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## Examining American Household Composition: 1990 and 2000

## HIGHLIGHTS

Householders living alone had become the most common specific household structure in 2000 replacing the 1990 combination of householder, spouse, and natural and/or adopted children.

## Partners

In 1990 and 2000, about threefourths of households with children contained a partner (spouse or unmarried partner) of the householder.

During the 1990s, households without a partner grew faster (20 percent) than households with a partner (11 percent), and partner households where children were the only other members present grew slower (4 percent) than all other broad types.

In 2000, most households with a parent of the householder present
were nonpartner households (60 percent).

Three-fourths of households with a grandchild present also included children of the householder in 2000.

In 2000, the most common type of household had neither a partner nor children (32 percent), followed by households with a partner and children (31 percent), households with a partner but without children (26 percent), and households with children but without a partner ( 12 percent).

## Generations

Most U.S. households (55 percent) in 2000 were composed of one generation.

In 2000, the most common type of multigenerational (3 or more generations) household consisted of the householder, child, and grandchild generations and accounted
for 62 percent of all multigenerational households.

About two-thirds of all multigenerational households contained a grandchild, and about one-third contained someone from the parent generation.

From 1990 to 2000, two-generation households increased slower than households with other numbers of generations.

Multigenerational households increased by 38 percent, from 3.0 million in 1990 , to 4.2 million in 2000.

The majority of one-generation households (55 percent) did not have a partner present.

The majority of two-generation households (72 percent) and three-or-more-generation households (58 percent) were partner households.

## INTRODUCTION

In 1880, the U.S. Census Bureau began asking a question in the decennial census of population to determine how each person living in a household was related to the head of the household (or the householder, in current terminology). From this information, all individuals can be tabulated according to their relationship to the householder, such as spouse, child, etc., and households may be classified as belonging to a particular type, such as married-couple, nonfamily, etc. This report uses the latter approach, classifying households, and shows tabulations of households within specific individual relationships and certain broader household-type classifications.

Beginning in the 1990 census, relationship data included separate precoded classifications for unmarried partner, stepchild, and grandchild. Census 2000 added more precoded categories (foster child, adopted child, parent-in-law, child-in-law) and obtained more detailed information about relationship than did previous censuses (Figure 1).

The new information collected in the relationship-to-householder item reflects the growing complexity of American households and creates an opportunity to enhance our understanding of how the U.S. population collectively lives in households. Since the general classifications used to group household types changed minimally over the last half of the 20th century, the traditional aggregations may not fully reflect this increased complexity.

In Census 2000, 273.6 million of the 281.4 million people enumerated lived in 105.5 million

Figure 1.

## Reproduction of the Question on Relationship to Householder: 1990 and 2000

1990


2 How is this person related to Person 1? Mark $\triangle$ ONE box.

| $\square$ Husband/wife | If NOT RELATED to Person 1: |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Natura-born son/daughter | $\square$ Roomer, boarder |
| $\square$ Adopted son/daughter | $\square$ Housemate, roommate |
| $\square$ Stepson/stepdaughter | $\square$ Unmarried partner |
| $\square$ Brother/sister | $\square$ Foster child |
| $\square$ Father/mother | $\square$ Other nonrelative |
| $\square$ Grandchild |  |
| $\square$Parent-in-law <br> $\square$ <br> Son-in-law/daughter-in-law <br> Other relative - Print <br> exact relationship. |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000 questionnaires.
households and the remaining 7.8 million people lived in group quarters, such as correctional institutions, nursing homes, and college dormitories. ${ }^{1}$ The data included in this report are based on special tabulations of households, classified according to the relationship item from the 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

[^0]Historically, the terms used to describe households, the categories listed on the questionnaire, the method of obtaining responses on relationship, and the manner of tabulating and processing the data all changed during the decades after relationship data were first collected. For example, while large institutional populations were excluded from the counts of households, the treatment of places such as boarding houses varied. Such changes create some comparability issues with regard to

## Understanding Data on Relationship and Households

For every household, the householder refers to the person (or one of the people) in whose name the housing unit is owned or rented (maintained) or, if there is no such person, any adult member, excluding roomers, boarders, or paid employees. The person who designates himself or herself as the householder is the "reference person" to whom the relationship of all other household members is recorded. This designation thus affects the relationship code of each member of a household (e.g. parent, spouse, child) and the classification of all households by type. The householder is listed as person 1 on the census questionnaire. All households must, by definition, contain a householder. Since 1980, if the house was owned or rented jointly by a married couple, the householder could be either the husband or the wife.

Family and nonfamily households represent the broadest categories of household type used in census data tabulations. Each of these broad types generally is broken down into two additional types: family households into married-couple households and other family households, and nonfamily households into one-person households and other nonfamily households. These traditional broad categories of household type are closely linked to the relationship codes from the decennial census questionnaire. The presence of any of 15 of the 21 relationship codes in Census 2000 implies, by definition, that the household is a family household. Six can be found in both family and nonfamily households. Of the more than 786,000 possible combinations of relationships in a
household, the maximum number of nonfamily household combinations is 32 .

The 1990 Census of Population introduced the relationship code unmarried partner, which can occur in both family and nonfamily households. Building on 1990 and 2000 data on this code, this report creates a new classification using the presence or absence of a partner (spouse or unmarried partner) as the basis for configuring household type. Dividing households in this way allows all relationship codes (other than spouse and unmarried partner) to be present in either a partner or a nonpartner household. Partner households, by definition, include either a spouse or an unmarried partner. Nonpartner households, then, are households with neither a spouse nor an unmarried partner present.

Finally, the report creates a classification of households by the number of generations living in the household, where generations are defined solely by a person's relationship to the householder. Every household can be classified according to the number of generations present, from one through five, by using the relationship codes of all individuals present in the household. The five generations identified are grandparent, parent, householder, child, and grandchild. Only one type of five-generation household is possible, one that includes each of these generations. Four types of four-generation households can occur, as can six types of three-generation households, four types of two-generation households, and one type of one-generation household.
the interpretation and understanding of historical tabulations of household relationship data. ${ }^{2}$ (For definitions of the householdrelated terms used throughout this report, see Appendix B, Glossary.)

[^1]This report examines the categories used to classify households based on the census question about relationship to the householder. Traditionally, households have been classified into two primary categories, family and nonfamily (each with two main subcategories). This report explores various new ways to present household relationship data, reconfigures household type classifications, and analyzes how these alternative groupings changed in the United States from

1990 to 2000. The report is organized into three main sections; each section presents data at the national level and examines both Census 2000 data and changes during the previous decade.

The report reconfigures the traditional family or nonfamily classification of households and creates a partner-nonpartner classification. Partner households include married-couple households and unmarried-partner households
(regardless of gender). In addition, the report creates a classification of households by the number of generations living in the household, where generations are defined solely on the basis of the relationship to the householder. All households are classified by the number of generations (one through five).

## RELATIONSHIP CODES AND COMBINATIONS

Specific relationship code combinations are tallied in this report by identifying the presence of any of the 21 relationship codes in Census 2000 (or 19 codes in the sample data from the 1990 Census of Population) in each household. Figure 2 illustrates how each relationship code was collected or coded in 1990 and 2000. Each unique combination of codes identifies a different household combination. The presence of more than one person with the same relationship code in a household is not relevant in these tabulations. A household consisting of a householder, spouse, and one natural child is counted as the same combination as a household consisting of a householder, spouse, and multiple natural children. In this example, the presence of a fourth relationship code would create a new combination.

While family and nonfamily households represent the broadest categories traditionally used in census household data tabulations, data from detailed relationship codes in 1990 and 2000 allow a new examination of American household structure. This report considers combinations of household relationships not previously analyzed and illustrates the extensive range of types. New configurations of household type groupings might

Figure 2.

## Comparison of Relationship Items: 1990 and 2000



Note: Relationship items were collected and coded on a 100-percent basis, unless otherwise stated
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000 questionnaires
more effectively capture the variety of American living arrangements than currently provided by the classifications used for the past several decades.

All households must, by definition, contain a householder. All other relationship codes theoretically may be found in any combination
within a household. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, a large number of unique household

[^2]relationship combinations are theoretically possible. For example, given the 21 specific household relationship codes in Census 2000, a total of more than 786,000 combinations are theoretically possible. However, proportionally very

Table 1.
Households by Presence of Specific Relationship Codes: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Relationship | Total households | Percent of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total households | Households with 2 or more members |
| Householder | 105,480,101 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Spouse | 54,493,232 | 51.7 | 69.6 |
| Natural child | 42,847,722 | 40.6 | 54.8 |
| Unmarried partner | 5,475,768 | 5.2 | 7.0 |
| Grandchild | 3,560,932 | 3.4 | 4.6 |
| Housemate | 3,371,571 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| Stepchild | 3,077,726 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Sibling | 2,504,069 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| Parent | 2,035,905 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| Other nonrelative | 1,754,870 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Adopted child | 1,567,499 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Roomer | 1,372,363 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Nephew/Niece | 1,035,796 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Child-in-law | 850,566 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Parent-in-law | 660,437 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Sibling-in-law | 582,758 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Other relative | 371,996 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Cousin | 364,306 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Foster child | 207,248 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Uncle/Aunt | 154,150 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Grandparent | 80,847 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000

5,000 in 1990 (using the 19 relationship codes from sample data) to nearly 25,000 in 2000 with the addition of specific codes for an adopted child and a foster child. ${ }^{4}$

## Householder, spouse, and natural child were the most frequently occurring relationship codes.

Since all households must contain a householder, this relationship code occurs in 100 percent of U.S. households. A slight majority (52 percent) of U.S. households contained a spouse of the householder (Table 1). A natural child of the householder, the next most frequently occurring relationship, was found in 41 percent of all households. After these, the percentages dropped steeply and ranged from 5.2 percent (or 5.5 million) of all households containing an unmarried partner (the fourth most commonly occurring relationship code) down to 0.1 percent (or 81,000 ) households with a grand parent of the householder present.

[^3]
## Very few relationship combinations accounted for the overwhelming majority of all households.

A handful of the observed combinations accounted for most U.S. households in 2000. The 20 most common relationship combinations (out of more than 786,000 possible combinations) accounted for 92 percent of all households in the United States (Table 2). ${ }^{5}$ Nine specific combinations, each with 1,000,000 or more households, accounted for 86 percent of all U.S. households. Several nontraditional specific combinations in this group included: householder and unmarried partner (5th, with 2.7 million households), householder and housemates (6th, with 2.3 million households), and householder, unmarried partner, and natural children (7th, with 1.6 million households).

[^4]Table 2.

## Most Common Household Relationship Combinations: 2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Rank and household composition | Number of households | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total, all households (24,722 combinations) | 105,480,101 | 100.00 |
| Total, 20 most common relationship combinations | 96,764,872 | 91.74 |
| 1 Living alone | 27,230,075 | 25.82 |
| Householder living with- | 69,534,797 | 65.92 |
| 2 Spouse + Natural child | 23,664,727 | 22.44 |
| 3 Spouse | 22,382,944 | 21.22 |
| 4 Natural child. | 8,865,883 | 8.41 |
| 5 Unmarried partner | 2,682,247 | 2.54 |
| 6 Housemate. | 2,332,386 | 2.21 |
| 7 Unmarried partner + Natural child | 1,580,205 | 1.50 |
| 8 Spouse + Natural child + Stepchild. | 1,197,223 | 1.14 |
| 9 Spouse + Stepchild | 1,105,151 | 1.05 |
| 10 Natural child + Grandchild | 801,977 | 0.76 |
| 11 Sibling | 737,586 | 0.70 |
| 12 Spouse + Natural child + Grandchild | 717,150 | 0.68 |
| 13 Roomer. | 563,169 | 0.53 |
| 14 Parent | 550,146 | 0.52 |
| 15 Spouse + Adopted child | 492,934 | 0.47 |
| 16 Spouse + Natural child + Adopted child. | 433,945 | 0.41 |
| 17 Grandchild | 376,494 | 0.36 |
| 18 Spouse + Grandchild | 361,385 | 0.34 |
| 19 Other nonrelative | 351,010 | 0.33 |
| 20 Spouse + Natural child + Parent | 338,235 | 0.32 |
| Total, remaining 24,702 relationship combinations | 8,715,229 | 8.26 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

## Living alone became the most common unique household type in 2000.

In 1990, the most common specific household type consisted of a
householder, spouse, and natural and/or adopted children. Between 1990 and 2000, the number of such households declined by 1.7 percent. The number of householders living alone

Table 3.

## Household Composition Types With More Than 500,000 Households in 2000 and Percent Change by Relationship to Householder: 1990-2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Household composition | Number of households |  | Change, 1990 to 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | Number | Percent |
| Total, all households | 91,993,582 | 105,480,101 | 13,486,519 | 14.7 |
| Living alone | 22,421,114 | 27,230,075 | 4,808,961 | 21.4 |
| Householder living with- |  |  |  |  |
| Spouse | 20,172,988 | 22,382,944 | 2,209,956 | 11.0 |
| Spouse + Natural child | 25,013,513 | 24,591,606 | -421,907 | -1.7 |
| Spouse + Stepchild | 1,195,656 | 1,105,151 | -90,505 | -7.6 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Stepchild | 1,137,426 | 1,236,813 | 99,387 | 8.7 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Grandchild | 661,610 | 759,396 | 97,786 | 14.8 |
| Natural child | 7,504,178 | 9,110,735 | 1,606,557 | 21.4 |
| Natural child + Grandchild. | 683,994 | 832,606 | 148,612 | 21.7 |
| Sibling | 704,914 | 737,586 | 32,672 | 4.6 |
| Parent | 412,880 | 550,146 | 137,266 | 33.2 |
| Roomer | 322,121 | 563,169 | 241,048 | 74.8 |
| Housemate | 2,020,598 | 2,332,386 | 311,788 | 15.4 |
| Unmarried partner | 1,647,208 | 2,682,247 | 1,035,039 | 62.8 |
| Unmarried partner + Natural child . | 859,192 | 1,620,891 | 761,699 | 88.7 |

Note: Data for 1990 are based on sample tabulations. The natural child category includes adopted child and the roomer category includes boarder and foster child.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000
increased by 21 percent and outnumbered all other specific types by 2000 (Table 3). ${ }^{6}$

Among the most common household types in 2000, four declined during the 1990s, and all of them included the relationship code spouse (Appendix Table A-3). Among the 19 most common household types that increased by more than 50 percent during the 1990s, 10 included other relative codes that were not available in the 100-percent data in 1990 but were coded in sample data. Eight included the relationship codes unmarried partner and/or other nonrelative, and one type consisted of the householder with only the code roomer/boarder/foster child. ${ }^{7}$

[^5]From 1990 to 2000, the percentage increases in households with spouses, natural and/or adopted children, and stepchildren were less than the increase in total households.

As noted earlier, in order to compare data on relationship for 1990 with 2000, the categories for adopted child and foster child from Census 2000 must be collapsed into their appropriate 1990 categories. Among the resulting 19 comparable relationship code categories, the number of households with an other relative declined during the 1990s (Figure 3 and Appendix Table A-4), but this decline almost certainly is an artifact of changes in the collection and processing of the relationship item. In 1990, the 100-percent data provided 12 relationship codes and the sample data provided 19. The additional relationship codes all resulted from expanding the broad other relative category. Most likely not coincidentally, households containing the seven additional relationship codes also were the seven fastest-growing households during the 1990s in terms of the specific codes. Adding parent-in-law and son-in-law/daughter-in-law as precoded check boxes on the Census 2000 questionnaire probably also contributed to the increases for these codes. While households including these seven specific other relative codes likely increased between 1990 and 2000, the actual increase probably was less than the derived increase based on the reported data (ranging from 75 percent for uncle/aunt to 152 percent for child-in-law).

Since every household has only one householder, the percentage change in the number of
householders equals the change in the number of households. Aside from the other relative codes with probable data comparability issues, households with spouses, natural and/or adopted children, or stepchildren were the only three types to increase slower than the 15 percent national increase in
total households. Among the codes related to the householder without data comparability issues, households with a parent of the householder present grew the fastest (33 percent), slightly exceeding the growth in households with a grandchild of the householder present (32 percent).

Figure 3.

## Percent Change in Households by Presence of Specific Relationship Codes: 1990-2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)


Note: Changes for households with adopted children and foster children are not available since the identification of households with these relationship codes is not possible for 1990. Percent change of householders equals percent change of total households.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

A parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, nephew or niece, aunt or uncle, and cousin of the householder were more likely to be in other family households than in married-couple households in both 1990 and 2000.

The relationship codes used in decennial censuses are closely tied to the traditional definitions of household type. This connection limits understanding the diversity
of household structures. For example, of the 21 relationship codes in Census 2000, 15 of them can only be found, by definition, in a family household. The six remaining codes (including householder) may be found in either a family or a nonfamily household.

Of the relationship codes found exclusively in family households, spouse, by definition, only occurs in a married-couple household.

Householder is most commonly found in married-couple households since this household type outnumbers other family and nonfamily households. The three child codes (natural, adopted, or step) and the three in-law codes (parent-in-law, child-in-law, sibling-in-law) occurred more frequently in a married-couple household than in an other family household (Figure 4 and Appendix Table A-5). In

Figure 4.
Percent Distribution of Specific Relationship Codes by Household Type: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Note: Percent distribution of householders equals percent distribution of total households.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Table 4.

## Change in Specific Combinations of Nonfamily Households by Relationship to Householder: 1990-2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions for 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf1.pdf and for 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Nonfamily household composition | Number of households |  | Change, 1990 to 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | Number | Percent |
| Total. . | 27,429,463 | 33,692,754 | 6,263,291 | 22.8 |
| Living alone | 22,580,420 | 27,230,075 | 4,649,655 | 20.6 |
| Living with nonrelatives | 4,849,043 | 6,462,679 | 1,613,636 | 33.3 |
| Unmarried partner alone or with any other nonrelatives | 1,972,097 | 3,053,582 | 1,081,485 | 54.8 |
| Alone . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,671,645 | 2,682,247 | 1,010,602 | 60.5 |
| With any other nonrelatives. | 300,452 | 371,335 | 70,883 | 23.6 |
| Housemate alone or with any other nonrelatives | 2,344,113 | 2,538,574 | 194,461 | 8.3 |
| Alone | 2,200,608 | 2,332,386 | 131,778 | 6.0 |
| With any other nonrelatives. | 143,505 | 206,188 | 62,683 | 43.7 |
| Roomer and/or Foster child alone or with any other nonrelatives | 449,245 | 730,093 | 280,848 | 62.5 |
| Alone | 366,862 | 582,268 | 215,406 | 58.7 |
| With any other nonrelatives. | 82,383 | 147,825 | 65,442 | 79.4 |
| Other nonrelative alone or with any other nonrelatives . . . . . . . | 471,569 | 678,059 | 206,490 | 43.8 |
| Alone. | 231,391 | 351,010 | 119,619 | 51.7 |
| With any other nonrelatives. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 240,178 | 327,049 | 86,871 | 36.2 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.
contrast, a parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, nephew or niece, aunt or uncle, and cousin were more likely to be in other family households than in
married-couple households in both 1990 and $2000{ }^{8}$

[^6]Among the Census 2000 relationship codes (other than householder)

This finding may be confounded by problems related to the coding of the 1990 sample data on relationship, as discussed earlier.

Figure 5.

## Percent Change in Combinations of Nonfamily Households by Relationship to Householder: 1990-2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions for 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadata/l 990stfl td.pdf and for 2000 see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.
that could occur in both family and nonfamily households, housemate was most commonly found among nonfamily households, and roomers and unmarried partners were about as likely to be included in either a family or a nonfamily household. Other nonrelatives were more likely to be included in family than nonfamily households, but were more likely to be present in nonfamily
households than married-couple or other-family households. Foster child was most likely found in married-couple households.

From 1990 to 2000, nonfamily households with 2 or more members increased faster than people living alone.
In 1990, four relationship codes created 16 possible combinations
of nonfamily households. The addition of the separate code foster child in 2000 resulted in 32 possible nonfamily relationship code combinations. In 1990, foster children were included within the category roomer, boarder, or foster child.

Overall, nonfamily households grew more rapidly ( 23 percent) than the total number of U.S. households (15 percent). Within the two broad types of nonfamily households, households that consisted of people living alone grew slower than nonfamily households with two or more members ( 21 percent compared with 33 percent, respectively, Table 4). The percentage change from 1990 to 2000 in the number of households in each comparable nonfamily relationship code varied from a low of 8 percent for nonfamily households with a housemate to 63 percent for nonfamily households with a roomer and/or foster child (Figure 5). ${ }^{9}$
${ }^{9}$ For comparability between 1990 and 2000, the relationship combinations including foster child in 2000 were aggregated to form the corresponding 1990 relationship code category-roomer, boarder, or foster child.

## PARTNERSHIP STATUS

The traditional broad categories of family and nonfamily household types are closely linked to the relationship codes from the decennial census questionnaire, a connection that can limit understanding the diversity of household structures. For example, of the 21 relationship codes in Census 2000, 15 of them can only be found, by definition, in a family household. The six remaining codes (including householder) may be found in either a family or a nonfamily household.

The traditional classifications are heavily skewed to family
households (24,690 observed family household combinations compared with just 32 nonfamily household combinations in 2000). In addition, the further breakout of each broad type is not along a consistent dimension. Family households are broken out by whether or not a spouse is present (married couple vs. other family), while nonfamily households are split by the size of the household ( 1 -person vs. other nonfamily). Moreover, since the introduction of the relationship code unmarried partner in the 1990 Census of Population, unmarried-partner households can occur in both
family and nonfamily households depending upon the presence of a relative of the householder.

Each of the above factors led to the creation in this report of a new split of all households by the presence or absence of a spouse or unmarried partner. Partner households consist of all households that contain either a spouse or an unmarried partner of the householder (whether or not they are family or nonfamily households) and all other households are classified as nonpartner households (again, whether or not they are family or nonfamily households). Any relationship code (other than

Figure 6.
Comparison of Traditional and Alternative Household Typologies


Figure 7.

## Distribution of Traditional Household Components Into Alternative Typology: 2000



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000.
spouse and unmarried partner) can occur within either a partner or a nonpartner household. ${ }^{10}$ An earlier Census Bureau study examined the geographic distribution and

[^7]selected characteristics of married couple and unmarried-partner households, terming the sum "coupled households."1 Unmarriedpartner households include both same-sex and opposite-sex partners. Figure 6 illustrates the

[^8]comparison of the traditional breakdown of household type with the alternative typology.

This section examines household counts by an alternative classification: whether or not a partner of the householder is present in the household. Each of these broad types then is broken out by whether or not a child is present in the household. This configuration has the advantage of not directly linking particular relationship codes to household type (with the exception of spouse and unmarried partner, which, by definition, must be in partner households), and each type then can be further split along consistent dimensions, such as the presence of children or other characteristics, such as sex or age of the householder or size of the household.

## Reconfiguring households results in some family-tononpartner and nonfamily-topartner shifts in classification.

The introduction of a partner-nonpartner household dichotomy results in some numerical shifting of households from the traditional family-nonfamily dichotomy (Figure 7). The large majority of family households become partner households. Of the 71.8 million family households in 2000, 56.9 million are reclassified as partner households (the 54.5 million married-couple households plus the 2.4 million unmarriedpartner family households). The 3.1 million unmarried-partner nonfamily households shift to the partner household type. Thus, in this alternative typology, all 5.5 million unmarried partner households are classified within one type (partner households) in contrast with their split into both family and nonfamily households according to the traditional dichotomy. Of the 33.7 million nonfamily households
in 2000, 30.6 million are classified as nonpartner households under the alternative typology.

Table 5 illustrates the classification of U.S. households by the traditional and the alternative typologies. According to the traditional classification, 68 percent of households in 2000 were family households and 32 percent were nonfamily households. The alternative typology shows that 57 percent were partner households and 43 percent were nonpartner households.

At the next level of tabulation, the presence or absence of children is not shown in the traditional typology's Family category and children cannot be present, by definition, in the Nonfamily category. In addition, the subcategories within the two traditional headings are not disaggregated along a common dimension (family households by presence of a spouse versus
nonfamily households by size). In contrast, under the alternative typology, the major household types are disaggregated along a
common dimension (presence of children) and the subcategories are consistent and directly comparable across the two major types.

Table 5.
Households by Traditional (Family-Nonfamily) and Alternative (Partner-Nonpartner) Typology: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/ prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Typology | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRADITIONAL TYPE |  |  |
| Total . | 105,480,101 | 100.0 |
| Family. | 71,787,347 | 68.1 |
| Married couple | 54,493,232 | 51.7 |
| Other family. | 17,294,115 | 16.4 |
| Nonfamily. | 33,692,754 | 31.9 |
| 1 person. | 27,230,075 | 25.8 |
| 2 or more persons | 6,462,679 | 6.1 |
| ALTERNATIVE TYPE |  |  |
| Total. | 105,480,101 | 100.0 |
| Partner | 59,969,000 | 56.9 |
| With children | 32,967,528 | 31.3 |
| Without children | 27,001,472 | 25.6 |
| Nonpartner. | 45,511,101 | 43.1 |
| With children. | 12,178,781 | 11.5 |
| Without children | 33,332,320 | 31.6 |

[^9]In 1990 and 2000, a partner of the householder was present in about three-fourths of households with children.

In addition to classifying households by the presence or absence of a partner of the householder,
broad relationship code classifications may be formed using children, other relatives, and nonrelatives. In both 1990 and 2000, about three-fourths of all households with children contained a partner; households with other
relatives were fairly evenly split between partner and nonpartner households; and about threefourths of households with nonrelatives did not have a partner present (Figure 8 and Appendix Tables A-6 and A-7).

Figure 8.

## Percent Distribution of Households by Relationships in the Household and Partnership Status: 2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Of the 105.5 million households in the United States in 2000, the three largest types consisted of either a person living alone (27.2 million), with a partner only (25.1 million), or with a partner and children only ( 28.8 million). Of the remaining 24.4 million households, 9.2 million were households with no partner and children only, 7.2 million contained children living with others, and 8.0 million were combinations of households consisting of other relatives and nonrelatives (Figure 9).

Figure 9.

## Number of Households by Partnership Status and Relationships in the Household: 2000

(In millions. For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

Partner households



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Figure 10.

## Percent Change in Number of Households by Partnership Status and Relationships in the Household: 1990-2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions for 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stfl td.pdf and for 2000 see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

From 1990 to 2000, partner households with only children present grew more slowly than all other broad types.

During the 1990s, households without a partner grew faster (20 percent) than households with a partner (11 percent, Figure 10). Still, most of the broad types of households grew within a fairly narrow range ( 16 percent to 27 percent) for both partner and nonpartner households. The exception was partner households with only children present, which increased by 4 percent during the 1990s.

## A parent of the householder was more likely to live in a nonpartner household.

Tabulating households by the presence or absence of a partner and the presence or absence of children provides a new perspective (Appendix Table A-8). In 2000, looking at the presence of a partner reveals that most households with a parent of the householder present
(60 percent) were maintained by a householder who had no partner. Similarly, many detailed relationship codes were about as likely or more likely to be found in a nonpartner household as in a partner household (Figure 11). Nearly all households with a parent-in-law or with a stepchild were included among partner households. In contrast, 10 percent of households with
housemates were included among partner households.

By definition, 100 percent of households with a spouse or an unmarried partner lived in a partner household. In 2000, natural (72 percent), adopted ( 77 percent), step ( 96 percent), and foster (66 percent) children were much more likely to live in a partner household.

Figure 11.
Percent of Partner Households for Specific Relationships by Presence of Children: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see
www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

The majority of households with children, in-laws, or a spouse, included a partner and children.
Households with children (natural, adopted, or step) were most likely to contain both a partner and children. Also, a majority of households including in-laws (parent-inlaw, sibling-in-law, or child-in-law) and households with a spouse were the partner-child type.

Households with a grandchild were more likely to have both a partner and children present (38 percent) than were households with a grandparent (37 percent) or with a parent (28 percent).

Three-fourths of households with a grandchild also included children of the householder.

Between the two types of partner households (married couple and
unmarried partner) in 2000 married-couple households were slightly more likely (56 percent), and unmarried-partner households were slightly less likely, to have a child present in the household (42 percent, Figure 12). In threefourths (74 percent) of all households with a grandchild present, children of the householder were also present.

Figure 12.

## Percent of Households With Children for Specific Relationships by Presence of Partner: 2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

The most common type of U.S. household included neither a partner nor children.

Classifying all U.S. households in 2000 by the presence of both a partner and children reveals that the most common type of household had neither a partner nor children ( 32 percent, a large proportion of which were one-person households). This type was followed by households with both a partner and children (31 percent), households with a partner but without children (26 percent), and households with children but without a partner ( 12 percent, Figure 13 and Appendix Table A-8).

Figure 13.
Percent Distribution of Households by Presence of Partner and Children: 1990 and 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

## GENERATIONS

Every household can be classified according to the number of generations present, from one through five, by using the relationship codes of all individuals present in the household. Figure 14 shows how the detailed relationship information was used to classify each household as to whether or not
particular generations were present. The five generations identified are grandparent, parent, householder, child, and grandchild. Only one type of five-generation household is possible, one that includes each of these generations. Four types of four-generation households can occur, as can six types of threegeneration households, four types of two-generation households, and
one type of one-generation household.

One-generation households consist of a person living alone (householder only) or a householder living with any number of the relationship codes pertaining to the householder generation. One-generation households can be either family or nonfamily households. Nonfamily

Figure 14.
Relationship to Householder by Generation: 1990 and 2000

## Grandparental generation

Grandparent
Parental generation

Householder generation

> Householder
> (also includes spouse, sibling,
> sibling-in-law, cousin)
Childhood generation

| Natural-born <br> child $^{2}$ |
| :---: |
| Adopted <br> child $^{2}$ |


Niece, Nephew

## Grandchild generation

Grandchild

[^10]households include a householder living alone or with one or more of the following relationship codes that are classified as the same generation: roomer, boarder; housemate, roommate; unmarried partner; foster child; and other nonrelative. For the purpose of this report, nonfamily households were considered to be one-generation households. ${ }^{12}$

Four types of two-generation households are possible. Since every household must contain the

[^11]householder generation, the four combinations consist of the householder generation and one of the other generation types: house-holder-grandparent, householderparent, householder-child, and householder-grandchild.

For the purposes of this report, households with three or more generations present are termed multigenerational households. From the 5 generations identified, there are 11 combinations of multigenerational households, including 6 types of three-generation households, 4 types of fourgeneration households, and 1 type of five-generation household.

## Most U.S. households were composed of only one generation.

In Census 2000, 57.7 million households (55 percent) consisted entirely of members of one
generation. Another 43.6 million households (41 percent) included people from two generations, 4.1 million households (3.9 percent) included members from three generations, 97,000 households had four generations, and fewer than 300 had members of all five generations present. The combined number of multigenerational (3 or more generations) households totaled 4.2 million, or 3.9 percent of all U.S. households (Table 6). ${ }^{13}$

[^12]Table 6.
Number and Type of Generations Present by Partnership Status of Household: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Number and type of household | Number |  |  | Percent of total households |  |  | Percent of type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Partner | Nonpartner | Total | Partner | Nonpartner | Total | Partner | Nonpartner |
| Total. | 105,480,101 | 59,969,000 | 45,511,101 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 56.9 | 43.1 |
| With child generation | 45,618,520 | 33,110,933 | 12,507,587 | 43.2 | 55.2 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 72.6 | 27.4 |
| With grandchild | 3,560,932 | 1,794,491 | 1,766,441 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 50.4 | 49.6 |
| With parent generation | 2,794,231 | 1,491,328 | 1,302,903 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 46.6 |
| With grandparent. | 80,847 | 37,754 | 43,093 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| 1-generation | 57,686,135 | 25,994,302 | 31,691,833 | 54.7 | 43.3 | 69.6 | 100.0 | 45.1 | 54.9 |
| 2-generation | 43,631,159 | 31,570,761 | 12,060,398 | 41.4 | 52.6 | 26.5 | 100.0 | 72.4 | 27.6 |
| With child generation | 41,489,587 | 30,719,707 | 10,769,880 | 39.3 | 51.2 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 26.0 |
| With grandchild | 862,138 | 411,801 | 450,337 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 47.8 | 52.2 |
| With parent generation | 1,257,037 | 433,262 | 823,775 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 34.5 | 65.5 |
| With grandparent. | 22,397 | 5,991 | 16,406 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 73.3 |
| Multigenerational, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or more generations | 4,162,807 | 2,403,937 | 1,758,870 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 57.7 | 42.3 |
| With child generation | 4,128,933 | 2,391,226 | 1,737,707 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 57.9 | 42.1 |
| With grandchild | 2,698,794 | 1,382,690 | 1,316,104 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 51.2 | 48.8 |
| With parent generation | 1,537,194 | 1,058,066 | 479,128 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 31.2 |
| With grandparent. . | 58,450 | 31,763 | 26,687 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 54.3 | 45.7 |
| 3-generation | 4,065,319 | 2,348,195 | 1,717,124 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 57.8 | 42.2 |
| 4-generation | 97,219 | 55,613 | 41,606 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 57.2 | 42.8 |
| 5-generation | 269 | 129 | 140 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 52.0 |

[^13]Figure 15.

## Households by Number of Generations and

 Partnership Status: 2000(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

Most one-generation households did not have a partner present, while a partner was present in most two-generation and three-or-more-generation households.

Each generation type also may be characterized by the presence or absence of a partner of the householder. One-generation households were less likely to have a partner of the householder present (26.0 million partner households compared with 31.7 million nonpartner households, or 55 percent without a partner present). Twogeneration and multigenerational households were more likely to have a partner of the householder present (Figure 15). More than twice as many two-generation households had a partner present (31.6 million) than did not ( 12.1 million), and among multigenerational households, 2.4 million were partner households and 1.8 million were nonpartner households. In proportional terms, 72 percent of two-generation households and 58 percent of three-or-more-generation households contained a partner of the householder.

Nearly two-thirds (65 percent) of all multigenerational households contained a grandchild, while more than one-third (37 percent) contained someone from the parent generation.

Of the possible types of multigenerational households, the most common was a household including members of the householder, child, and grandchild generations, accounting for 62 percent of all multigenerational households
(Table 7). The least common type was the five-generation household, totaling 269 and representing 0.01 percent of all multigenerational households.

All multigenerational households contain someone from the householder generation, since every household contains a householder by definition. In 2000, nearly every multigenerational household contained the child generation. In contrast, 1 percent of all
multigenerational households contained a grandparent of the householder. ${ }^{14}$ About two-thirds of all multigenerational households contained a grandchild and about onethird contained at least one person from the parent generation.

[^14]Table 7.
Multigenerational Households by Generational Composition and Partnership Status: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Number and generational composition of household |  |  |  |  | Number |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non- |  |  | Non- |
| Total multigenerational, 3 or more generations. ................. |  |  |  |  | 4,162,807 | 2,403,937 | 1,758,870 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| With child generation |  |  |  |  | 4,128,933 | 2,391,226 | 1,737,707 | 99.2 | 99.5 | 98.8 |
| With grandchild ..... |  |  |  |  | 2,698,794 | 1,382,690 | 1,316,104 | 64.8 | 57.5 | 74.8 |
| With parent generation |  |  |  |  | 1,537,194 | 1,058,066 | 479,128 | 36.9 | 44.0 | 27.2 |
| With grandparent. |  |  |  |  | 58,450 | 31,763 | 26,687 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 3-generation. |  |  |  |  | 4,065,319 | 2,348,195 | 1,717,124 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 97.6 |
| Householder | Child | Grandc |  |  | 2,588,767 | 1,320,901 | 1,267,866 | 62.2 | 54.9 | 72.1 |
| Householder | Parent | Child |  |  | 1,407,780 | 990,615 | 417,165 | 33.8 | 41.2 | 23.7 |
| Householder | Grandparent | Child |  |  | 34,967 | 23,987 | 10,980 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Householder | Parent | Grandc |  |  | 21,790 | 10,946 | 10,844 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Householder | Grandparent | Parent |  |  | 11,717 | 1,629 | 10,088 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Householder | Grandparent | Grandc |  |  | 298 | 117 | 181 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.010 |
| 4-generation |  |  |  |  | 97,219 | 55,613 | 41,606 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Householder | Parent | Child | Grand |  | 86,020 | 49,712 | 36,308 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Householder | Grandparent | Parent | Child |  | 9,549 | 5,016 | 4,533 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Householder | Grandparent | Child | Grand |  | 1,581 | 866 | 715 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Householder | Grandparent | Parent | Grand |  | 69 | 19 | 50 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| 5-generation Householder | Grandparent | Parent | Child | Grandchild | 269 | 129 | 140 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Figure 16.
Percent of Nonpartner Households by Number and Type of Generation in the Household: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

2-generation household
3-or-more generation household


[^15]In 2000, a partner of the householder was not present in the majority of twogeneration households including a parent, grandparent, or grandchild.

Overall, two-generation households were more likely to have a partner present. Among the four types of two-generation households, partners were more likely to be present only in the householder-child household. Among households where the two generations consisted of householder-grandparent, householder-parent, or householdergrandchild, the absence of a partner in the household was more common (Figure 16). In contrast, for the three-or-more-generation household types including a grandparent, parent, child, or grandchild, a partner was more likely also to be present in the household.

Two-generation households increased slower than households with other numbers of generations.
From 1990 to 2000, two-generation households increased slower than households of one generation or three or more generations (Figure 17). This finding varied between partner and nonpartner households and by whether the partners were married. Among nonpartner households, onegeneration households increased slower (22 percent) than twogeneration (24 percent) or three-or-more-generation (38 percent) households. Two-generation households increased the slowest for partner households. Within partner households, the one-generation type increased the slowest when the partner was not married to the householder, while those of two-generations increased the slowest when the partner was married (Appendix Table A-9).

The primary factor contributing to the slower percentage increase in the number of two-generation households during the 1990s was the absolute decline in the number
of married-couple householder-child households. The specific twogeneration type householder-child increased by 2.0 million for non-
partner households and by 1.0 million for unmarried-partner households, and declined by 0.2 million for married-couple households.

Figure 17.
Percent Change in Households by Number of Generations and Partnership Status: 1990-2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

## Multigenerational households

 grew from 3.0 million in 1990 to 4.2 million in 2000 .A total of 3.0 million households can be identified as containing three or more generations in 1990 (Table 8). This number increased
to 4.2 million in 2000 , and included 2.4 million partner households and 1.8 million households with no spouse or partner present. The increase of 38 percent during the 1990s (1.2 million households) exceeded the 15 percent increase
in the total number of U.S. households. As a proportion of all households, the percentage with three or more generations increased from 3.3 percent to 3.9 percent from 1990 to 2000.

Table 8.
Change in Households by Number of Generations and Partnership Status: 1990 and 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Number of generations | 1990 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | Change, 1990 to 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Numerical | Percent |  |  |
|  | Total | Partner | Nonpartner |  |  |  | Total | Partner | Nonpartner | Total | Partner | Nonpartner | Total | Partner | Non-partner |
| Total households | 91,993,582 | 55,027,930 | 36,965,652 | 105,480,101 | 59,969,000 | 45,511,101 | 13,486,519 | 4,941,070 | 8,545,449 | 14.7 | 9.0 | 23.1 |
| 1 generation | 48,739,896 | 22,764,044 | 25,975,852 | 57,686,135 | 25,994,302 | 31,691,833 | 8,946,239 | 3,230,258 | 5,715,981 | 18.4 | 14.2 | 22.0 |
| 2 generations. . . | 40,241,541 | 30,523,410 | 9,718,131 | 43,631,159 | 31,570,761 | 12,060,398 | 3,389,618 | 1,047,351 | 2,342,267 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 24.1 |
| 3 or more generations | 3,012,145 | 1,740,476 | 1,271,669 | 4,162,807 | 2,403,937 | 1,758,870 | 1,150,662 | 663,461 | 487,201 | 38.2 | 38.1 | 38.3 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

In 2000, the householder-parent-child type was the most likely to be a partner household among the multigenerational types, while the householder-grandparentparent type was most likely to be a nonpartner household.

Each type of multigenerational household may be classified by the
presence or absence of a partner of the householder. The most commonly observed multigenerational type, householder-childgrandchild, was almost as likely to have a partner as not, 51 percent compared with 49 percent (Figure 18). The second most commonly observed type, house-holder-parent-child, was also the
type most likely to have a partner present in the household (70 percent). The householder-grandpar-ent-parent household type was least likely to have a partner present in the household: 14 percent.

Figure 18.
Percent Distribution of Households in Each Multigenerational Household Type by Partnership Status: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sfl.pdf)


Note: The generations pertaining to the abbreviations shown in this figure are as follows: $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Householder}$; Gpar = Grandparent;
Par = Parent; Ch = Child; and GCh = Grandchild.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report used data from the relationship item from the 1990 and 2000 decennial censuses of population to examine various configurations of households in the United States. More detailed coding of the relationship item allowed increased combinations of specific household codes to be identified. The 21 relationship codes in Census 2000 produced 24,722 unique combinations of relationship codes. Even so, relatively few combinations accounted for the majority of households. Twenty combinations of relationship codes accounted for 92 percent of all households, and 9 combinations (those with over 1 million households) accounted for 86 percent of all households.

Many relationships that were separately coded from write-in responses in 1990 under the other relative option grew faster than most other relationship codes. At the same time, the other relative classification declined considerably during the decade. Since this finding suggests inconsistencies in the processing of the data on relationship, comparisons of relationship data based on the changes in these individual relationship codes between 1990 and 2000 should be made with caution.

Detailed analysis of unique relationship combinations revealed some new findings. For example, data on the newly available (in Census 2000) relationship code adopted child showed that the specific household relationship combination consisting of householder-spouse-adopted child was the 15th most common, found in nearly 500,000 households, and the 16 th most common combination also included adopted children, namely,
householder-spouse-natural childadopted child. Nontraditional specific combinations ranking among the 10 most common in the United States in 2000 were: householderunmarried partner (5th, with 2.7 million households), householder-housemates (6th, with 2.3 million households), and householder-unmarried partnernatural child (7th, with 1.6 million households).

The introduction of the unmarried partner relationship code in 1990 opened a new dimension of household type. Under the traditional configuration, unmarried partner households are classified as either family or nonfamily households, depending upon the presence of members who are related to the householder. The analysis in this report used the presence or absence of a partner (spouse or unmarried partner) as the basis for classifying household type. This dichotomy allows all relationship codes (other than spouse and unmarried partner) to be present in both partner and nonpartner households.

Examining detailed relationships based on partnership status revealed that in 2000 only 40 percent of all households with a parent included a partner of the householder (or equivalently, 60 percent did not). Similarly, households with a grandparent were more likely not to have a partner present (53 percent), and households with a grandchild were about as likely to have a partner present as not (50 percent). If such households were split along the traditional family-nonfamily dimension, 100 percent of each would be classified as family households.

In this report, household type was also split by the presence or
absence of children (natural, adopted, or step). Using these two dimensions creates four broad household types. In 2000, the most common type (32 percent) had neither a partner nor children, followed closely by households with both a partner and children (31 percent), then households with a partner but without children (26 percent), and households with children but without a partner (12 percent).
Examining all relationship codes present in a household permits the classification of every household by the number of generations present, from one through five. The analysis found that two-generation households grew slower than all of the other types during the 1990s. Multigenerational households, defined as households containing 3 or more generations, grew rapidly, from 3.0 million households in 1990 to 4.2 million in 2000, but remained a small proportion, 3.9 percent, of all U.S. households in 2000. Among all types of multigenerational households, the householder-childgrandchild type was the most common ( 2.6 million in 2000 , or 62 percent of all multigenerational households).

The identification of these configurations opens opportunities for further exploration of the structure of American households. Analyses that controlled for the total number of household members or for the number of individuals with particular relationship codes could further improve understanding U.S. household structure. The presence or absence of a partner or children can affect the functioning of households. A partner present in the household has implications regarding the provision of care in two-or-more generation households, since the partner can share
the responsibility of caring for a parent, grandparent, or grandchild with the householder. Applying these alternative classification schemes to smaller levels of geography might assist local areas in planning for specific types of facilities or services.

Additional refinements of the classification types presented in this report are possible. For example, the identification of generations within households might take into account the age of the household members. Analyses beginning with Census 2000 data might classify the presence of foster children within the household as part of the child generation. Examining the household types presented in this report by sex, race, and Hispanic origin of the householder should also reveal new insights.

## SOURCES AND QUALITY OF DATA

The data included in this report are based on special tabulations of the household relationship item from the 1990 and 2000 censuses of population. For 1990, tabulations from the relationship item are based on data collected on a 100percent basis and on a sample basis. For 2000, the tabulations are based on the 100-percent data. Two sets of 1990 data were used in this report due to the limited number of relationship codes available on a 100-percent basis. The examination of changes from 1990 to 2000 used 100-percent data for both years whenever the data categories permitted, but required the use of 1990 sample data for detailed relationship comparisons. In this case, the 100-percent data from 2000 provided greater detail than the sample data from 1990. Two relationship codes, adopted child and foster child, were included as individual codes in 2000;
while in 1990, adopted children were included with natural children, and foster children were included with roomers and boarders. The analysis of changes from 1990 to 2000 involved collapsing the appropriate relationship codes from 2000 into comparable 1990 sample-based categories.
In any large-scale statistical operation such as the U.S. decennial census, human and machine-related errors occur. These errors, commonly referred to as nonsampling errors, include not enumerating every household or every person in the population, not obtaining all required information from the respondents, obtaining incorrect or inconsistent information, and recording information incorrectly. In addition, errors can occur during the manual and electronic processing of the data. As noted above, to maximize comparability with Census 2000 data, sample tabulations of data often were used from the 1990 census. Estimates derived from sample data are expected to be different from the 100-percent figures because they are subject to sampling and nonsampling errors. Sampling error in data arises from the selection of persons and housing units to be included in the sample. The deviation of a sample estimate from the average of all possible samples is called the sampling error. For a detailed discussion of sampling and nonsampling error in Census 2000, see <www.census.gov/prod/cen2000 /doc/sf3.pdf> and <www.census.gov/prod/cen2000 /doc/sf1.pdf>, respectively.

The definition and/or concept of what constituted a "household" varied somewhat over the course of the decennial censuses. In particular, some early censuses (as recently as 1920) included a small
number of "quasi-households," which, in Census 2000 terms, would often have been considered as part of the group quarters population, rather than as part of the household population.
The terms used to describe households, the categories collected on the questionnaire, the method of obtaining responses on relationship, and the manner of tabulating and processing the data have all changed since these data were first collected, creating some problems of comparability with regard to the interpretation and understanding of historical tabulations of household relationship data. ${ }^{15}$

Family and nonfamily households represent the broadest categories of household type traditionally used in census data tabulations. Each of these broad types generally is divided into two additional types: family households into married-couple households and other family households, and nonfamily households into one-person households and other nonfamily households. Both types of family households often are classified according to the presence of the householder's own children under 18 years, and other family households are additionally classified by the sex of the householder.
Nonfamily households also tend to be classified by the sex and the age of the householder (often with a focus on age 65 and older).

Documentation from the decennial censuses of population generally notes that sample-data household results by type tend to slightly overrepresent family households and, thus, underrepresent nonfamily households. In this report,

[^16]when deriving percent change from 1990 to 2000, this tendency may overstate the growth of nonfamily households and understate the growth of family households, since the 1990 tabulations used in this report were often based on sample data, while the Census 2000 tabulations were based exclusively on 100-percent data.

## FOR MORE INFORMATION

Data on households and families from the Census 2000 Summary File 1 were released in 2001 and from Summary File 3 in 2002. These data and comparable data files from
the 1990 Census of Population are available on the Internet via <factfinder.census.gov>. The Census 2000 data files are available for purchase on DVD

To investigate additional estimates and reports on topics related to households and families based on Census Bureau surveys such as the American Community Survey, the Current Population Survey, and the Survey of Income and Program Participation, go to <www.census.gov>.

Information on other population and housing topics is presented in
the Census 2000 Briefs series, the Census 2000 Special Reports series, and the Population and Housing Census Tables (PHC-T) series. These series are available through the U.S. Census Bureau's Web site at <www.census.gov/main/www /cen2000.html>. These series cover information about race, Hispanic origin, age, sex, housing, households, and other social and economic characteristics.

For more information about Census 2000, including data products, call our Customer Services Center at 301-763-INFO (4636).
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## APPENDIX A. DETAILED TABLES

Table A-I

## Most Common Household Relationship Combinations: 1990

(For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Household composition | Number of households | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total, all households (5,054 combinations). | 91,993,582 | 100.00 |
| Total, 34 most common relationship combinations | 88,491,975 | 96.19 |
| Householder living with Spouse + Natural child | 25,013,513 | 27.19 |
| Living alone | 22,421,114 | 24.37 |
| Householder living with | 41,057,348 | 44.63 |
| Spouse | 20,172,988 | 21.93 |
| Natural child | 7,504,178 | 8.16 |
| Housemate | 2,020,598 | 2.20 |
| Unmarried partner | 1,647,208 | 1.79 |
| Spouse + Stepchild. | 1,195,656 | 1.30 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Stepchild | 1,137,426 | 1.24 |
| Unmarried partner + Natural child | 859,192 | 0.93 |
| Sibling | 704,914 | 0.77 |
| Natural child + Grandchild | 683,994 | 0.74 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Grandchild. | 661,610 | 0.72 |
| Parent | 412,880 | 0.45 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Parent | 326,969 | 0.36 |
| Roomer | 322,121 | 0.35 |
| Grandchild. | 287,265 | 0.31 |
| Spouse + Grandchild | 275,596 | 0.30 |
| Natural child + Housemate | 261,993 | 0.28 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Other relative | 242,508 | 0.26 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Sibling | 210,223 | 0.23 |
| Other nonrelative | 202,372 | 0.22 |
| Natural child + Sibling | 186,370 | 0.20 |
| Spouse + Parent | 174,479 | 0.19 |
| Unmarried partner + Other nonrelative | 172,976 | 0.19 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Roomer | 170,236 | 0.19 |
| Natural child + Parent. | 164,525 | 0.18 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Parent-in-law | 150,794 | 0.16 |
| Other relative | 147,245 | 0.16 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Other nonrelative | 145,554 | 0.16 |
| Spouse + Sibling | 135,770 | 0.15 |
| Natural child + Other relative | 130,882 | 0.14 |
| Natural child + Roomer | 122,859 | 0.13 |
| Natural child + Other nonrelative | 115,296 | 0.13 |
| Spouse + Other relative | 110,671 | 0.12 |
| Total, remaining 5,020 relationship combinations | 3,501,607 | 3.81 |

[^17]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population.

Table A-2.

## Most Common Household Relationship Combinations: 2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Table A-3.
Household Relationship Combinations With More Than 100,000 Households in 2000 and Percent Change: 1990 to 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Household composition | Number of households |  | Change, 1990 to 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | Number | Percent |
| Total, all households | 91,993,582 | 105,480,101 | 13,486,519 | 14.7 |
| Total, 42 combinations with more than 100,000 households in 2000 | 88,683,591 | 101,388,887 | 12,705,296 | 14.3 |
| Living alone | 22,421,114 | 27,230,075 | 4,808,961 | 21.4 |
| Householder living with | 66,262,477 | 74,158,812 | 7,896,335 | 11.9 |
| Spouse + Natural child | 25,013,513 | 24,591,606 | -421,907 | -1.7 |
| Spouse | 20,172,988 | 22,382,944 | 2,209,956 | 11.0 |
| Natural child | 7,504,178 | 9,110,735 | 1,606,557 | 21.4 |
| Unmarried partner | 1,647,208 | 2,682,247 | 1,035,039 | 62.8 |
| Housemate | 2,020,598 | 2,332,386 | 311,788 | 15.4 |
| Unmarried partner + Natural child | 859,192 | 1,620,891 | 761,699 | 88.7 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Stepchild | 1,137,426 | 1,236,813 | 99,387 | 8.7 |
| Spouse + Stepchild. | 1,195,656 | 1,105,151 | -90,505 | -7.6 |
| Natural child + Grandchild | 683,994 | 832,606 | 148,612 | 21.7 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Grandchild. | 661,610 | 759,396 | 97,786 | 14.8 |
| Sibling | 704,914 | 737,586 | 32,672 | 4.6 |
| Roomer | 322,121 | 563,169 | 241,048 | 74.8 |
| Parent | 412,880 | 550,146 | 137,266 | 33.2 |
| Grandchild. | 287,265 | 376,494 | 89,229 | 31.1 |
| Other nonrelative . | 202,372 | 370,313 | 167,941 | 83.0 |
| Spouse + Grandchild | 275,596 | 361,385 | 85,789 | 31.1 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Parent | 326,969 | 351,452 | 24,483 | 7.5 |
| Natural child + Housemate | 261,933 | 302,824 | 40,891 | 15.6 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Parent-in-law | 150,794 | 286,503 | 135,709 | 90.0 |
| Unmarried partner + Other nonrelative . | 172,976 | 243,335 | 70,359 | 40.7 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Other nonrelative | 145,554 | 242,843 | 97,289 | 66.8 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Sibling | 210,223 | 241,909 | 31,686 | 15.1 |
| Natural child + Parent. | 164,525 | 229,618 | 65,093 | 39.6 |
| Natural child + Other nonrelative | 115,296 | 226,464 | 111,168 | 96.4 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Grandchild + Child-in-law. | 88,200 | 209,251 | 121,051 | 137.2 |
| Natural child + Sibling | 186,370 | 204,400 | 18,030 | 9.7 |
| Natural child + Grandchild + Child-in-law | 84,616 | 193,680 | 109,064 | 128.9 |
| Spouse + Parent . | 174,479 | 178,215 | 3,736 | 2.1 |
| Spouse + Parent-in-law | 95,761 | 159,179 | 63,418 | 66.2 |
| Sibling + Parent. | 87,933 | 145,601 | 57,668 | 65.6 |
| Natural child + Roomer | 122,859 | 141,060 | 18,201 | 14.8 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Nephew/Niece | 78,108 | 140,705 | 62,597 | 80.1 |
| Spouse + Sibling . | 135,770 | 129,342 | -6,428 | -4.7 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Sibling-in-law | 72,924 | 127,313 | 54,389 | 74.6 |
| Unmarried partner + Natural child + Other nonrelative | 82,237 | 125,565 | 43,328 | 52.7 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Roomer | 170,236 | 125,440 | -44,796 | -26.3 |
| Spouse + Natural child + Child-in-law. | 51,780 | 116,849 | 65,069 | 125.7 |
| Unmarried partner + Natural child + Stepchild | 42,186 | 112,407 | 70,221 | 166.5 |
| Natural child + Child-in-law . | 43,919 | 105,428 | 61,509 | 140.1 |
| Nephew/Niece | 59,691 | 103,020 | 43,329 | 72.6 |
| Unmarried partner + Stepchild | 35,627 | 102,541 | 66,914 | 187.8 |
| Total, all remaining relationship combinations | 3,309,991 | 4,091,214 | 781,223 | 23.6 |

Note: Data for 1990 are based on sample tabulations. The natural child category includes adopted child and the roomer category includes boarder and foster child.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

Table A-4.
Total Households and Change by Presence of Specific Relationship Codes: 1990 to 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Relationship | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | Change, 1990 to 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Householder. | 91,993,582 | 100.0 | 105,480,101 | 100.0 | 13,486,519 | 14.7 |
| Spouse | 51,718,214 | 56.2 | 54,493,232 | 51.7 | 2,775,018 | 5.4 |
| Natural child (and/or adopted) | 39,998,334 | 43.5 | 43,690,903 | 41.4 | 3,692,569 | 9.2 |
| Natural child . | (NA) | (NA) | 42,847,722 | 40.6 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Adopted child | (NA) | (NA) | 1,567,499 | 1.5 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Natural and adopted child | (NA) | (NA) | 724,318 | 0.7 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Stepchild | 2,806,062 | 3.1 | 3,077,726 | 2.9 | 271,664 | 9.7 |
| Sibling | 2,003,362 | 2.2 | 2,504,069 | 2.4 | 500,707 | 25.0 |
| Parent | 1,526,050 | 1.7 | 2,035,905 | 1.9 | 509,855 | 33.4 |
| Grandchild. | 2,703,024 | 2.9 | 3,560,932 | 3.4 | 857,908 | 31.7 |
| Parent-in-law | 332,703 | 0.4 | 660,437 | 0.6 | 327,734 | 98.5 |
| Child-in-law. | 337,151 | 0.4 | 850,566 | 0.8 | 513,415 | 152.3 |
| Other relative | 1,155,960 | 1.3 | 371,996 | 0.4 | -783,964 | -67.8 |
| Sibling-in-law | 284,442 | 0.3 | 582,758 | 0.6 | 298,316 | 104.9 |
| Nephew/Niece | 504,789 | 0.5 | 1,035,796 | 1.0 | 531,007 | 105.2 |
| Grandparent | 40,164 |  | 80,847 | 0.1 | 40,683 | 101.3 |
| Uncle/Aunt | 88,028 | 0.1 | 154,150 | 0.1 | 66,122 | 75.1 |
| Cousin. | 151,363 | 0.2 | 364,306 | 0.3 | 212,943 | 140.7 |
| Roomer (and/or Foster child) | 1,017,802 | 1.1 | 1,572,436 | 1.5 | 554,634 | 54.5 |
| Roomer | (NA) | (NA) | 1,372,363 | 1.3 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Foster child. | (NA) | (NA) | 207,248 | 0.2 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Roomer and foster child. | (NA) | (NA) | 7,175 | - | (NA) | (NA) |
| Housemate | 2,799,634 | 3.0 | 3,371,571 | 3.2 | 571,937 | 20.4 |
| Unmarried partner. | 3,225,626 | 3.5 | 5,475,768 | 5.2 | 2,250,142 | 69.8 |
| Other nonrelative. | 1,078,704 | 1.2 | 1,754,870 | 1.7 | 676,166 | 62.7 |

- Less than 0.05 percent. NA Not available.

Note: Data for 1990 are based on sample tabulations.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

Table A-5.
Number of Households With Specific Relationship Codes by Household Type: 1990 and 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Relationship | 1990 |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total households | Family households |  |  | Nonfamily households | Total households | Family households |  |  | Nonfamily households |
|  |  | Total | Married couple | Other family |  |  | Total | Married couple | Other family |  |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Householder. | 91,993,582 | 65,049,428 | 51,718,214 | 13,331,214 | 26,944,154 | 105,480,101 | 71,787,347 | 54,493,232 | 17,294,115 | 33,692,754 |
| Spouse | 51,718,214 | 51,718,214 | 51,718,214 | (X) | (X) | 54,493,232 | 54,493,232 | 54,493,232 | (X) | (X) |
| Natural child ${ }^{1}$ | 39,998,334 | 39,998,334 | 29,017,917 | 10,980,417 | (X) | 42,847,722 | 42,847,722 | 28,817,801 | 14,029,921 | (X) |
| Adopted child . | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (X) | 1,567,499 | 1,567,499 | 1,145,498 | 422,001 | (X) |
| Stepchild. | 2,806,062 | 2,806,062 | 2,610,044 | 196,018 | (X) | 3,077,726 | 3,077,726 | 2,694,014 | 383,712 | (X) |
| Sibling | 2,003,362 | 2,003,362 | 555,170 | 1,448,192 | (X) | 2,504,069 | 2,504,069 | 703,419 | 1,800,650 | (X) |
| Parent | 1,526,050 | 1,526,050 | 646,586 | 879,464 | (X) | 2,035,905 | 2,035,905 | 738,914 | 1,296,991 | (X) |
| Grandchild. | 2,703,024 | 2,703,024 | 1,308,423 | 1,394,601 | (X) | 3,560,932 | 3,560,932 | 1,694,265 | 1,866,667 | (X) |
| Parent-in-law | 332,703 | 332,703 | 319,733 | 12,970 | (X) | 660,437 | 660,437 | 618,753 | 41,684 | (X) |
| Child-in-law.. | 337,151 | 337,151 | 177,676 | 159,475 | (X) | 850,566 | 850,566 | 446,249 | 404,317 | (X) |
| Other relative . | 1,155,960 | 1,155,960 | 585,010 | 570,950 | (X) | 371,996 | 371,996 | 147,272 | 224,724 | (X) |
| Sibling-in-law . | 284,442 | 284,442 | 220,618 | 63,824 | (X) | 582,758 | 582,758 | 437,239 | 145,519 | (X) |
| Nephew/Niece. | 504,789 | 504,789 | 224,239 | 280,550 | (X) | 1,035,796 | 1,035,796 | 453,536 | 582,260 | (X) |
| Grandparent. . | 40,164 | 40,164 | 18,519 | 21,645 | (X) | 80,847 | 80,847 | 33,348 | 47,499 | (X) |
| Uncle/Aunt | 88,028 | 88,028 | 36,714 | 51,314 | (X) | 154,150 | 154,150 | 61,714 | 92,436 | (X) |
| Cousin.. | 151,363 | 151,363 | 53,480 | 97,883 | (X) | 364,306 | 364,306 | 127,165 | 237,141 | (X) |
| Roomer ${ }^{2}$ | 1,017,802 | 628,354 | 356,710 | 271,644 | 389,448 | 1,372,363 | 675,101 | 322,741 | 352,360 | 697,262 |
| Housemate | 2,799,634 | 660,805 | 158,673 | 502,132 | 2,138,829 | 3,371,571 | 832,997 | 216,693 | 616,304 | 2,538,574 |
| Unmarried partner. | 3,225,626 | 1,312,626 | 30,989 | 1,281,637 | 1,913,000 | 5,475,768 | 2,422,186 | (X) | 2,422,186 | 3,053,582 |
| Foster child.. | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | 207,248 | 172,214 | 112,542 | 59,672 | 35,034 |
| Other nonrelative . | 1,078,704 | 659,203 | 305,054 | 354,149 | 419,501 | 1,754,870 | 1,076,811 | 467,251 | 609,560 | 678,059 |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Householder. | 100.0 | 70.7 | 56.2 | 14.5 | 29.3 | 100.0 | 68.1 | 51.7 | 16.4 | 31.9 |
| Spouse .... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (X) | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (X) | (X) |
| Natural child ${ }^{1}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 72.5 | 27.5 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67.3 | 32.7 | (X) |
| Adopted child . | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 73.1 | 26.9 | ( X ) |
| Stepchild ..... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.0 | 7.0 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | (X) |
| Sibling ....... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 27.7 | 72.3 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 71.9 | (X) |
| Parent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 57.6 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 36.3 | 63.7 | (X) |
| Grandchild. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 48.4 | 51.6 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 47.6 | 52.4 | (X) |
| Parent-in-law | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 3.9 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 6.3 | (X) |
| Child-in-law... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52.7 | 47.3 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 47.5 | (X) |
| Other relative . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.6 | 49.4 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 60.4 | (X) |
| Sibling-in-law | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.6 | 22.4 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | (X) |
| Nephew/Niece. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43.8 | 56.2 | (X) |
| Grandparent. . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46.1 | 53.9 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | (X) |
| Uncle/Aunt | 100.0 | 100.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | (X) |
| Cousin. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 35.3 | 64.7 | (X) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 34.9 | 65.1 | (X) |
| Roomer ${ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 61.7 | 35.0 | 26.7 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 49.2 | 23.5 | 25.7 | 50.8 |
| Housemate. | 100.0 | 23.6 | 5.7 | 17.9 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 24.7 | 6.4 | 18.3 | 75.3 |
| Unmarried partner. | 100.0 | 40.7 | 1.0 | 39.7 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 44.2 | (X) | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| Foster child. | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | (NA) | 100.0 | 83.1 | 54.3 | 28.8 | 16.9 |
| Other nonrelative . | 100.0 | 61.1 | 28.3 | 32.8 | 38.9 | 100.0 | 61.4 | 26.6 | 34.7 | 38.6 |

NA Not available. X Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Data for 1990 include adopted children.
${ }^{2}$ Data for 1990 include foster children.
Note: Data for 1990 are based on sample tabulations.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

Table A-6.
Households by Partnership Status and Relationship Composition: 1990
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf1td.pdf)

| Household composition | Total households | Partner households |  |  | Nonpartner households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Spouse | Unmarried |  |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total households.. | 91,947,410 | 54,025,036 | 50,708,322 | 3,316,714 | 37,922,374 |
| Partner only or living alone | 44,115,961 | 21,535,541 | 19,863,896 | 1,671,645 | 22,580,420 |
| Children in household. | 41,098,562 | 30,889,501 | 29,643,541 | 1,245,960 | 10,209,061 |
| Children only | 35,360,110 | 27,582,554 | 26,614,537 | 968,017 | 7,777,556 |
| With other relatives only. | 4,187,820 | 2,536,108 | 2,420,116 | 115,992 | 1,651,712 |
| With nonrelatives only | 1,185,816 | 586,460 | 450,256 | 136,204 | 599,356 |
| With other relatives and nonrelatives | 364,816 | 184,379 | 158,632 | 25,747 | 180,437 |
| Other relatives in household ${ }^{1}$ | 7,903,303 | 3,815,207 | 3,574,811 | 240,396 | 4,088,096 |
| Other relatives only. | 3,055,778 | 1,032,329 | 954,433 | 77,896 | 2,023,449 |
| With nonrelatives only | 294,889 | 62,391 | 41,630 | 20,761 | 232,498 |
| Nonrelatives in household ${ }^{2}$ | 5,227,741 | 1,338,504 | 855,340 | 483,164 | 3,889,237 |
| Nonrelatives only. | 3,382,220 | 505,274 | 204,822 | 300,452 | 2,876,946 |
| PERCENT BY PARTNERSHIP STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total households. | 100.0 | 58.8 | 55.1 | 3.6 | 41.2 |
| Partner only or living alone | 100.0 | 48.8 | 45.0 | 3.8 | 51.2 |
| Children in household. .... | 100.0 | 75.2 | 72.1 | 3.0 | 24.8 |
| Children only | 100.0 | 78.0 | 75.3 | 2.7 | 22.0 |
| With other relatives only. | 100.0 | 60.6 | 57.8 | 2.8 | 39.4 |
| With nonrelatives only | 100.0 | 49.5 | 38.0 | 11.5 | 50.5 |
| With other relatives and nonrelatives | 100.0 | 50.5 | 43.5 | 7.1 | 49.5 |
| Other relatives in household ${ }^{1}$ | 100.0 | 48.3 | 45.2 | 3.0 | 51.7 |
| Other relatives only. . | 100.0 | 33.8 | 31.2 | 2.5 | 66.2 |
| With nonrelatives only | 100.0 | 21.2 | 14.1 | 7.0 | 78.8 |
| Nonrelatives in household ${ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 25.6 | 16.4 | 9.2 | 74.4 |
| Nonrelatives only... | 100.0 | 14.9 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 85.1 |
| PERCENT BY COMPOSITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total households. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Partner only or living alone | 48.0 | 39.9 | 39.2 | 50.4 | 59.5 |
| Children in household. | 44.7 | 57.2 | 58.5 | 37.6 | 26.9 |
| Children only | 38.5 | 51.1 | 52.5 | 29.2 | 20.5 |
| With other relatives only. | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| With nonrelatives only | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 1.6 |
| With other relatives and nonrelatives | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Other relatives in household ${ }^{1}$ | 8.6 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 10.8 |
| Other relatives only.. | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| With nonrelatives only | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Nonrelatives in household ${ }^{2}$ | 5.7 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 14.6 | 10.3 |
| Nonrelatives only. . | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 9.1 | 7.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes other relatives living with children and/or with nonrelatives.
${ }^{2}$ Includes nonrelatives living with children and/or with other relatives.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population.

Table A-7.
Households by Partnership Status and Relationship Composition: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)

| Household composition | Total households | Partner households |  |  | Nonpartner households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Spouse | Unmarried |  |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total households. | 105,480,101 | 59,969,000 | 54,493,232 | 5,475,768 | 45,511,101 |
| Partner only or living alone | 52,295,266 | 25,065,191 | 22,382,944 | 2,682,247 | 27,230,075 |
| Children in household. | 45,146,309 | 32,967,528 | 30,686,991 | 2,280,537 | 12,178,781 |
| Children only | 37,945,138 | 28,769,409 | 26,933,570 | 1,835,839 | 9,175,729 |
| With other relatives only. | 5,213,600 | 3,191,673 | 2,982,468 | 209,205 | 2,021,927 |
| With nonrelatives only .. | 1,439,384 | 709,102 | 514,130 | 194,972 | 730,282 |
| With other relatives and nonrelatives | 548,187 | 297,344 | 256,823 | 40,521 | 250,843 |
| Other relatives in household ${ }^{1}$ | 9,785,169 | 4,819,251 | 4,427,876 | 391,375 | 4,965,918 |
| Other relatives only. | 3,643,259 | 1,248,225 | 1,130,852 | 117,373 | 2,395,034 |
| With nonrelatives only | 380,123 | 82,009 | 57,733 | 24,276 | 298,114 |
| Nonrelatives in household ${ }^{2}$ | 6,382,838 | 1,694,502 | 1,063,398 | 631,104 | 4,688,336 |
| Nonrelatives only.. | 4,015,144 | 606,047 | 234,712 | 371,335 | 3,409,097 |
| PERCENT BY PARTNERSHIP STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total households.. | 100.0 | 56.9 | 51.7 | 5.2 | 43.1 |
| Partner only or living alone | 100.0 | 47.9 | 42.8 | 5.1 | 52.1 |
| Children in household. | 100.0 | 73.0 | 68.0 | 5.1 | 27.0 |
| Children only | 100.0 | 75.8 | 71.0 | 4.8 | 24.2 |
| With other relatives only. | 100.0 | 61.2 | 57.2 | 4.0 | 38.8 |
| With nonrelatives only | 100.0 | 49.3 | 35.7 | 13.5 | 50.7 |
| With other relatives and nonrelatives | 100.0 | 54.2 | 46.8 | 7.4 | 45.8 |
| Other relatives in household ${ }^{1}$ | 100.0 | 49.3 | 45.3 | 4.0 | 50.7 |
| Other relatives only. | 100.0 | 34.3 | 31.0 | 3.2 | 65.7 |
| With nonrelatives only | 100.0 | 21.6 | 15.2 | 6.4 | 78.4 |
| Nonrelatives in household ${ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 26.5 | 16.7 | 9.9 | 73.5 |
| Nonrelatives only... | 100.0 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 84.9 |
| PERCENT BY COMPOSITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total households. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Partner only or living alone | 49.6 | 41.8 | 41.1 | 49.0 | 59.8 |
| Children in household. | 42.8 | 55.0 | 56.3 | 41.6 | 26.8 |
| Children only . | 36.0 | 48.0 | 49.4 | 33.5 | 20.2 |
| With other relatives only. | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| With nonrelatives only | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 1.6 |
| With other relatives and nonrelatives | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Other relatives in household ${ }^{1}$ | 9.3 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 10.9 |
| Other relatives only. | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 5.3 |
| With nonrelatives only | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Nonrelatives in household ${ }^{2}$ | 6.1 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 11.5 | 10.3 |
| Nonrelatives only. . | 3.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 6.8 | 7.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes other relatives living with children and/or with nonrelatives.
${ }^{2}$ Includes nonrelatives living with children and/or with other relatives.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Table A-8.
Households With Presence of Specific Relationship Codes by Partnership Status and Presence of Children: 2000
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf)


X Not applicable.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

Table A-9.

## Households by Number of Generations, Composition of Generations, and Presence of Children and Partner: 1990 and 2000

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions in 2000, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf and for information on each of these items and on sampling error in 1990, see factfinder.census.gov/metadoc/1990stf3td.pdf)

| Number of generations and type | 1990 |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Married couple | Unmarried partner | No spouse or partner | Total | Married couple | Unmarried partner | No spouse or partner |
| Total households. | 91,993,582 | 51,718,214 | 3,309,716 | 36,965,652 | 105,480,101 | 54,493,232 | 5,475,768 | 45,511,101 |
| 1 generation | 48,739,896 | 20,689,327 | 2,074,717 | 25,975,852 | 57,686,135 | 22,880,359 | 3,113,943 | 31,691,833 |
| 2 generations | 40,241,541 | 29,356,607 | 1,166,803 | 9,718,131 | 43,631,159 | 29,353,148 | 2,217,613 | 12,060,398 |
| 3 or more generations | 3,012,145 | 1,672,280 | 68,196 | 1,271,669 | 4,162,807 | 2,259,725 | 144,212 | 1,758,870 |
| Family households | 65,049,428 | 51,718,214 | 1,281,637 | 12,049,577 | 71,787,347 | 54,493,232 | 2,422,186 | 14,871,929 |
| 1 generation | 21,795,742 | 20,689,327 | 46,638 | 1,059,777 | 23,993,381 | 22,880,359 | 60,361 | 1,052,661 |
| 2 generations | 40,241,541 | 29,356,607 | 1,166,803 | 9,718,131 | 43,631,159 | 29,353,148 | 2,217,613 | 12,060,398 |
| H-Gpar. | 10,873 | 2,523 | 390 | 7,960 | 22,397 | 4,707 | 1,284 | 16,406 |
| H-Par | 910,924 | 306,592 | 19,087 | 585,245 | 1,257,037 | 395,090 | 38,172 | 823,775 |
| H -Ch. | 38,659,806 | 28,746,619 | 1,136,677 | 8,776,510 | 41,489,587 | 28,562,506 | 2,157,201 | 10,769,880 |
| H-GCh | 659,938 | 300,873 | 10,649 | 348,416 | 862,138 | 390,845 | 20,956 | 450,337 |
| 3 or more generations | 3,012,145 | 1,672,280 | 68,196 | 1,271,669 | 4,162,807 | 2,259,725 | 144,212 | 1,758,870 |
| Family households with natural, adopted, or step children. | 41,429,617 | 30,354,795 | 1,197,547 | 9,877,275 | 45,146,309 | 30,686,991 | 2,280,537 | 12,178,781 |
| 1 generation | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 2 generations H-Gpar. | 38,474,131 (X) | $\begin{array}{r} 28,698,256 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,130,819 \\ (X) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,645,056 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,125,452 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,464,186 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,140,757 \\ (X) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,520,509 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ |
| H-Par . | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H-Ch. } \\ & \text { H-GCh } \end{aligned}$ | 38,474,131 (X) | $\begin{array}{r} 28,698,256 \\ \text { (X) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,130,819 \\ (X) \end{array}$ | 8,645,056 (X) | $\begin{array}{r} 41,125,452 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,464,186 \\ (\mathrm{X}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,140,757 \\ (X) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,520,509 \\ (X) \end{array}$ |
| 3 or more generations | 2,955,486 | 1,656,539 | 66,728 | 1,232,219 | 4,020,857 | 2,222,805 | 139,780 | 1,658,272 |
| Family households without natural, adopted, or step children. | 23,619,811 | 21,363,419 | 84,090 | 2,172,302 | 26,641,038 | 23,806,241 | 141,649 | 2,693,148 |
| 1 generation | 21,795,742 | 20,689,327 | 46,638 | 1,059,777 | 23,993,381 | 22,880,359 | 60,361 | 1,052,661 |
| 2 generations | 1,767,410 | 658,351 | 35,984 | 1,073,075 | 2,505,707 | 888,962 | 76,856 | 1,539,889 |
| H-Gpar. | 10,873 | 2,523 | 390 | 7,960 | 22,397 | 4,707 | 1,284 | 16,406 |
| H-Par | 910,924 | 306,592 | 19,087 | 585,245 | 1,257,037 | 395,090 | 38,172 | 823,775 |
| H-Ch. | 185,675 | 48,363 | 5,858 | 131,454 | 364,135 | 98,320 | 16,444 | 249,371 |
| H-GCh | 659,938 | 300,873 | 10,649 | 348,416 | 862,138 | 390,845 | 20,956 | 450,337 |
| 3 or more generations | 56,659 | 15,741 | 1,468 | 39,450 | 141,950 | 36,920 | 4,432 | 100,598 |
| Nonfamily households . | 26,944,154 | (X) | 2,028,079 | 24,916,075 | 33,692,754 | (X) | 3,053,582 | 30,639,172 |
| 1 generation | 26,944,154 | (X) | 2,028,079 | 24,916,075 | 33,692,754 | (X) | 3,053,582 | 30,639,172 |

## X Not applicable.

Note: The generations pertaining to the abbreviations shown in this table are as follows: H= Householder; Gpar = Grandparent; Par = Parent; Ch = Child; and GCh = Grandchild. Data for 1990 are based on sample tabulations.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

## APPENDIX B. GLOSSARY

Family Household (Family) - a householder and one or more people living together in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. A family household may contain people not related to the householder, but those people are not included as part of the householder's family in census tabulations. Not all households contain families since a household may comprise a group of unrelated people or one person living alone. Compare Nonfamily Household.

Household - one person or a group of people living in a housing unit.

Householder - the person (or one of the people) in whose name the housing unit is owned or rented (maintained) or, if there is no such person, any adult member of the household, excluding roomers, boarders, or paid employees. The person designated as the householder is the "reference person" to whom the relationship of all other household members, if any, is recorded.

Married-Couple Household - a family household maintained by a householder and his or her spouse in which the spouse lives in the same household with the householder. See Family Household.

Multigenerational Household a household with three or more
generations present. The five generations identified in this report can create 11 specific multigenerational household types, including six types of three-generation households, four types of four-generation households, and one type of five-generation household.

## Nonfamily Household - a

 household composed of one person living alone or a household of two or more people where all are unrelated to the householder. Compare Family Household.
## Nonpartner Household - a

household that does not contain either a spouse or an unmarried partner. All other households are classified as partner households. Compare Partner Household.

One-Generation Household - a person living alone (the householder only) or a householder living only with one or more of the people identified by the relationship codes pertaining to the householder's generation (spouse, brother, sister, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, cousin, other relative, roomer, boarder, housemate, roommate, unmarried partner, foster child, and other nonrelative).

One-Person Household - a household composed of one person living alone.

Other Family Household - a household maintained by a woman without a husband present who is living with at least one other
relative, or maintained by a man without a wife present who is living with at least one other relative. Other family households comprise all family households excluding married-couple households. See also Family Household.

Other Nonfamily Household a household of two or more members, none of whom is related to the householder. Other nonfamily households include all nonfamily households except one-person households. See also Nonfamily Household.

Partner Household - a household containing the householder and either a spouse or an unmarried partner. All other households are classified as nonpartner households. Partner households include married-couple households and unmarried-partner households (regardless of gender). Compare Nonpartner Household.

Two-Generation Household - a household containing a householder living with one or more members of only one of the generations other than the householder generation (grandparent, grandchild, parent generation, or child generation). Any number of relationship codes pertaining to the householder generation may be present in the household (see One-Generation Household).


[^0]:    See U.S. Census Bureau, 2001a, Households and Families: 2000, by Tavia Simmons and Grace O'Neill, Census 2000 Brief (C2KBR/01-8), Washington, DC.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This includes, as discussed later, comparability between the household relationship data collected in 1990 and 2000. For additional historical household trends and information, see U.S. Census Bureau, 2002, Demographic Trends in the 20th Century, by Frank Hobbs and Nicole Stoops, Census 2000 Special Reports (CENSR-4), Washington, DC.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In Census 2000, combinations with both a spouse and an unmarried partner were not allowed to occur within the same household. In the 1990 Census of Population, the sample data included 30,989 households containing both a spouse and an unmarried partner. Revised editing procedures resulted in the exclusion of this combination from Census 2000 data.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ For further detail on adopted children in 2000, see U.S. Census Bureau, 2003a, Adopted Children and Stepchildren: 2000, by Rose Kreider, Census 2000 Special Report (CENSR-6), Washington, DC.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ For the specific detailed household relationship combinations with more than 100,000 households in 1990 and 2000, see Appendix Tables A-1 and A-2, respectively.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Comparing 1990 and 2000 data by specific relationship combinations required aggregating combinations in 2000 into the 19 relationship code categories of 1990. Specific types including adopted child in 2000 were added to the appropriate natural child classification (since natural or adopted child was one code in 1990) and specific types including foster child in 2000 were added to the appropriate roomer/boarder classification (since roomer, boarder, or foster child was one code in 1990).
    ${ }^{7}$ The size of the increases in the other relative combinations based on the 1990 coding of sample data and in the unmarried partner combinations should be interpreted with caution, since they may be attributed in part to data processing issues.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Households with the code other relative were slightly more prevalent in marriedcouple households in 1990 but more prevalent in other family households in 2000.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ As noted earlier, the 1990 Census of Population included a small number of households that contained both a spouse and an unmarried partner. In this report, whenever tabulations are shown separately for married-couple and unmarried-partner households, the households with both of these relationship codes were tabulated in the married-couple household category.

[^8]:    "See U.S. Census Bureau, 2003b, Married-Couple and Unmarried-Partner Households: 2000, by Tavia Simmons and Martin O'Connell, Census 2000 Special Reports (CENSR-5), Washington, DC.

[^9]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since it is not possible to infer a generation from people identified as other relatives, they were implicitly included in the householder generation. People unrelated to the householder (unmarried partner, housemate, roomer and/or foster child in 1990 and shown separately in 2000, and other nonrelatives) were also included in the householder generation.
    ${ }^{2}$ In 1990, this relationship was included in a single, combined classification, "Natural born or adopted child."
    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census of Population and Census 2000.

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ While foster children could be considered as a second generation, they cannot be separately identified from the 1990 Census of Population data, and thus were not counted as a second generation to allow comparability between 1990 and 2000. Counting foster children within the child generation in Census 2000 would reduce the number of one-generation households by 66,168 , and correspondingly increase two-generation households by 58,592 , three-generation households by 7,295, four-generation households by 279 , and five-generation households by 2

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ An earlier examination of multigenerational households used a more limited definition of generations and did not consider all possible combinations of multigenerational households. This earlier work (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001a) identified 3.9 million multigenerational households in 2000 and noted that the total represented "a subset of all possible multigenerational households." See also, U.S. Census Bureau, 2001b, "Multigenerational Households for the United States, States, and for Puerto Rico: 2000," Census 2000 PHC-T-17, available at <www.census.gov/population/cen2000 /phc-tl7.pdf>.

[^13]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

[^14]:    ${ }^{14}$ Grandparent pertains to the person's relationship to the householder, as is true for all relationship codes. Thus, while a householder's parent may be present in a household with the householder's children, this person is identified as the householder's parent, and not as the child's grandparent.

[^15]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, unpublished tabulations, Census 2000.

[^16]:    ${ }^{15}$ As discussed in this report, this includes comparability between the household relationship data collected in 1990 and 2000.

[^17]:    Note: Based on sample tabulations. The natural child category includes adopted child and the roomer category includes boarder and foster child. Combinations shown in italics had more than 100,000 households in 1990, but less than 100,000 households in Census 2000.

