SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR CONSULAR SERVICES—Continued

Item No.	Fee
(c) Swearing in witnesses for telephone depositions [55—TELEPHONE OATH]	\$235.00. \$235 per hour plus expenses. \$60.00.
service is performed: (a) At the direct request of any Federal Government agency, any State or local government, the District of Columbia, or any of the territories or possessions of the United States (unless significant time required and/or expenses would be incurred) [58—JUDICIAL EXEMPT].	No fee.
(b) Executing commissions to take testimony in connection with foreign documents for use in criminal cases when the commission is accompanied by an order of Federal court on behalf of an indigent party [59—INDI- GENT TEST]. (Items no. 54 through 60 vacant.)	No fee.
Services Relating to Vessels and Seamen	
61. Shipping and Seaman's services: Including but not limited to, recording a bill of sale of a vessel purchased	
abroad, renewal of a marine radio license, and issuance of certificate of American ownership:	
[61—SHIPPING BILL OF SALE]	Consular time (item 75)
[62—SHIPPING RADIO LISC]	plus expenses. Consular time (item 75) plus expenses.
[63—SHIPPING CERT AM OWN]	Consular time (item 75) plus expenses.
[64—SHIPPING MISC]	Consular time (item 75) plus expenses.
(Item nos. 62 through 70 vacant.)	
Administrative Services	
71. Non-emergency telephone calls [71—TOLL CALL COST] [72—TOLL COST SURCHARGE]	Long distance charge
72. Setting up and maintaining a trust account: For 1 year or less to transfer funds to or for the benefit of a U.S. citizen in need in a foreign country [73—OCS TRUST].	plus \$10. \$30.
73. Transportation charges incurred in the performance of fee and no-fee services when appropriate and necessary [74—TRANSPORTATION].	Expenses incurred.
74. Return check processing fee [75—RETURN CHECK]	\$25.
75. Consular time charges: As required by this schedule and for fee services performed away from the office or during after-duty hours (per hour or part thereof/per consular employee) [76—CONSULAR TIME].	\$235.
76. Photocopies (per page) [77—PHOTOCOPY]	\$1.

PART 51—[AMENDED]

3. The authority citations for part 51 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 22 U.S.C. 211a; 213, 2651a; 2671(d)(3), 2714 and 3926; 31 U.S.C. 9701; E.O. 11295, 3 CFR, 1966–1970 Comp., p. 570; sec. 236, Pub. L. 106–113, 113 Stat. 1501A–430; 18 U.S.C.1621(a)(2).

4. Sec. 51.61 is revised to read as follows:

§ 51.61 Passport fees.

Fees, including execution fees, shall be collected for the following passport services in the amounts prescribed in the Schedule of Fees for Consular Services (22 CFR 22.1):

(a) A fee for each passport application filed, which fee shall vary depending on the age of the applicant. The passport application fee shall be paid by all applicants at the time of application, except as provided in § 51.62(a), and is not refundable, except as provided in § 51.63. A person who is denied a passport may request that the

application be reconsidered without payment of an additional fee upon the submission, within 90 days after the date of the denial, of documentation not previously presented that is sufficient to establish citizenship or entitlement to a passport.

(b) A fee for execution of the passport application, except as provided in § 51.62 (b), when the applicant is required to execute the application in person before a person authorized to administer oaths for passport purposes. This fee shall be collected as part of the passport application fee at the time of application and is not refundable (see § 51.65). When execution services are provided by an official of a state or local government or of the United States Postal Service, the fee may be retained by that entity to cover the costs of service pursuant to an appropriate agreement with the Department of State.

(c) A fee for expedited services, if any, provided pursuant to § 51.66.

Dated: February 1, 2002.

Grant S. Green,

Under Secretary of State for Management, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 02–6863 Filed 3–27–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

30 CFR Part 250

RIN 1010-AC85

Oil and Gas and Sulphur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf—Fixed and Floating Platforms and Documents Incorporated by Reference

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

ACTION: Extension of comment period

for proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document extends to May 28, 2002, the previous deadline of

March 27, 2002, for submitting comments on the proposed rule published December 27, 2001 (66 FR 66851) that addresses fixed and floating offshore platforms and floating production systems (FPSs). It replaces the previous extension of the comment period to March 27, 2002, that was issued on February 12, 2002 (67 FR 6453).

DATES: We will consider all comments received by May 28, 2002, and we may not fully consider comments received after May 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail or hand-carry written comments (three copies) to the Department of the Interior; Minerals Management Service; 381 Elden Street; Mail Stop 4024; Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817; Attention: Rules Processing Team.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl Anderson, Engineering and Operations Division, at (703) 787–1608.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: MMS was asked to extend the deadline for submitting comments on the proposed regulations revising 30 CFR 250, subparts A, I, and J to incorporate by reference new documents governing fixed and floating platforms and new riser, stationkeeping, and pipeline technology. The request was based on the considerations that FPSs previously have not been directly addressed in 30 CFR 250 and that issues related to increasing the use of FPSs on the Outer Continental Shelf are complex. MMS agrees that more time is appropriate to ensure that all of the issues in this area are fully addressed.

The FPSs are variously described as column-stabilized units (CSUs); floating production, storage and offloading facilities (referred to by industry as "FPSOs"); tension-leg platforms (TLPs); spars, etc. We are also incorporating into our regulations a body of industry standards pertaining to platforms and FPSs that will save the public the costs of developing separate and, in some cases, unnecessarily duplicative government standards.

Public Comments Procedures:

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the rulemaking record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or

address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: February 26, 2002.

Michael C. Hunt,

Acting Associate Director for, Offshore Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 02–7588 Filed 3–26–02; 11:50 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MR–W

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. NHTSA-02-11876]

Public Meeting on Motorcoach Safety Improvements

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of public meeting; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that NHTSA will be holding a public meeting regarding improvements in passenger crash protection regulations for motorcoaches. Because Canada shares a common interest in the safety of passengers that ride in motorcoaches, this meeting is being held jointly in cooperation with Transport Canada. This notice invites persons to make presentations and submit written comments on the same subject.

NHTSA and Transport Canada recognize that the occupant protection issues for motorcoaches differ significantly from those of passenger cars and trucks. Safety countermeasures that are cost effective for passenger vehicles may not necessarily be as effective in motorcoaches, particularity given travel comfort expectations associated with long distance travel by motorcoach. Therefore, it was decided to hold this public meeting to hear the views and comments from manufacturers, operators, users, and the public at large in order to be better informed of their specific needs, and to help us determine whether improvements in motorcoach passenger crash protection standards are warranted.

DATES: Public Meeting: NHTSA will hold a public meeting in Washington,

DC on April 30, 2002, from 9:30 am until 5 pm at the below listed address.

Written Comments: Written requests to speak at the public meeting, comments to be submitted for the public record, and suggestions for items to be included in the meeting agenda, should be received at Docket Management at the below address no later than April 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Public Meeting: The public meeting will be held at the National Transportation Safety Board's meeting room at 429 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC.

Written Comments: Submit written comments to the DOT Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, PL 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590– 0001.

Comments should refer to the Docket Number (NHTSA-02-11876) and two copies should be submitted. If you wish to receive confirmation of receipt of your written comments, include a self-addressed, stamped postcard.

Comments may also be submitted to the docket electronically by logging onto the DOT Docket Management System Web site at http://dms.dot.gov. Click on "Help & Information" to obtain instructions for filing the comment electronically. In every case, the comment should refer to the Docket Number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Hott, Office of Crashworthiness Standards, NPS–12, NHTSA, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone 202–366–0247, Fax: 202–493–2739).

Crash Statistics

Historically, motorcoaches (intercity buses) have been a relatively safe mode of transportation with about 10 fatalities per year (9 passengers and 1 driver). However, in severe crashes and rollovers, motorcoach passengers may have not been provided sufficient crash protection against ejection from the motorcoach. Data from the Fatality Analysis Reporting Systems supplemented by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) was used to obtain the following information about motorcoach fatalities. As shown in Table 1, during the period of 1991 through 2000, there were 48 motorcoach crashes resulting in 101 motorcoach fatalities (16 drivers and 85 passengers). Of the 16 driver fatalities, 12 percent (2) were ejected from the bus and 88 percent (12) were not ejected. Of the 85 passenger fatalities, 55 percent (47) were ejected from the bus and 45 percent (37)