
Advance Data

PROPERTY OF THE PUBLICATIONS BRANCH EDITORIAL LIBRARY



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Utilization of Controlled Drugs in Office-Based Ambulatory Care: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, 1985

by Hugo Koch, M.H.A., Division of Health Care Statistics, and Dee A. Knapp, Ph.D., University of Maryland at Baltimore

Introduction

An increasingly important issue in current health care and social policy is the use of medications having significant potential for drug dependence or abuse and their ssible diversion into illicit channels. nder Federal law, the Controlled Substances Act of 1970, special regulatory controls have been placed on the manufacture, distribution, and dispensing of these drugs. Each controlled drug is placed in one of five schedules, depending on its potential for abuse, its medical usefulness, and the degree of dependence it can produce.

Schedule I. The drug has a high potential for abuse and no current accepted medical usefulness for treatment in the United States. Examples are heroin and LSD. Schedule I drugs are outside the scope of this report.

Schedule II. The drug has a currently accepted medical use in the United States, but its abuse may lead to severe dependence. Included are certain narcotics (e.g., morphine), short-acting barbiturates, and certain stimulants,

such as the amphetamines and cocaine. Prescriptions for these drugs are nonrefillable. Emergency telephone orders for limited quantities of these drugs are permitted, but the prescriber must provide a written, signed prescription to the pharmacy within 72 hours.

Schedule III. The drug has an accepted medical use in the United States, but its abuse may lead to moderate dependence. Included are opiates in fixed-ingredient combination with such other substances as acetaminophen or aspirin, and certain anorexiants. Prescriptions may be oral or written and may be refilled up to five times within 6 months after the date of issue, if authorized by the prescriber.

Schedule IV. The drug has a currently accepted medical use in the United States, but its abuse may lead to limited dependence.

Included are the benzodiazepines (minor tranquilizers), phenobarbital, pentazocine, propoxyphene, and certain anorexiants. The same prescription requirements pertain as with Schedule III drugs.

Schedule V. The drug has a currently accepted medical use in the United States, but its abuse may lead to some dependence. The most important are fixed-ingredient combinations containing nonopioid drugs and limited quantities of opioids. There are no limitations on prescriptions or refills, other than those specified by the prescriber. Depending upon State and local regulation, some Schedule V products are available without a prescription.

This report describes the utilization of controlled drugs (Schedules II, III, IV, V) in office-based ambulatory care. The report relies on findings from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), a sample survey of non-Federal, office-based physicians conducted in the coterminous United States over the 12-month period March 1985 through February 1986.

The term *utilization* is limited to the prescribing or providing of a controlled drug by a doctor of medicine or osteopathy in the course of an office visit. It does not embrace ultimate patient compliance with the doctor's instruction.

Because the estimates presented in this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire universe of office visits or drug mentions, the data are subject to sampling variability. The technical notes at the end of the report provide guidelines for judging the precision of the estimates. They also supply a brief description of the sample design and survey methodology and a copy of the chief data collection instrument, the patient record (figure 1).

General findings

From March 1985 through February 1986, 636.4 million office visits were made to physicians within the NAMCS scope, resulting in an estimated 693.4 million drug entries (mentions). This report centers attention on the estimated 47,192,000 office visits in which one or more controlled drugs were ordered or provided (controlled drug visits) and on the 51,877,000 mentions of controlled drugs that these visits

produced. The controlled drug mentions were distributed among the schedules as shown in table 1.

NAMCS findings reveal that, between 1980 and 1985, there was a decrease of roughly 11 percent in the overall number of controlled drug mentions. The smaller groups, Schedules II and V, showed the greatest decreases; mentions in each fell off by about 30 percent. The use of Schedule IV drugs registered a modest decline (7 percent) over the period, in large part the result of a

1 DATE OF VISIT			PATIEN	T RECORD			OMB No. 0920-0234
Month Day Y	Der .	NATIONAL A		RY MEDICAL C	ARE SURVEY	•	Expires 8-31-89 (PHS) 6105B
2.ZIP CODE 3.DATE OF BIRTH Month Day Year	4.SEX 1 FEMALE 2 MALE	5 COLOR OR RACE 1 WHITE 2 BLACK 3 ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER 4 SKIMO/ALEUT	6. ETHNICITY 1 HISPANIC ORIGIN 2 NOT HISPANIC	2 MEDICARE 5 OT	JE CROSS/ 7 NO JE SHIELD 7 NO HER COMMERCIAL . OT	CHARGE	WAS PATIENT REFERRED FOR THIS VISIT BY ANOTHER PHYSICIAN? YES 2 NO
PATIENT'S COM REASON(S) FOR	PLAINT(S), SYMPT(THIS VISIT [In patie	OM(S), OR OTHER nt's own words	10. PHYSICIAN	'S DIAGNOSES		11.HAY	/E YOU SEEN TIENT BEFORE?
a. MOST IMPORTANT b. OTHER				SIS/PROBLEM ASSOCIATED WIT	H (TEM 9b.	1 E	YES 2 NO
D. OTHER			b. OTHER SIGNIFICAN	CURRENT DIAGNOSES			M 10a? YES 2 NO
Check all order	8 URINAL 9 CHEST: ON 10 DIGITAL 11 PROCTA 12 STOOL	PRESSURE CHECK 13	ORAL GLUCOSE TOL. CHOLESTEROL MEASUR HIV SEROLOGY OTHER BLOOD TEST OTHER (Specify)	1 NONE 2 WEIGHT REDUC 3 CHOLESTEROL F 4 SMOKING CESS. 5 HIV TRANSMISS 6 REAST SELF-E) 7 OTHER	1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	NONE PSYCHOTHE CORRECTIVE AMBULATOR PHYSIOTHER OTHER [Specie	LENSES IY SURGERY APY
MEDICATION brand name or g	eneric name entered on	new or continued medicati any Rx or office medical rec	cord. Include immunizi a. NEW MEDICATIO	ng and desensitizing agents.) b. FOR DX	16. DISPOSITION [Check all that 1 NO FOLLOW-UP PL 2 RETURN AT SPECI	apply] .ANNED	17. DURATION OF THIS VISIT [Time actually spent with physician]
			. 1 2	1 2 1 2	3 RETURN IF NEEDER 4 TELEPHONE FOLLO PLANNED	•	
			1 2	1 2 D	5 REFERRED TO OTH		
			1 2	1 2	7 ADMIT TO HOSPIT	_	Minim
			1 7 2				Minutes

decrease in the utilization of diazepam. Schedule III drugs were the ally controlled drugs to hold their wn—indeed, they showed a modest increase (5 percent) in utilization during the period.

Table 2 offers a ranked listing of the 20 controlled drugs most frequently prescribed by the office-based practitioner. This group of 20 accounted for about two-thirds of all controlled drug mentions. In table 3, the 51.9 million controlled mentions are classified by the therapeutic effect that each was intended to produce. It is noteworthy that narcotic analgesics dominate the drugs prescribed in schedules II and III; they accounted for an estimated 16.1 million mentions, a substantial 31 percent of all controlled drug mentions.

Another finding that merits attention is the dominance of the benzodiazepines (e.g., alprazolam, diazepam, and lorazepam) among the therapeutic family, anxiolytics, sedatives, and hypnotics, at the expense of other agents, chiefly arbiturates, which have more otential adverse effects, drug interactions, lethality, and abuse or dependence liability. With 18.1 million mentions, the benzodiazepines accounted for the largest single proportion (35 percent) of all controlled drug mentions.

Symptoms and diagnoses associated with controlled drug therapy

The findings in table 2 and 3 indicate an application of controlled drugs in the management of pain, anxiety, cough, insomnia, and diarrhea. Table 4 shows the predominance of pain symptoms, which elicited more than one-half of the controlled drug mentions on the list.

Tables 5 and 6 describe the diagnostic correlates of controlled drug therapy, table 5 by listing the 15 principal diagnoses most frequently reated using controlled medications and table 6 by showing the volume of controlled drug mentions associated with each of the major diagnostic groups.

Table 1. Number and percent distribution of all drug mentions and of controlled drugs by control schedule: United States, 1985

Control status	Number of drug mentions In thousands	All drug mentlons	Controlled drugs
		Percent	distribution
All drugs	693,355	100.0	
Controlled drugs	51,877	7.5	100.0
Schedule II drugs	4,070	0.6	7.8
Schedule III drugs	12,621	1.8	24.3
Schedule IV drugs	28,089	4.1	54.2
Schedule V drugs	7,097	1.0	13.7
Noncontrolled drugs	594,865	85.8	
Undetermined residual	46,613	6.7	

Table 2. Number, percent, and therapeutic use of the 20 most prescribed controlled drugs, by frequency of mention and control schedule: United States, 1985

Controlled drugs most frequently prescribed	Control schedule	Number of mentions in thousands	Percent	Therapeutic use
All controlled drug mentions		51,877	100.0	•••
Tylenol with codeine				
(acetaminophen, codeine)	111	5,081	9.8	Pain relief
Xanax (alprazolam)	IV	4,071	7.8	Anxiety relief
Valium (diazepam)	IV	3,672	7.1	Anxiety relief Pain relief
acetaminophen)	iV	3,610	7.0	
Ativan (lorazepam)	IV	2,306	4.4	Anxiety relief
Tranxene (clorazepate)	iV	1,698	3.3	Anxiety relief
Dalmane (flurazepam)	IV	1,478	2.8	insomnia relief
Halcion (triazolam)	IV	1,271	2.5	Insomnia relief
Librium (chlordiazepoxide)	IV	1,215	2.3	Anxiety relief
Lomotii (diphenoxylate, atropine)	٧	1,137	2.2	Antidiarrhea
Restoril (temazepam)	IV	1,103	2.1	Insomnia relief
Pheńobarbital	IV	1,096	2.1	Anticonvulsant, Insomnia relie
Phenergan expectorant with codeline (promethazine, codeline,				
phenylephrine)	V	1,062	2.0	Cough relief
aspirin)	[1]	970	1.9	Migraine relief
glycerol)	V	965	1.9	Cough relief
acetaminophen)	!!	772	1.5	Pain relief
Fastin (phentermine)	IV	737	1.4	Appetite suppressant
Percodan (oxycodone, aspirin)	11	672	1.3	Pain relief
Demerot (meperidine)	ii	631	1.2	Pain relief
phenylpropanolamine)	111	553	1.1	Cough relief

Table 3. Number and percent distribution of controlled drug mentions by therapeutic category, according to applicable control schedule: United States, 1985

Therapeutic category ¹	All mentions	Schedule II	Schedule III	Schedule IV	Schedule V
Number of mentions in thousands	51,877	4,070	12,621	28,089	7,097
		Pe	rcent distribu	tion	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anxiolytics, sedatives, and hypnotics	39.0	*4.4	*1.4	70.7	-
Analgesics and antipyretics	35.0	72.5	73.7	20.1	*3,3
agents	14.4	_	20.5	_	68.4
Respiratory and cerebral stimulants	5.6	15.9	*2.2	7.1	_
Gastrointestinal drugs	3.9	-	*0.7	-	27.3
Antidepressants	0.9	_	_	*1.7	
Major tranquilizers and antimanic drugs	*0.5	*7.2	_	_	_
Other categories and unknown	*0.7	_	*1.5	*0.4	*1.0

¹Based on American Hospital Formulary Service Classification System, American Society of Hospital Pharmacists, Inc., Bethesda, MD, 1985.

Table 4. Number and percent of the 20 symptoms most frequently associated with controlled drug mentions, by frequency of controlled drug mention: United States, 1985

	Controlled drug mentions		
Symptoms most frequently associated with controlled drug mentions ¹	Number in thousands	Percent	
All controlled drug mentions	51,877	100.0	
Cough	3,651	7.0	
Headache	2,630	5.1	
Upper back symptoms ²	2,512	4.8	
Anxiety and nervousness	1,955	3.8	
Depression	1,511	2.9	
Low back symptoms ²	1,450	2.8	
Chest pain (not referable to body system)	1,370	2.6	
Head cold, upper respiratory infection	1,215	2.3	
Sore thorat	1,033	2.0	
Abdominal pain, cramps, spasms	1,026	2.0	
Diarrhea	963	1.9	
Neck symptoms ²	888	1.7	
Disturbances of sleep	777	1.5	
Weight gain	736	1.4	
Lea symptoms ²	641	1.2	
Weight gain	637	1.2	
Shoulder symptoms ²	632	1.2	
Vertigo	582	1.1	
Tiredness, exhaustion	515	1.0	
Pain, site not referable to a specific body system	*483	*0.9	

¹Based on "A Reason for Visit Classification for Ambulatory Care," Vital and Health Statistics, Series 2, No.78, 1979. ²Chiefly pain, ache, or soreness.

Table 5. Number and percent of controlled drug mentions for the 15 principal diagnoses most frequently associated with controlled drug mentions, by frequency of mention: United States, 1985

		Controlled dru	g mentions ²
Neurotic disorders Acute upper respiratory infections Obesity and other hyperallmentation Bronchitis, not specified as acute or chronic Other and unspecified disorders of back Other noninfectious gastroenteritis and colitis Affective psychoses Essential hypertension Sprains and strains of other and unspecified parts of back	ICD-9-CM ¹	Number in thousands	Percent
All principal diagnoses	-	40,914	100.0
Neurotic disorders	300	3,387	8.3
Acute upper respiratory infections	465	1,753	4.3
Obesity and other hyperalimentation	278	1,526	3.7
Bronchitis, not specified as acute or chronic	490	1,487	3.6
Other and unspecified disorders of back	724	1,278	3.1
	558	1,256	3.1
	296	1,117	2.7
Essential hypertension	401	957	2.4
	847	941	2.3
General symptoms ³	780	821	2.0
Intervertebral disc disorders	722	780	1.9
Migraine	346	763	1.9
Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	466	724	1.8
Acute pharyngitisSpecial symptoms or syndromes, not elsewhere	462	611	1.5
classified4	307	594	1.5

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ International Classification of Diseases, 8th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM).

Some points require clarification or emphasis:

- The controlled drugs used in the treatment of obesity were not the Schedule II amphetamines, but rather the Schedule IV stimulants phentermine and diethylproprion.
- Seven diagnostic groups are seen to be above average in the

proportion of their overall drug utilization that resulted from the use of a controlled drug. In rank order, these are:

- -Mental disorders.
- —Injury and poisoning.
- -Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions.
- —Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue.

- —Diseases of the digestive system.
- —Neoplasms.
- -Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic diseases and immunity disorders.



Patient characteristics

Viewed as a proportion of all drug therapy within a given age group, the utilization of controlled substances increases with age until it reaches its maximum proportion in the age group 25-44 years (table 7). It then begins a decline among patients in the remaining years of life.

Median patient age is seen to vary according to the controlled schedule utilized, as follows:

Schedule	Median patient age in years
All controlled mentions	45.2
Schedule II drugs	44.0
Schedule III drugs	
Schedule IV drugs	
Schedule V drugs	31.0

In absolute numbers, controlled drug mentions for female patients outnumbered those for males by a substantial 15 million (table 7). In terms of relative utilization, however, the 1-percent difference that favored all female patients was not statistically significant.

Data on racial and ethnic patient groups did not vary strongly enough from the overall norms of controlled drug therapy to warrant comment (table 8).

Physician characteristics

Table 9 examines the utilization of controlled drugs in terms of the physicians who prescribe them. The following findings merit attention:

- Osteopathic physicians somewhat exceeded doctors of medicine in their proportionate prescribing of controlled drugs. This is due in part to their relatively greater concern with musculoskeletal pain and thus with the opiate analgesics in Schedule III, but chiefly to their more intensive prescribing of the drugs in Schedule V.
- In terms of absolute numbers of controlled drug mentions, it is noteworthy that primary care physicians accounted for about

²Includes only those drug mentions that were specifically intended for a principal (first-listed) diagnosis. Drug mentions associated

with other-listed diagnoses or utilized for any other reason are not included.

Sincludes convulsions not otherwise specified, malaise and fatigue, dizziness, sleep disturbances, pyrexia of unknown origin,

syncope, and collapse.

⁴Chiefly psychogenic pain.

two-thirds of the total 51.9 million, with general or family physicians alone accounting for 43 percent.

In relative terms, however—that is, when the 13 most-visited specialties are examined in terms of their proportionate use of controlled drug therapy—five specialties were found to exceed average utilization. In rank order of intensity of use, these were:

- 1. Psychiatry
- 2. Orthopedic surgery
- 3. Neurology
- 4. General surgery
- 5. General or family practice

Between the 1980 and the 1985 NAMCS, three specialties demonstrated the largest variations in their proportionate use of the controlled drugs. These were psychiatry, with an increase from 24 percent in 1980 to 31 percent in 1985; neurology, with an increase from 15 percent in 1980 to 20 percent in 1985; and general surgery, with a decrease from 16 percent in 1980 to 9 percent in 1985.

Other aspects of controlled drug therapy

Are physicians more cautious in prescribing a controlled drug for a new patient than for a patient whom they already know? The differences in relative utilization presented in table 10 are not large enough to answer this question conclusively.

Table 11 examines the extent to which nondrug treatment techniques were used concomitantly with controlled drug therapy. In a clear majority (68 percent) of visits involving the utilization of a controlled drug (controlled drug visits), no nondrug therapy is seen to have been ordered or provided. The most frequent nonpharmacologic treatment in concomitant use was psychotherapy; its use in controlled drug visits was three times as great as its use in all visits.

Table 6. Number of all drug mentions and number and percent of controlled drug mentions, by major diagnostic group: United States, 1985

				rug mentions
Major diagnostic group	ICD-9-CM code ¹	All drugs mentions in thousands	Number in thousands	Percent of all drug mentions
All principal diagnoses		² 534,627	² 40,914	7.7
Infectious and parasitic diseases	001-139	22,051	1,046	4.7
Neoplasms	140–239	9,717	988	10.2
diseases, and immunity disorders	240-279	21,901	1,957	8.9
Mental disorders	290–319	20,835	7,301	35.0
sense organs	320-389	52,995	2,435	4.6
Diseases of circulatory system	390-459	85,552	2,228	2.6
Diseases of respiratory system	460-519	106,836	7,395	6.9
Diseases of digestive system	520-579	21,700	2,574	11.9
Diseases of genitourinary system Diseases of skin and subcutaneous	580–629	26,932	953	3.5
tissue	680–709	38,048	623	1.6
system	710–739	38,943	5,050	13.0
conditions	780-799	16,066	2,353	14.6
Injury and poisoning	800-999	27,883	4,149	14.9
Diagnosis other or unknown	· · ·	45,168	1,862	4.1

¹International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM).

Table 7. Number of all drug mentions and number and percent of controlled drug mentions, by patient age and sex: United States, 1985

		Controlled di	rug mentions
Age and sex	All drug mentions in thousands	Number in thousands	Percent of all drug mentions
All office patients	693,355	51,877	7.5
Age			
Under 15 years	107,018 60,288 156,234 171,234 198,582	3,912 3,809 17,392 14,758 12,006	3.7 6.3 11.2 8.6 6.0
Sex			
Female	426,653 266,702	33,586 18,291	7.9 6.9
Sex-age groups Female:			
Under 15 years	53,107 40,255 107,079 103,173 123,040	1,727 2,593 11,464 9,668 8,135	3.3 6.4 10.7 9.4 6.6
Male:			
Under 15 years 15–24 years 25–44 years 45–64 years 65 years and over	53,911 20,034 49,155 68,061 75,542	2,185 1,216 5,928 5,090 3,872	4.1 6.1 12.1 7.5 5.1

²Includes only those drug mentions that were specifically intended for a principal (first-listed) diagnosis. Drug mentions associated with other-listed diagnoses or utilized for any other reason are not included.

With its data on disposition instructions at the end of the office visit, table 12 supplies some final insights into the nature of office-based prescribing of controlled drugs. Possibly reflecting a desire to maintain closer-than-usual surveillance of a group of drugs that have their own unique hazards of use, the utilization of some form of followup is seen to be the rule, somewhat exceeding the followup norms found in overall office practice.

Table 8. Number of all drug mentions and number and percent of controlled drug mentions, by patient race and ethnicity: United States, 1985

		Controlled drug mentions		
Race and ethnicity	Ali drug mentions in thousands	Number in thousands	Percent of all drug mentions	
All patients	693,355	51,877	7.5	
Race				
White	614,585 66,394 12,376	46,263 4,530 1,085	7.5 6.8 8.8	
Ethnicity				
Hispanic	43,325 650,030	4,114 47,764	9.5 7.3	

¹Asian, Pacific Islander, American Indian, or Alaskan native.

Table 9. Number of all drug mentions and number and percent of controlled drug mentions, by prescriber characteristic: United States, 1985

		Controlled di	rug mentions
Prescriber characteristic	All drug mentions in thousands	Number in thousands	Percent of all drug mentions
All prescribers	693,355	51,877	7.5
Professional identity			
Doctor of Medicine	650,353	47,686	7.3
Doctor of Osteopathy	43,002	4,191	9.7
Selected specialties			4
General or family practice ¹	250,119	22,513	9.0
Internal medicine'	126,219	8,642	6.8
Pediatrics	68,856	2,551	3.7
Obstetrics and gynecology ¹	33,832	1,259	3.7
Dermatology	29,253	*106	*0.3
Cardiovascular disease	26,812	1,077	4.0
Ophthalmology	25,820	*175	*0.6
General surgery	18,774	1,689	9.0
Psychiatry	14,826	4,566	30.8
Orthopedic surgery	12,080	3,068	25.4
Otolaryngology	10,761	565	5.3
Urological surgery	6,737	*330	*4.9
Neurology	4,664	935	20.0

¹Primary care specialty.

Table 10. Number of all office visits and number and percent of controlled drug visits, by patient prior visits status: United States, 1985

		Controlled drug visits ¹	
Prior visit status	All office visits in thousands	Number in thousands	Percent of all office visits
All patients	636,386	47,193	7.4
New patient	107,624	6,911	6.4
Old patient	528,762 144.634	40,282 11.490	7.6 7.9
New problem	384,128	28,792	7. 9 7.5

¹Controlled drug visit: A visit at which one or more controlled drugs was prescribed.

Table 11. Number and percent of all visits and of controlled drug visits, by selected nonmedication therapy: United States, 1985

Nonmedication therapy	All visits	Controlled drug visits
Number in thousands	636,386	47,192
	Percent	
None	68.9	62.8
Physiotherapy	4.2	5.8
Ambulatory surgery	6.6	4.0
Psychotherapy	3.4	10.6
Diet counseling	6.5	8.2
Other counseling	9.3	11.0

¹Controlled drug visit: A visit at which one or more controlled drugs was prescribed.

Table 12. Number and percent of all visits and of controlled drug visits, by selected forms of disposition: United States, 1985

Disposition	All visits	Controlled drug visits ¹
Number in thousands	636,386	47,192
	Percent	
No followup planned	9.8	5.5
Return at specified time	61.5	62.7
Return if needed	22.9	26.3
Telephone followup	4.0	4.6
Referred to another physician	3.2	3.0
Admit to hospital	1.6	1.3

¹Controlled drug visit: A visit at which one or more controlled drugs was prescribed.

Symbols

- -- Data not available
- .. Category not applicable
- Quantity zero
- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Z Quantity more than zero but less than 500 where numbers are rounded to thousands
- * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality

Technical notes

Source of data and sample design

The information presented in this report is based on data collected by means of the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS) from March 1985 through February 1986. The target universe of the NAMCS consists of office visits made by ambulatory patients to non-Federal physicians who are principally engaged in office practice within the coterminous United States. The specialties of anesthesiology, pathology, and radiology are excluded from the survey scope, as are any telephone contacts with patients or nonoffice visits.

The NAMCS utilizes a multistage probability sample design that involves a sample of primary sampling units and patient visits within physicians' practices. Physician specialty was used as a stratification variable. For 1985, a sample of 5,032 non-Federal, office-based physicians was selected from master files maintained by the American Medical Association and the American Osteopathic Association. For the 4,104 physicians who proved to be in scope, the response rate was

70 percent. Sampled physicians were asked to complete patient records (figure 1) for a systematic random sample of office visits taking place during a randomly assigned 1-week reporting period. Responding physicians completed a total of 71,594 patient records: they recorded 71.182 drug mentions in item 14, medication therapy, of which 5,692 were the mentions of controlled drugs that provided a base for most of the estimates in this report. Characteristics of the physician's practice, such as primary specialty, were obtained during an induction interview.

Reliability of estimates

The standard error (SE) is primarily a measure of sampling variability that occurs by chance because only a sample rather than the entire universe of office visits or drug mentions is surveyed. The chances are about 68 in 100 that an estimate from the sample would differ from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 95 in 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard error, and about 99 in 100 that it would be less than 2½ times as large.

The relative standard error (RSE) is that percentage of the estimate represented by the standard error. In this report, an asterisk (*) precedes any estimate with more than a 30-percent relative standard error.

Relative standard errors for visit numbers may be calculated using the following formula, where x is the visit number in thousands:

RSE
$$(x) = \sqrt{0.001493373 + 28.258848} \cdot 100$$

$$SE(x) = RSE(x) \cdot x$$

For example, the RSE of the estimated 47,192,000 controlled drug visits (visits in which one or more controlled drugs were utilized) equals 4.6 percent. The SE therefore equals 2,171,000 visits.

Relative standard errors for numbers of drug mentions may be calculated using the following formula, where x is the number of drug mentions in thousands:

$$RSE(x) =$$

$$\sqrt{0.001884167 + \frac{46.903471}{r}} \cdot 100$$

$$SE(x) = RSE(x) \cdot x$$

For example, the RSE of the estimated 51,877,000 controlled drug mentions equals 5.3 percent. The SE therefore equals 2,750,000 mentions.

Relative standard errors (in percent) for estimates of percents may be calculated using the following formulas, where p is the percent of interest and x is the denominator of the percent in thousands.

I. For percents of visit numbers,

$$RSE(p) =$$

$$\sqrt{28.258848\cdot(1-p)}\cdot100$$

$$p \cdot x$$

$$SE(p) = RSE(p) \cdot p$$

For example, psychotherapy was utilized in an estimated 10.6 percent of the 47,192,000 controlled drug visits. The RSE of this 10.6 percent equals 7.1 percent. The SE therefore equals 0.8 percent.

II. For percents of drug mentions,

$$RSE(p) =$$

$$\sqrt{46.903471 \cdot (1-p)} \cdot 100$$

$$p \cdot x$$

$$SE(p) = RSE(p) \cdot p$$

For example, controlled drug mentions accounted for an estimated 7.5 percent of all the 693,355,000 drug mentions in the 1985 NAMCS. The RSE of this 7.5 percent equals 2.9 percent. The SE therefore equals 0.2 percent.

Tests of significance and rounding

In this report, the determination of statistical significance is based on a two-sided t-test with a critical value of 1.96 (0.05 level of confidence). Terms relating to difference, such as "greater than" or "less than," indicate that the difference is statistically significant. In the tables, estimates of office visits and drug mentions have been rounded to the nearest thousand. Consequently, estimates will not always add to totals.

Definitions of terms

A visit is a direct personal exchange between an ambulatory patient seeking health care and a physician or staff member working under the physician who provides that care.

A drug mention is the physician's entry of a pharmaceutical agent prescribed or provided—by any route of administration—for prevention, diagnosis, or treatment. Generic names as well as brand names are included, as are nonprescription as well as prescription drugs. Along with all new drugs, the physician also records any continued medication if the patient was specifically instructed during the visit to continue medication.

Suggested citation

Koch H, Knapp DA. Utilization of controlled drugs in office-based ambulatory care: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, 1985. Advance data from vital and health statistics; no 177. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1989.

Copyright information

This report may be reprinted without further permission.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control National Center for Health Statistics 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

To receive this publication regularly, contact the National Center for Health Statistics by calling 301-436-8500

DHHS Publication No. (PHS) 89-1250

BULK RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID PHS/NCHS PERMIT No. G-281