## Chapter 5.

Geographic Distribution

## Geographic Changes in the Elderly Population, 1980-90

The South and West Regions Experienced Largest Percent Increase in Elderly and in Oldest Old Population During the 1980's

Over the decade of the 1980's, the largest percent increases in elderly population (65 years and over) were mostly in the West, particularly the Mountain States, and in the South, especially the South Atlantic States of Florida, South Carolina, and Delaware (figure 5-1, table 5-1). The percent change in the elderly population during the 1980's ranged from a low of 4 percent in Washington, DC to a
high of 93 percent in Nevada. The South and West regions also experienced the largest percent increases in the oldest old population in the 1980's (table 5-2).

Every State's elderly population and oldest old population increased during the 1980's. The proportion elderly and the proportion oldest old of the total population of each State also rose between 1980 and 1990 (table 5-3).

The regional relocation of the elderly to the South and West has been occurring among the younger elderly since the 1960's and among the older elderly since the 1970's. In addition
to the older adult migrants to these areas generally tending to be among the young old, they also have tended to be relatively well-educated and relatively well-off financially. ${ }^{1}$ As a result, such migrants tend to rejuvenate and enrich the older population of the receiving States. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]Figure 5-1.


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, unpublished data consistent with U.S. Population Estimates, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1980 to 1991, Current Population Reports, P25-1095, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1993.

293Table 5-1.
Percent Change of Population 65 Years and Over by Region, Division, and State: 1980 and 1990

| Region, division, and State | Number |  | Change, 1980-90 | Percent change, 1980-90 | Region, division, and State | Number |  | Change,$1980-90$ | Percent change, 1980-90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1990 |  |  |  | 1980 | 1990 |  |  |
| United States | 25,549,544 | 31,078,895 | 5,529,351 | 21.6 | West North |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 6,071,865 | 6,948,232 | 876,367 | 14.4 | Central-Con. Nebraska . | 205,684 | 222,667 | 16,983 | 8.3 |
| New England | 1,520,446 | 1,761,658 | 241,212 | 15.9 | Kansas | 306,344 | 341,977 | 35,633 | 11.6 |
| Middle Atlantic | 4,551,419 | 5,186,574 | 635,155 | 14.0 | South At | 367,143 | 801,662 | 9 | 32.8 |
| Midwest | 6,692,026 | 7,725,193 | 1,033,167 | 15.4 | Delaware | 59,179 | 80,285 | 21,106 | 35.7 |
| East North Central | 4,493,259 | 5,280,452 | 787,193 | 17.5 | Maryland. | 395,607 | 514,359 | 118,752 | 30.0 |
| West North Central. | 2,198,767 | 2,444,741 | 245,974 | 11.2 | District of Columbia | 74,287 | 77,084 | 2,797 | 3.8 |
| South | 8,487,699 | 10,668,679 | 2,180,980 | 25.7 | Virginia | 505,299 | 661,388 | 156,089 | 30.9 |
| South Atlantic | 4,367,143 | 5,801,662 | 1,434,519 | 32.8 | West Virginia | 237,948 | 267,830 | 29,882 | 12.6 |
| East South Central . | 1,656,780 | 1,920,425 | 263,645 | 15.9 | North Carolina | 603,039 | 800,199 | 197,160 | 32.7 |
| West South Central | 2,463,776 | 2,946,592 | 482,816 | 19.6 | South Carolina | 287,361 | 394,049 | 106,688 | 37.1 |
| West. | 4,297,954 | 5,736,7 | 1,438,837 | 33.5 | Georgia. | 516,722 | 650,542 | 133,820 | 25.9 |
| Mountain. | 1,061,036 | 1,516,439 | 455,403 | 42.9 | Florida | 1,687,701 | 2,355,926 | 668,225 | 39.6 |
| Pacific. | 3,236,918 | 4,220,352 | 983,434 | 30.4 | East South Central. | 1,656,780 | 1,920,425 | 263,645 | 15.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kentucky. | 409,826 | 464,999 | 55,173 | 13.5 |
| New England | 1,520,446 | 1,761,658 | 241,212 | 15.9 | Tennessee | 517,584 | 616,143 | 98,559 | 19.0 |
| Maine | 140,997 | 162,862 | 21,865 | 15.5 | Alabama | 440,014 | 519,898 | 79,884 | 18.2 |
| Vermont | 58,166 | 65,887 | 7,721 | 13.3 | Mississippi | 289,356 | 319,385 | 30,029 | 10.4 |
| New Hampshire | 102,967 | 124,524 | 21,557 | 20.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 726,531 | 815,005 | 88,474 | 12.2 | West South Central | $2,463,776$ 312,474 | $2,946,592$ 348,783 | 482,816 36,309 | 19.6 |
| Rhode Island | 126,922 | 149,749 | 22,827 | 18.0 | Arkansas. | 312,474 404,320 | 348,783 466,419 | 36,309 62,099 | 11.6 15.4 |
| Connecticut. | 364,863 | 443,631 | 78,768 | 21.6 | Louisiana | 404,320 376,142 | 466,419 422,956 | 62,099 46,814 | 15.4 12.4 |
| Middle Atlantic | 4,551,419 | 5,186,574 | 635,155 | 14.0 | Texas | 1,370,840 | 1,708,434 | 337,594 | 24.6 |
| New York | 2,160,767 | 2,340,113 | 179,346 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey. | 859,780 | 1,025,021 | 165,241 | 19.2 | Mountain | 1,061,036 | 1,516,439 | 455,403 | 42.9 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,530,872 | 1,821,440 | 290,568 | 19.0 | Montana | 84,559 | 106,197 | 21,638 | 25.6 |
| East North Central . | 4,493,259 | 5,280,452 | 787,193 | 17.5 | Wyoming. | 37,175 | 46,966 | +,791 | 26.3 |
| Ohio | 1,169,454 | 1,402,841 | 233,387 | 20.0 | Colorado. | 247,360 | 328,364 | 81,004 | 32.7 |
| Indiana | 585,384 | 693,937 | 108,553 | 18.5 | New Mexico | 115,931 | 161,900 | 45,969 | 39.7 |
| Illinois | 1,261,992 | 1,429,420 | 167,428 | 13.3 | Arizona | 307,347 | 476,016 | 168,669 | 54.9 |
| Michigan . | 912,242 | 1,104,101 | 191,859 | 21.0 | Utah | 109,220 | 149,482 | 40,262 | 36.9 |
| Wisconsin. | 564,187 | 650,153 | 85,966 | 15.2 | Nevada. | 65,756 | 126,613 | 60,857 | 92.5 |
| West North Central. | 2,198,767 | 2,444,741 | 245,974 | 11.2 | Pacific | 3,236,918 | 4,220,352 | 983,434 | 30.4 |
| Minnesota. | 479,564 | 545,870 | 66,306 | 13.8 | Washington. | 431,581 | 572,914 | 141,333 | 32.7 |
| lowa | 387,584 | 425,666 | 38,082 | 9.8 | Oregon | 303,336 | 389,765 | 86,429 | 28.5 |
| Missouri | 648,127 | 715,508 | 67,381 | 10.4 | California | 2,414,304 | 3,111,851 | 697,547 | 28.9 |
| North Dakota | 80,445 | 90,939 | 10,494 | 13.0 | Alaska. | 11,547 | 22,095 | 10,548 | 91.3 |
| South Dakota | 91,019 | 102,114 | 11,095 | 12.2 | Hawaii. | 76,150 | 123,727 | 47,577 | 62.5 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, unpublished data consistent with U.S. Population Estimates, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1980 to 1991, Current Population Reports, P25-1095, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1993.

Table 5-2.
Percent Change of Population 85 Years and Over by Region, Division, and State: 1980 and 1990

| Region, division, and State | Number |  | Change, 1980-90 | Percent change, 1980-90 | Region, division, and State | Number |  | Change, 1980-90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { change, } \\ & \text { 1980-90 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1990 |  |  |  | 1980 | 1990 |  |  |
| United States. | 2,240,178 | 3,021,425 | 781,247 | 34.9 | West North Central-Con. |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 546,516 | 693,231 | 146,715 | 26.8 | South Dakota | 10,427 | 13,213 | 2,786 | 26.7 |
| New England | 151,402 | 190,414 | 149,012 | 25.8 | Nebraska | 23,744 33,474 | 28,918 | 5,174 8,358 | 21.8 |
| Middle Atlantic | 395,114 | 502,817 | 107,703 | 27.3 | Kansas. |  |  | 8,358 | 5.0 |
| Midwest | 649,419 | 828,541 | 179,122 | 27.6 | South Atlantic | 326,955 | 504,210 | 177,255 | 54.2 |
| East North Central | 414,833 | 530,728 | 115,895 | 27.9 | Maryland | 5,269 32,665 | 7,005 | 1,736 12,931 | 32.9 39.6 |
| West North Central | 234,586 | 297,813 | 63,227 | 27.0 | District of Columbia | 6,385 | 7,590 | 1,205 | 18.9 |
| South | 663,816 | 971,892 | 308,076 | 46.4 | Virginia | 41,131 | 58,829 | 17,698 | 43.0 |
| South Atlantic | 326,955 | 504,210 | 177,255 | 54.2 | West Virginia. | 19,439 | 25,064 | 5,625 | 28.9 |
| East South Central | 134,004 | 182,232 | 48,228 | 36.0 | North Carolina | 45,197 | 68,647 | 23,450 | 51.9 |
| West South Central | 202,857 | 285,450 | 82,593 | 40.7 | South Carolina | 20,062 | 29,999 | 9,937 | 49.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Georgia | 39,434 | 56,013 | 16,579 | 42.0 |
| West | 380,427 | 527,761 | 147,334 | 38.7 | Florida | 117,373 | 205,467 | 88,094 | 75.1 |
| Mountain | 86,306 | 130,552 | 44,246 | 51.3 | East South Central | 134,004 | 182,232 | 48,228 | 36.0 |
| Pacific. | 294,121 | 397,209 | 103,088 | 35.0 | Kentucky ....... | - 35,033 | 45,716 | 10,683 | 30.5 |
| New England | 151,402 | 190,414 | 39,012 | 25.8 | Tennessee | 41,443 | 57,745 | 16,302 | 39.3 |
| Maine . | 14,130 | 17,956 | 3,826 | 27.1 | Alabama. | 34,019 | 47,282 | 13,263 | 39.0 |
| New Hampshire | 9,650 | 13,075 | 3,425 | 35.5 | Mississippi | 23,509 | 31,489 | 7,980 | 33.9 |
| Vermont | 6,007 | 7,424 | 1,417 | 23.6 | West South Central | 202,857 | 285,450 | 82,593 | 40.7 |
| Massachusetts | 73,908 | 90,339 | 16,431 | 22.2 | Arkansas | 26,354 | 34,534 | 8,180 | 31.0 |
| Rhode Island. | 11,978 | 15,640 | 3,662 | 30.6 | Louisiana | 30,545 | 42,382 | 11,837 | 38.8 |
| Connecticut | 35,729 | 45,980 | 10,251 | 28.7 | Oklahoma | 33,980 | 45,084 | 11,104 | 32.7 |
| Middle Atlantic | 395,114 | 502,817 | 107,703 | 27.3 | Texas | 111,978 | 163,450 | 51,472 | 46.0 |
| New York. | 192,983 | 241,008 | 48,025 | 24.9 | Mountain | 86,306 | 130,552 | 44,246 | 51.3 |
| New Jersey | 72,231 | 93,194 | 20,963 | 29.0 | Montana. | 8,837 | 10,549 | 1,712 | 19.4 |
| Pennsylvania. | 129,900 | 168,615 | 38,715 | 29.8 | Idaho | 8,476 | 11,264 | 2,788 | 32.9 |
| East North Central. | 414,833 | 530,728 | 115,895 | 27.9 | Wyoming | 3,473 | 4,451 | 978 | 28.2 |
| Ohio | 108,425 | 136,156 | 27,731 | 25.6 | Colorado | 24,365 | 32,540 | 8,175 | 33.6 |
| Indiana | 54,410 | 70,945 | 16,535 | 30.4 | New Mexico | 8,784 | 13,888 | 5,104 | 58.1 |
| Illinois | 114,710 | 144,970 | 30,260 | 26.4 | Arizona. | 19,879 | 37,090 | 17,211 | 86.6 |
| Michigan | 81,652 | 105,170 | 23,518 | 28.8 | Utah | 8,852 | 13,443 | 4,591 | 51.9 |
| Wisconsin | 55,636 | 73,487 | 17,851 | 32.1 | Nevada. | 3,640 | 7,327 | 3,687 | 101.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Pacific. | 294,121 | 397,209 | 103,088 | 35.0 |
| West North Central | 234,586 | 297,813 | 63,227 | 27.0 | Washington | 41,476 | 55,463 | 13,987 | 33.7 |
| Minnesota | 52,789 | 68,069 | 15,280 | 28.9 | Oregon. | 28,431 | 38,267 | 9,836 | 34.6 |
| lowa | 44,940 | 54,691 | 9,751 | 21.7 | California | 218,034 | 292,217 | 74,183 | 34.0 |
| Missouri | 61,072 | 79,996 | 18,924 | 31.0 | Alaska | 619 | 1,200 | 581 | 93.9 |
| North Dakota. | 8,140 | 11,094 | 2,954 | 36.3 | Hawaii | 5,561 | 10,062 | 4,501 | 80.9 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, unpublished data consistent with U.S. Population Estimates, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1980 to 1991, Current Population Reports, P25-1095, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1993.

Table 5-3
Percent 65 Years and Over and 85 Years and Over of the Total State Population:
1980 to 2020


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5-3.
Percent 65 Years and Over and 85 Years and Over of the Total State Population: 1980 to 2020—Continued


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1980 and 1990 from unpublished data consistent with U.S. Population Estimates, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1980 to 1991, Current Population Reports, P25-1095, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1993; 2000 to 2020 from unpublished data consistent with Series A - preferred series, from Population Projections for States, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1993 to 2020, Current Population Reports, P25-1111, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994.

In the nation as a whole, the oldest old population increased more rapidly (35 percent) than the elderly population (22 percent) during the 1980's. The greater percent increase of the oldest old compared to the elderly held for all States, with the exception of Delaware and Montana.

## State Estimates and Projections of Elderly and Oldest Old

Most Populous States Tend to Also Have Most Elderly, Florida and Midwestern States Among Highest Proportions Elderly

Our most populous States are also the ones with the largest number of elderly. In 1993, nine States had more than 1 million elderly: California,

Florida, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, Illinois, Ohio, Michigan, and New Jersey (figure 5-2, table 5-4).

The States with the greatest proportion of elderly are generally different from those with the greatest number. While California has by far the largest number of persons aged 65 and over, its proportion elderly of the State population ranks 46th among the States and the District of Columbia. Florida, however, with almost 19 percent of its population aged 65 or older in 1993, had both a large number and the highest proportion. Pennsylvania also has a high ranking in terms of both the number and proportion of elderly. Florida's proportion elderly ranks far above the proportions of other States (figure 5-3). Other

States with high proportions elderly (14 to 16 percent), ranked in descending order, were Pennsylvania, lowa, Rhode Island, West Virginia, Arkansas, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Missouri, Connecticut, Kansas, and Massachusetts. The proportion of a State's total population aged 65 years and over is one indicator of the importance an aging population has with regard to the State's resources. Some States "age" because of in-migration of elderly, some because of out-migration of the young, and some because of sustained low fertility (or some combination of these factors). The Farm Belt States have a higher proportion of elderly than for the total United States (12.7 percent in 1993) primarily because of out-migration of the young.

Figure 5-2.


United States 32,791,163
$\square$ Under 250,000 250,000 to 499,999 500,000 to 999,999

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, State Age-Sex Population Estimates Consistent with Census Advisory, CB94-43.

Table 5-4.
Population 65 Years and Over and 85 Years and Over for States: 1993, 2000, 2010, and 2020
(Numbers in thousands)

| Region, division, and State | Persons 65 years and over |  |  |  |  | Persons 85 years and over |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  |  | Percent change, 1993 to 2020 | Number |  |  |  | Percent change, 1993 to 2020 |
|  | $1993{ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 |  | $1993{ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 |  |
| United States | 32,791 | 35,322 | 40,104 | 53,348 | 62.7 | 3,369 | 4,333 | 5,969 | 6,959 | 106.5 |
| Northeast | 7,199 | 7,304 | 7,600 | 9,348 | 29.9 | 753 | 923 | 1,198 | 1,295 | 72.0 |
| New England | 1,832 | 1,853 | 1,979 | 2,537 | 38.5 | 207 | 257 | 338 | 369 | 78.6 |
| Middle Atlantic | 5,366 | 5,451 | 5,622 | 6,811 | 26.9 | 546 | 665 | 861 | 926 | 69.4 |
| Midwest. | 8,060 | 8,367 | 8,912 | 11,206 | 39.0 | 906 | 1,099 | 1,407 | 1,549 | 71.0 |
| East North Central | 5,533 | 5,754 | 6,097 | 7,578 | 37.0 | 583 | 719 | 941 | 1,032 | 77.1 |
| West North Central. | 2,527 | 2,613 | 2,815 | 3,627 | 43.6 | 323 | 380 | 466 | 517 | 60.0 |
| South. | 11,360 | 12,724 | 15,058 | 20,513 | 80.6 | 1,115 | 1,512 | 2,158 | 2,613 | 134.4 |
| South Atlantic. | 6,228 | 7,132 | 8,560 | 11,644 | 86.9 | 587 | 840 | 1,264 | 1,549 | 163.9 |
| East South Central. | 2,007 | 2,167 | 2,461 | 3,247 | 61.8 | 207 | 260 | 335 | 391 | 89.3 |
| West South Central | 3,125 | 3,425 | 4,037 | 5,622 | 79.9 | 321 | 412 | 559 | 673 | 109.6 |
| West | 6,173 | 6,927 | 8,534 | 12,281 | 99.0 | 595 | 800 | 1,206 | 1,501 | 152.1 |
| Mountain. | 1,677 | 1,925 | 2,361 | 3,374 | 101.2 | 155 | 222 | 338 | 417 | 169.9 |
| Pacific | 4,496 | 5,002 | 6,174 | 8,906 | 98.1 | 441 | 578 | 868 | 1,084 | 145.9 |
| New England. | 1,832 | 1,853 | 1,979 | 2,537 | 38.5 | 207 | 257 | 338 | 369 | 78.6 |
| Maine | 170 | 176 | 192 | 256 | 50.4 | 19 | 23 | 30 | 34 | 79.5 |
| New Hampshire. | 134 | 141 | 166 | 237 | 76.8 | 15 | 19 | 25 | 29 | 98.1 |
| Vermont | 69 | 72 | 82 | 110 | 59.1 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 66.6 |
| Massachusetts. | 842 | 842 | 881 | 1,109 | 31.7 | 97 | 120 | 155 | 168 | 73.6 |
| Rhode Island | 155 | 151 | 153 | 195 | 26.2 | 17 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 66.2 |
| Connecticut | 462 | 471 | 504 | 630 | 36.3 | 51 | 65 | 88 | 96 | 88.3 |
| Middle Atlantic . | 5,366 | 5,451 | 5,622 | 6,811 | 26.9 | 546 | 665 | 861 | 926 | 69.4 |
| New York | 2,388 | 2,426 | 2,526 | 3,028 | 26.8 | 257 | 301 | 379 | 418 | 62.7 |
| New Jersey | 1,071 | 1,112 | 1,192 | 1,480 | 38.2 | 102 | 128 | 171 | 187 | 83.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,908 | 1,913 | 1,904 | 2,303 | 20.7 | 187 | 236 | 310 | 320 | 71.1 |
| East North Central | 5,533 | 5,754 | 6,097 | 7,578 | 37.0 | 583 | 719 | 941 | 1,032 | 77.1 |
| Ohio.. | 1,480 | 1,547 | 1,619 | 1,986 | 34.2 | 151 | 186 | 252 | 276 | 82.4 |
| Indiana | 728 | 772 | 836 | 1,048 | 44.0 | 77 | 95 | 125 | 139 | 80.1 |
| Illinois | 1,479 | 1,513 | 1,588 | 1,952 | 32.0 | 157 | 193 | 243 | 262 | 66.2 |
| Michigan. | 1,171 | 1,211 | 1,277 | 1,579 | 34.9 | 116 | 148 | 200 | 219 | 88.4 |
| Wisconsin | 676 | 711 | 776 | 1,013 | 50.0 | 80 | 97 | 121 | 136 | 69.2 |
| West North Central. | 2,527 | 2,613 | 2,815 | 3,627 | 43.6 | 323 | 380 | 466 | 517 | 60.0 |
| Minnesota. | 568 | 602 | 683 | 918 | 61.5 | 73 | 88 | 110 | 126 | 73.3 |
| lowa. | 436 | 439 | 449 | 546 | 25.1 | 58 | 67 | 80 | 85 | 46.5 |
| Missouri | 741 | 769 | 837 | 1,072 | 44.6 | 89 | 104 | 129 | 143 | 61.1 |
| North Dakota | 94 | 93 | 93 | 117 | 23.9 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 55.7 |
| South Dakota. | 105 | 108 | 111 | 142 | 34.3 | 14 | 16 | 20 | 22 | 55.6 |
| Nebraska | 229 | 236 | 248 | 317 | 38.5 | 31 | 35 | 42 | 46 | 47.6 |
| Kansas | 353 | 366 | 395 | 517 | 46.5 | 46 | 54 | 67 | 75 | 64.3 |

[^1]Table 5-4.
Population 65 Years and Over and 85 Years and Over for States: 1993, 2000, 2010, and 2020—Continued
(Numbers in thousands)

| Region, division, and State | Persons 65 years and over |  |  |  |  | Persons 85 years and over |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  |  | Percent change, 1993 to 2020 | Number |  |  |  | Percent change, 1993 to2020 |
|  | $1993{ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 |  | $1993{ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 |  |
| South Atlantic. | 6,228 | 7,132 | 8,560 | 11,644 | 86.9 | 587 | 840 | 1,264 | 1,549 | 163.9 |
| Delaware | 87 | 100 | 113 | 146 | 67.2 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 134.6 |
| Maryland. | 549 | 602 | 701 | 929 | 69.2 | 52 | 66 | 95 | 111 | 115.1 |
| District of Columbia | 77 | 73 | 72 | 87 | 13.2 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 47.3 |
| Virginia | 712 | 803 | 967 | 1,319 | 85.3 | 67 | 91 | 134 | 162 | 143.7 |
| West Virginia | 278 | 277 | 280 | 342 | 23.1 | 28 | 35 | 44 | 46 | 67.3 |
| North Carolina. | 865 | 998 | 1,200 | 1,633 | 88.7 | 80 | 114 | 170 | 213 | 166.3 |
| South Carolina. | 426 | 482 | 575 | 788 | 84.9 | 35 | 52 | 79 | 96 | 171.8 |
| Georgia. | 695 | 798 | 998 | 1,419 | 104.0 | 65 | 89 | 125 | 156 | 138.2 |
| Florida. | 2,539 | 2,999 | 3,654 | 4,982 | 96.2 | 245 | 372 | 589 | 735 | 200.4 |
| East South Central | 2,007 | 2,167 | 2,461 | 3,247 | 61.8 | 207 | 260 | 335 | 391 | 89.3 |
| Kentucky.. | 482 | 509 | 563 | 729 | 51.3 | 52 | 62 | 77 | 88 | 70.1 |
| Tennessee | 651 | 717 | 839 | 1,129 | 73.5 | 66 | 84 | 112 | 133 | 102.9 |
| Alabama | 545 | 591 | 668 | 874 | 60.4 | 54 | 69 | 90 | 106 | 95.4 |
| Mississippi | 329 | 350 | 391 | 514 | 56.3 | 35 | 45 | 55 | 64 | 82.4 |
| West South Central | 3,125 | 3,425 | 4,037 | 5,622 | 79.9 | 321 | 412 | 559 | 673 | 109.6 |
| Arkansas. | 362 | 383 | 436 | 580 | 60.1 | 39 | 49 | 62 | 72 | 86.5 |
| Louisiana | 487 | 514 | 565 | 741 | 52.0 | 47 | 60 | 77 | 88 | 88.0 |
| Oklahoma. | 440 | 454 | 501 | 661 | 50.4 | 50 | 60 | 75 | 85 | 70.6 |
| Texas. | 1,835 | 2,074 | 2,534 | 3,640 | 98.4 | 186 | 244 | 344 | 428 | 130.3 |
| Mountain. | 1,677 | 1,925 | 2,361 | 3,374 | 101.2 | 155 | 222 | 338 | 417 | 169.9 |
| Montana | 113 | 118 | 130 | 174 | 54.2 | 12 | 16 | 22 | 24 | 102.9 |
| Idaho. | 130 | 144 | 172 | 246 | 89.4 | 13 | 18 | 25 | 29 | 121.7 |
| Wyoming. | 51 | 51 | 54 | 74 | 43.4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 69.5 |
| Colorado | 357 | 416 | 514 | 743 | 108.0 | 37 | 48 | 72 | 89 | 143.8 |
| New Mexico | 178 | 204 | 247 | 350 | 97.3 | 16 | 24 | 35 | 44 | 166.6 |
| Arizona | 529 | 623 | 783 | 1,121 | 111.9 | 46 | 72 | 117 | 146 | 221.2 |
| Utah. | 165 | 187 | 230 | 334 | 102.4 | 16 | 23 | 34 | 42 | 161.1 |
| Nevada . | 155 | 183 | 231 | 333 | 115.6 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 34 | 245.3 |
| Pacific. | 4,496 | 5,002 | 6,174 | 8,906 | 98.1 | 441 | 578 | 868 | 1,084 | 145.9 |
| Washington | 612 | 676 | 836 | 1,245 | 103.5 | 62 | 84 | 123 | 146 | 135.5 |
| Oregon. | 418 | 434 | 505 | 724 | 73.2 | 43 | 56 | 76 | 84 | 95.2 |
| California | 3,303 | 3,704 | 4,605 | 6,622 | 100.5 | 323 | 418 | 636 | 809 | 151.0 |
| Alaska. | 26 | 31 | 38 | 54 | 103.3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 197.0 |
| Hawaii. | 137 | 158 | 190 | 262 | 91.6 | 12 | 18 | 30 | 40 | 241.8 |

Note: Totals may not add due to independent rounding and percents are computed on unrounded numbers.
${ }^{1}$ These estimates are consistent with the population as enumerated in the 1990 census, and have not been adjusted for census coverage errors. Includes Armed Forces residing in each State.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1993 data consistent with 1994 Census Advisory, Updated National/State Population Esimates, CB94-43; 2000, 2010, and 2020 from Population Projections for States, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1993 to 2020, Current Population Reports, P25-1111, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994, Series A - preferred series.

## In 2020, Arizona and Arkansas <br> Would Have Higher Proportions Elderly Than Florida Today

While Florida is the only State in 1993 with more than 16 percent of its population aged 65 and over, by 2020 a projected 32 States will fall in this category (figure 5-3, table 5-2). ${ }^{3}$ In the U.S. as a whole, about 1 of every 6 persons will be elderly, compared to about 1 of 8 persons in 1993. In 2020, nearly 1 of every 5 persons will be elderly in Arizona and Arkansas. These proportions are greater than those of present-day Florida. In 2020, Florida will continue to have the nation's highest proportion of State population aged 65 years and over. One-fourth of the State's population will be elderly.

[^2]
## Over Half of U.S. Elderly Likely to <br> Live in Just 10 States in 2020

Census Bureau projections indicate that the West and the South would increase their elderly population by 99 and 81 percent, respectively, from 1993 to 2020 while the elderly of the Midwest would increase by only 39 percent and the Northeast by 30 percent over the same period (table 5-4).

The Census Bureau projects (in Series A) that in 2020, over half ( 55 percent) of the nation's 53 million elderly will live in the same nine States with the most elderly in 1993, plus North Carolina. California still would have the nation's largest elderly population, with 6.6 million persons 65 years and over, a 100-percent increase from 1993 (figure 5-4). Florida would have the second highest elderly population with 5.0 million, a 96 -percent increase from 1993. One in four Floridians (26 percent) would be elderly in 2020. Texas would replace New York as the State with the country's third-largest elderly population in 2010. Texas' ranking would remain third in 2020, with 3.6 million elderly, a 98-percent increase from their 1993 estimate.
Alaska had the smallest number of elderly in 1993 and, based on Series A projections, would still have the smallest elderly population in the year 2020, with an elderly population of only 54,000.

## Elderly Population Would Double in 8 States From 1993 to 2020

Eight States would double their percentage of persons aged 65 years and over from 1993 to 2020, according to Census Bureau projections (figure 5-4, table 5-4). All of these States (Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, Utah, and Washington) would be in the West, with the exception of Georgia. Most of the States with the least percent change in the elderly population would be in the Midwest and the Northeast. Among the 20 States with less than a 50 percent increase in their elderly population during the 1993 to 2020 period, only 1 (Wyoming) would be in the West, and only 2 in the South (West Virginia and the District of Columbia).


United States
12.7

## United States <br> 16.4

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1993 from State Age-Sex Population Estimates Consistent With Census Advisory CB94-43; 2020 from Population Projections for States, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1993 to 2020, Current Population Reports, P25-1111, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994.
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1993 from 1994 Press Release, Updated National/State Population Estimates, CB94-43; 2020 from Population Projections for States, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1993 to 2020, Current Population Reports, P25-1111, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994.

Percent Oldest Old Population Highest in Midwestern States; By 2020, Florida To Have Highest

## Percent Oldest Old

Those States with large numbers of elderly also had large numbers of persons aged 85 and over (table 5-4). In 1993, the nine States with more than 100,000 oldest old persons were the same nine States with more than 1 million elderly (see above), and also the top nine States in terms of total population size. Their ranking of oldest old population was also the same as their ranking of elderly population, with one exception-New York had the second largest oldest old population, switching places with Florida, which had the second largest elderly population, behind California. About half ( 51 percent) of the 3.4 million
oldest old in the United States lived in these nine States in 1993.

The five States with the highest proportion of persons aged 85 years and over of their total population in 1993 were all farm States: lowa (2.1 percent), North Dakota ( 2.0 percent), South Dakota ( 1.9 percent), Nebraska ( 1.9 percent), and Kansas ( 1.8 percent). Alaska had the smallest proportion of oldest old with 0.3 percent of its population aged 85 or older (figure 5-5).

In 1993, only lowa had more than 2 percent of its population aged 85 years and over, but by 2020, thirtyfour States would fall in this category. The oldest old also would be over 2 percent of the nation's population.

The percentage of Florida's population that is 85 or older would reach nearly 4 percent under the assumptions of Series A, surpassing lowa as the State with the highest proportion of oldest old population. Another eight States would have a proportion of their population aged 85 years and over in 2020 between 2.5 and 3.8 percent.

## Distribution Inside and Outside Metropolitan Areas

During the 1980's, there was a renewed disparity in elderly and nonelderly geographic population shifts. Among the nonelderly, population gains in the Sunbelt were more concentrated in large metropolitan areas,


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1993 from State Age-Sex Population Estimates Consistent With Census Advisory CB94-43; 2020 from Population Projections for States, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1993 to 2020, Current Population Reports, P25-1111, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994.
while their shift away from large metropolitan areas in the North (Northeast and Midwest) contributed to increased elderly population concentrations inside metropolitan areas of the Northeast and Midwest. ${ }^{4}$ The more concentrated pattern of population growth in the 1980's among the nonelderly "led to a significant number of areas whose elderly concentrations have risen due to aging-in-place,"5 and these aging-in-place metropolitan areas were found disproportionately in the Northeast and Midwest, and among moderate and smaller-sized metropolitan areas in the South.

## Nearly 3 Times as Many Elderly Lived Inside Metropolitan Areas Than Outside Metropolitan Areas in 1990

In 1990, about 23 million elderly Americans lived inside metropolitan areas compared with 8.2 million living outside metropolitan areas. However, the elderly represented a higher proportion ( 15 percent) of the population outside metropolitan areas than inside (nearly 12 percent), compared to a proportion elderly of 12.5 percent for the United States total population. Over 800,000 persons aged 85 or older lived outside metropolitan areas of the United States, with over 2 million oldest old living within them (table $5-5)$. The oldest old represented a larger proportion ( 1.5 percent) of the

[^3]population outside metropolitan areas than inside (1.1 percent), the same pattern as for the elderly.

Elderly American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts (AIEA) were the only racial group more likely to live outside metropolitan areas than inside. Elderly Asians are particularly more likely to live inside metropolitan areas ( 417,000 lived inside metropolitan areas and 33,000 outside in 1990). Elderly Hispanics were about 8 times more likely to have lived inside metropolitan areas than outside in 1990, Blacks about 4 times more likely, and Whites about 3 times more likely. For each racial group, the likelihood of living outside metropolitan areas was slightly higher for the 85 -and-over population than for persons aged 65 to 84 years.

## Geographic Distribution of Elderly Racial Groups and Hispanics

## Elderly Whites Are More Evenly Distributed Among U.S. Regions; Elderly of Races Other Than White and Elderly Hispanics Are More Regionally Concentrated

About one-third of the U.S. elderly population lived in the South region in 1991. The South also had the largest number of oldest old among the country's regions (table 5-6). Elderly Whites were most numerous in the South, but were more evenly distributed among the nation's four regions than the elderly of other race groups and Hispanic elderly. Elderly Blacks are most numerous in the South region, as is the total Black population. The elderly Asian and Pacific Islander population is especially numerous in the West. More elderly American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut
(AIEA) lived in the West than in any other region, but a large number of AIEA elderly also lived in the South region. Large numbers of Hispanic elderly were found in both the South and the West in 1991. The regional concentrations of the elderly for these population groups are similar to the concentrations of the total population of each group.

Over half of elderly Blacks lived in Southern States. Nearly 60 percent of America's Blacks aged 85 or older lived in the South in 1991. Thirteen States had an elderly Black population of 100,000 or more. These States represented nearly 70 percent of the elderly Black population and were either in the South and West, or the largest States of either the Northeast (New York and Pennsylvania) or the Midwest (Illinois, Ohio, and Michigan). ${ }^{6}$

Three out of four AIEA elderly (78 percent) lived in Western (43 percent) and Southern States ( 35 percent). Forty percent lived in Oklahoma, California, and Arizona. These were also the only States with more than 10,000 American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts aged 65 or older. Four out of five (79 percent) AIEA oldest old were found in Western and Southern States in 1991.

Seven States had an elderly Asian and Pacific Islander (API) elderly population of 10,000 or more in 1991. Eighty-four percent of the API elderly lived in these States-California, Hawaii, and Washington in the West,

[^4]Table 5-5.
Population 65 Years and Over Inside and Outside Metropolitan Areas by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1990

| Inside and outside metropolitan areas, sex, and age | Total | White | Black | American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut | Asian and Pacific Islander | Hispanic origin ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INSIDE METROPOLITAN AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over. | 22,871,814 | 20,426,368 | 1,972,310 | 55,808 | 417,328 | 1,015,512 |
| 65 to 69 years | 7,521,588 | 6,630,605 | 702,352 | 21,792 | 166,839 | 383,781 |
| 70 to 74 years | 5,879,669 | 5,244,833 | 508,068 | 14,365 | 112,403 | 251,757 |
| 75 to 79 years | 4,448,069 | 3,989,815 | 374,704 | 9,952 | 73,598 | 186,621 |
| 80 years and over | 5,022,488 | 4,561,115 | 387,186 | 9,699 | 64,488 | 193,353 |
| 80 to 84 years | 2,834,842 | 2,571,143 | 219,328 | 5,665 | 38,706 | 112,774 |
| 85 years and over. | 2,187,646 | 1,989,972 | 167,858 | 4,034 | 25,782 | 80,579 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over. | 9,102,704 | 8,138,533 | 754,682 | 22,835 | 186,654 | 415,809 |
| 65 to 69 years | 3,343,086 | 2,963,826 | 295,365 | 9,758 | 74,137 | 170,621 |
| 70 to 74 years | 2,482,650 | 2,226,186 | 200,567 | 6,048 | 49,849 | 103,951 |
| 75 to 79 years | 1,716,691 | 1,542,690 | 136,776 | 3,768 | 33,457 | 71,596 |
| 80 years and over. | 1,560,277 | 1,405,831 | 121,974 | 3,261 | 29,211 | 69,641 |
| 80 to 84 years | 964,098 | 870,237 | 73,282 | 1,954 | 18,625 | 41,647 |
| 85 years and over | 596,179 | 535,594 | 48,692 | 1,307 | 10,586 | 27,994 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over. | 13,769,110 | 12,287,835 | 1,217,628 | 32,973 | 230,674 | 599,703 |
| 65 to 69 years | 4,178,502 | 3,666,779 | 406,987 | 12,034 | 92,702 | 213,160 |
| 70 to 74 years | 3,397,019 | 3,018,647 | 307,501 | 8,317 | 62,554 | 147,806 |
| 75 to 79 years | 2,731,378 | 2,447,125 | 237,928 | 6,184 | 40,141 | 115,025 |
| 80 years and over | 3,462,211 | 3,155,284 | 265,212 | 6,438 | 35,277 | 123,712 |
| 80 to 84 years | 1,870,744 | 1,700,906 | 146,046 | 3,711 | 20,081 | 71,127 |
| 85 years and over. | 1,591,467 | 1,454,378 | 119,166 | 2,727 | 15,196 | 52,585 |
| OUTSIDE METROPOLITAN AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over. | 8,207,081 | 7,594,194 | 519,911 | 60,345 | 32,631 | 130,711 |
| 65 to 69 years | 2,544,247 | 2,353,373 | 157,342 | 21,582 | 11,950 | 47,219 |
| 70 to 74 years | 2,099,991 | 1,946,180 | 130,009 | 15,466 | 8,336 | 32,328 |
| 75 to 79 years | 1,654,860 | 1,528,526 | 108,831 | 11,570 | 5,933 | 24,811 |
| 80 years and over. | 1,907,983 | 1,766,115 | 123,729 | 11,727 | 6,412 | 26,353 |
| 80 to 84 years | 1,074,204 | 995,125 | 68,955 | 6,571 | 3,553 | 15,528 |
| 85 years and over. | 833,779 | 770,990 | 54,774 | 5,156 | 2,859 | 10,825 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over. | 3,390,062 | 3,145,874 | 202,254 | 26,039 | 15,895 | 59,021 |
| 65 to 69 years | 1,164,453 | 1,083,709 | 65,288 | 9,900 | 5,556 | 22,328 |
| 70 to 74 years | 916,625 | 853,615 | 52,400 | 6,711 | 3,899 | 14,745 |
| 75 to 79 years | 672,204 | 622,371 | 41,919 | 4,784 | 3,130 | 10,768 |
| 80 years and over | 636,780 | 586,179 | 42,647 | 4,644 | 3,310 | 11,180 |
| 80 to 84 years | 391,732 | 361,947 | 25,069 | 2,687 | 2,029 | 6,783 |
| 85 years and over.. | 245,048 | 224,232 | 17,578 | 1,957 | 1,281 | 4,397 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5-5.
Population 65 Years and Over Inside and Outside Metropolitan Areas by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1990-Continued

| Inside and outside metropolitan areas, sex, and age | Total | White | Black | American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut | Asian and Pacific Islander | Hispanic origin ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUTSIDE METROPOLITAN AREAS-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 4,817,019 | 4,448,320 | 317,657 | 34,306 | 16,736 | 71,690 |
| 65 to 69 years | 1,379,794 | 1,269,664 | 92,054 | 11,682 | 6,394 | 24,891 |
| 70 to 74 years | 1,183,366 | 1,092,565 | 77,609 | 8,755 | 4,437 | 17,583 |
| 75 to 79 years | 982,656 | 906,155 | 66,912 | 6,786 | 2,803 | 14,043 |
| 80 years and over | 1,271,203 | 1,179,936 | 81,082 | 7,083 | 3,102 | 15,173 |
| 80 to 84 years | 682,472 | 633,178 | 43,886 | 3,884 | 1,524 | 8,745 |
| 85 years and over. | 588,731 | 546,758 | 37,196 | 3,199 | 1,578 | 6,428 |

${ }^{1}$ Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, unpublished data consistent with U.S. Population Estimates, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1980 to 1991, Current Population Reports, P25-1095, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1993.

Table 5-6.
Persons 65 Years and Over by Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin for Regions: 1991

| Age, race, and Hispanic origin ${ }^{1}$ | United States | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Persons |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 31,763,630 | 7,049,503 | 7,860,059 | 10,944,022 | 5,910,046 |
| 65 to 84 years. | 28,610,352 | 6,333,404 | 7,002,436 | 9,917,779 | 5,356,733 |
| 85 years and over | 3,153,278 | 716,099 | 857,623 | 1,026,243 | 553,313 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 28,594,585 | 6,506,306 | 7,327,151 | 9,449,202 | 5,311,926 |
| 65 to 84 years. | 25,714,822 | 5,832,388 | 6,515,223 | 8,564,907 | 4,802,304 |
| 85 years and over | 2,879,763 | 673,918 | 811,928 | 884,295 | 509,622 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 2,551,325 | 464,032 | 481,285 | 1,408,937 | 197,071 |
| 65 to 84 years. | 2,319,900 | 426,656 | 438,844 | 1,272,878 | 181,522 |
| 85 years and over | 231,425 | 37,376 | 42,441 | 136,059 | 15,549 |
| American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 122,040 | 8,946 | 18,348 | 42,395 | 52,351 |
| 65 to 84 years. | 111,536 | 8,145 | 16,969 | 38,745 | 47,677 |
| 85 years and over | 10,504 | 801 | 1,379 | 3,650 | 4,674 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 495,680 | 70,219 | 33,275 | 43,488 | 348,698 |
| 65 to 84 years. | 464,094 | 66,215 | 31,400 | 41,249 | 325,230 |
| 85 years and over | 31,586 | 4,004 | 1,875 | 2,239 | 23,468 |
| Hispanic Origin ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 1,229,844 | 208,218 | 75,222 | 477,085 | 469,319 |
| 65 to 84 years. | 101,749 | 16,509 | 6,085 | 40,621 | 38,534 |
| 85 years and over | 1,128,095 | 191,709 | 69,137 | 436,464 | 430,785 |

${ }^{1}$ Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1991 Estimates of the Population of States by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin, PE-16.
along with four States (New York, Illinois, New Jersey, and Texas) from the other three U.S. regions. Among all API elderly, over half lived in just two States, 45 percent in California, and 19 percent in Hawaii. The West region accounted for 70 percent of all elderly Asian and Pacific Islanders in the United States in 1991. Three out of four (77 percent) elderly and oldest old Hispanics (who may be of any race) were concentrated in the South (39 percent) and West (38 percent) in 1991. Sixty-two percent of all elderly Hispanics lived in just three StatesCalifornia (27 percent), Texas
(20 percent), and Florida (15 percent). Adding New York, the State with the fourth largest number of Hispanic elderly in 1991 (and where 11 percent of all elderly Hispanics lived), nearly three of every four (73 percent) elderly Hispanics lived in these four States.

## Percent White of Elderly State Populations Highest in Parts of Midwest and West; Percent Black Highest in Southern States

Overall, the future elderly population in the United States will become more racially and ethnically diverse.

However, State-level data on the elderly in 1991 by race and Hispanic origin indicate that the elderly populations of the major race groups and Hispanic elderly tend to be concentrated in particular States or regions of the country.

In 1991, the White elderly population represented 90 percent or more of a State's elderly population in 31 States (figure 5-6). In 22 States, 95 percent or more of their elderly populations were White. Among these 22 States, 8 were in the West, 7 in the Midwest, 6 in the Northeast, and only 1 (West Virginia) in the South region.
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, "1991 Estimates of the Population of States by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," PE-16.

The percent Black of a State's elderly population was 10 percent or more in 13 States in 1991 and all were in the South, with the exception of Michigan (figure 5-7). Black elderly constituted between 20 and 30 percent of all elderly in Georgia, Alabama, South Carolina, Louisiana, and Mississippi (listed in increasing order). Two of every three elderly in the District of Columbia were Black.

## Percent AIEA of Elderly State Populations Highest West of the Mississippi

Although California has the second largest number of elderly American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts (AIEA), it ranks fifteenth in terms of the proportion AIEA of total State elderly populations. Alaska, with the numerically smallest total elderly population, ranks first in percent AIEA elderly (figure 5-8). Nearly 1 of every 5 (19 percent) of elderly Alaskans were AIEA in 1991. Only 6 additional States had at least 1 percent elderly AIEA of their total elderly populations. The top ten States in percent elderly AIEA in 1991 were all west of the Mississippi River.

## Hawaii and California Had Highest Percents API Elderly

Nearly three of every four (73 percent) elderly in Hawaii in 1991 were Asians or Pacific Islanders (API). California had the next largest percent API of its elderly population (figure 5-9). These two States were also ranked first and second (but in reverse order) with respect to the total number of elderly API. In only two other States (Alaska and Washington) did the API elderly population represent at least 2 percent of the total elderly State population.
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, "1991 Estimates of the Population of States by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," PE-16.
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, "1991 Estimates of the Population of States by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," PE-16.

## New Mexico Had Highest Percent Hispanic Elderly

One-fourth (27 percent) of all elderly in New Mexico were of Hispanic origin in 1991. The States with the highest percents Hispanic of their elderly population were the border States with Mexico (California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas), plus Florida, Colorado, and New York (figure 5-10). Less than 1 percent of the elderly population was Hispanic in more than half (27) of the States. Of these States with low percents Hispanic elderly, 12 were in the South, 10 in
the Midwest, 4 in the Northeast, and only 1 (Montana) in the West region.

## Elderly and Oldest Old for Counties

Nine Counties Had More Than 250,000 Elderly in 1991; Eight
Counties Had More Than 25,000 Persons Aged 85 or Older

In the 1980's, many of the fastest growing counties in terms of elderly population were in traditional retirement community areas in Florida and

Arizona, and in recent retirement magnets in South Atlantic and Mountain States. ${ }^{7}$ Most counties with faster growing elderly populations in the 1980's resulted from past migration of working-age adults who "graduated" into seniorhood, and who, like elderly migrants, tend to be married and to have above-average incomes. These

[^5]Figure 5-10.
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, "1991 Estimates of the Population of States by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," PE-16.
counties were disproportionately found in the West region.

Of the more than 3,000 counties in the United States, nine had over 250,000 persons aged 65 or older in 1991, and 573 counties had elderly populations of at least 10,000 persons (detailed table 8-4). Among the nine largest counties, two were in California (Los Angeles and San Diego), two in New York (Queens and Kings), two in Florida (Dade and Broward), with one county in Arizona (Maricopa), Illinois (Cook), and Michigan (Wayne). These counties are all representative of large cities, including Los Angeles, San Diego, New York, Miami, Ft. Lauderdale, Phoenix, Chicago, and Detroit. Although these counties had large numbers of elderly, only Broward county had at least 20 percent of its population aged 65 or older.

As with the largest counties in elderly population, the eight counties with over 25,000 persons aged 85 or older in 1991 were all representative of large cities. The counties were: Los Angeles, California $(85,507)$, Cook, Illinois (58,941), Dade, Florida $(31,187)$, Queens, New York $(28,851)$, Pinellas, Florida $(27,857)$, Kings, New York (26,911), Broward, Florida $(26,049)$, and San Diego, California $(25,626)$. All of these counties were among the same nine counties ranked highest in terms of elderly population size, with the exception of Pinellas county (St. Petersburg), which had by far the highest percentage of its total population 85 or older ( 3.2 percent) among these counties. The oldest old represented 2.0 percent of Broward county's and 1.6 percent of Dade county's population. Los Angeles and San Diego county's oldest old were only 1.0 percent of their total population, the
lowest proportion in this group of counties (detailed table 8-4).

## Counties With Highest Percent Elderly Concentrated in 18 States; Counties With Highest Percent Oldest Old Mainly in the Midwest

In over 400 counties of the United States, at least 1 of every 5 persons is aged 65 years and over (detailed table 8-5). All of these counties with high percent elderly are located in 30 States. The top 100 ranking counties in terms of percent elderly are found in only 18 States, 9 of which are in the Midwest, 5 in the South, and 4 in the West. None of the 100 counties with the highest percent elderly is in the Northeast region. Among the top 11 counties (which all had at least 30 percent elderly), 6 were in Florida (Charlotte, Highlands, Pasco, Sarasota, Citrus, and Hernando), and all had elderly populations of at least 10,000 persons. The other 5 counties (Kalawao, Hawaii; Llano, Texas; Sierra, New Mexico; Keweenaw, Michigan; and McIntosh, North Dakota) all had large percents elderly, but elderly populations of less than 5,000 persons.

There were only 29 counties in the United States in 1991 that had both more than 10,000 elderly and at least 20 percent of the county's population elderly. The top 13 of these counties were all in Florida. Among all 29 counties, 18 were in Florida. Also, there were 3 in Arizona (Yavapai, Garland, and Mohave), 2 in North Carolina (Henderson and Moore), 2 in New Jersey (Ocean and Cape May), and one each in Massachusetts (Barnstable), Oregon (Josephine), Washington (Clallam), and Pennsylvania (Schuylkill).

Ranking the 410 counties with at least 20 percent elderly population in 1991 by their proportion of population aged 85 and over indicates that the vast majority were in the Midwest. Among the top 29 counties (which all had at least 4 percent oldest old), 25 were in the Midwest, with 17 of these counties in Kansas and Nebraska. The top 75 counties in terms of percent oldest old all had fewer than 500 persons aged 85 and over.

## Patterns of Migration

## Most Elderly Don't Move

Most older people stay put. Persons aged 65 years and over represented 4 percent of all movers within the United States between 1992 and 1993. About 1.7 million noninstitutionalized elderly (about 6 percent) moved to a different house in the United States between 1992 and 1993. Only 773,000 elderly, about 3 percent of all elderly, moved far enough to change their county of residence. Only 1 percent of the elderly population moved to another State. The proportions of persons aged 75 or older who moved were similar. ${ }^{8}$

The proportional distribution of elderly movers within the United States by race and Hispanic origin was similar to the racial and Hispanic origin distribution of the total elderly population. For example, 86 percent of elderly movers between 1992 and 1993 were White and a similar proportion of elderly persons are White. Elderly

[^6]Blacks and Hispanics also moved within the United States in proportions similar to their representation among the total elderly population.

Of those elderly who moved during 1992-93, about half (49 percent) remained within the same metropolitan area. ${ }^{9}$ Another 18 percent of elderly movers moved from one metropolitan area to another and 6 percent moved from outside a metropolitan area to inside a metropolitan area. Among all elderly movers in the United States, 8 percent left a metropolitan area and

[^7]moved to a nonmetropolitan area. An additional 19 percent of elderly movers went from one nonmetropoli$\tan$ area to another.

Most elderly migrants (persons who moved to a different county) stayed in the same region of the country where they had lived the year before (table 5-7). In the Northeast, from 1992 to 1993, about 131,000 elderly moved from one county to another; 82 percent came from another county within the Northeast and only 18 percent came from some other part of the country. About one-fourth of migrants in the Midwest ( 23 percent), the South
( 26 percent), and the West ( 30 percent) came from other regions.

Among persons aged 65 years and over, about 5 to 7 percent moved within the United States between 1992 and 1993 (table 5-8). This compares to about 18 percent of persons ages 1 to 64 years. Only about 1 percent of elderly men and women moved to a different State during this 1 -year period. The proportions of women movers were comparable to those of men for all elderly age groups and mobility types between 1992 and 1993.

Table 5-7.
Region of Residence in 1992 and 1993 for County Migrants 65 Years and Over: 1993
(In thousands. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols see introductory text.)

| Residence in 1993 | Total migrants ${ }^{1}$ | Residence in 1992 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 774 | 169 | 179 | 275 | 150 |
| Northeast | 131 | 107 | - | 22 | 2 |
| Midwest | 183 | 9 | 140 | 16 | 18 |
| South | 297 | 42 | 19 | 220 | 16 |
| West. | 162 | 11 | 20 | 16 | 114 |
| Percent Distribution, by Region of Residence in 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 100.0 | 21.8 | 23.1 | 35.5 | 19.4 |
| Northeast | 100.0 | 81.7 | - | 16.8 | 1.5 |
| Midwest | 100.0 | 4.9 | 76.5 | 8.7 | 9.8 |
| South | 100.0 | 14.1 | 6.4 | 74.1 | 5.4 |
| West . | 100.0 | 6.8 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 70.4 |

[^8]Table 5-8.
Percent Distribution of Geographical Mobility for Persons 60 Years and Over by Age and Sex: 1992-93
(Numbers in thousands)

| Sex and mobility type | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60 to 64 years | 65 years and over | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \text { to } 69 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \text { to } 79 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 80 to 84 years | 85 years and over |
| Total Men | 5,084 | 12,832 | 4,334 | 6,208 | 1,498 | 792 |
| Same house | 92.8 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.7 | 93.6 | 94.9 |
| Different house in the U.S | 6.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 4.7 |
| Same county | 4.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| Different county | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Same state | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
| Different state | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Abroad | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total Women | 5,445 | 18,038 | 5,498 | 8,291 | 2,451 | 1,798 |
| Same house | 93.7 | 94.1 | 93.8 | 94.5 | 94.2 | 93.4 |
| Different house in the U.S. | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.6 |
| Same county | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| Different county | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Same state | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Different state | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Abroad | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Source: Kristin A. Hansen, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Geographical Mobility: March 1992 to March 1993, Current Population Reports, P20-481, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994, table 2.

Table 5-9.
Percent Distribution of Geographical Mobility for the Elderly Population by Age: 1975-80 and 1985-90

| Mobility type | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 65 years and over |  | 65 to 74 years |  | 75 to 84 years |  | 85 years and over |  |
|  | 1975-80 | 1985-90 | 1975-80 | 1985-90 | 1975-80 | 1985-90 | 1975-80 | 1985-90 |
| Total | 25,799,910 | 31,195,275 | 15,781,654 | 15,215,153 | 7,806,843 | 9,973,466 | 2,211,413 | 3,003,328 |
| Same house | 19,874,845 | 24,159,537 | 12,270,516 | 12,290,250 | 6,050,298 | 7,764,583 | 1,554,031 | 2,052,352 |
| Different house, U.S. | 5,815,675 | 6,888,313 | 3,433,287 | 2,827,654 | 1,730,673 | 2,173,417 | 651,715 | 943,621 |
| Same county | 3,481,783 | 4,080,984 | 1,977,231 | 2,459,230 | 1,086,989 | 1,534,403 | 417,563 | 588,398 |
| Different county | 2,333,892 | 2,807,329 | 1,456,056 | 1,902,827 | 643,684 | 50,616 | 234,152 | 355,223 |
| Same state | 1,195,443 | 1,459,467 | 704,357 | 562,237 | 351,307 | 458,954 | 139,779 | 219,138 |
| Different state | 1,138,449 | 1,347,862 | 751,699 | 705,319 | 292,377 | 370,373 | 94,373 | 136,085 |
| Abroad | 109,390 | 147,425 | 77,851 | 97,249 | 25,872 | 35,466 | 5,667 | 7,355 |
| Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Same house | 77.0 | 77.4 | 77.8 | 80.8 | 77.5 | 77.9 | 70.3 | 68.3 |
| Different house, U.S. | 22.5 | 22.1 | 21.8 | 18.6 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 29.5 | 31.4 |
| Same county | 13.5 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 15.4 | 18.9 | 19.6 |
| Different county | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 10.6 | 11.8 |
| Same state . | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 7.3 |
| Different state | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| Abroad | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1980 Census of Population, Summary Tape File 5, National Institute on Aging Special Tabulations, table 5 and 1990 Census of Population, Special tabulations for Administration on Aging, table 5.

An analysis of intercounty migrants during the 1980-85 period among male householders aged 55 and over in 1980 indicated that such migrants tended to move toward lower cost-ofliving areas, especially if they were younger, and toward lower-crime areas, especially if they were younger and homeowners. ${ }^{10}$ There also was a tendency for these migrants to move toward nonmetro areas and toward locations where family and friends resided.

The decennial census measures movement over a 5-year period. Data from the 1980 census show that 23 percent of elderly persons changed their residence between 1975 and 1980 (table 5-9). Ten years later, 1990 census data reveal that the proportions of the elderly moving to a different house, county, or State during the 1985-90 period remained consistent with the corresponding 1975-80 proportions; 22 percent of the elderly moved during 1985-90. In the 1955-60 period, over onefourth (28 percent) of elderly changed residence. ${ }^{11}$

In general, the central cities of metropolitan areas have lost elderly migrants to nonmetropolitan areas. Decennial census data indicate that the trend of the loss of elderly migrants from metropolitan areas and the gain of elderly migrants in nonmetropolitan areas has been

[^9]consistent during the 1960-70, 1970-80, and 1980-90 decades. ${ }^{12}$

In an analysis of age patterns of migration among the elderly using data for selected developed countries, including the United States, Rogers ${ }^{13}$ found two basic patterns of elderly migration. One pattern is characterized by intercommunity, amenitymotivated, long-distance migrations, and the other pattern by intracommunity, assistance-motivated, shortdistance moves.

In the 1985-90 period, the oldest old (85 years and over) were more likely to have moved within the United States than either the younger old ( 65 to 74 years) or the aged ( 75 to 84 years; table 5-9). This suggests that the moves of the oldest old may be related to health problems and that perhaps nursing homes or the residences of near relatives are their destinations.

Research has found that an increase in instrumental disabilities increases the probability that an older person will move. In addition, when health declines are combined with becoming widowed, the probability of a move is greatly increased. ${ }^{14}$ "The strong evidence of a final rise in the migration propensity in extreme old age among females is undoubtedly associated

[^10]with the higher incidence and prevalence of widowhood among women."15 Levels of disability also have been shown to predict residential mobility and institutionalization, as well as a change to more dependent living arrangements. ${ }^{16}$

As the size of the elderly population has increased, so also has the volume of movement of elderly persons, from about 4.5 million persons 65 years and over in the 1955-60 period to 5.8 million from 1975 to 1980, and to 6.9 million between 1985 and 1990.

The volume of different types of migration has also increased along with the elderly population itself. For example, interstate migration of elderly persons increased from 1.1 million persons between 1975 and 1980 to 1.3 million persons in the 1985-90 period. While the volume of elderly interstate migrants increased from 1975-80 to 1985-90, the proportion of the elderly moving to a different state remained about the same during these periods, at just over 4 percent. As a result of the increase in the number of interstate elderly migrants, Longino and Crown ${ }^{17}$ note that planners "are becoming increasingly concerned about the economic implications" of such migration, and that some States that have been major

[^11]sources of elderly out-migration are becoming concerned about their economic loss. For States receiving older migrants, their data suggest that "the taxes generated by the infusion of retirement income circulating in a state economy may at least partially offset the public cost incurred by these new residents, at least for those services targeted to the elderly."

Longino ${ }^{18}$ finds that interstate migration of persons age 60 and over has tended to be concentrated among relatively few origin and destination States. During 1965-70, 1975-80, and 1985-90 Florida was the State with the largest net in-migration of

[^12]Migration in America, Houston, TX: Vacation Publications, 1995, pp. 16-17.
persons 60 and over while New York had the largest out-migration. Also, while Florida remains the dominant receiving State among older migrants, during the past four decades there has been a gradual decrease in the share of total in-migrants held by the major destination States.

Data from the 1990 census ${ }^{19}$ permit the derivation of elderly net migration rates by State during the 1985-90 period (figure 5-11). These rates reveal a clear geographic pattern. Of the 12

[^13]States in the Midwest, 11 were net losers of elderly migrants between 1985 and 1990. All 13 States with the highest net elderly in-migration rates were in the South and West. Among the 25 States with net in-migration of the elderly, 22 were in the South and West. Only New Hampshire, Kentucky and Vermont had net inmigrants of the elderly among the 21 States of the Northeast and Midwest regions.

Substantial amounts of retirement income may be transferred between States as a result of retirement migration. In 1989, Florida is estimated to have received a net $\$ 6.5$ billion in transferred income due to interstate migration of the population aged 60


Net migrants per 1,000 elderly population in 1990


+ Denotes net in-migration
- Denotes net out-migration

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, "County-to-County Migration Flow Files: In-Migration," CD90-MIG-01, and "County-to-County Migration Flow Files: Out-Migration," CD90-MIG-02, 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Special Project 312 (SP312), 1995.
and over, while New York lost a net $\$ 3.3$ billion to other States, with more than half of that loss ( $\$ 1.9$ billion) going to Florida. ${ }^{20}$ Comparable data for 1979 from the 1980 population census showed Florida with a net gain of $\$ 3.5$ billion, and New York with a net loss of nearly $\$ 2.0$ billion, again with over half ( $\$ 1.2$ billion) going to Florida. ${ }^{21}$

Research on elderly migration streams generally suggests that "older persons moving from sunbelt to frostbelt states are disproportionately disabled and widowed in comparison with older persons moving in the opposite direction."22 This pattern is consistent with a "second" elderly move after the "first" retirement move,

[^14]and is believed to be motivated by the onset of disability and represents a "return to their home community or move to a community where children or other relatives can better care for them." Using Longitudinal Study of Aging data, Silverstein found that a decline in older parents' health "increased the propensity of parents and children to become temporally closer to each other." While a study of 1980 census data indicated that return migrants in the United States were older and more residentially dependent than nonreturn migrants, this finding did not hold for each region of the United States. ${ }^{23}$ This research suggested that these return moves may not indicate a return to the State of birth, "but rather a return from a Sunbelt retirement move to an earlier

[^15]place of residence, regardless of whether one was born there." Other research has concluded that available cross- sectional data indicate that elderly persons are not more likely than nonelderly to return to their native state, and that "a dynamic perspective" is needed in order to better address this research question. ${ }^{24}$ To the extent that a selectivity of retirement move migration versus "second" move migration operates, States such as Florida presumably benefit by receiving relatively healthier and wealthier migrants, while "sending" States first lose well-off consumers and then may later gain back migrants more likely to place greater demands on social and health services.
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