	Parameter	Region	Estimate	Uncertainty	Hyper-dist'n	Description	Method	Source
BASIC PARAMETERS	s1	AT			logit-normal	First-yr survival	{based on US, proportional to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
		US		(.727, .873)			CMR, known age	Langtimm et al. 2004
		NW	0.807	(.673, .895)			{based on US, proportional to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
		SW	0.765	(.616, .869)			{based on US, proportional to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
	s2	AT	0.893	(.712, .966)	logit-normal	Second -yr survival	{based on US, proportional to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
		US	0.915	(.827, .960)			CMR, known age	Langtimm et al. 2004
		NW	0.911	(.751, .972)			{based on US, proportional to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
		SW	0.864	(.654, .955)			{based on US, proportional to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
	s3 = s4	AT	0.936	(.923, .949)	logit-normal	Subadult survival	(based on US, equal to adult survival)	Runge et al. 2004
		US		(.915, .983)			CMR, known age	Langtimm et al. 2004
		NW	0.956	(.943, .969)			(based on US, equal to adult survival)	Runge et al. 2004
		SW	0.906	(.867, .944)			{based on US, equal to adult survival}	Runge et al. 2004
	sP = sA	AT	0.936	(.923, .949)	logit-normal	Adult survival	CMR, photo ID	Langtimm et al. 2004
		US	0.960	(.937, .982)			CMR, known age	Langtimm et al. 2004
		NW	0.956	(.943, .969)			CMR, photo ID	Langtimm et al. 2004
		SW	0.906	(.867, .944)			CMR, photo ID	Langtimm et al. 2004
	g4	AT	0.000	(.0, .3)	logit-normal	Breeding propensity for 4-yr olds	{by comparison to NW}	Runge et al. 2004
		US	0.208	(.071, .422)	(note: force g4 <gp)< td=""><td></td><td>binomial proportion, known-age breeders</td><td>Runge et al. 2004</td></gp)<>		binomial proportion, known-age breeders	Runge et al. 2004
		NW	0.000	(.000, .285)			binomial proportion, known-age breeders	Runge et al. 2004
		SW	0.000	(.0, .3)			{by comparison to NW}	Runge et al. 2004
						Breeding propensity for females > 4		
	gP	AT	0.304	(.132, .529)	logit-normal	that have not previously bred	{by comparison to SW}	Runge et al. 2004
		US	0.610	(.505, .709)			binomial proportion, known nonbreeders	Runge et al. 2004
		NW	0.381	(.181, .616)			binomial proportion, known nonbreeders	Runge et al. 2004
		SW	0.304	(.132, .529)			binomial proportion, presumed nonbreeders	Runge et al. 2004
						Breeding propensity for established		
	gB	AT	0.381	(.292, .470)	logit-normal	breeders	CMR, photo ID	Kendall et al. 2004
		US	0.610	(.505, .709)			binomial proportion, known breeders	Runge et al. 2004
		NW	0.429	(.217, .541)			CMR, photo ID	Kendall et al. 2004
		SW		(.421, .752)			binomial proportion, known breeders, Sarasota Bay	Runge et al. 2004
				,				

	Parameter	Region	Estimate	Uncertainty	Hyper-dist'n	Description	Method	Source
						Temporal variance of first-yr		
TEMPORAL VARIANCE	sigma(s1)	AT	0.104	(.000, .417)	2-phase uniform	survival, on the logit-scale	{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
		US	0.000	(.000, .263)			{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
		NW	0.128	(.000, .518)			{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
		SW	0.106	(.000, .851)			{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
						Temporal variance of second-yr		
	sigma(s2)	AT	0.233	(.000, .935)	2-phase uniform	survival, on the logit-scale	{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
		US	0.000	(.000, .589)			{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
		NW	0.281	(.000, 1.124)			{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
		SW	0.184	(.000, 1.472)			{based on adult variance; CV ~2x that for adults}	Runge (2003)
						Temporal variance of adult survial,	Burnham et al. (1987) method for estimating temporal	
	sigma(sA)	AT	0.000	(.000, .039)	~chi2	on the nominal scale	variance; based on CMR	Langtimm et al. (2004)
							Burnham et al. (1987) method for estimating temporal	
		US	0.000				variance; based on CMR	Langtimm et al. (2004)
							Burnham et al. (1987) method for estimating temporal	
		NW	0.018	(.000, .048)			variance; based on CMR	Langtimm et al. (2004)
							Burnham et al. (1987) method for estimating temporal	
		SW	0.000	(.000, .082)			variance; based on CMR	Langtimm et al. (2004)
						Temporal variance of breeding		
	sigma(g4)	AT	0.000		~chi2	propensity of 4-yr-olds	Expert judgement	Runge (2003)
		US	0.000				Expert judgement	Runge (2003)
		NW	0.000				Expert judgement	Runge (2003)
		SW	0.000				Expert judgement	Runge (2003)
						Temporal variance of breeding	Burnham et al. (1987) method for estimating temporal	
	sigma(gP, gA)			(.000, .062)	~chi2	propensity for older animals	variance; based on CMR	Runge (2003)
		US	0.000				Expert judgement	Runge (2003)
							Burnham et al. (1987) method for estimating temporal	
		NW		(.000, .213)			variance; based on CMR	Runge (2003)
		SW	0.076	(.000, .213)			{by comparison to NW}	
						Temporal correlation among survival		
	rho(ss)	all	1		None	rates	Assumption	Runge (2003)
						Temporal correlation among		
	rho(bb)	all	1		None	breeding rates	Assumption	Runge (2003)
						Temporal correlation between		
1	rho(sb)	all	0.5		None	survival and breeding rates	Assumption	Runge (2003)
<u> </u>								

	Parameter	Region	Estimate	Uncertainty	Hyper-dist'n	Description	Method	Source
						Frequency of Type 1 catastrophes	Expert judgement based on review of marine mammal	
CATASTROPHES	C1F	all	0.01		None	(Emergent disease)	literature	FMRI (2002)
						Magnitude of effect of Type 1	Expert judgement based on review of marine mammal	
	C1S	all	0.25		None	catastrophe on survival rates	literature	FMRI (2002)
						Magnitude of effect of Type 1	Expert judgement based on review of marine mammal	
	C1B	all	0.20		None	catastrophe on breeding rates	literature	FMRI (2002)
						Frequency of Type 2 catastrophes		
	C2F	AT	0		None	(Red tide)	Red-tide not observed	FMRI (2002)
		US	0				Red-tide not observed	FMRI (2002)
		NW	0.018				by comparison to SW (half the frequency)	FMRI (2002)
		SW	0.036				Binomial estimate (1 in 28 yrs)	FMRI (2002)
						Magnitude of effect of Type 2		
	C2S	AT			None	catastrophe on survival rates	NA	FMRI (2002)
		US					NA	FMRI (2002)
		NW	0.05				No justification given	FMRI (2002)
		SW	0.10				Rough guidance from 1996 event	FMRI (2002)
						Magnitude of effect of Type 2		
	C2B	AT			None	catastrophe on breeding rates	NA	FMRI (2002)
		US					NA	FMRI (2002)
		NW	0.05				Expert judgement	FMRI (2002)
		SW	0.05				Expert judgement	FMRI (2002)
						Additional mortality for adults outside	е	
COLD-STRESS MORTALITY	Mca	all	0.5	(.30, .75)	2-phase uniform	refugia in cold years	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Additional mortality for juveniles (1,2		
	Mcj	all	1.0	(.90, 1.0)	2-phase uniform	yr) outside refugia in cold years	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Additional mortality for adults outside	e	
	Mna	all	0.01		2-phase uniform	refugia in normal years	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Additional mortality for juveniles		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Mnj	all	0.05	(.025, .10)	2-phase uniform	outside refugia in normal years	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Decline in reproductive rates as		
						density approaches carrying		
DENSITY-DEPENDENCE	alpha	all	0.25	(.15, .50)	2-phase uniform	capacity	Reference to general literature values	FMRI (2002)
				,,		Shape parameter affecting how	<b>Q</b>	, ,
						close density needs to be to		
						capacity before density-dependent		
	beta	all	2	(1, 4)	2-phase uniform	effects are apparent	Exploration of values that produce plausible dynamics	Runge (2003)
				., .,		The same apparent	J. Talace that predate placeble dynamics	· ····g- (/

	Parameter	Region	Estimate	Uncertainty	Hyper-dist'n	Description	Method	Source
						Year (beyond current) that logistic		
CARRYING CAPACITY	a	AT	3		None	decline begins	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Year (beyond current) that logistic		
	b	AT	50		None	decline ends	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
	k1	AT	2000	(1200, 5000)	2-phase triangle	Current carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
					2-phase uniform, r =			
					0.9 correlation with			
	k0	AT	750	(600, 2000)	k1	Long-term carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
	С	AT	15	(10, 20)	2-phase uniform	Years until mid-point of drop	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Slope of logistic drop (0 = linear from	1	
	m	AT	1	(0, 5)	2-phase uniform	a to b; 5 = near instantaneous drop)	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
	k1	US	325	(150, 500)	2-phase uniform	Current carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Long-term carrying capacity (as a		
	k0	US	0.71	(0.33, 0.89)	2-phase uniform	fraction of the current capacity)	Expert panel consensus, derive from SJWMD UWWL	Update of Runge (2003)
	thalf	US	20	(15, 30)	2-phase uniform	Half-life of exponential decline	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
				,,		·		
	k1	NW	1200	(750, 3000)	2-phase uniform	Current carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Long-term carrying capacity (as a		• •
	k0	NW	0.7	(0.4, 0.85)	2-phase uniform	fraction of the current capacity)	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
	thalf	NW		(10, 40)	2-phase uniform	Half-life of exponential decline	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
		,		,	•			
						Year (beyond current) that		
	а	SW	3		None	exponential decline begins	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
						Year (beyond current) that		
	b	sw	40		None	exponential decline ends	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
						Other anthropogenic capacity that		
	kX	sw	600	(200, 800)	2-phase uniform	will be lost over time	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
	k0	SW	850	(500, 1100)	2-phase uniform	Long-term carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
	kM	SW	450	(400, 500)	2-phase uniform	Ft. Myers Plant carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
	kT	SW	540	(480, 600)	2-phase uniform	TECO Big Bend carrying capacity	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
	сМ	SW		(20, 30)	2-phase uniform	Years until loss of Ft. Myers	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
	сТ	SW		(10, 40)	2-phase uniform	Years until loss of TECO	Expert panel consensus	Update of Runge (2003)
						Exponential rate of loss of other		
	m	sw	0.05	(0, 0.1)	2-phase uniform	anthropogenic capacity	Expert panel consensus	Runge (2003)
	{k1}	SW		(1580, 3000)		current carrying capacity	Calculation from other components	Update of Runge (2003)
		'	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		·	
INITIAL POPULATION SIZE	N	AT	1447		None	2001 population size, when needed		FWRI, pers. Comm. 10/21/05, Fonnesbeck & Edwards
		US	141		None		Seasonal total of unique individuals seen	Wayne Hartley, pers. comm.
		NW	377		None		Synoptic survey, Jan 5-6, 2001	FMRI (2001)
		SW	1364		None		Synoptic survey, Jan 5-6, 2001	FWRI, pers. Comm. 10/21/05, Fonnesbeck & Edwards