By Richard Thompson and Rich Hillelson\*

Data for Tax Year 1980 reveal that of the 93.9 million individual income tax returns filed, 4.7 million claimed the residential energy credit. An overwhelming majority, 4.6 million, claimed the credit as a result of their expenditures on energy conservation items such as insulation and storm windows, while only 155,000 claimed the credit in connection with expenditures for solar, geothermal, or wind energy producing devices. These taxpayers reported spending \$3.2 billion on the energy conservation items and \$448 million on the alternative sources of energy and, consequently, were able to reduce their income tax liability by \$562 million.

## EXPLANATION OF RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CREDIT

In order to reduce energy consumption and to encourage the development and use of alternative energy sources, a residential energy credit was provided for by the Energy Tax Act of 1978. The credit is composed of two separate parts, one based on qualified "energy conservation expenditures," and the other on qualified "renewable energy source expenditures," with different requirements for each type of credit. The entire residential energy credit is available for qualified items installed in or on the taxpayer's principal residence from April 20, 1977, through December 31, 1985. However, the credit could not be claimed for any taxable year beginning before January 1, 1978. Therefore, it was first available for use on 1978 tax returns and covered the 20-month period from April 20, 1977 through December 31, 1978. Also, if the amount of the credit for a given year exceeds the taxpayer's income tax, it can be carried over to subsequent years through 1987.

## Energy Conservation Credit

The credit for energy conservation property is 15 percent of expenditures, including original installation costs, with a maximum expenditure of \$2,000 and, consequently, a maximum credit of \$300 per residence over the entire period the credit is to be in effect. The credit is available for each dwelling unit used by the taxpayer as a principal residence; however, the construction of the residence had to be substantially completed before April 20, 1977, in order for the energy conservation expenditures to qualify. In addition, the taxpayer has to be the first person to use the property installed and that property has to be expected to remain in use for at least 3 years. Energy conservation property consists of insulation, storm windows and doors, caulking and weatherstrip-ping, and certain other items such as an automatic energy-saving setback thermostat.

#### Renewable Energy Source Credit

The second component of the residential energy credit is the credit for renewable energy source property. This refers to any item which uses a solar, geothermal, or wind source to produce energy. For 1978 and 1979, the credit for renewable energy source property was 30 percent of the first \$2,000 and 20 percent of the next \$8,000 of expenditures, including labor costs for on-site preparation, assembly, or original installation. For 1980, the credit was 40 percent of the first \$10,000 of expenditures. Over the entire period that the credit is to be in effect, the maximum amount of qualifying expenditures is \$10,000 and the maximum credit varies from a low of \$2,200 if all qualifying expenditures were made prior to January 1, 1980, to a high of \$4,000 if all such expenditures were made on or after January 1, 1980. These maximums apply to each principal residence owned by the taxpayer during the time period that the credit is in effect.

In contrast to the credit for energy conservation property, the renewable energy source credit is available for items installed on both existing and newly constructed principal residences. The taxpayer has to be the first person to use the property and it has to be expected to remain in use for at least 5 years.

## ADDITIONAL 1980 DATA

A closer look at the \$3.2 billion of conservation expenditures shows that the largest amount, \$1.5 billion, went for storm windows and doors while \$1.2 billion was spent on insulation. The third specific item for which a separate total was tabulated was for caulking expenses, which amounted to only \$84 million. The "other" category of expenditures totaled \$444 million and was composed of expenses for devices such as automatic setback thermostats and certain furnace replacement burners.

For 1980, the other component of the residential energy credit, the renewable energy source credit, accounted for total expenditures of \$448 million. Taxpayers spent \$399 million on the acquisition and installation of solar energy property, while only \$27 million went for wind energy items, and \$21 million was used for geothermal energy sources. In terms of number of returns, the utilization of the renewable energy source credit was quite low, with only 137,000 returns reporting expenditures for solar energy, while 11,000 claimed expenses for wind energy and 7,000 showed outlays for geothermal energy. Figure A shows the distribution for both the energy conservation and the expenditures renewable energy source expenditures.

<sup>\*</sup>Individual Returns Analysis Section. Prepared under the direction of Noreen Hoffmeier, Acting Chief. Technical assistance provided by June Walters.



### State Data

Figure B shows, by State, for 1980, returns with a residential energy credit as a percent of all returns. While the data for the northeastern States support the expectation that these States would utilize the credit to a greater extent than those in warmer climates, the data for the rest of the country are inconclusive. For example, a higher percentage of taxpayers in Alabama claimed the credit than did those in the surrounding States of Tennessee, Georgia, Florida, and Mississippi. A possible explanation is that, of these five States, only Alabama has either a State income tax credit or deduction for energy expenditures. This provides the residents of Alabama with an additional incentive to make expenditures related to saving energy.

The following comparison of the States with the highest and lowest rates of energy credit "participation" (percent of returns with a residential energy credit) shows no significant difference in the size of the average credit, with the exception of Hawaii, which shows an average credit over six times as large as that of the next highest State, California. This situation is explained by the fact that, for Hawaii, 98 percent of the total residential energy credit is attributable to the renewable energy source category which is based on solar, geothermal, and wind sources. This "renewable" part can amount to as much as \$4,000 per residence, whereas the energy conservation category (insulation, storm windows, and similar items) is limited to a maximum of \$300 per residence.

ligh Participation States	Average Credit
Minnesota Massachusetts Connecticut Utah	\$82 \$168 \$140 \$89
ow Participation States	· .
California	\$226 \$168

riuiiua	•	• •	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	• •	• •		•		٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	•	• •	٠	٠	٠		Þ٦	LC	20	j.
Hawaii	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	• •				•	•		•	•	\$	1	, 3	59	2	2

# Characteristics of Energy Credit Returns

Table 2 contains a profile of those taxpayers who reported residential energy credit expenditures for 1980. The data below have been derived from that table and offer a comparison between returns filed by taxpayers with such expenditures and all returns filed. Returns with energy expenditures have an average adjusted gross income that is approximately 77 percent higher than the average for all returns. This is not surprising since one would expect a strong correlation between higher incomes, home ownership, and the use of the energy credit. The slightly higher average amounts, on returns with energy expenditures, for total itemized deductions and real estate taxes tend to reinforce this observation. However, this contention seems to be contradicted by the fact that returns with energy expenditures show a lower average home mortgage interest deduction than that claimed for

2



all returns. This may be explained by the stipulation in the Energy Jax Act of 1978 that only residences substantially completed before April 20, 1977, qualify for the energy conservation part of the credit. As a result, the lower purchase prices and mortgage rates generally associated with these houses would result in a smaller home mortgage interest deduction on the average.

	All <u>Returns</u>	Returns with Energy Credit Expenditures	
	<u>(average</u>	amounts for 1980)	
Adjusted Gross Income Total Itemized Deductions Real Estate Taxes Home Mortgage Interest	\$17,185 7,531 866 2,653	\$30,495 7,779 976 2,494	

# 1978-1980 PERSPECTIVE

The residential energy credit has now been available to taxpayers for 3 years. This brief history provides an opportunity to examine the data for developments and trends. In doing so, it is important to recognize the effect of the maximum expenditure and credit amounts discussed earlier. For both the energy conservation credit and the renewable energy source credit, these maximums apply to each principal residence occupied by the taxpayer. This means that once the taxpayer has claimed the maximum amount allowable for a particular residence, the only way to claim additional amounts is to occupy a new principal residence.

## Energy Credit Trends

In the case of the energy conservation credit, the maximum claimable amount, coupled with the requirement that the residence have been built before April 20, 1977 (which creates a fixed supply of eligible houses) has caused a predictable decline in the number of returns with an energy conservation credit, and a corresponding drop in the amount of the credit claimed over the first 3 years of the credit. It should also be noted that the data for 1978 include amounts claimed for the 20-month period from April 20, 1977 through December 31, 1978.

#### Energy Conservation Credit

•	Number of Returns. (millions)		Credit Amount (millions)
1978	5.9		\$559
1979	4.8		437
1980	4.6	•	419 .

While the 3-year trend for the energy conservation credit has been downward, the opposite is true for the renewable energy source credit shown below. Between 1978 and 1980, the number of returns claiming this part of the residential energy credit has more than doubled, while the amount of the "renewable" credithas increased fivefold. One factor which has encouraged this growth is that all residences are eligible for the "renewable" credit, whereas for the conservation credit, only those constructed before April 20, 1977 qualify. Also, beginning with 1980, the credit amounted to 40 percent of the first \$10,000 of qualified expenditures whereas for 1978 and 1979 it was 30 percent of the first \$2,000 of expenditures. However, it is important to note the extremely small base on which this increase has occurred. The very low number of returns claiming the "renewable" credit, compared to the conservation credit, is probably due to the relatively high cost involved in installing solar, geothermal, and wind equipment.

#### Renewable Energy Source Credit

Number of	Returns	Credit Amount
(thous	ands)	(millions)
1978 1979	69 77	32 44 166

With the frequency and amount of the energy conservation credit declining while the relatively smaller renewable energy source credit frequency and amount are increasing, it is of interest to look at the net effect on the total residential energy credit. The combined data below show that the number of returns declined considerably from 1978 to 1979 and then only slightly for 1980. The amount of the residential energy credit fell substantially from 1978 to 1979 and then, for 1980, rebounded to almost the 1978 level due to the effect of the increase in the renewable energy source credit to 40 percent for 1980 (explained above).

Resi	Ident	tial	Energy	Credit
(	(bef	ore (	limitat	ion)

f Returns	Credit Amount
ons)	(millions)
6.0	592
4.8	481
4.7	584
	f Returns ons) 6.0 4.8 4.7

## Energy Expenditure Trends

The above information compares the utilization of the energy conservation credit versus the renewable energy source credit from the perspective of the residential energy credit amount which serves as a direct reduction of the taxpayer's tax liability. It is also informative to make this comparison at the beginning of the process, that is, by examining the actual expenditures made by the taxpayer for energy related goods and services which qualify for the credit. The data below show the 3-year distribution of the amounts spent for the various categories of energy conservation and renewable energy source items.

In the area of energy conservation, the expenditures for insulation showed the largest and most consistent decline over the period while those for storm windows and doors showed a similar decline from 1978 to 1979 and then increased very slightly for 1980. The categories of "caulking" and "other" generallyremained constant over the 3-year period with only slight rises for 1979 before returning to their 1978 levels.

A look at the expenditures for renewable energy sources shows quite a different picture. All three categories (solar, geothermal, and wind) rose dramatically from 1978 to 1980, although it must be pointed out that they started at much lower levels than the various energy conservation items. Expenditures for solar energy more than tripled from \$120.3 million to \$399 million. Geothermal expenses increased 7 times from \$3.1 million to \$21.2 million. The final renewable item, wind energy, increased by a factor of 17, from \$1.6 million to \$27.4 million. It remains to be seen whether these increases will continue or if they are only temporary rises in a market limited by very high initial expenditure requirements.

•		Energy Exp (millic	penditures	
<u>Categ</u> Energ	ory y Conservation	<u>78</u>	<u>1979</u>	<u>1980</u>
Insul Storm Caulk Other	ation 1,76 Windows/Doors1,79 ing 8	50 97 99 54	1,332 1,403 100 467	1,218 1,455 84 444
Tot	al4,10	1	3,302	3,200
Renew	able Sources			
Solar Geoth Wind	ermal 120	).3 5.1 .6	171.2 9.7 9.4	399.0 21.2 27.4
Tot	al 125	.0	190.3	447.6

	Number of retu	rns with resid	ential energy			Ene	rgy conservati	on expenditure	enditures											
Size of adjusted gross income	expenditu carryover	res (including from previous	credit years)		Total															
	1079	1070	1000	197	8	. 197	9	198	0	19	78									
	1976	1973	1980	Number of returns	amber of Amount		Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount									
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)									
Total	5,960,618	4,911,119	4,787,883	5,919,841	4,100,680	4,781,772	3,302,364	4,600,985	3,200,379	3,926,755	1,759,849									
Under \$5,000 \$5,000 under \$10,000 \$10,000 under \$15,000 \$15,000 under \$215,000	56,955 441,635 647,208 1,124,107	62,778 313,926 542,141 761,780	55,865 290,414 440,217 601,243	56,913 440,706 643,233 1,121,268	43,268 279,357 414,912 697,154	54,933 293,750 524,677 749,281	39,897 206,906 347,375 497,881	44,239 259,515 422,483 584,619	38,386 170,497 274,803 363,273	36,815 290,391 414,938 756,562	20,068 124,678 169,433 308,263									
\$20,000 under \$25,000 \$25,000 under \$30,000 \$30,000 under \$40,000 \$40,000 under \$40,000	1,288,343 888,006 921,292 299,412	857,505 790,869 931,451 315,940	751,044 720,849 1,021,985 466,691	1,280,605 882,781 908,915 295,523	872,765 581,142 683,786 228,772	835,237 776,303 911,984 311,960	509,719 517,945 649,735 234,465	730,493 697,899 991,188 453,007	488,879 462,724 663,520 340,230	878,443 586,280 599,408 188,730	379,896 245,155 292,297 96,606									
550,000 under \$75,000 375,000 under \$100,000. 100,000 under \$200,000 \$200,000 or more	194,435 51,998 39,724 7,503	220,502 58,242 46,626 9,359	296,444 76,440 54,950 11,741	192,645 51,111 38,834 7,307	182,155 53,994 51,301 12,074	214,179 55,715 44,739 9,014	176,594 54,528 53,013 14,306	283,463 71,617 51,668 10,794	244,204 76,937 59,206 17,721	118,971 28,804 23,299 4,114	75,293 22,117 20,945 5,098									
· · · ·		Energy conversation expendituresContinued																		

.

## Table 1.--Returns With Residential Energy Expenditures by Size of Adjusted Gross Income, 1978, 1979, and 1980

[All figures are estimates based on samples -- money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

				Energy c	onversation ex	pendituresCo	ntinued			
		Insulation-	-Continued				Storm window	s or doors		
Size of adjusted gross income	19	79	198	0	19	78	19	79	19	80
	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount
	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
Total	2,898,338	1,331,718	2,701,148	1,217,612	3,357,583	1,797,326	2,543,590	1,403,014	2,460,285	1,455,357
Under \$5,000. \$5,000 under \$10,000. \$10,000 under \$15,000. \$15,000 under \$20,000	34,917 172,943 318,322 463,361	19,869 105,698 131,186 208,714	21,189 140,867 250,911 334,072	16,317 67,362 115,140 131,257	27,325 216,954 370,114 639,094	15,209 125,283 194,252 308,178	24,963 156,170 270,020 401,702	16,643 77,114 151,212 208,817	24,322 133,067 216,835 342,781	15,814 79,723 112,068 170,229
\$20,000 under \$25,000. \$23,000 under \$30,000. \$30,000 under \$40,000. \$40,000 under \$40,000.	531,636 464,371 552,990 186,038	201,674 202,807 262,524 87,285	454,832 409,534 620,641 244,363	192,524 173,942 254,348 123,652	725,058 537,192 529,051 164,001	373,269 258,409 298,558 94,444	456,459 427,839 480,687 166,221	218,839 230,829 270,397 102,144	396,283 391,361 516,126 233,987	222,344 213,015 303,424 155,258
\$50.000 under \$75.000. \$75.000 under \$100.000. \$100.000 under \$200.000. \$200.000 or more.	116,615 28,704 23,968 4,473	63,760 21,716 21,440 5,046	156,346 37,095 25,995 5,303	88,797 26,057 21,685 6,531	101,676 25,730 18,021 3,367	80,104 21,275 22,970 5,375	108,655 27,396 19,772 3,706	76,773 22,785 21,147 6,314	141,408 34,587 24,984 4,544	112,697 34,580 28,143 8,062

Footnotes at end of table.

#### Table 1 .-- Returns With Residential Energy Expenditures by Size of Adjusted Gross Income, 1978, 1979, and 1980-- Continued

	Table 1F	All fi	gures are estin	ates based on	samplesmoney	amounts are	in thousands of	dollars]				
		Energy c	onservation exp	endituresCor	ntinued		ľ	Renewa	ble energy sou	irce expenditur	es	
	· · · ·		Caulk	Ing			1978		197	9	1980	1
Size or adjusted gross income	1978	3	1979		198	10						
	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount
	(22)	(23)	. (24)	, (25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	(31)	(32)	(33)
Total	1,565,525	89,435	1,352,636	100,365	1,225,054	. 83,711	69,341	125,039	76,555	190,283	155,269	447,558
Under \$5,000 \$5,000 under \$10,000 \$10,000 under \$15,000 \$15,000 under \$20,000	15,496 106,250 116,309 282,693	593 4,847 6,225 15,650	7,304 57,053 118,966 222,410	504 6,262 20,333 12,008	2,982 37,011 97,734 161,335	292 2,451 13,694 9,424	*147 *4,209 4,952 6,325	*272 *1,557 12,039 9,275	878 4,823 8,711 8,177	1,742 6,591 6,610 13,912	1,471 . 10,693 6,149 14,992	6,558 28,099 13,992 39,904
\$20,000 under \$25,000 \$25,000 under \$30,000 \$30,000 under \$40,000 \$40,000 under \$50,000	378,486 285,268 253,847 79,333	18,142 16,671 14,709 6,372	254,911 255,736 275,148 - 94,380	18,090 14,047 15,185 5,870	200,901 214,154 286,057 132,358	9,708 10,971 14,405 9,756	15,083 9,641 16,378 6,457	24,067 8,494 28,010 18,622	10,066 9,179 16,512 5,675	17,668 43,901 43,196 15,632	17,371 25,031 31,758 20,252	31,415 61,776 103,764 50,887
\$50,000 under \$75,000 \$75,000 under \$100,000 \$100,000 under \$200,000 \$200,000 or more	37,797 5,844 3,649 553	4,258 639 1,086 243	50,911 9,956 <sup>!</sup> 5,070 791	4,385 1,975 1,350 354	70,069 14,798 6,387 1,268	7,698 2,403 2,122 788	2,864 1,742 1,275 268	6,583 9,842 4,854 1,422	7,906 2,286 1,962 380	23,550 6,900 8,681 1,901	16,325 5,758 4,254 1,215	29,200 19,095 7,232
	,	•	Res	idential energ	y credit carry	over	1	Total resid	ential energy	credit (before	limitation)	
Size of adjusted	gross income		From 1978 1979 r	(shown on eturns)	From 1979 1980 r	(shown on eturns)	, 19	78	19	79 .	. 19	30
· · ·		۰.	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount
		<u> </u>	(34)	(35)	• (36)	(37)	(38)	(39)	(40)	(41)	(42)	(43)
Total			105,303	18,369	118,244	20,192	5,960,618	591,509	4,911,119	498,967	4,781,708	. 604,624
Under \$5,000 \$5,000 under \$10,000 \$10,000 under \$15,000 \$15,000 under \$20,000			8,682 21,889 20,639 12,442	1,604 2,486 3,034 2,156	11,472 33,946 15,703 - 15,067	1,519 3,906 2,558 3,685	56,955 441,635 647,208 1,124,107	5,553 38,465 61,396 99,627	62,778 313,926 542,141 761,780	7,076 33,575 50,360 73,591	55,845 290,414 440,217 601,151	8,886 37,942 45,869 68,850
\$20,000 under \$25,000 \$25,000 under \$30,000 \$30,000 under \$40,000 \$40,000 under \$50,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		14,913 11,892 9,501 1,770	3,474 1,853 2,492 415	14,431 9,332 12,213 2,296	2,680 1,457 2,245 1,192	1,288,343 888,006 921,292 299,412	126,796 82,851 98,409 36,254	857,505 790,869 931,451 315,940	78,163 77,549 97,145 34,958	749,438 720,844 1,020,722 465,048	78,987 85,776 128,848 64,159
\$50,000 under \$75,000 \$75,000 under \$100,000 \$100,000 under \$200,000 \$200,000 or more			2,058 950 497 70	498 172 169 17	2,338 *503 754 189	657 *83 149 62	194,435 51,998 39,724 7,503	24,765 9,046 6,816 1,532	220,502 58,242 46,626 9,359	28,569 8,301 7,878 . 1,801	295,654 76,435 54,386 11,554	49,867 18,312 13,282 3,846

\*Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample returns on which it is based. NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding. Residential Energy Credit, 1978-1980

# Residential Energy Credit, 1978-1980

# Table 2.--Selected Income, Deductions, and Tax Items on Returns With Residential Energy Expenditures, 1980

[All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

<u>محمد المراجعة المراجعة المحمد الم</u>										
·	413			Re	turns with res	idential energ	y expenditures	received	Itemized de	ductions
	All individual	Number of	Number of	Adjusted	Salaries a	nd wages	Interest	received	Tota	auccions
Size of adjusted gross income	income tax returns	returns	principle residences	gross income	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Total	93,902,469	4,787,883	4,793,461	146,004,597	4,310,128	121,244,206	3,914,257	8,286,028	3,578,248	27,836,940
Under \$5,000	20,055,529	55,865	55,865	-250,493	22,469	175,130	45,593	97,516	5,363	26,645
\$5,000 under \$10,000	18,370,997 14,303,041	290,414 440,217	290,414 440,243	2,311,241 5,518,271	192,649 340,387	1,264,606 3,936,655	230,105	731,851	208,596	984,662
\$15,000 under \$20,000	11,097,733	601,243	601,243	10,580,396	527,852	8,294,469 14,840,909	454,651	834,963	560,563	3,304,807
\$20,000 under \$25,000 \$25,000 under \$30,000	9,158,521	720,849	724,524	19,703,959	688,453	17,707,764	554,086 890,627	776,580 1,355,288	586,528 904,541	3,680,089 6,585,134
\$30,000 under \$40,000 \$40,000 under \$50,000	7,950,952 3,053,039	466,691	468,389	20,640,421	447,183	18,160,464	421,291	859,480	443,595	4,031,662
\$50,000 under \$75,000	2,033,079	296,444 76,440	296,593 76,466	17,441,201 6,525,421	274,299 67,078	13,929,423 4,606,686	283,253 73,630	1,048,697 435,750	286,549 74,961	1,285,554
\$100,000 under \$200,000	443,514 117,250	54,950 11,741	54,950 11,745	7,143,076 4,273,209	47,455 9,718	4,769,687 1,982,315	53,343 11,503	518,144 295,711	54,557	1,411,383
				Returns with r	esidential ene	rgy expenditur				
			It	emized deduct	onsContinued	1			Exempt	ions
Size of adjusted gross income		Interest pai	id deduction			Taxes paid	deduction			
	То	tal	Home mortgag	ge interest	Tot	al '	Real esta	te taxes	Total	Taxpayer
	Number of	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount		
	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
Total	3,424,707	11,183,856	3,072,404	7,661,939	3,577,995	10,027,364	3,470,670	3,386,422	15,606,180	8,852,107
Under \$5,000	3,383	10,317	2,506	5,496 125,101	5,363 77,410	6,634 78,398	2,731 76,125	1,883 48,622	157,035 727,598	82,999 459,234
\$5,000 under \$10,000 \$10,000 under \$15,000	190,471	425,086	169,599	310,343	208,596	269,799 559,161	201,257 347,036	138,684 240,047	1,202,169 1,812,723	722,955 1,041,886
\$15,000 under \$20,000	543,897	1,443,403	489,092	1,025,259	560,310	1,061,546	542,063	419,153	2,483,077	1,388,140
\$25,000 under \$30,000	573,022 880,741	1,593,957 2,709,176	515,463 803,120	1,125,259 1,926,585	586,528 904,541	1,292,685 2,399,623	566,045 876,161	802,180	3,560,417	1,993,533
\$40,000 under \$50,000	425,305	1,576,356	374,060	1,111,750	443,595	1,573,111	438,567	423,846	1,020,347	581,867
\$50,000 under \$75,000 \$75,000 under \$100,000	269,286	1,233,436	58,377	270,799	74,961	520,382	73,946	142,141 133.095	287,601 210,259	149,621 107,379
\$100,000 under \$200,000 \$200,000 or more	50,588	470,771 226,110	40,849	59,972	11,668	340,736	11,422	47,217	43,828	22,851
					Returns with	residential en	ergy expenditur	resContinued		
Size of adjusted gro	oss income		Exemptions	Continued	Taxable Number of	income	Income tax be Number of	efore credits	Number of	Amount
			or over	Dependents	returns	Amount	returns	(26)	returns (27)	(28)
			(21)	6 053 364	(23)	114,743,168	4,714,869	25,813,488	4,710,136	1,089,622
Total			17,490	56,546	31,904	76,556	19,653	2,703	19,653	1,504
\$5,000 under \$10,000			163,142	105,173	287,089 438,797	1,467,655 3,932,784	265,716 434,628	103,298 447,658	265,716 434,628	28,740 65,031
\$10,000 under \$15,000 \$15,000 under \$20,000			115,170	655,667	600,114	7,993,129	599,549	1,110,755	599,549	96,859
\$20,000 under \$25,000 \$25,000 under \$30,000			55,621 59,621	1,038,270	749,377 720,827	12,959,746	720,826	2,688,022	720,826	138,921
\$30,000 under \$40,000 \$40,000 under \$50,000			53,638	685,788	466,254	16,475,199	465,964	3,891,630	464,350	108,122
\$50,000 under \$75,000			26,050 10,024	431,765	295,944 76,392	13,975,909 5,207,928	295,944 76,289	3,970,378 1,818,171	295,785	55,874
\$100,000 under \$200,000			9,764 3,547	93,014 17,426	54,925 11,712	5,704,927 3,383,236	54,925 11,712	2,348,904 1,782,233	54,840	50,057
			<u></u>			Returns with	residential en	ergy expenditu	resContinued	
Size	of adjusted gro	oss income			Residential used to offs before	energy credit et income tax credits	Income tax a	fter credits	Total in	come tax
					Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount	Number of returns	Amount
•	· · · <del>· · · · · · ·</del>				(29)	(30)	(31)	(32)	(33)	(34)
Total				4,669,675	562,141	4,594,070	24,723,866	4,601,056	24,821,963	
Under \$5,000 \$5,000 under \$10,000 \$10,000 under \$15,000 \$15,000 under \$20,000		19,069 254,924 427,716 595,064	1,316 22,328 41,015 65,367	10,141 206,843 413,607 589,452	1,198 74,558 382,628 1,013,896	10,384 206,927 413,691 590,070	5,041 74,770 383,622 1,015,431			
\$20,000 under \$25,000 \$25,000 under \$30,000 \$30,000 under \$40,000	220,000 under \$25,000							1,904,802 2,549,101 5,404,060 3,783,507	741,349 715,978 1,018,608 465,465	1,905,614 2,550,460 5,407,48 3,785,93
\$40,000 under \$50,000 \$50,000 under \$75,000 \$75,000 under \$100,000 \$100,000 under \$200,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				294,207 76,200 54,150	48,351	294,950 76,076 54,705	3,843,912 1,762,297 2,271,730	295,608 76,297 54,941	3,854,72 1,768,96 2,300,47 1,769,44
\$200,000 or more					11,510	2 3,814	1,698	1 1,723,176	11,738	1,,,,,,,,

NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

.

# DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

These statistics are based on a sample of individual income tax returns, Forms 1040, for each of the Tax Years 1978, 1979, and 1980. Returns in each year's sample were stratified based on the presence or absence of Schedule C, Profit (or Loss) from Business or Profession; State in which filed; adjusted gross income or deficit, or largest selected source of income or loss; and size of business plus farm receipts. The 1978 returns were selected at rates that ranged from .02 percent to 100 percent and the 1979 and 1980 returns from 0.05 percent to 100 percent. For 1980, there were 171,508 returns in the sample, from a total population of 93,902,469 returns. For 1979, the sample size was 203,536 returns and the population was 92,694,302 returns. For 1978, there were 157,518 returns in the sample and 89,771,551 in the population.

## Coefficient of Variation

As the data presented in this article are estimates based upon a sample of documents filed with the Internal Revenue Service, they are subject to sampling, as well as nonsampling, errors. To properly use the statistical data provided, the magnitude of the sampling errors must be known. The table below presents approximated coefficients of variation (CV's) for frequency estimates. The approximate CV's shown here are intended only as a general indication of the reliability of the data. For numbers of returns other than those shown below, the corresponding CV's can be estimated by interpolation.

The reliability of estimates based on samples, and the use of coefficients of variation for evaluating the precision of sample estimates are discussed in Appendix II.

1980	Approximated
<u>Number of Returns</u>	<u>Coefficient of Variation</u>
5,049,200	.02
807,900	.05
202,000	.10
50,500	.20
22,400	.30
8,100	.50