The Interrelationships Between Dissolved Oxygen and Recreational *Morone saxatilis* (striped bass) Catch in the Chesapeake Bay



A.L. Mason Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Dept. of Commerce Oct. 1, 2008



Background

- Effects of Human-related activities and uses on coastal environment
- 60% of estuaries in the US affected by eutrophication
- Indicators of economic/human-use describe specific gains and/or losses



Background

- Impacts of DO on fish catch
 Behavioral changes
- Point water quality vs. interpolated water quality
- Statistical significance of DO



Study Site

- Chesapeake Bay Physical Characteristics

 300 km long
 11,000 km² area
 - 167,000 km²
 watershed
 - 15 million people





Methods

- Fish Catch data

 Fish landing site interviews
- Water Quality data
 Point & Interpolated
- 2000-2006 data used for analysis



Methods (cont.)

- Point Water Quality Data
- Interpolated Water Quality

 Buffer (16km)



Methods (cont.)

DO Thresholds

– NOAA

- Anoxic = 0 mg/L
- Hypoxic = >0 2 mg/L
- Biological Stress = >2 5 mg/L
- EPA
 - Anoxic = 0 0.5 mg/L
- Modified
 - OK = >5 12 mg/L
 - High = >12 mg/L
- Data Subsets



Methods (cont.)

Expected striped bass catch model

Equation 1: $C_{f,r} = \alpha + \beta_1 M C_r + \beta_2 HRSF_{f,r} + \beta_3 FDAY_f + \beta_4 BSALIN_r + \beta_5 BTEMP_r + \beta_6 BDO_r + \beta_7 (BDO_r)^2 + \beta_8 (BDO_r * BTEMP_r)$



Results

Poisson Regression Model DO Results

	Model R- Square	Mean Catch (β ₁)	Hours Fished (β₂)	Days Fished in Previous 12 Months (β ₃)	Bottom Salinity (β ₄)	Bottom Temperature (β ₅)	Bottom Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (β ₆)	Bottom DO ² (β ₇)	Bottom DO x Bottom Temperature (β ₈)
Abbreviations		MC	HRSF	FDAY	BSALIN	BTEMP	BDO	BDO ²	BDO*BTEMP
Chesapeake Bay	0.0459	0.3674	0.1379	-0.0001	-0.0042	0.0743	0.8995	-0.0355	-0.0073
Upper Bay	0.1444	0.3747	0.1167	0.0008	-0.0688	0.1736	1.7676	-0.0776	-0.0135
Middle Bay	0.0856	0.3603	0.1201	-0.0004	-0.1038	-0.1482	0.6797	-0.0679	0.0209
Lower Bay	0.0443	0.4564	0.1607	0.0001	-0.0192	-0.018	-0.0027	-0.0005	0.0011
Patuxent River	0.1825	0.6589	0.2084	0.0005	-0.0509	0.025	-0.9799	0.0800	0.0050
Potomac River	0.1716	0.2527	0.3442	0.0138	-0.4222	-0.8600	-4.3616	0.1680	0.1360



= significant at the 95th percentile

= not significant at the 95th percentile

Table 3. Modeled parameter estimates and their significance at the 95th percentile for each region of study in the Chesapeake Bay.



System/Region	Expected Fish Catch at Mean DO Conditions	Expected Fish Catch at 5 mg/L	Expected Fish Catch at 2 mg/L DO	Percent Increase from 2 to 5 mg/L
Chesapeake Bay*	(mean = 9.28 mg/L) 5.85	2.03	0.67	202
Upper Bay*	(mean = 8.35 mg/L) 9.90	3.98	0	Undefined
Middle Bay*	(mean = 7.49 mg/L) 0.25	0	0	0
Long Island Sound**	(mean = 7.18 mg/L) 2.75	2.77	2.71	2.1
Patuxent River**	(mean = 5.99 mg/L) 7.63	6.27	2.16	65.5
Potomac River**	(mean = 4.53 mg/L) 4.07	4.55	1.45	68.1

* = Results from this study (2000-2006). ** = Results from Bricker et. al. 2006 (August 2002).



Results (cont.)

Chesapeake Bay





Results (cont.)

Middle Bay



Lower 25th%





0

		No Cato	ch included	No Catch Excluded		
		Cluster Analysis	Frequency Analysis	Cluster Analysis	Frequency Analysis	
Chesapeake Bay	All	EX		EX		
000 9.4v	25th	UC	UC	UC	IR	
	10th	EX	IR	UC	>	
Upper Bay	All	UC		UC	\sim	
	25th			\sim	>	
L	10th	>	\searrow	\searrow	>	
Middle Bay	All	EX*		> <		
CASSINGS AREA (01.1384-74	25th	UC	EX	EX	>	
	10th	EX	EX	EX	EX	
Lower Bay	All	EX*				
	25th			\langle	\sim	
	10th					

EX = Generally expected outcome. Represents approximately 55% of significant results.

UC = Unclear results. Represents approximately 35% of significant results.

IR = Inverse expected relationship shown in both extreme categories. Represents approximately 10%.

*Denotes where expected results deviated only in that the high DO (>12 mg/L) corresponded to no catch (NC).



Conclusions

- Point vs. Interpolated data No significant difference
- DO affects fish catch in bell curve
- Optimal DO in Chesapeake for catching Striped bass is 8-9 mg/L
- DO affects Striped bass catch more than other environmental variables included



Acknowledgements

- Committee Members
- John Christensen, CCMA
- Denis Apeti, CCMA
- NOAA CCMA COAST Team
- NOAA Educational Partnership Program



References

- Anderson, D.M., Y. Karou, and A.W. White. 2000. Estimated annual economic impacts from harmful algal blooms (Has) in the United States. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute Technical Report. WHOI-2000-11.
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). 2008. 2007 Annual Report. February 2008. 66th Annual Report. ASMFC, Washington, D.C. 68 p.
- Baird, D., and R.E. Ulanowicz. 1989. The Seasonal Dynamics of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem. Ecological Monographs, Vol. 59, No. 4. (Dec., 1989), pp. 329-364.
- Bigelow H.B., and Schroeder W.C. (1953) Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Fishery Bulletin of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Volume 52, pgs. 85-118.
- Boesch, D.F., R.B. Brinsfield, and R.E. Magnien. 2001. Chesapeake Bay Eutrophication: Scientific Understanding, Ecosystem Restoration, and Challenges for Agriculture. Journal of Environmental Quality. Vol. 30, pp 303-320.
- Breitburg, D.L., T. Loher, C.A. Facey, and A. Gerstein. 1997. Varing Effects of Low Dissolved Oxygen on Trophic Interactions in an Estuarine Food Web. Ecological Monographs. Vol. 64. No. 4. pp, 489-507.
- Bricker, S.B., C.G. Clement, D.E. Pirhalla, S.P. Orlando, and D.R.G. Farrow. 1999. National Estuarine Eutrophication Assessment: Effects of Nutrient Enrichment in the Nation's Estuaries. NOAA, National Ocean Service, Special Projects Office and the National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science. Silver Spring, MD: 71 pp.
- Bricker, S., D. Lipton, A. Mason, M. Dionne, D. Keeley, C. Krahforst, J. Latimer, J. Pennock. 2006. Improving Methods and Indicators for Evaluating Coastal Water Eutrophication: A Pilot Study in the Gulf of Maine. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 20. National Ocean Service. National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment, Silver Spring, MD.
- Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP). 2008. Online Data Hub. Website viewed last on June 13, 2008. 'http://www.chesapeakebay.net/data_waterquality.aspx'
- Cook, A.M., J. Duston, and R.G. Bradford. 2006. Thermal Tolerance of a Northern Population of Striped Bass Morone saxatilis. Journal of Fish Biology. Vol. 69, pp. 1482-1490.
- Dauer, D.M., A.J. Rodi, Jr, and J.A. Ranasinghe. 1992. Effects of Low Dissolved Oxygen Events on the Macrobenthos of the Lower Chesapeake Bay. Estuaries. Vol. 15, No. 3, p. 384-391.
- Elton, R.A., J.J.D. Greenwood. 1987. Frequency-Dependent Selection by Predators: Comparison of Parameter Estimates. Oikos, Vol. 48. No. 3. pp. 268-272
- Evans, D.L., and G.A. Norton. 2000. A Population Study of Atlantic Striped Bass. Submitted to the Committee on Resources of the United States House of Representatives and Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the United States Senate. 25 p.
- Gray G.W., L.L. Kline, M.F. Osborn, R.J. Salz, D.A. Van Voorhees, and J.F. Witzig. 1994. Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey User's Manual. Atlantic States
 Marine Fisheries Commission Special Report No. 37.



References (cont.)

- Hill, L.G., G.D. Schnell, W.J, Matthews. 1981. Locomotor Responses of the Striped Bass, Morone sazatilis, to Environmental Variables. American Midland Naturalist, Vol. 105, No. 1. (Jan., 1981), pp. 139-148.
- Hodson, R.G., and M. Hayes. 1989. Hybrid Striped Bass: Pond Production of Foodfish. July 1989. South Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC) Publication No. 303.
- JMP Statistical Discovery Software. 2002. Statistics and Graphics Guide. Version 5. The SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA. ISBN 1-59047-070-2.
- Lipton, D.W. and R. Hicks. 1999. Linking water quality improvements to recreational fishing values: The case of Chesapeake Bay striped bass. In: Evaluating the Benefits of Recreational Fisheries. Fisheries Centre Research Reports 7(2). University of British
- Columbia.
- Lipton, D.W. and R. Hicks. 2003. The cost of stress: Low dissolved oxygen and recreational striped bass (Morone saxatilis) fishing in the Patuxent River. Estuaries 26: 310-315.
- Malone, T.C., W. Boynton, T. Horton, and C. Stevenson. 1993. Nutrient loading to surface waters: Chesapeake case study. p. 8–38. In M.F. Uman (ed.) Keeping pace with science and engineering. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Massey, D.M., S.C. Newbold, B. Gentner. 2006. Valuing water quality changes using a bioeconomic model of a coastal recreational fishery. Journal of Environmental Economic and Management. 52:1 July 2006. Pgs. 482-500.
- Mistiaen, J.A., I.E. Strand, and D. Lipton. 2003. Effects of Environmental Stress on Blue Crab (Callinectes sapidus) Harvests in Chesapeake Bay Tributaries. Estuaries. Vol. 26, No. 2A, p. 316-322.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1997. NOAA's Estuarine Eutrophication Survey. Volume 2: Mid-Atlantic Region. Silver Spring, MD: Office of Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment. 51 p.
- Sheppard, G.R., R.W. Laney, T. Meyer, and R. Beal. 2005. Atlantic Striped Bass Studies: 2005 Biennial Report to Congress. National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 39 p.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2000a. Chesapeake 2000. U.S. EPA, Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Annapolis, Maryland.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2000b. Ambient Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen (Saltwater): Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras. Novermber 2000. USEPA, Office of Water. EPA-822-R-00-012. 55 p.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2003. Technical Support Document for Identification of Chesapeake Bay Designated Uses and Attainability. August 2003. U.S. EPA Region III Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Annapolis, Maryland. 205 p.
- Van der Oost, R., J. Beyer, and N.P.E. Vermeulen. 2003. Fish Bioaccumulation and Biomarkers in Environmental Risk Assessment: a Review. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology. Vol. 13. pp. 57-149.
- Weiss, N.A. 2004. Elementary Statistics. 6th Edition. Addison Wesley Publishing. ISBN # 0201771306. pp. 832.
- Welsh, S.A., A.W. Kahnle, B.A. Versak, and R.J. Latour. 2003. Use of Tag Data to Compare Growth Rates of Atlantic Coast Striped Bass Stocks. Fisheries Management and Ecology. Vol. 10. pp. 289-294.

Questions?

