8.0 Recovery Planning References

- Blakenship, A., K. Burns, G. D. Hayward, A. Kratz, J. G. Sidel, S. M. Swift-Miller, and J. Warder. 2001. Protocol defining process and procedure to develop species assessments for the Region 2 species conservation project. USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Region, Golden, Colorado Guidance document. July 30, 2001. http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/scp/ProtocolSppAssess.html
- Brigham, Christy A., Alison G. Power, Alison Hunter. 2002. Evaluating the internal consistency of Recovery Plans for Federally Endangered Species. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 648-654.
- Brosnan, D.B. 2000. Can peer review help resolve natural resource conflicts? Issues in Science and Technology online [http://www.nap.edu/issues/16.3/p_brosnan.htm], Spring 2000. The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX.
- Campbell, Steven P., J. Alan Clark, Lisa H. Crampton, Anne D. Guerry, Leila T. Hatch, Parviez R. Hosseini, Joshua J. Lawler, Raymond J. O'Connor. 2002. An assessment of monitoring efforts in endangered species recovery plans. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 674-681.
- Carroll, R., C Augspurger, A. Dobson, J. Franklin, G. Orians, W. Reid, R. Tracy, D. Wilcove, and J. Wilson. 1996. Strengthening the use of science in achieving the goals of the Endangered Species Act: an assessment by the Ecological Society of America. Ecological Applications 6:1-11.
- Clark, J. Alan. 1994. The Endangered Species Act: its history, provisions, and effectiveness. Pp. 19-43 in T.M. Clark, R.P. Reading and A.L. Clarke, eds. Endangered Species recovery: finding the lessons, improving the process. Island Press, Washington DC
- Clark, J. Alan and Erik Harvey. 2002. Assessing multi-species recovery plans under the Endangered Species Act. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 655-662.
- Clark, Tim W., Richard P. Reading, Alice L. Clarke, eds. 1994. Endangered Species Recovery: Finding lessons, improving the process. Island Press, Washington, DC
- Clark, J. A., J. M. Hoekstra, P. D. Boersma, and P. Kareiva. 2002. Improving U.S. Endangered Species Act Recovery Plans: key findings and recommendations of the SCB recovery plan project. Final report to the USFWS, Washington, DC March 2002.
- Crouse, Deborah T., Loyal A. Mehrhoff, Mary J. Parkin, Diane R. Elam, Linus Y. Chen. 2002. Endangered species recovery and the SCB study: A U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service perspective. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 719-723.
- Franklin, J.F. 1993. Preserving biodiversity: species, ecosystems, or landscapes. Ecological Applications 3: 202-205.
- FWS. 1990. Policies and guidelines for planning and coordinating recovery of endangered and threatened species. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC 14 pp. + appendices.
 ______. 1995. Pacific Islands Ecoregion Recovery Advisory Network White Paper. Region 1. 3 pp.
 ______. 1997. National Outreach Strategy: A Master Plan for Communication in the US Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington DC August 8, 1997.

| 1999. National Conservation Training Center, Endangered Species Recovery Planning (Lacey, WA). Shepherdstown, West Virginia. 167 pp. |
|--|
| 1999. South Florida Multi-Species Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, Georgia. 2172 pp. |
| 2000. Director's memorandum on pilot process to improve endangered species peer review; 21 August 2000. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC |
| . 2001. National Conservation Training Center, Endangered Species Recovery Implementation (South Padre Island, TX). Shepherdstown, West Virginia. 133 pp. |
| 2002. FWS Information Quality Guidelines. Available at: <u>Http://irm.fws.gov/infoguidelines/</u> |
| 2003. Freedom of Information Act, Policy and Responsibilities. Fish and Wildlife Service Manual, 203f1. Http://policy.fws.gov/203fw1.html |
| FWS and NMFS. 1994a. Interagency Cooperative Policy for Peer Review in Endangered Species Act Activities. Federal Register 59:34270, July 1, 1994. |
| 1994b. Interagency Cooperative Policy on Information Standards Under the Endangered Species Act . 59 FR 34271, July 1, 1994. |
| 1994c. Interagency Cooperative Policy on Recovery Plan Participation and Implementation Under the Endangered Species Act. 59 FR 34272, July 1, 1994. |
| 1994d. Interagency Cooperative Policy for the Ecosystem Approach to the Endangered Species Act. 59 FR 34273, July 1, 1994 |
| 1994e. Interagency Cooperative Policy Regarding the Role of State Agencies in Endangered Species Act Activities. 59 FR 34274, July 1, 1994. |
| 1996. Policy Regarding the Recognition of Distinct Vertebrate Populations. 61 FR 4722, February 7, 1996. |
| 1998. Endangered Species Consultation Handbook. March 1998. |
| 1999. Final Safe Harbor Policy. 64 FR 32717, June 17, 1999. |
| Gerber, Leah R. and Leila T. Hatch. 2002. Are we recovering? An evaluation of recovery criteria under the U.S. Endangered Species Act. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 668-673. |
| Gerber, L. R. and C. B. Schultz. 2001. Authorship and the use of biological information in endangered species recovery plans. Conservation Biology, V. 15, No.5, pp 1308-1314. |
| Government Accountability Office. 2006. Endangered Species: Time and Costs Required to Recover Species Are Largely Unknown. GAO-06-463R Endangered Species Recovery. |

Harvey, Erik, Jonathan M. Hoekstra, Raymond J. O' Connor, William F. Fagan. 2002. Recovery plan revisions: Progress or due process?. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 682-689.

- Hatch, Leila, M. Uriarte, Daniel Fink, Laura Aldrich-Wolfe, Richard G. Allen, Colleen Webb, Kelly Zamudio, Alison Power. 2002. Jurisdiction over Endangered Species' Habitat: the impacts of people and property on recovery planning. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 690-700.
- Hecht, A. and M.J. Parkin. 2001. Improving Peer Review of Listings and Recovery Plans under the Endangered Species Act. Conservation Biology, V. 15, No. 5: 1269-1273. October 2001.
- Hoekstra, Jonathan M., J. Alan Clark, William F. Fagan, P. Dee Boersma.. 2002. A comprehensive review of Endangered Species Act Recovery Plans. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 630-640.
- Hoekstra, Jonathan M., William F. Fagan, Jeffrey E. Bradley. 2002. A critical role for critical habitat in the recovery planning process? Not yet. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 701-707.
- Jacobson, Susan. 1999. Communication Skills for Conservation Professionals. Island Press. Washington, DC. 351 pp.
- Lawler, Joshua J., Steven P. Campbell, Anne D. Guerry, Mary Beth Kolozsvary, Raymond J. O'Connor, Lindsay C. N. Seward, 2002. The scope and treatment of threats in Endangered Species Recovery Plans. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 663-667.
- Lundquist, Carolyn J., Jennifer M. Diehl, Erik Harvey, Louis W. Botsford, 2002. Factors affecting implementation of recovery plans. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 713-718.
- McElhany, P., M. H. Ruckelshaus, M. J. Ford, T. C. Wainwright, and E. P. Bjorkstedt. 2000. Viable salmonid populations and the recovery of evolutionarily significant units. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-42,156 pp.
- Meffe G.K., P.D. Boersma, D.D. Murphy, B.R. Noon, H.R. Pulliam, M.E. Soulé, and D.M. Waller. 1998. Independent scientific review in natural resource management. Conservation Biology 12:268-270.
- Miller, Brian, Richard Reading, Courtney Conway, Jerome A. Jackson, Michael Hutchins, Noel Snyder, Steve Forrest, Jack Frazier, and Scott Derrickson. 1994. A Model for Improving Endangered Species Recovery Programs. Environmental Management V. 18, No. 5, pp. 637-645.
- Morris, William F., Philip L. Bloch, Brian R. Hudgens, Leonie C. Moyle, John R. Stinchcombe, 2002. Population viability analysis in endangered species recovery plans Past use and future improvements. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 708-712.
- National Marine Fisheries Service. 1992. Recovery Planning Guidelines. 8 pp.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2002. NOAA Information Quality Guidelines. Available at http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories/iq.htm.
- National Research Council. 1995. Science and the Endangered Species Act. National Academy Press, Washington, DC
- The Nature Conservancy 2000. The Five-S Framework for Site Conservation: A practitioner's handbook for site conservation planning and measuring conservation success. The Nature Conservancy, Arlington, VA. http://www.consci.org/scp/

- Shaffer, Mark and Bruce Stein. 2000. Safeguarding our Precious Heritage. Chapter 11 in Stein, B.A., L.S. Kutner, J.S. Adams, editors. Precious Heritage: The Status of Biodiversity in the United States. Oxford University Press. New York.
- Schemske, D.W., B.C. Husband, M.H. Rockelhows, C. Goodwillie, I.M. Parker, and J.G. Bishop. 1994. Evaluating approaches to the conservation of rare and endangered plants. Ecology 75: 584-606.
- Schultz, Cheryl B. and Leah R. Gerber. 2002. Are Recovery Plans Improving with practice?. Ecological Applications V. 12, No.3, pp. 641-647.
- Simberloff, D. 1997. Flagships, umbrellas, and keystones: is single-species management passe in the landscape era? Biological Conservation 83:247-257.
- U.S. Department of the Interior and U.S. Department of Commerce. 1997. Secretarial Order: American Indian Tribal Rights, Federal Tribal Trust Responsibilities, and the Endangered Species Act.