ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINANTS ENCYCLOPEDIA

DIESEL OIL #1 ENTRY

July 1, 1997

COMPILERS/EDITORS:

ROY J. IRWIN, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

WITH ASSISTANCE FROM COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
STUDENT ASSISTANT CONTAMINANTS SPECIALISTS:

MARK VAN MOUWERIK
LYNETTE STEVENS
MARION DUBLER SEESE
WENDY BASHAM

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
WATER RESOURCES DIVISIONS, WATER OPERATIONS BRANCH
1201 Oakridge Drive, Suite 250
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO 80525

WARNING/DISCLAIMERS:

Where specific products, books, or laboratories are mentioned, no official U.S. government endorsement is implied.

Digital format users: No software was independently developed for this project. Technical questions related to software should be directed to the manufacturer of whatever software is being used to read the files. Adobe Acrobat PDF files are supplied to allow use of this product with a wide variety of software and hardware (DOS, Windows, MAC, and UNIX).

This document was put together by human beings, mostly by compiling or summarizing what other human beings have written. Therefore, it most likely contains some mistakes and/or potential misinterpretations and should be used primarily as a way to search quickly for basic information and information sources. It should not be viewed as an exhaustive, "last-word" source for critical applications (such as those requiring legally defensible information). For critical applications (such as litigation applications), it is best to use this document to find sources, and then to obtain the original documents and/or talk to the authors before depending too heavily on a particular piece of information.

Like a library or most large databases (such as EPA's national STORET water quality database), this document contains information of variable quality from very diverse sources. In compiling this document, mistakes were found in peer reviewed journal articles, as well as in databases with relatively elaborate quality control mechanisms [366,649,940]. A few of these were caught and marked with a "[sic]" notation, but undoubtedly others slipped through. The [sic] notation was inserted by the editors to indicate information or spelling that seemed wrong or misleading, but which was nevertheless cited verbatim rather than arbitrarily changing what the author said.

Most likely additional transcription errors and typos have been added in some of our efforts. Furthermore, with such complex subject matter, it is not always easy to determine what is correct and what is incorrect, especially with the "experts" often disagreeing. It is not uncommon in scientific research for two different researchers to come up with different results which lead them to different conclusions. In compiling the Encyclopedia, the editors did not try to resolve such conflicts, but rather simply reported it all.

It should be kept in mind that data comparability is a major problem in environmental toxicology since laboratory and field methods are constantly changing and since there are so many different "standard methods" published by EPA, other federal agencies, state agencies, and various private groups. What some laboratory and field investigators actually do for standard operating practice is often a unique combination of various standard protocols and impromptu "improvements." In fact, the interagency task force on water methods concluded that [1014]:

> It is the exception rather than the rule that water-quality monitoring data from different programs or time periods can be compared on a scientifically sound basis, and that...
>
> No nationally accepted standard definitions exist for water quality parameters. The different organizations may collect data using identical or standard methods, but identify them by different names, or use the same names for data collected by different methods [1014].

Differences in field and laboratory methods are also major issues related to (the lack of) data comparability from media other than water: soil, sediments, tissues, and air.

In spite of numerous problems and complexities, knowledge is often power in decisions related to chemical contamination. It is therefore often helpful to be aware of a broad universe of conflicting results or conflicting expert opinions rather than having a portion of this information arbitrarily censored by someone else. Frequently one wants to know of the existence of information, even if one later decides not to use it for a particular application. Many would like to see a high percentage of the information available and decide for themselves what to throw out, partly because they don't want to seem uniformed or be caught by surprise by potentially important information. They are in a better position if they can say: "I knew about that data, assessed it based on the following quality assurance criteria, and decided not to use it for this application." This is especially true for users near the end of long decision processes, such as hazardous site cleanups, lengthy ecological risk assessments, or complex natural resource damage assessments.

For some categories, the editors found no information and inserted the phrase "no information found." This does not necessarily mean that no information exists; it simply means that during our efforts, the editors found none. For many topics, there is probably information "out there" that is not in the Encyclopedia. The more time that passes without encyclopedia updates (none are planned at the moment), the more true this statement will become. Still, the Encyclopedia is unique in that it contains broad ecotoxicology information from more sources than many other reference documents. No updates of this document are currently planned. However, it is hoped that most of the information in the encyclopedia will be useful for some time to come even with out updates, just as one can still find information in the 1972 EPA Blue Book [12] that does not seem well summarized anywhere else.

Although the editors of this document have done their best in the limited time available to insure accuracy of quotes or summaries as being "what the original author said," the proposed interagency funding of a bigger project with more elaborate peer review and quality control steps never materialized.

> The bottom line: The editors hope users find this document useful, but don't expect or depend on perfection herein. Neither the U.S. Government nor the National Park Service make any claims that this document is free of mistakes.

The following is one chemical topic entry (one file among 118). Before utilizing this entry, the reader is strongly encouraged to read the README file (in this subdirectory) for an introduction, an explanation of how to use this document in general, an explanation of how to search for power key section headings, an explanation of the organization of each entry, an information quality discussion, a discussion of copyright issues, and a listing of other entries (other topics) covered.

See the separate file entitled REFERENC for the identity of numbered references in brackets.

HOW TO CITE THIS DOCUMENT: As mentioned above, for critical applications it is better to obtain and cite the original publication after first verifying various data quality assurance concerns. For more routine applications, this document may be cited as:

> **Irwin, R.J., M. VanMouwerik, L. Stevens, M.D. Seese**, and **W. Basham.** 1997. Environmental Contaminants Encyclopedia. National Park Service, Water Resources Division, Fort Collins, Colorado. Distributed within the Federal Government as an Electronic Document (Projected public availability

on the internet or NTIS: 1998).

<u>Diesel Oil #1 (Diesel fuel No. 1-D, Diesel oil grade 1-D, CAS number 68334-30-5)</u>

Brief Introduction:

Br.Class: General Introduction and Classification Information:

In terms of refining crude oil, diesel fuels are middle distillates. The middle distillates include kerosene, aviation fuels, diesel fuels, and fuel oil #1 and 2. These fuels contain paraffins (alkenes), cycloparaffins (cycloalkanes), aromatics, and olefins from approximately C9 to C20. Aromatic compounds of concern included alkylbenzenes, toluene, naphthalenes, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [661].

At a National Park Service spill site where sediments were contaminated by Diesel 1 and 2, the most common PAHs were alkyl PAHs (see Chem.Detail section below for details).

The term "diesel fuel" incorporates a broad range of petroleum products which vary significantly in exact chemical composition [822].

The term "diesel" is actually a catch-all for various diesel mixtures. The three most common forms of diesel are grade 1-D, grade 2-D, and grade 4-D [560].

Grade 1-D diesel is straight-run fractions including kerosenes to intermediate distillates from mixed-base crudes. Used for mobile service such as trucks, railroads and submarines [560]. Fuels of this grade are also required for use in very cold temperatures [661]. Color: Light brown [615].

Diesel oil 1-D is also used as a pesticide carrier [186].

Since Diesel oil 1 is similar in chemical composition to Fuel oil 1 (Kerosene), with the exception of additives [962], information on kerosene is of some interest related to this product (see the Kerosene entry).

See also: Diesel Oil, General entry.

Br.Haz: General Hazard/Toxicity Summary:

Short-term hazards of the some of the lighter, more volatile and water soluble compounds (such as toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes) in diesels include potential acute toxicity to aquatic life in the water column (especially in relatively confined areas) as well as potential inhalation hazards. Diesel fuels have moderate volatility and moderate solubility [777]. Diesel products possess moderate to high acute toxicity to biota with product-specific toxicity related to the type and concentration of aromatic compounds [777]. Diesel spills could result in potential acute toxicity to some forms of aquatic life. In the short term, spilled oil will tend to float on the surface. Water uses threatened by spills include: recreation; fisheries; industrial; potable supply; and irrigation [608].

Long-term potential hazards of the some of the lighter, more volatile and water soluble compounds (such as toluene and xylenes) in diesel fuels include contamination of groundwater. Long-term water uses threatened by spills include potable (ground) water supply. Chronic effects associated with middle distillates are mainly due to exposure to aromatic compounds [661].

Long-term effects are also associated with PAHs, alkyl PAHs, and alkyl benzene (such as xylene) constituents of diesel fuels. Although PAHs, particularly heavy PAHs, have not been know to make up a huge percentage of diesel fuels by weight, previous scans have often ignored the important alkyl PAHs. It has been known previously that there are some PAHs in diesel fuels, including naphthalene, alkyl naphthalenes and phenanthrene [497,661,796,822,824].

At a National Park Service spill site where sediments were contaminated by Diesel 1 and 2, the most common PAHs were alkyl PAHs (see Chem.Detail section below). In this Park Service sediment investigation at Petersburg National Battlefield performed in response to contamination by Diesel Fuels (1D and 2D), 97.6% of the PAHs concentrations detected in sediments were alkyl PAHs. All 39 PAHs and alkyl PAHs analyzed were present in the contaminated sediments (Personal Communication, Roy Irwin, National Park Service, 1996). Since alkyl PAHs are such a high percentage of total PAHs in several petroleum products, they should not be ignored in hazard or risk assessments.

Due to their relative persistence and potential for various chronic effects, PAHs (and particularly the alkyl PAHs) can contribute to long-term (chronic) hazards of diesel products in contaminated soils, sediments, and groundwater. Chronic effects of some of the constituents in diesels (toluene, xylene, naphthalenes, alkyl benzenes, and various alkyl PAHs) include changes in the liver and harmful effects on the kidneys, heart, lungs, and nervous system. Increased rates of cancer, immunological, reproductive, fetotoxic, genotoxic effects have also been associated with some of the compounds found in diesel fuels (see entries on individual compounds for more details).

Because the toxicity of diesel fuel is primarily related to PAH content (which is highly variable between the different diesel fuel types) the environmental impacts of different diesel fuels can vary by more than an order of magnitude [822].

Diesel No. 1 is not as hazardous as gasoline from inhalation of the vapors but should nevertheless be able to cause similar effects including CNS depression in acute exposures. It is a serious aspiration hazard [606].

Exposure to petroleum in soil is predominantly of concern through a number of possible exposure pathways, including dermal contact with soil, ingestion of soil, inhalation of soil particulates, and ingestion of contaminated groundwater [824].

See also: Diesel Oil, General entry.

Many of the PAHs found in this product (see Chem.Detail section below) are more toxic in sunlight or other UV source than elsewhere (see PAHs as a group entry).

For effects on plants, see case studies detailed in Tis.Misc. section of the Diesel, general section.

Since Diesel oil 1 is similar in chemical composition to Fuel oil 1 (Kerosene), with the exception of additives [962], information on kerosene is of some interest related to this product (see the Kerosene entry).

Br.Car: Brief Summary of Carcinogenicity/Cancer Information:

Distillate (light) diesel fuels are not classifiable as to their carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3) [747]. However, certain carcinogenic effects have been associated with some of the other compounds found in diesel fuels (see entries on individual diesel fuel compounds for more details).

The debates on which PAHs and alkyl PAHs found in this product to classify as carcinogens, and the details of exactly how to perform both ecological and human risk assessments on the complex mixtures of PAHs typically found at contaminated sites, are likely to continue. There are some clearly wrong ways to go about it, but defining clearly right ways is more difficult. PAHs usually occur in complex mixtures rather than alone.

Perhaps the most unambiguous thing that can be said about complex PAH mixtures is that such mixtures are often carcinogenic and possibly phototoxic. One way to approach site specific risk assessments would be to collect the complex mixture of PAHs and other lipophilic contaminants in a semipermeable membrane device (SPMD, also known as a fat bag) [894,895,896], retrieve the contaminant mixture from the SPMD, then test the mixture for carcinogenicity, toxicity, and phototoxicity (James Huckins, National Biological Service, and Roy Irwin, National Park Service, personal communication, 1996).

The chemical composition of diesel fuels is highly variable, but it is generally not considered to be carcinogenic even though it contains PAHs at low concentrations. However, some positive evidence of carcinogenicity in animals has been reported. For example, a 2-year dermal study in mice by the National Toxicology Program concluded that chronic ingestion exposure to marine diesel fuel resulted in an increased incidence of skin neoplasms at a dose of 250 ppm [744].

Because the toxicity of diesel fuel itself following prolonged, low-level exposure has not been well studied, the toxicity of the chemicals that comprise diesel oil may be examined to determine if they suggest that chronic, low-level exposure to the mixture would present a hazard. Those constituents of diesel oil that have severe toxic effects, or effects that are most likely to manifest themselves at a lower level of exposure, are listed here [824]:

CHEMICAL	CPF (1/mg/kg/day)	RfD (mg/kg/day)
Benzene	0.029	
Benzo(a)pyrene	11.5	
N-Nitrosodi-phenylamine	0.0049	
Quinoline	12.5	
Cresol (m-)		0.05
Cresol (o-)		0.05
Cresol (p-)		0.05
Ethylbenzene		0.1
Naphthalene		0.004
Phenol		0.6
Toluene		0.2
Total Xylene		2

NOTES:

1) CPF = Cancer Potency Factor; the higher the number (since CPF is reported as the inverse of mg/kg/day), the higher the threat of cancer due to exposure. Benzene is a known

human carcinogen. N-nitrosodiphenylamine causes bladder tumors in rats, and quinoline increases the incidence of liver tumors in laboratory animals. It is important to note that although benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) is carcinogenic to laboratory animals, it is likely to be present only as a trace constituent, if at all, in any particular diesel oil. Also, due to low water solubility, BaP is largely immobile in soil and unlikely to migrate significantly from a spill site in either soils or groundwater [824].

2) RfD = Reference Dose; similar to an Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI). Chemicals which have RfDs in the above table are regulated as noncarcinogens, although they may pose other threats. For example, naphthalene, which is a significant constituent of distillate oils, has been shown to cause hemolytic anemia in humans exposed for either short or long periods of time. Xylene and toluene have also been detected in distillate fuels; they both produce nervous system effects at high doses [824].

See: Diesel Oil, General entry. See also: PAHs as a group entry.

See Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture. See also: PAHs as a group entry.

Since Diesel oil 1 is similar in chemical composition to Fuel oil 1 (Kerosene), with the exception of additives [962], information on kerosene is of some interest related to this product (see the Kerosene entry).

Br.Dev: Brief Summary of Developmental, Reproductive, Endocrine, and Genotoxicity Information:

The results are mixed, but some immunological, reproductive, fetotoxic, and genotoxic effects have been associated with a few of the compounds found in diesel fuel [764,765,766,767] (see entries on individual diesel fuel compounds for more details).

Genotoxicity tests have provided equivocal results, with positive results in the rat bone marrow assay and negative results in the Ames assay [744].

Since Diesel oil 1 is similar in chemical composition to

Fuel oil 1 (Kerosene), with the exception of additives [962], information on kerosene is of some interest related to this product (see the Kerosene entry).

Br.Fate: Brief Summary of Key Bioconcentration, Fate, Transport, Persistence, Pathway, and Chemical/Physical Information:

Jet fuels and diesels are middle distillate petroleum hydrocarbon products of intermediate volatility and mobility [661]. As intermediate products, diesel fuels have a combination of mostly lighter, less persistent and more mobile compounds as well as some heavier, more persistent and less mobile compounds. These two different groups are associated with two distinctly different patterns of fate/pathway concerns:

> The relatively lighter, more volatile, mobile, and water soluble compounds in diesel will tend to evaporate fairly quickly into the atmosphere or migrate to groundwater. When exposed to oxygen and sunlight, most of these compounds will tend to break down relatively quickly. However, in groundwater, many of these compounds tend to be more persistent than in surface water, and readily partition on an equilibria basis back and forth between water and solids (soil and sediment) media. Cleaning up groundwater without cleaning up soil contamination will usually result in a rebound of higher concentrations of these compounds partitioning from contaminated soils into groundwater (Roy Irwin, personal communication).
>
> The compounds in diesel which will tend to be somewhat more persistent and more bound to solids particles will include the PAHs, alkyl PAHs, and alkyl benzenes. Higher concentrations of heavier PAHs will tend to be in adjacent contaminated soils than in groundwater. However, even for these heavier compounds, cleaning up groundwater without cleaning up soil contamination will nevertheless usually result in at least some rebound of higher concentrations of these compounds partitioning from contaminated soils into groundwater (Roy Irwin, personal communication).

Since alkyl PAHs make up such a big percentage of the PAHs in diesel contaminated sediments (see Chem.Detail section below for details), it should be kept in mind that these alkyl PAHs are heavier and behave differently than the parent compounds (see PAHs entry).

Diesel #1 will float in a slick on the water surface

[608].

Diesel fuels are appreciably less volatile than gasoline [747].

In general, diesel fuel oil is not likely to form emulsions [560].

See also: Diesel Oil, General entry.

Since Diesel oil 1 is similar in chemical composition to Fuel oil 1 (Kerosene), with the exception of additives [962], information on kerosene is of some interest related to this product (see the Kerosene entry).

Synonyms/Substance Identification:

DIESEL #1 [606]
DIESEL FUEL NO 1-D [608]
OILS, FUEL: 1-D [615]
CHRIS Code OOD [615]
Diesel oil (light) [615]
DIESEL FUEL OIL NO. 1 [747]
DIESEL OIL NO. 1 [747]
NO. 1 DIESEL [747]
KEROSINE (used for similar fuels in Europe) [747]
ARCTIC DIESEL (used for similar fuels in Europe) [747]
DOT I.D. No. 1270 [615]

Associated Chemicals or Topics (Includes Transformation Products):

For significantly more information on diesel fuels in general, see entry entitled "Diesel Oil, General." See also the related entries:

Diesel Oil #2
Diesel Oil #4
Fuel Oil, General
Petroleum, General
Oil Spills

> NOTE: Home heating oil is similar to diesel oil but has a separate CAS number (68476-30-2) and a separate discussion in this document (see: Fuel Oil Number 2 - Heating Oil). Diesel oils, as well as typical heating oil, fall under the broader category of Fuel Oil Number 2 (CAS 68476-30-2). See entry entitled "Fuel Oil Number 2" for details on this umbrella category.

See also individual entry:

PAHs as a group

Water Data Interpretation, Concentrations and Toxicity (All Water Data Subsections Start with "W."):

W.Low (Water Concentrations Considered Low):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.High (Water Concentrations Considered High):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.Typical (Water Concentrations Considered Typical):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.Concern Levels, Water Quality Criteria, LC50 Values, Water Quality Standards, Screening Levels, Dose/Response Data, and Other Water Benchmarks:

W.General (General Water Quality Standards, Criteria, and Benchmarks Related to Protection of Aquatic Biota in General; Includes Water Concentrations Versus Mixed or General Aquatic Biota):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.Plants (Water Concentrations vs. Plants):

Toxicity to aquatic plants: 75 ppm [608]

W.Invertebrates (Water Concentrations vs. Invertebrates):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.Fish (Water Concentrations vs. Fish):

Aquatic toxicity [615]: 204 mg/L/24 hr/juvenile American shad/TLm/salt water (NOTE: Tlm = LC50)

W.Wildlife (Water Concentrations vs. Wildlife or Domestic Animals):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.Human (Drinking Water and Other Human Concern Levels):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

W.Misc. (Other Non-concentration Water Information):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sediment Data Interpretation, Concentrations and Toxicity (All Sediment Data Subsections Start with "Sed."):

Sed.Low (Sediment Concentrations Considered Low):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.High (Sediment Concentrations Considered High):

Details of contaminants (ng/g or ppb dry weight) in samples of sediments contaminated recently by Diesel Fuel (1D and 2D, historically possibly mixed with a few other petroleum products), two sites in Branch Creek, Petersburg National Battlefield (Ray Ahlbrandt, National Park Service, Personal Communication, 1996):

Site O = outfall area S1B, Sediments here smelled like Diesel.

Site DS = One mile downstream of S1B, still in Branch Creek, 50 feet upstream of confluence with Harrison Creek

Parameter	Site O	Site DS
Naphthalene:	15.1	10.3
C1-Naphthalene:	51.0	13.8
C2-Naphthalene:	910.5	25.1

C3-Naphthalene:	3698.1	52.1
C4-Naphthalene:	4915.9	26.1
	------	-----
Total Naphthalenes	9590.6	127.40

Note: there is some evidence of carcinogenicity for naphthlenes: see entries for naphthalenes and PAHs.

Biphenyl:	11.8	1.8
Acenaphthylene:	4.3	4.4
Acenaphthene:	21.7	29.7
Fluorene:	43.0	48.4
C1-Fluorene:	483.3	27.2
C2-Fluorene:	1371.2	22.5
C3-Fluorene:	1880.8	39.7
	-------	-----
Total Fluorenes	3,778.3	137.8
Anthracene:	17.4	88.4
Phenanthrene:	107.3	423.9
C1-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	1190.5	181.1
C2-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	1936.8	79.9
C3-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	1019.2	44.3
C4-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	318.3	17.7
	------	-----
Total P/A	4,455.8	323.0
Dibenzothiophene:	30.2	30.2
C1-Dibenzothiophene:	296.0	26.0
C2-Dibenzothiophene:	769.1	19.8
C3-Dibenzothiophene:	626.6	10.7
	------	-----
Total DBZT	1,721.9	86.7
Fluoranthene:	42.5	500.5
Pyrene:	78.6	421.0
C1-Fluoranthene/pyrene:	153.6	241.3

Heavy, Mostly More Carcinogenic PAHs:

Benzo(a)anthracene *:	12.3	183.7
Chrysene *:	21.5	173.9
C1-Chrysene *:	26.3	82.3
C2-Chrysene *:	39.1	31.9
C3-Chrysene *:	3.3	2.8
C4-Chrysene *:	5.5	22.1
	---	-----
Total Chrysenes *:	95.7	313.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene *:	23.5	194.7

Benzo(k)fluoranthene *:	5.3	79.3
Benzo(e)pyrene **:	9.9	84.7
Benzo(a)pyrene *:	8.3	84.7
Perylene **:	2.5J	29.0
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene *:	8.2	87.5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene *:	2.4	25.9
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene **:	10.7	70.4

* Carcinogenic

** Not Able to Classify one way or the other (not sure about carcinogenicity, not classifiable, often due to lack of data, see PAHs entry for details)

Metals From Same Sites Listed above, but in ppm dry weight (mg/kg) rather than ppb:

Barium	27.65	2.62
Chromium	12.62	<1.0
Nickel	4.36	<2.0
Vanadium	25.1	<2.0
Lead	51.67	<10.0
Magnesium	583.97	12.29

For a brief analysis of the above data from Petersburg National Battlefield: See Chem.Detail section below.

Sed.Typical (Sediment Concentrations Considered Typical):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Concern Levels, Sediment Quality Criteria, LC50 Values, Sediment Quality Standards, Screening Levels, Dose/Response Data and Other Sediment Benchmarks:

Sed.General (General Sediment Quality Standards, Criteria, and Benchmarks Related to Protection of Aquatic Biota in General; Includes Sediment Concentrations Versus Mixed or General Aquatic Biota):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Plants (Sediment Concentrations vs. Plants):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Invertebrates (Sediment Concentrations vs. Invertebrates):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Fish (Sediment Concentrations vs. Fish):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Wildlife (Sediment Concentrations vs. Wildlife or Domestic Animals):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Human (Sediment Concentrations vs. Human):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Sed.Misc. (Other Non-concentration Sediment Information):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil Data Interpretation, Concentrations and Toxicity (All Soil Data Subsections Start with "Soil."):

Soil.Low (Soil Concentrations Considered Low):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.High (Soil Concentrations Considered High):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.Typical (Soil Concentrations Considered Typical):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.Concern Levels, Soil Quality Criteria, LC50 Values, Soil Quality Standards, Screening Levels, Dose/Response Data and Other Soil Benchmarks:

Soil.General (General Soil Quality Standards, Criteria, and Benchmarks Related to Protection of Soil-dwelling Biota in General; Includes Soil Concentrations Versus Mixed or General Soil-dwelling Biota):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.Plants (Soil Concentrations vs. Plants):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.Invertebrates (Soil Concentrations vs. Invertebrates):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.Wildlife (Soil Concentrations vs. Wildlife or Domestic Animals):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Soil.Human (Soil Concentrations vs. Human):

No information found on this complex and variable mixture. See Chem.Detail section for chemicals found in this product, then look up information on each hazardous compound. Some individual compounds found in petroleum products have low-concentration human health benchmarks for soil (see individual entries).

Soil.Misc. (Other Non-concentration Soil Information):

One author stated that although diesel in soil is not a serious environmental threat, it may migrate to drinking water supplies where it could present an adverse impact [497]. As of 1996, several States were considering allowing natural attenuation (the "do nothing and let nature clean up the mess through bioremediation" option) to proceed near leaking storage tanks in situations where drinking water was not being impacted and where human rather than environmental resources were the main resources in the immediate area (Roy Irwin, National Park Service, personal communication, 1996).

Others would point out that diesel spills into soils are not necessarily a trivial environmental threat related to ecotoxicology, due to the many hazardous compounds in diesel (see Chem.Detail section below).

Exposure to petroleum in soil is predominantly of concern through a number of possible exposure pathways, including dermal contact with soil, ingestion of soil, inhalation of soil particulates, and ingestion of contaminated groundwater [824].

In surface soils, diesel may have an impact on humans, animals, or plants. Diesel in surface and near-surface soils will biologically degrade, utilizing soil oxygen that would normally be available to plant roots, resulting in injury or death of the plants. The most common potential environmental impact, however, is migration of diesel to groundwater [497].

Health effects of diesel in soil [497]:

> No federal or professional industrial hygiene exposure standards have been established for diesel. Potential health effects of individual components present in diesel have been documented, however, and health risks of diesel can be evaluated based on these [497].
>
> The health risk from any carcinogenic components is extremely low or non-existent when diesel is present in soil. Consequently, requirements to remediate diesel-contaminated soil and the specific cleanup criteria mandated by regulatory agencies are not based on potential health effects of diesel-contaminated soil, but rather, on a desire

to protect groundwater quality or on the assumption that diesel-contaminated soil is undesirable [497].

Remediation of diesel-contaminated soils [497]:

Reference [497] provides a discussion of 10 remediation options for diesel-contaminated soil: disposal, bioremediation, thermal treatment, road base, asphalt batching, chemical oxidation, fixation, soil washing, containment, and no action. The option(s) selected for a specific site will be based on vertical and lateral depth of contamination, volume of contaminated soil, depth to groundwater, potential exposure pathways, soil type, surface usage, and local regulations [497].

Tissue and Food Concentrations (All Tissue Data Interpretation Subsections Start with "Tis."):

Tis.Plants:

A) As Food: Concentrations or Doses of Concern to Living Things Which Eat Plants:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

B) Body Burden Residues in Plants: Typical, Elevated, or of Concern Related to the Well-being of the Organism Itself:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Tis.Invertebrates:

A) As Food: Concentrations or Doses of Concern to Living Things Which Eat Invertebrates:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

B) Concentrations or Doses of Concern in Food Items Eaten by Invertebrates:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

C) Body Burden Residues in Invertebrates: Typical, Elevated, or of Concern Related to the Well-being of the Organism Itself:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Tis.Fish:

A) As Food: Concentrations or Doses of Concern to Living Things Which Eat Fish (Includes FDA Action Levels for Fish and Similar Benchmark Levels From Other Countries):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

B) Concentrations or Doses of Concern in Food Items Eaten by Fish:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

C) Body Burden Residues in Fish: Typical, Elevated, or of Concern Related to the Well-being of the Organism Itself:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Tis.Wildlife: Terrestrial and Aquatic Wildlife, Domestic Animals and all Birds Whether Aquatic or not:

A) As Food: Concentrations or Doses of Concern to Living Things Which Eat Wildlife, Domestic Animals, or Birds:

No information has been found on this as of yet.

B) Concentrations or Doses of Concern in Food Items Eaten by Wildlife, Birds, or Domestic Animals (Includes LD50 Values Which do not Fit Well into Other Categories, Includes Oral Doses Administered in Laboratory Experiments):

Livestock toxicity: 30 ppm [608]

Mallard duck toxicity: 20 mg/kg LD(50) [615]

Acute dietary studies (using oral doses high enough to cause relatively quick toxicity) feeding Diesel #1 to mallards found the LD50 to be > 20 mg/kg, higher than the amount a mallard would normally encounter from pesticide use [186]. However, oil spills can result in fatal or chronically harmful amounts of this substance.

C) Body Burden Residues in Wildlife, Birds, or Domestic Animals: Typical, Elevated, or of Concern Related to the Well-being of the Organism Itself:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Tis.Human:

A) Typical Concentrations in Human Food Survey Items:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

B) Concentrations or Doses of Concern in Food Items Eaten by Humans (Includes Allowable Tolerances in Human Food, FDA, State and Standards of Other Countries):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

C) Body Burden Residues in Humans: Typical, Elevated, or of Concern Related to the Well-being of Humans:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Tis.Misc. (Other Tissue Information):

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Bio.Detail: Detailed Information on Bioconcentration, Biomagnification, or Bioavailability:

No information found; see Chem.Detail section for compounds in this product, then see individual compound entries for summaries of information on individual components of this mixture.

Interactions:

Can have synergistic effect on pesticides [608].

Uses/Sources:

Grade 1-D diesel is used for mobile service such as trucks, railroads and submarines [560]. No. 1 diesel oil (more volatile than No. 2) is for high-performance engines that require very good ignition characteristics [822]. No. 1 diesel also gives good performance in high-speed engines requiring frequent and wide variations in speed and load, such as city buses [661,747].

Fuels of this grade are also required for use in very cold temperatures [661]. (Arctic Diesel is intended for use in low temperature areas, where pour point is critical [822].)

Forms/Preparations/Formulations:

Straight-run fractions including kerosenes to intermediate distillates from mixed-base crudes [560].

Chem.Detail: Detailed Information on Chemical/Physical Properties:

See also the entry "Diesel Oil, General" for extensive information on specific components of diesel fuels.

Since PAHs are important hazardous components of this product, risk assessments should include analyses of PAHs and alkyl PAHs utilizing the NOAA protocol expanded scan [828] or other rigorous GC/MS/SIM methods.

Details of contaminants (ng/g or ppb dry weight) in samples of sediments contaminated recently by Diesel Fuel (1D and 2D, historically possibly mixed with a few other petroleum products), two sites in Branch Creek, Petersburg National Battlefield (Ray Ahlbrandt, National Park Service, Personal Communication, 1996):

Site O = outfall area S1B, Sediments here smelled like Diesel.

Site DS = One mile downstream of S1B, still in Branch Creek, 50 feet upstream of confluence with Harrison Creek

Parameter	Site O	Site DS

Naphthalene:	15.1	10.3
C1-Naphthalene:	51.0	13.8
C2-Naphthalene:	910.5	25.1
C3-Naphthalene:	3698.1	52.1
C4-Naphthalene:	4915.9	26.1
	------	-----
Total Naphthalenes	9590.6	127.40

Note: there is some evidence of carcinogenicity for naphthlenes: see entries for naphthalenes and PAHs.

Biphenyl:	11.8	1.8
Acenaphthylene:	4.3	4.4
Acenaphthene:	21.7	29.7
Fluorene:	43.0	48.4
C1-Fluorene:	483.3	27.2
C2-Fluorene:	1371.2	22.5
C3-Fluorene:	1880.8	39.7
	-------	----
Total Fluorenes	3,778.3	137.8
Anthracene:	17.4	88.4
Phenanthrene:	107.3	423.9
C1-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	1190.5	181.1
C2-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	1936.8	79.9
C3-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	1019.2	44.3
C4-Phenanthrene/anthracene:	318.3	17.7
	-------	-----
Total P/A	4,455.8	323.0
Dibenzothiophene:	30.2	30.2
C1-Dibenzothiophene:	296.0	26.0
C2-Dibenzothiophene:	769.1	19.8
C3-Dibenzothiophene:	626.6	10.7
	------	-----
Total DBZT	1,721.9	86.7
Fluoranthene:	42.5	500.5
Pyrene:	78.6	421.0
C1-Fluoranthene/pyrene:	153.6	241.3

Heavy, Mostly More Carcinogenic PAHs:

Benzo(a)anthracene *:	12.3	183.7
Chrysene *:	21.5	173.9
C1-Chrysene *:	26.3	82.3
C2-Chrysene *:	39.1	31.9
C3-Chrysene *:	3.3	2.8
C4-Chrysene *:	5.5	22.1
	----	-----

Total Chrysenes *:	95.7	313.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene *:	23.5	194.7
Benzo(k)fluoranthene *:	5.3	79.3
Benzo(e)pyrene **:	9.9	84.7
Benzo(a)pyrene *:	8.3	84.7
Perylene **:	2.5J	29.0
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene *:	8.2	87.5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene *:	2.4	25.9
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene **:	10.7	70.4

* Carcinogenic
** Not Able to Classify one way or the other (not sure about carcinogenicity, not classifiable, often due to lack of data, see PAHs entry for details)

Note: The above PAHs and alkyl PAHs were analyzed by a GC/MS/SIM NOAA protocol [828].

Metals From Same Sites Listed above, but in ppm dry weight (mg/kg) rather than ppb:

Barium	27.65	2.62
Chromium	12.62	<1.0
Nickel	4.36	<2.0
Vanadium	25.1	<2.0
Lead	51.67	<10.0
Magnesium	583.97	12.29

Brief Analysis of the Above Data from Petersburg National Battlefield (Roy Irwin, National Park Service, Personal Communication, 1996):

Overview: This was a one-time sampling, so not too much can be read into the results. However, the initial data seems generally consistent with a mixed petroleum product input with strong diesel component (as suspected) and it is of note that all 39 PAHs and alkyl PAHs were found in samples taken one mile downstream as well as even higher concentrations of many of the lighter (and less persistent) PAHs at the outfall. Magnesium, a known contaminant in diesel, is higher near the outfall and non-detected downstream. Other petroleum product suspect metals such as vanadium, nickel, and lead (from historic spills of leaded gas?) show the same trend. On the other hand, most of the heavier and more persistent PAHs had higher concentrations downstream. These heavier PAHs may be accumulating there after gradual transport downstream with sediment loads, while the lighter (and more prone to biodegradation) PAHs such as naphthalenes may be breaking down before they get so far downstream.

PAHs: Total naphthalenes of 9591 ppb dry weight at the outfall are far above concern effects benchmarks from Oakridge (407 ppb at 1% organic carbon). Only rough rather than precise comparisons can be made with Oakridge benchmarks since TOC was not analyzed along with the other contaminants listed in the above table. However, NOAA suggested that the potential for biological effects for naphthalene of this contaminant sorbed to sediments was highest in sediments where its concentration exceeded the 2100 ppb dry weight Effects Range-Median (ERM) concentration and was lowest in sediments where its concentration was less than the 160 ppb dry weight Effects Range-Low (ERL) concentration [664]. The ERL and ERM levels were developed for marine and estuarine sediments, so they are only a rough benchmark for freshwater sediments, though commonly used for that purpose due to the lack of many other benchmarks. Nevertheless, using both NOAA and Oakridge values, impacts would be suspected from the naphthalene levels in sediments near the outfall. Naphthalene is selectively phytotoxic, with alkyl compounds being most toxic [366], so effects on aquatic plants may be occurring in addition to effects on benthic aquatic invertebrates and fish. Anthracene concentrations of 17.4 ppb at the outfall and 88.4 one mile downstream are above the concern effects benchmark from Oakridge (0.3 ppb). Extractable organic matter was low (0.025) at the downstream site, so the 88.4 ppb level found there may be a concern. If the C1 to C4 Anthracenes were added, this exceedance would be more dramatic. Total chrysenes downstream are approaching but not exceeding the lowest published concern level: 386 ppb ERL NOAA level [664]. Benzo(a)anthracene levels in sediments downstream (183 ppb) somewhat exceeded the Oakridge Estimated equivalent sediment quality criterion of 108 ppb [652]. Benzo(a)pyrene levels in sediments downstream (157.6) exceed the OakRidge Estimated equivalent sediment quality criterion of 140 ppb [652]. Total fluorene sediment concentration of 3.778 ppm found upstream exceed 0.54 mg/kg dry weight (microtox) to 3.6 mg/kg dry weight (amphipod) AET benchmarks [416]. Fluoranthene levels downstream (500.5 ppb) were higher downstream, where this biodegradation resistant PAH may be accumulating; although 500 ppb is not extremely elevated, effects in sediments have been observed with mean fluoranthene concentrations as low as 382 ppb [444].

Total carcinogenic PAHs: in sediments downstream at site S6a, total carcinogenic PAHs just barely exceeded the 1 ppm level which had been shown to induce tumors in bullhead catfish [40]. Total carcinogenic PAHs were those in above tables from Benzo(a)anthracene, except for (excluding) the non-classifiable compounds Benzo(e)Pyrene, Perylene, and Benzo(g,h,i)perylene. Actually, none of the PAHs commonly analyzed by EPA method 8270 for semi-volatiles or even the NOAA expanded scan are clearly classified as non-carcinogens; the least damaging thing one can say about any of them is that they are not classifiable due to lack of data (see PAHs entry for details).

Metals: Lead in sediments upstream (51.7 ppm) slightly exceeds the NOAA ERL benchmark [664] and is approaching others. Otherwise, metals in sediments do not appear to be a concern.

The boiling range of diesel fuel No. 1 largely excludes the presence of benzene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Although data were not available for diesel No. 1, kerosene, which is approximately equivalent to diesel fuel No. 1, normally contains less than 0.02% benzene and very low levels of 3- to 7-ring PAHs [747].

Contains petroleum distillates, generally in the range of 300 to 550 degrees f boiling point, and probably containing more aromatics than gasoline [606].

Diesel fuel No. 1, consists of hydrocarbons with carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C9-C16 and boiling in the range of approximately 150-300 degrees C [747].

Aromatic compounds represent an estimated 10 to 30 percent of No. 2 diesel fuel. The flash point specification on No. 2 diesel is high enough that only trace quantities of BTEX components can be present. The lower flash point specifications on No. 1 diesel and on Arctic diesel do allow significant concentrations of these compounds, however [822].

Typical U.S. specifications of grade no. 1 diesel fuel [822]:

PARAMETER	SPECIFICATION
API Gravity	35
Specific Gravity	0.85
Flash Point, degrees F	100 (min)
Pour Point, degrees F	-30 (max)
Viscosity, SSU @ 100 degrees F	36.3
Distillation	
10% Point, max	420
50% Point, max	455
90% Point, max	550
Final Boiling Point, max	626

OTHER PHYSICOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS:

Solubility characteristics: INSOLUBLE [608]

Specific gravity: 0.81-0.85 at 15 degrees C (liquid) [615]

Chemical Reactivity with water: No reaction [615]
Chemical Reactivity with common materials: No reaction [615]

Boiling point at 1 ATM: 380-560 degrees F = 193-293 degrees

C = 466-566 degrees K [615]

Freezing point: -30 degrees F = -34 degrees C = 240 degrees K [615]

Liquid surface tension: 23-32 dynes/cm = 0.023-0.032 N/m at 20 degrees C [615]

Liquid water interfacial tension: 47-49 dynes/cm = 0.047-0.049 N/m at 20 degrees C [615]

The odor threshold is 0.7 ppm [615].

Fate.Detail: Detailed Information on Fate, Transport, Persistence, and/or Pathways:

See also: Br.Fate Section above.

Environmental fate of diesel in soil [497]:

The most common potential environmental impact is migration of diesel to groundwater [497].

The mechanisms of diesel movement in soil are saturated or unsaturated flow of liquid or dissolved diesel in water, and diffusion (volatilization). Diffusion, however, is not a primary mechanism of diesel migration in soil [497].

Because of the heterogeneity inherent in soils, movement of diesel in the liquid state or dissolved diesel in water can only be described by very complex equations, and even these are of limited usefulness. Common sense and visible observations provide the best initial evaluation of the fate of diesel in soil. Since volatilization of diesel is limited, the primary direction of diesel movement is downward (leaching). Lateral movement can occur in a low-permeability zone or saturated zone of soil [497]. (For a summary of the fate of hydrocarbons in soil, see I. Bassert and R. Bartha, "The Fate of Petroleum in Soil Ecosystems," in Petroleum Microbiology, 1984.)

The most important soil characteristic affecting the movement of diesel is soil permeability, which is a function of soil porosity. Soil porosity, in turn, is usually a function of soil particle size. In unconsolidated soil, therefore, permeability decreases from sand to silt to clay. Macropore flow, soil density, and percent saturation make accurate predictions nearly impossible; however, under most circumstances, gross estimates of soil permeability based on particle size provide a reasonable estimate of the fate of diesel in soil [497].

Laboratory and/or Field Analyses:

Since PAHs and alkyl PAHs are important hazardous components of this product, risk assessments should include analyses of PAHs and alkyl PAHs utilizing the NOAA protocol expanded scan [828] or other rigorous GC/MS/SIM methods. If a Park Service sediment investigation at Petersburg National Historical Battlefield (see Chem.Detail section above, a study performed in response to contamination by Diesel) had utilized EPA semi-volatile scan 8270 or any of the other typical EPA scans (625, etc.), all of which only include parent compounds and often utilize detection limits no lower than the 170-600 ppb range, the false conclusion reached would have been that only one PAH was present in significant (detection limit) amounts. This false negative conclusion would have been made because the parent compound PAHs present constituted only 2.4% of the PAHs detected in sediments, and the highest concentration found for any parent compound except pyrene was 85.5 ppb, far below the detection limits used on the older standard EPA scans. Pyrene was 185 ppb, which would have been non-detected on many of the EPA scans, but not all. However, utilizing the NOAA protocol expanded scan [828], it was determined that 97.6% of total quantity of PAHs detected in sediments were alkyl PAHs, and that all 39 PAHs and alkyl PAHs were present in these sediments.

The relative proportions of hazardous components present in petroleum-based oil contamination is typically quite variable. The lab analyses most appropriate for measuring different types of oil contamination depend upon the type of oil involved and the reason for measuring the contamination. The farther one progresses from lighter towards heavier oils (the general progression from light to heavy is: Diesel, No. 2 Fuel Oil, Light Crudes, Medium Crude Oils, Heavy Crudes, No. 6 Fuel Oil, etc.) there exists a greater percentage of PAHs and other semi-volatiles (many of which are not so immediately toxic as the volatiles but can result in long-term/chronic impacts). These heavier oils thus need to be analyzed for the semi-volatile compounds which typically pose the greatest long-term risk: PAHs and alkylated PAHs.

> NOTE: As mentioned in the Chem.Detail section above, all 39 PAHs and alkyl PAHs [828] including all commonly analyzed parent compounds) have been reported in diesel fuels. Many alkyl homologs exist in diesel fuels [822, see Chem.Detail Section]. See also: PAHs as a group entry.

For metals, see metals entries for details on lab methods.

In choosing a lab method, it should be kept in mind that this product (as well as Diesel, No. 2 Fuel Oils, and Light Crudes) can be expected to exhibit the following characteristics [741]:

- Moderately volatile; will leave residue (up to 1/3 of spilled amount)
- Moderate concentrations of toxic (soluble) compounds
- Will "oil" intertidal resources with long-term contamination potential
- Has potential for subtidal impacts (dissolution, mixing, sorption onto suspended sediments)

-No dispersion necessary
-Cleanup can be very effective

Decision Tree (dichotomous key) for selection of lab methods for measuring contamination from light crude oils and middle distillate petroleum products (all diesels, jet fuels, kerosene, Fuel oil 2, Heating Oil 2):

1a. Your main concern is biological effects of petroleum products..2

1b. Your main concern is cleanup or remediation but no ecological or human resources are at risk............3

2a. The resource at risk is primarily humans via a drinking water pathway, either the contamination of groundwater used for drinking water, or the fresh* or continuing contamination of surface waters used as drinking water, or the risk is primarily to aquatic species in confined** surface waters from a fresh* spill, or the risk is to surface waters re-emerging from contaminated groundwater resources whether the spill is fresh* or not; the medium and/or pathway of concern is water rather than sediments, soil, or tissues 4

2b. The resource at risk is something else......................5

3a. The spilled substance is a fresh* oil product of known composition: If required to do so by a regulatory authority, perform whichever Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) analysis specified by the regulator. However, keep in mind that due to its numerous limitations, the use of the common EPA method 418.1 for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons is not recommended as a stand-alone method unless the results can first be consistently correlated (over time, as the oil ages) with the better NOAA protocol expanded scan*** for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkyl PAHs. If not required to perform an EPA method 418.1-based analysis for TPH, instead perform a Gas Chromatography/Flame Ionization Detection (GC/FID) analysis for TPH using the spilled substance as a calibration standard. GC/FID methods can be sufficient for screening purposes when the oil contamination is fresh*, unweathered oil and when one is fairly sure of the source [657]. If diesel 1D was spilled, perform TPH-D (1D) using California LUFT manual methods (typically a modified EPA method 8015) [465] or a locally available GC/FID method of equal utility for the product spilled. However, no matter which TPH method is used, whether based on various GC/FID or EPA method 418.1 protocols, the investigator should keep in mind that the effectiveness of the method typically changes as oil ages, that false positives or false negatives are possible, and that the better Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry-Selected Ion Mode (GC/MS/SIM) scans (such as the NOAA expanded scan***) should probably be performed at the end of remediation to be sure that the contamination has truly been cleaned up.

3b. The spilled product is not fresh* or the contamination is of unknown or mixed composition........................6

4. Analyze for Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Toluene (BTEX) compounds in water as part of a broader scan of volatiles using EPA GC/MS method 8240 (8260 is the update). The standard EPA GC/MS method 8260 is the update) protocol will be sufficient for some applications, but the standard EPA method 8240 (and especially the less rigorous EPA BTEX methods such as method 8020 for soil and method 602 for water) are all inadequate for generating scientifically defensible information for Natural Resource Damage Assessments [468]. The standard EPA methods are also inadequate for risk assessment purposes. Thus, when collecting information for possible use in a Natural Resource Damage Assessment or risk assessment, it is best to ask the lab to analyze for BTEX compounds and other volatile oil compounds using a modified EPA GC/MS method 8260 method using the lowest possible Selected Ion Mode detection limits and increasing the analyte list to include as many alkyl BTEX compounds as possible. Also analyze surface or (if applicable) ground water samples for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkyl PAHs using the NOAA protocol expanded scan*** modified for water samples using methylene chloride extraction. If the contaminated water is groundwater, before the groundwater is determined to be remediated, also analyze some contaminated sub-surface soils in contact with the groundwater for BTEX compounds (EPA GC/MS method 8260), and PAHs (NOAA protocol expanded scan***). The magnitude of any residual soil contamination will provide insight about the likelihood of recontamination of groundwater resources through equilibria partitioning mechanisms moving contamination from soil to water.

5a. The medium of concern is sediments or soils..................6

5b. The medium of concern is biological tissues..................7

6. Perform the NOAA protocol expanded scan*** for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkyl PAHs. If there is any reason to suspect fresh* or continuing contamination of soils or sediments with lighter volatile compounds, also perform EPA GC/MS method 8240 (8260 is the update) using the lowest possible Selected Ion Mode (SIM) detection limits and increasing the analyte list to include as many alkyl Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene (BTEX) compounds as possible.

7a. The problem is direct coating (oiling) of wildlife or plants with spilled oil product....................................8

7b. The problem is something else..............................9

8. Perform NOAA protocol expanded scan*** for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkyl PAHs and/or GC/FID fingerprinting of the coating oil only if necessary to identify the source or exact oil. If the source is known and no confirmation lab studies are necessary: dispense with additional chemical laboratory analyses and instead document direct effects of coating: lethality, blinding, decreased reproduction from eggshell coating, etc., and begin cleaning activities if deemed potentially productive after consolations with the Fish and Wildlife Agencies.

9a. The concern is for impacts on water column organisms (such as fish or plankton)..10

9b. The concern is for something else (including benthic organisms)...11

10. If exposure to fish is suspected, an HPLC/Fluorescence scan for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) metabolites in bile may be performed to confirm exposure [844]. For bottom-dwelling fish such as flounders or catfish, also analyze the bottom sediments (see Step 6 above). Fish which spend most of their time free-swimming above the bottom in the water column can often avoid toxicity from toxic petroleum compounds in the water column, but if fish are expiring in a confined** habitat (small pond, etc.), EPA GC/MS method 8240 (8260 is the update) and the NOAA protocol expanded scan*** for PAHs could be performed to see if Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene (BTEX), naphthalene, and other potentially toxic compounds are above known acute toxicity benchmark concentrations. Zooplankton populations impacted by oil usually recover fairly quickly unless they are impacted in very confined** or shallow environments [835] and the above BTEX and PAH water methods are often recommended rather than direct analyses of zooplankton tissues.

11a. The concern is for benthic invertebrates: analyze invertebrate whole-body tissue samples and surrounding sediment samples for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkyl PAHs using the NOAA protocol expanded scan***. If the spill is fresh* or the source continuous, risk assessment needs may also require that the sediments which form the habitat for benthic invertebrates be analyzed for Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene (BTEX) and other volatile compounds using EPA GC/MS method 8240 (8260 is the update) or modified EPA method 8240 (8260 is the update) in the Selected Ion Mode (SIM). Bivalve invertebrates such as clams and mussels do not break down PAHs as well or as quickly as do fish or many wildlife species. They are also less mobile. Thus, bivalve tissues are more often directly analyzed for PAH residues than are the tissues of fish or wildlife.

11b. The concern is for plants or for vertebrate wildlife including birds, mammals, reptiles, and amphibians: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and other petroleum hydrocarbons break down fairly rapidly in many wildlife groups and tissues are not usually analyzed directly. Instead direct effects are investigated and water, soil, sediment, and food items encountered by wildlife are usually analyzed for PAHs and alkyl PAHs using the NOAA protocol expanded scan***. If the spill is fresh* or the source continuous, risk assessment needs may also require that these habitat media also be analyzed for Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene (BTEX) and other volatile compounds using EPA GC/MS method 8240 (8260 is the update) or modified EPA method 8240 (8260 is the update) in the Selected Ion Mode (SIM). Less is known about plant effects. However, the same methods recommended above for the analyses of water (Step 4 above) and for sediments or soils (Step 6 above) are usually also recommended for these same media in plant or wildlife habitats. If wildlife or plants are covered with oil, see also Step 8 (above) regarding oiling issues.

* Discussion of the significance of the word "fresh": The word "fresh" cannot be universally defined because oil breaks down faster in some environments than in others. In a hot, windy, sunny, oil-microbe-rich, environment in the tropics, some of the lighter and more volatile compounds (such as the Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene compounds) would be expected to disappear faster by evaporation into the environment and by biodegradation than in a cold, no-wind, cloudy, oil-microbe-poor environment in the arctic. In certain habitats, BTEX and other relatively water soluble compounds will tend to move to groundwater and/or subsurface soils (where degradation rates are typically slower than in a sunny well aerated surface environment). Thus, the judgement about whether or not oil contamination would be considered "fresh" is a professional judgement based on a continuum of possible scenarios. The closer in time to the original spill of non-degraded petroleum product, the greater degree the source is continuous rather than the result of a one-time event, and the more factors are present which would retard oil evaporation or breakdown (cold, no-wind, cloudy, oil-microbe-poor conditions, etc.) the more likely it would be that in the professional judgement experts the oil would be considered "fresh." In other words, the degree of freshness is a continuum which depends on the specific product spilled and the specific habitat impacted. Except for groundwater resources (where the breakdown can be much slower), the fresher the middle distillate oil contamination is, the more one has to be concerned about potential impacts of BTEX compounds, and other lighter and more volatile petroleum compounds.

To assist the reader in making decisions based on the continuum of possible degrees of freshness, the following generalizations are provided: Some of the lightest middle distillates (such as Jet Fuels, Diesel, No. 2 Fuel Oil) are moderately volatile and soluble and up to two-thirds of the spill amount could disappear from surface waters after a few days [771,835]. Even heavier petroleum substances, such as medium oils and most crude oils will evaporate about one third of the product spilled within 24 hours [771]. Typically the volatile fractions disappear mostly by evaporating into the atmosphere. However, in some cases, certain water soluble fractions of oil including Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene (BTEX) compounds move down into groundwater. BTEX compounds are included in the more volatile and water soluble fractions, and BTEX compounds as well as the lighter alkanes are broken down more quickly by microbes than heavier semi-volatiles such as alkyl PAHs and some of the heavier and more complex aliphatic compounds. Thus after a week, or in some cases, after a few days, there is less reason to analyze surface waters for BTEX or other volatile compounds, and such analyses should be reserved more for potentially contaminated groundwaters. In the same manner, as the product ages, there is typically less reason to analyze for alkanes using GC/FID techniques or TPH using EPA 418.1 methods, and more reason to analyze for the more persistent alkyl PAHs using the NOAA protocol expanded scan***.

** Discussion of the significance of the word "confined": Like the word "fresh" the word "confined" is difficult to define precisely as there is a continuum of various degrees to which a habitat would be considered "confined" versus "open." However, if one is concerned about the well-being of ecological resources such as fish which spend most of their time swimming freely above the bottom, it makes more sense to spend a smaller proportion of analytical funding for water column and surface water analyses of Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene (BTEX) and other volatile or acutely toxic compounds if the spill is in open and/or deep waters rather than shallow or "confined" waters. This is because much of the oil tends to stay with a surface slick or becomes tied up in subsurface tar balls. The petroleum compounds which do pass through the water column often tend to do so in small concentrations and/or for short periods of time, and fish and other pelagic or generally mobile species can often swim away to avoid impacts from spilled oil in "open waters." Thus in many large oil spills in open or deep waters, it has often been difficult or impossible to attribute significant impacts to fish or other pelagic or strong swimming mobile species in open waters. Lethality has most often been associated with heavy exposure of juvenile fish to large amounts of oil products moving rapidly into shallow or confined waters [835]. Different fish species vary in their sensitivity to oil [835]. However, the bottom line is that in past ecological assessments of spills, often too much money has been spent on water column analyses in open water settings, when the majority of significant impacts tended to be concentrated in other habitats, such as benthic, shoreline, and surface microlayer habitats.

*** The lab protocols for the expanded scan of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkyl PAHs have been published by NOAA [828].

End of Decision Treee Key.

It is important to understand that contaminants data from different labs, different states, and different agencies, collected by different people, are often not very comparable (see also, discussion in the disclaimer section at the top of this entry).

As of 1997, the problem of lack of data comparability (not only for water methods but also for soil, sediment, and tissue methods) between different "standard methods" recommended by different agencies seemed to be getting worse, if anything, rather than better. The trend in quality assurance seemed to be for various agencies, including the EPA and others, to insist on quality assurance plans for each project. In addition to quality control steps (blanks, duplicates, spikes, etc.), these quality assurance plans call for a step of insuring data comparability [1015,1017]. However, the data comparability step is often not given sufficient consideration. The tendency of agency guidance (such as EPA SW-846 methods and some other new EPA methods for bio-concentratable substances) to allow more and more flexibility to select options at various points along the way, makes it harder in insure data comparability or method validity. Even volunteer monitoring programs are now strongly encouraged to develop and use quality assurance project plans [1015,1017].

At minimum, before using contaminants data from diverse sources, one should determine that field collection methods, detection limits, and lab quality control techniques were acceptable and comparable. The goal is that the analysis in the concentration range of the comparison benchmark concentration should be very precise and accurate.

It should be kept in mind that quality control field and lab blanks and duplicates will not help in the data quality assurance goal as well as intended if one is using a method prone to false negatives. Methods may be prone to false negatives due to the use of detection limits that are too high, the loss of contaminants through inappropriate handling, or the use of inappropriate methods. The use of inappropriate methods is particularly common related to oil products.

Screening scans: if one is dealing with a fresh spill of known composition, or if one has already determined that a good correlation exists between the rigorous scans (as discussed above) and less rigorous screening scans, certain screening scans may be used to monitor the position and magnitude of contamination. Below are a few notes related to screening scans versus diesel fuels:

1) GC/FID:

> While a screening analysis such as GC/FID should be adequate for mid-range products such as diesels and possibly jet fuels, lighter gasoline fractions will be lost in a GC/FID analysis (which uses extraction and burning) [657]. Middle distillates in the C9 to C16 range normally have a boiling range well above the boiling-point of benzene; accordingly, the benzene content of this fraction is usually low [747].

2) Method 8015:

EPA Method 8015 (for non-halogenated volatile organics) is a gas chromatographic method sometimes recommended for the analysis of volatile and semivolatile compounds. It can be used to characterize light and midrange petroleum distillates such as gasoline, diesel, fuel oil, and kerosene. This method can be used to obtain some gross fingerprint information for differentiation between petroleum products, as well as detailed information that can be used to differentiate between different batches of the same product. The major limitation of Method 8015 is its inability to detect nonvolatile compounds. The State of California recommends a "modified method 8015" (different from EPA's method 8015 and also different from EPA method 418.1) for gasoline, kerosene, diesel oil, or other fuels in soil and groundwater, as specified in the Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Manual [465].

In California, a "modified method 8015" (different from EPA's method 8015 and also different from EPA method 418.1) is used for gasoline, kerosene, diesel oil, or other fuels in soil and groundwater, as specified in the Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Manual [465]. Thus what is TPH in California is totally different from what may be reported as TPH in other states. In other States TPH often refers to something more similar to TRPH (EPA method 418.1 or some similar modification). One has to be careful with TPH or TRPH values because different labs use different methods for preparation of the samples. Most (but possibly not all) labs use a mixture of three different hydrocarbons (n-hexadecane, isooctane, and chlorobenzene) to calibrate instruments. California allows use of a "modified method 8015" wet weight method, which is different from EPA's method 8015, for TPH analysis of gasoline; this method detects volatile, non-halogenated hydrocarbons for TPH analysis [465].

3) Notes on the California LUFT Method:

In California, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) reporting is usually a GC/FID California modified EPA method 8015, based on a diesel standard (diesel used to calibrate instruments). In California, this method is sometimes referred to method 8015 (diesel standard) [785]. The California Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) manual, because of the predominance of diesel and gasoline in LUFTs, treats and reports all semi-volatiles as diesel and all volatiles as gasoline [810]. Thus in California, confusion often arises when [810]:

> Crude oil, kerosene, and hydraulic oil contamination is sometimes reported as diesel fuel, while
>
> Naphtha, mineral spirits, or jet fuel contamination is sometimes reported as gasoline.

According to the LUFT Manual [465], aromatic BTEX compounds represent 6.43 to 36.47% of gasoline by weight but account for less than 0.1% total weight of diesel fuel [809]. Since BTEX levels are typically 60 to 360 times higher in gasoline than in diesel, and since the clean-up criteria suggested in the LUFT manual are based solely on the presence of BTEX, the LUFT manual typically allows much higher amounts of diesel to remain in soil than gasoline [809]. Unless groundwater is very close, the LUFT manual usually allows even high levels of diesel fuel to remain in soil [809]. This appears to be ignoring the risk of PAHs and other (non-BTEX) compounds in diesel. The risk of BTEX compounds from diesel is only about 10 times lower for diesel-contaminated soil than for gasoline-contaminated soil if one looks at leaching potential, and even California regulatory agencies have been reluctant to always leave high levels of diesel fuel in contaminated soil [809].

However, diesel fuel has approximately 35% of its components in the gasoline range [810]. Thus, when a diesel sample (or even a naphtha, paint thinner, mineral spirits, JP-4, stoddard solvent, Jet A, or crude oil sample) is purged, it will have a gasoline component and the laboratory using LUFT manual method will erroneously report the sample as gasoline [810].

The California GC/FID methods also call for packed GC columns which have poor resolving power and make it difficult to obtain detailed information about the hydrocarbon type [810]. Superior GC columns and superior methods (such as ASTM 2887) are available [810]. For example, narrow-bore capillary columns can analyze most of the gasoline, entire diesel fractions, and a substantial portion of the crude oil range [810].

Using the California LUFT manual methods, only an experienced analyst will be able to differentiate diesel fractions from aged gasoline [810]. The

> oversimplified California methods and models are plagued with many problems [808,810]. Choosing an appropriate solvent for semivolatile analyses always presents a problem; some solvents extract certain compounds better than others and many present environmental or health risks [810].

A number of states, including California and Wisconsin, recommend the use of Diesel Range Organics (DRO) methods. Most DRO methods are modifications of method 8015b [1013]. Some states use alkane standards for DRO, while some use diesel fuel itself for calibration. National guidance is in SW-846 [1013]. One of the problems with DRO methods that concentrate on certain alkane standards is that the alkanes come from sources other than diesel, and the continued need to do occasional correlations with more rigorous scans of PAHs and alkyl PAHs. EPA has various alkane-related methods [861], but for risk assessment, alkane methods should usually be supplemented with GC/MS/SIM expanded scans for PAHs and alkyl PAHs [828].

Highlights from the Modified DRO (Method for Determining Diesel Range Organics) Recommended by Wisconsin DNR, September 1995 (Donalea Dinsmore, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, personal communication, 1997):

> This method is designed to measure the concentration of diesel range organics in water and soil. This corresponds to a hydrocarbon range of C10 - C28 and a boiling point range between approximately 170°C and 430°C. As defined in the method, other organic compounds, including chlorinated hydrocarbons, phenols, phthalate esters, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, kerosene, fuel oils and heavier oils are measurable. DRO results include these compounds/products.
>
> ...
>
> The Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) of this method for diesel range organics is 10 mg/kg or less for soils and 0.1 mg/L or less for groundwater.
>
> This method is based on a solvent extraction, Gas Chromatography (GC) procedure. This method should be used by, or under supervision of, analysts experienced in solvent extraction and the use of gas chromatographs. The analysts should be skilled in the interpretation of gas chromatograms and their use.
>
> The method is designed to measure mid-range petroleum products such as diesel or fuel oil. Components greater than C28 present in products such as motor oils or lubricating oils are detectable under the conditions of the method. If, based on a review of the chromatogram, the presence of these product types is suspected, additional analyses may be necessary.

These additional efforts are not contained within this method.

....

Summary of Method

This method provides gas chromatographic conditions for the detection of semivolatile petroleum fractions such as diesel, fuel oil #2, or kerosene. Samples are analyzed utilizing extraction to dissolve the organic constituents. The extract is dried, concentrated and injected into a capillary column gas chromatograph. The gas chromatograph is temperature programmed to facilitate separation of organic compounds. Detection is achieved by a flame ionization detector (FID). Quantitation is based on FID detector response to a diesel component standard.

This method is suitable for the analysis of waters, soils, or wastes.

Soil core samples are collected in wide mouth VOC vials with minimum handling to reduce loss of contaminants. Preservation by solvent addition is performed in the lab. This method is based in part on 1) USEPA SW-846: the 3rd edition of methods 8000 and 8100; 2) Method OA-2; 3) work by the EPA Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Methods Committee; and 4) work by the Wisconsin Ad-Hoc Committee on LUST Program Analytical Requirements and Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene.

....

Definitions

Diesel Range Organics (DRO): All the chromatographic response falling between the onset of the n-decane (n-C10) peak and the conclusion of the n-octacosane (n-C28) peak. Quantitation is based on a direct comparison of the total area within this range to the total area of the Diesel Component Standard.

Diesel Component Standard: A ten component blend of typical diesel compounds (Table 3). This standard serves as a quantitation standard and is used to establish a retention time window for diesel range organics.

Laboratory Control Spike - Water: A reagent water spiked with the Diesel Component Standard and run through the method with water samples as a quality control check. See Section 10.3.1.

Laboratory Control Spike - Soil: A reagent sand or soil sample spiked with the Diesel Component Standard and run through the method with soil samples as a quality control check. See Section 10.3.2.

Method Blank - Water: A reagent water sample extracted with the same volume of solvent used in samples, processed as a sample, and run as a quality control check. If contamination is found it is the lab's responsibility to determine its origin. See section 10.3.3 for method blank acceptance criteria.

Method Blank - Soil: A reagent sand or clean soil extracted with the same volume of solvent used in samples, processed as a sample, and run as a quality control check. If contamination is found it is the lab's responsibility to determine its origin. See section 10.3.4 for method blank acceptance criteria.

Calibration Check Standard (CCS): A calibration standard analyzed to verify the validity of the calibration curve. See section 10.3.5 for CCS acceptance criteria.

Temperature Blank: A vial of water supplied by the laboratory, treated in the same manner as sample vials and carried along with samples, to determine if proper cooling of samples has been achieved. A 40 ml or 60 ml vial will be adequate for this purpose.

......

I. Sample collection, Preservation, and Handling

Aqueous samples should be collected in a one liter amber bottle with a teflon lined cap. The Teflon liner must contact the sample. Samples must be preserved with 5 mls of 50% HCl at the time of collection, (acid must be added to the bottle prior to adding the sample). Cool samples to 4°C immediately after collection. Water samples must be held at 4°C and extracted within seven days of collection. Analysis must take place within 47 days of collection. Samples from carbonate aquifers should be preserved with sodium azide or extracted unpreserved within 48 hours of collection. Samples collected from carbonate aquifers must be flagged on the chain of custody. The pH of all water samples must be determined unless sample vials containing acid for field preservation were supplied by the lab. The pH measurement may be performed on left-over sample. If sample pH is greater than two, sample results must be flagged. Flagging is not required for carbonate aquifers samples preserved with sodium azide or extracted within 48 hours of collection.

Soils can be collected using a 30 ml plastic syringe with the end sliced off, a brass tube, an EnCore TM sampler or other appropriate devices. Samples cannot be analyzed if the amount of soil in the vial exceeds the weight maxima listed in Table 1. A sufficient number of vials (three recommended) should be collected to provide for backup analyses in the event of breakage and to allow for screening. One vial must be collected for dry weight determination. Care must be taken to be sure the vial seals properly (no soil on the threads). This can be accomplished by using a clean toothbrush or other utensil to sweep particles off the threads of the vial.

Collect and preserve samples by one of the following techniques:

Collect soil into tared VOC vials following Table 1. Store samples on ice or at 4°C. Samplers should be aware that laboratories use a variety of vial taring methods so it is important to use only vials supplied by the laboratory performing the analysis.

Pack soil with no headspace into a brass tube. Cap the tube using plastic endcaps with teflon sheets placed between the endcaps and the sample. Store samples on ice or at 4°C. Immediately prior to solvent addition, the soil from the brass tube must be subsampled into a VOC vial following Table 1. Subsampling involves removing one of the plastic endcaps, scrapping away the surface soil, and then scooping out, (with a spatula or other utensil), the appropriate weight of soil into the vial. Brass tubes must be cleaned appropriately prior to reuse.

Pack soil with no headspace into an EnCore TM sampler. Cap with the stainless steel "o-ring" cap. Store samples on ice or at 4°C. Soil stored in the EnCore TM sampler must be extruded from the device into a VOC vial immediately prior to solvent addition. The soil is extruded by using a pushrod supplied with the tool. Soil should not be scooped out of the sampler using a spatula, etc. EnCore TM samplers must be cleaned appropriately (following the manufacturers recommendations) prior to reuse.

Alternate sample storage devices equivalent or superior in performance to the brass tube or the EnCore TM sampler may be used for sample storage prior to preservation in the laboratory. Alternate sample storage devices must be approved by the Department prior to use.

Shipping time should be minimized. Samples must be received by the lab within 72 hours. Refer to Table 2 for soil sample holding times.

Extractant solvent must be added to soil vials within 72 hours of sample collection.

Upon receipt by the laboratory weigh the tared sample vial to determine the actual weight. Use Table 1 to determine the volume of solvent to add, or if the sample must be flagged or rejected. If the laboratory analyzes soil samples exceeding the weight maxima in Table 1, at the request of clients, the samples must not be reported as "DRO".

Add solvent to the sample in a 1:1 ratio (or greater) of mls solvent to grams of sample. Solvent can be injected through the septa, or the vial may be quickly opened to allow the appropriate volume of solvent to poured in. Solvent must be added to the sample within 72 hours of sample collection.

It is not necessary for the lab to complete the extraction at the time of injection of the solvent (addition of sodium sulfate, sonication, etc.) The date of solvent addition must be reported in lieu of the extraction date. Completion of the extraction (addition of sodium sulfate, sonication, etc.) need not be done until the time of analysis. Analysis must take place within 47 days of collection.

Sample temperature must be determined upon receipt to the lab. Sample temperature may be recorded as "received on ice" only if solid ice is present in the cooler at the time the samples are received. "Received on ice" means sample containers are surrounded by an ice slurry, or crushed, cubed or chipped ice at the time of receipt in the laboratory. It is acceptable to place the sample containers in plastic bags to preserve sample and label integrity. The use of bubble wrap or other insulating material is not allowed. Samples cooled during shipping with ice packs or "blue ice" may not be recorded as "received on ice". If samples are not "received on ice", temperature shall be determined from:

The temperature of an actual sample.

The temperature of a temperature blank shipped with samples.

The temperature of the melt water in the shipping container.

When no ice is in the cooler, no temperature blank is provided, and there is not sufficient sample volume to sacrifice for a temperature measurement, the laboratory must flag the sample result and state the condition of sample upon receipt (ie. not cooled during shipping, received at room temperature, etc.). Note: If blue ice packs or similar methods are used, precooling of samples to 4°C with ice or by refrigeration is required.

....

References

USEPA "SW-846 Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste", 3rd Edition; Methods 8000, 8100, 3510, 3520, 3540, and 3550.

"Method OA-2: Extractable Petroleum in Products", Revision January 10, 1990; University Hygienic Laboratory, Iowa City, Iowa.

"Method for Determination of Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) in Soil and Water" - Draft - February 28, 1990; prepared for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Method Committee by Midwest Research Institute.

Silis, K., M. McDevitt, and J. Parr; "A Reliable Technique for Measuring Petroleum Hydrocarbons in the Environment", presented at the conference on Petroleum Hydrocarbons and organic Chemicals in Groundwater, NWWA, Houston, Texas, November 1988.

"Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) Field Manual", State Water Resources Control Board, State of California, Sacramento, CA, May 1988.

Fitzgerald, John; "Onsite Analytical Screening of Gasoline Contaminated Media Using a Jar Headspace Procedure", Petroleum Contaminated Soils, Vol. 2, 1989.

Senn, R.B., and M.S. Johnson; "Interpretation of Gas Chromatographic Data in Subsurface Hydrocarbon Investigation", Ground Water Monitoring Review, 1987.

Hughes, B.M., D.E. McKenzie, C.K. Trang, L.S.R. Minor, "Examples of the Use of an Advanced Mass Spectrometric Data Processing Environment for the Determination of Sources of Wastes" presented at 5th Annual Waste Testing

> and Quality Assurance Symposium, July 24-28, 1989.
>
> ASTM "Standards Methods for Comparison of Waterborne Petroleum Oils by Gas Chromatography," 3328-78.

....

> Diesel component standard and concentrations (other states use diesel fuel itself as the standard):
>
> | Component Concentration | ug/ml |
> |---|---|
> | Decane | 1000 |
> | Dodecane | 1000 |
> | Tetradecane | 1000 |
> | Hexadecane | 1000 |
> | Octadecane | 1000 |
> | Eicosane | 1000 |
> | Docosane | 1000 |
> | Tetracosane | 1000 |
> | Hexacosane | 1000 |
> | Octacosane | 1000 |
> | Total | 10,000 |
>
> Note: The concentration of the Diesel Component Standard may be varied as long as the concentration of each component is the same.
>
> End of section on Wisconsin Method.

There are other analyses which have been used for measuring diesel, including various modifications of the standard EPA method 8100. For example, a Diesel Range Organics analysis is sometimes referred to as a DRO Modified EPA method 8100. The DIESEL FUEL TLC Method is discussed as follows [497]:

> The various types of diesel fuels will tend to have thin-layer chromatography (TLC) patterns that are different from each other and can be seen in the aromatic portion of the thin-layer chromatography (TLC) chromatogram. The ratio of saturated hydrocarbons to the PNA will vary from batch to batch. The heavier diesel fuels will tend to have higher levels of moderate and heavy PNAs. The thin-layer chromatography (TLC) pattern, using hexane as the eluting solvent, will show two spots, one oval shaped with an Rf of 0.9 (hexane) visible with iodine staining, and a second at about Rf 0.5 (hexane) visible under shortwave UV light, and iodine staining. Heavy diesel fuels will also contain material having an Rf of 0.3 to 0.5 (hexane) visible under short- and long-wave UV light, as well as iodine staining. The relative intensity of the saturated hydrocarbon spot to the aromatic hydrocarbon spot will vary from batch to batch.

See also: PAHs entry and ATSDR profile [962].