data was acquired at

higher definition (pixel density) and at acquisition times typical of a conventional high resolution SEM imaging.



Figure 4. Comparison of SEM (a), STXM(b) and SCEM(c) images of an electromigration void.

Ultimately, the resolution of the SCEM is limited by probe scattering in transmission mode. Figure 5, plots the experimental resolution of the prototype instrument in a multilayer semiconductor device as a function of total thickness. We have operated the instrument from 100-300 kV and as one would expect resolution in thick specimens is best at the higher accelerating voltage. Currently, the use of SCEM for depth sectioning is limited by the effective aperture angles of the illumination and detection lens systems in the ANL instrument, work in this area is in on-going and should

improve with developments in aberration correction such as those proposed in the TEAM project [Kabius etal. 2003] .



Figure 5.
Experimental measurements of resolution as a function of specimen thickness in the ANL SCEM. Eo=300 kV (single pre/post specimen lens mode).

Concluding Thoughts

Since the days of van Leeuwenhoek , we have used the capabilities of the ever evolving microscope as a resource for the study of the structure of the world around us. We have seen the simple optical microscope expand into a huge and diverse range of instrumentation. From the macroscopic to the atomic, we have the ability today to view the world using an a ever growing repertoire of instruments, each of which has a niche in term of the application for which it was originally conceived, and sometimes an even more surprising niche in terms of how innovative researchers have adapted the technology and applied it to a whole new class of problems of relevance in today's world.

The SCEM has, at the present time, a well defined operational mode and also a well defined application for which it is well suited to tackle, namely the study of the sub-surface features in multi-layered electronic, magnetic, and photonic devices. In the ever growing rush to capitalize on nanoscale technology, each and every discovery and /or fabricated material will need to be investigated in detail, the SCEM is one of the technologies which will be used to facilitate this.

It remains an unerring truth that every advance in instrumentation for microstructural observation has ultimately opened a new doorway to studying and solving the vexing problems which we face at the frontier of today's technologically complex materials. Each time a barrier restricting the study of a some regime of material is lifted scientists have been able to learn new and exciting things and expanded our corresponding knowledge and understanding. The SCEM represents the latest tool in the arsenal of instrumentation for materials characterization which now available to the scientific community.

References:

Kabius, B., Allen C., Miller D., “Aberration Correction for Analytical In-situ TEM the NTEAM Concept” , Proc. of Microscopy & Microanalysis 2002

Levine, Z. , etal.. “Tomography of Integrated Circuit Interconnect with an Electromigration Void”, J. of Applied Physics. Vol. 87, No. 9, 2000

Minsky, M. , US Patent # 3,013,467, (1961) ; Minsky, M., Scanning 10, pge 128-138 (1988)

Zaluzec, N.J. “The ANL Advanced Analytical Electron Microscope” in MicroBeam Analysis-1991, San Francisco Press, 1991, Ed. D. Howitt ;

Zaluzec, N.J. , US Patent # 6,548,810 -0 (2003).

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the U.S. DoE under contract BES-MS W-31-109-Eng-38 at ANL