## NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

04/18/2002 Madeleine Clayton Departmental Forms Clearance Officer Office of the Chief Information Officer 14th and Constitution Ave. NW. Room 6086 Washington, DC 20230

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken the following action on your request for the extension of approval of an information collection received on 02/28/2002.

TITLE: Northeast Region Raised Footrope Trawal Exempted Fishery

AGENCY FORM NUMBER(S): None

**ACTION: APPROVED WITHOUT CHANGE** 

OMB NO.: 0648-0422

EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2005

BURDEN	RESPONSES	<b>BURDEN HOURS</b>	<b>BURDEN COSTS</b>
Previous	6,912	230	2
New	6,912	230	2
Difference	0	0	0
Program Cha	nge	0	0
Adjustment		0	0

TERMS OF CLEARANCE: None

OMB Authorizing Official Title

Donald R. Arbuckle Deputy Administrator, Office of

Information and Regulatory Affairs

## PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's

Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the supporting statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503. 1. Agency/Subagency originating request 2. OMB control number b. [ ] None 3. Type of information collection (*check one*) Type of review requested (check one) Regular submission a. [ b. [ Emergency - Approval requested by \_\_\_\_ a. [ ] New Collection Delegated b. [ ] Revision of a currently approved collection c. [ ] Extension of a currently approved collection 5. Small entities Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? [ ] Yes [ ] No d. [ ] Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. [ ] Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired 6. Requested expiration date f. [ ] Existing collection in use without an OMB control number a. [ ] Three years from approval date b. [ ] Other Specify: For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions 7. Title 8. Agency form number(s) (if applicable) 9. Keywords 10. Abstract 11. Affected public (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x") 12. Obligation to respond (check one) a. \_\_Individuals or households d. \_\_\_Farms
b. \_\_Business or other for-profite. \_\_\_Federal Government ] Voluntary Business or other for-profite. Federal Government

Not-for-profit institutions f. State, Local or Tribal Government Required to obtain or retain benefits 1 Mandatory 13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden 14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden (in thousands of a. Number of respondents b. Total annual responses a. Total annualized capital/startup costs 1. Percentage of these responses b. Total annual costs (O&M) collected electronically c. Total annualized cost requested c. Total annual hours requested d. Current OMB inventory d. Current OMB inventory e. Difference e. Difference f. Explanation of difference f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change 1. Program change 2. Adjustment 2. Adjustment 16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting (check all that apply) 15. Purpose of information collection (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. [ ] Recordkeeping b. [ ] Third party disclosure ] Reporting a. \_\_\_ Application for benefits Program planning or management 1. [ ] On occasion 2. [ ] Weekly Program evaluation f. Research 3. [ ] Monthly General purpose statistics g. Regulatory or compliance 4. [ ] Quarterly 5. [ ] Semi-annually 6. [ ] Annually 7. [ ] Biennially 8. [ ] Other (describe) 18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding 17. Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods the content of this submission) [ ] Yes [ ] No Phone:

OMB 83-I 10/95

## 19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of this Federal Agency, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9

**NOTE:** The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3), appear at the end of the instructions. *The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.* 

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collection of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It used plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention period for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
  - (i) Why the information is being collected;
  - (ii) Use of information;
  - (iii) Burden estimate;
  - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, mandatory);
  - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
  - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to be collected (see note in Item 19 of instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of the provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in Item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Senior Official or designee Date

OMB 83-I 10/95

Agency Certification (signature of Assistant Administrator or head of MB staff for L.O.s, or of the Director of a Pr Office)	ogram or Staff
Signature	Date
Signature of NOAA Clearance Officer	-
Signature	Date

# SUPPORTING STATEMENT NORTHEAST REGION RAISED FOOTROPE TRAWL EXEMPTION PROGRAM OMB CONTROL NUMBER 0648-0422

#### INTRODUCTION

This submission requests Office of Management and Budget (OMB) clearance for requirements that will later be merged with clearance for the Northeast Region Federal Fisheries Permits (OMB Control No. 0648-0202). The requirements are codified in 50 CFR 648.80.

The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to adopt such regulations as may be necessary to carry out the conservation and management objectives of fishery management plans (FMPs). Section 402(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act authorizes the Council to request that the Secretary implement collection-of-information programs if such programs are beneficial to the FMP. The Council proposed collection-of-information programs in Framework 35 which are necessary and justified as discussed below.

Framework 35 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (FMP) modified existing multispecies regulations to allow for a seasonal whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery in Cape Cod Bay and the southern Gulf of Maine. This measure allows for a transition from a successful experimental fishery for whiting and red hake focused on minimizing regulated multispecies bycatch to a more permanent fishery that provides a seasonal small mesh fishing opportunity for vessels fishing in and around the Gulf of Maine. Management measures for the whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery for Framework 35 include raised footrope trawl gear specifications, minimum mesh size, net specifications, a prohibition on the use of net strengtheners, possession limits for whiting/offshore hake and bycatch restrictions.

Framework 35 contains 2 new collection-of-information requirements. They are:

- call-in enrollments for authorization letters to fish in the whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery; and
- notification of intention to withdraw from the whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery.

## A. Justification

## 1. Why is the information necessary?

A comprehensive information system which identifies the participants and which monitors their activity levels and landings is necessary to enforce the management measures and prevent overfishing. An information system is also needed to measure the consequences of management controls. In general, information requirements for an effective monitoring and enforcement

system include: identification of the participating vessels, operators, dealers, and processors; location of the fishing activity; activity levels; and catch and landings information.

The Northeast Multispecies FMP incorporates these information requirements and vessels issued Northeast Multispecies permits are therefore subjected to these requirements. Vessels wishing to participate in the whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery must possess a limited access or open access multispecies permit and are required to obtain a letter of authorization from the Regional Administrator. Letters of authorization are necessary for NMFS to monitor participants in the fishery and for enforcement officers to more easily assess under which regulations a vessel should be fishing.

Applicants must provide the vessel name, owner name, permit number, and the desired period of time for the vessel to be enrolled.

## 2. How, and by whom, will the information be used?

The information will be used by the National Marine Fisheries Service, the New England Fishery Management Council, and the Coast Guard, as well as scientists at the Northeast Fisheries Science Center. Data collected through these programs will be incorporated into NMFS databases for use in stock assessments and fisheries management decision-making. Information from letters of authorization will be used for both law enforcement purposes and for NMFS notification programs.

## 3. Can improved technology reduce the burden?

No improved information collection technology has been identified to reduce this burden further. Every effort will be made in the future to use computer technology to reduce the public burden.

## 4. Describe any duplication of effort.

There is no duplication of effort in permit information collection.

## 5. How are the impacts on small business minimized?

Most of the respondents for these collection-of-information requirements qualify as small businesses. Only the minimum data needed to issue letters of authorization are requested from all respondents.

## **6.** Could the collection be conducted less frequently?

The need for the collection-of-information is described in item 1. Participants in the whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery must enroll in the fishery for a minimum of 7 days but not to exceed the duration of the exempted fishery season. Vessels enrolled in the exempted fishery for the entire season would only be required to request a letter of authorization once. However, vessels that do not wish to fish in the exempted fishery for the entire season could

enroll and withdraw from the fishery several times. To give participants the option to enroll and disenroll from the fishery, it is not currently possible to conduct this collection less frequently.

## 7. Explain if request is not consistent with OMB guidelines.

The data collection is consistent with OMB guidelines.

## 8. Describe efforts to get comments from outside the agency.

The New England Fishery Management Council held Council meetings and Groundfish Committee meetings at which there was a public discussion of the whiting raised footrope trawl exempted fishery. All Council and Committee meeting agendas are published in the *Federal Register* two weeks prior to the meeting. In addition, a Federal Register Notice solicited public comments on the proposed information collection; no comments were received.

## 9. Explain any decision to provide payment to respondents.

No payment or gift will be made to respondents.

## 10. Describe any assurances of confidentiality.

All data will be kept confidential as required by NOAA Administrative Order 216-100, Confidentiality of Fisheries Statistics, and will not be released for public use except in aggregate statistical form (and without identifying the source of data, i.e. vessel name, owner, etc.).

## 11. Provide justification for any questions of a sensitive nature.

The collection does not involve questions of a sensitive nature.

## 12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of collection of information.

Table 1 summarizes the burden hours, number of respondents, and total burden of the reporting requirements under Framework 35. The burden hours are based on the number of participants expected to participate in the whiting raised footrope trawl exemption fishery and an average call length of 2 minutes.

## 13. Provide estimates of the burden of the collection on the public.

## a. Enrollment into the Exempted Fishery - Letter of Authorization

Table 1 summarizes the cost of enrollment into the exempted fishery. There is no cost projected for the purchase of a telephone. All respondents not owning a telephone are assumed to use a public telephone. The cost of calling NMFS to request a letter of authorization is based on a 35 cent (\$0.35) two-minute (0.0333 hour) phone call. Requests once per week by each of the 288

respondents over a 16 week period yields a total of 4608 calls for a total cost burden of \$1612.80.

## b. Notification of Intention to Withdraw from the Exempted Fishery

Respondents may also elect to withdraw from the exempted fishery. The minimum enrollment period for the fishery is 7 days and participating vessels could not withdraw until after the 7-day minimum enrollment period passed. Therefore, since vessels would not be able to withdraw every week, the expected number of withdrawals per vessel would be 8 times, or once every other week. The cost of calling NMFS to withdraw from the exempted fishery is also based on a 35 cent (\$0.35) two-minute (0.0333 hour) phone call. Requests 8 times over a 16 week period from each of the 288 respondents yields a total of 2304 calls and a total cost burden of \$806.40.

#### 14. Provide estimates of annualized costs to the Federal Government.

The estimated annualized cost to the government for the hour burden of additional collection-of-information requirements assumes an average Legal Instrument Examiner's wage of \$10.00/hour. The following costs are also summarized in Table 1:

Enrollment into the Exempted Fishery Letters of Authorization: 153.6 hours x \$10/hour = \$1536

Withdraw from Exempted Fishery: 76.8 hours x \$10/hour = \$768

The total annual cost to the government for all collection-of-information requirements is \$2304.

## 15. Explain potential changes in burden.

No changes are requested.

## 16. Describe any plans for statistical use of the information.

Results from this collection may be used in scientific, management, technical, or general informational publications such as Fisheries of the Untied States, which follows prescribed statistical tabulations and summary table formats. Data are available to the general public on request in summary form only. Data are available to NMFS employees in detailed form on a need-to-know basis only.

## 17. Explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.

The Council is not seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection.

## 18. Explain exceptions.

There are no exceptions.

## B. COLLECTION OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

No statistical methods are employed in the information collection procedures; the requirements are mandatory for participants in the multispecies fishery.

Table 1. Burden and Cost Estimates for the Public and the Government

Requirement	Number of Entities	Items per Entity	Total Number of Items	Response Time (hours)	Total Burden (hours)	Cost to Public (1)	Cost to Government (2)
Request for Letter of Authorization to Participate in the Exempted Fishery	288	16	4608	0.0333	153.6	\$1612.80	\$1536
Request to Withdraw from the Exempted Fishery	288	8	2304	0.0333	76.8	\$806.40	\$768
TOTAL	288		6,912		230.4	\$2419.20	\$2304

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on a 35 cent 2 minute telephone call

<sup>(2)</sup> Assumed to be \$10 per hour

operators to install a NMFS-approved vessel monitoring system (VMS).

**DATES:** The effective date of 50 CFR 635.69 is 12:01 a.m. October 1, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan (HMS FMP), the final rule and supporting documents can be obtained from Chris Rogers, Acting Chief, Highly Migratory Species Division, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Meyers, NMFS, (301) 713–2347, or Buck Sutter and Jill Stevenson (727) 570–5447.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The final regulations to implement the HMS FMP and Amendment 1 to the Atlantic Billfish Fishery Management Plan (64 FR 29090, May 28, 1999) included a provision requiring an owner or operator of a commercial vessel permitted to fish for Atlantic HMS under § 635.4 and that fishes with a pelagic longline to install a NMFSapproved VMS unit on board the vessel and operate the VMS unit whenever the vessel leaves port with pelagic longline gear on board. The VMS requirement of the final rule (§ 635.69) was to be effective September 1, 1999.

On August 9, 1999, NMFS delayed the effective date of this final rule until January 1, 2000 (64 FR 43101). On October 14, 1999, NMFS again delayed the effective date of this final rule until June 1, 2000 (64 FR 55633). On April 19, 2000, NMFS further delayed the effective date of implementation of the VMS regulations until September 1, 2000 (65 FR 20918).

On August 1, 2000, NMFS published a final rule (65 FR 47214) to reduce bycatch by prohibiting the use of pelagic longline fishing gear in three areas: the year-round DeSoto Canyon closure in the Gulf of Mexico starting November 1, 2000; the year round East Florida Coast closure beginning on February 1, 2001; and the seasonal Charleston Bump closure from February 1 through April 30 each year, beginning in 2001.

Since publication of the final rule implementing the time area closures, NMFS has received several requests for an additional delay of the VMS requirement until the effective dates of the new closed areas (November 1, 2000 in the Gulf of Mexico and February 1, 2001 in the Atlantic Ocean). These requests included the information that many vessels have not yet purchased VMS units, as vessel owners have been waiting for the resolution of litigation against NOAA Fisheries over the

regulatory requirement for these devices.

Because the regulations implementing the new time area closures will not require the use of VMS for enforcement purposes until November 1, 2000 (the initial effective date of the DeSoto Canyon closed area), NMFS agrees that a short delay in the VMS requirement will not compromise NMFS' ability to enforce the fishery closures. An October 1, 2000, effective date would give an additional month for vessel owners to acquire and install the units. Although the designated Atlantic Ocean closed areas are not effective until February 1, 2001, requiring all vessels using pelagic longlines to operate VMS units in all fishing areas as of October 1, 2000 will facilitate tracking and monitoring of vessels as they begin to modify fishing practices in response to the bycatch reduction strategy.

NMFS thus delays the effective date of the VMS regulations at 50 CFR 635.69 until October 1, 2000.

Dated: August 10, 2000.

#### Penelope D. Dalton,

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Services. [FR Doc. 00–20717 Filed 8–10–00; 4:51 pm] BILLING CODE 3510–22–F

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 000803226-0226-01; I.D. 070500D]

RIN 0648-AO15

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Framework Adjustment 35 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this final rule to implement measures contained in Framework Adjustment 35 (Framework 35) to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (FMP) to exempt a fishery from the Gulf of Maine (GOM)/Georges Bank (GB) Regulated Mesh Area mesh size regulations and closed area restrictions. Framework 35 establishes an exempted small mesh whiting fishery in the GOM. The exempted fishery is authorized in an

area near Provincetown, MA, from September 1 through November 20 each year, and requires the use of raised footrope trawl gear.

DATES: Effective September 1, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Framework 35 document, its Regulatory Impact Review (RIR), the Environmental Assessment, and other supporting documents for the framework adjustment are available from Paul J. Howard, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport, MA 01950. These documents are also available online at http://www.nefmc.org.

Comments regarding the collection-ofinformation requirements contained in this final rule should be sent to Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional Administrator, Northeast Region, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930–2298, and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC 20503 (Attention: NOAA Desk Officer).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter Christopher, Fishery Policy Analyst, 978–281–9288.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Northeast Multispecies regulations, at 50 CFR 648.80(a)(7)(i)(A), specify that in order for any fishery to occur in the GOM/GB Regulated Mesh Area, it must be shown to have a bycatch of regulated multispecies that is less than 5 percent of the catch of all species. This regulation is intended to prevent the bycatch and discard of large amounts of regulated multispecies that could be caught in fisheries targeting other species. Small mesh bottom trawl fisheries are of particular concern because of the interactions of bottom fish, the limited selectivity of small mesh, and the high potential of regulated multispecies bycatch. However, to provide for the ability to conduct fisheries that have a bycatch of regulated multispecies that is low, the Northeast Multispecies regulations allow fisheries to occur if they can be proven to have less than 5 percent bycatch of regulated multispecies.

In 1995, the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF) began testing the raised footrope trawl design with a single Provincetown otter trawl vessel in a small area in Cape Cod Bay to determine whether modified whiting trawl gear would sufficiently reduce bycatch of regulated multispecies. A raised footrope trawl was designed so that the mouth of the net fished above the ocean floor, thereby reducing bycatch of flatfish, lobster, and other bottom dwelling species while still catching species that remain above the

ocean floor, such as whiting. After developing a gear that successfully reduced bycatch of flatfish and caught whiting undamaged by bottom debris and hard-bodied, bottom dwelling species, the MADMF proceeded with an expansion of the fishery in 1996. From 1996 through 1999, the fishery was expanded to a maximum of 43 vessels. Expansion of the fishery allowed the MADMF to evaluate the effectiveness of the modified gear when used by a relatively large number of vessels. Enforceability of the gear requirements, fishermen's willingness to change gear specifications, and ease of adapting to and using the new gear were examined. In addition, expansion of the fishery provided the ability for the MADMF to collect significant amounts of data through observed fishing trips and vessel trip reports. Expansion to additional areas was also authorized by NMFS through experimental fisheries.

In the fall of 1999, the MADMF requested that NMFS exempt the fishery based on the low bycatch rates of regulated multispecies, particularly flatfish. In order to meet the qualifications for an exempted fishery under the FMP, data collected from the experimental fishery must demonstrate that the fishery results in bycatch of regulated multispecies that is less than 5 percent, by weight, of the total catch and that such exemption will not jeopardize fishing mortality objectives (50 CFR 648.80(a)(7)(i)(A)). NMFS takes a conservative approach in applying the 5 percent criteria by requiring that it be met on a trip-by-trip basis as recommended by the New England Fishery Management Council (Council). NMFS informed the MADMF that because of the trip-by-trip requirement the fishery did not qualify for an exemption.

Recognizing the success of the gear in dramatically reducing bycatch, the Council, at its January 18, 2000, meeting, initiated Framework 35 to establish the raised footrope trawl exempted small mesh whiting fishery based on the significant reductions of bycatch of regulated species encountered in the fishery.

While the fishery has not met the tripby-trip bycatch reductions, the overall reduction of regulated multispecies bycatch has been significant with the raised footrope trawl gear compared to landings and bycatch of regulated multispecies in regulated multispecies directed fisheries. The overall percentage of raised footrope trawl discards in 1999 compared to January through November 1999, landings in the directed regulated multispecies fisheries ranged from 2.2 percent (GOM winter

flounder) to less than 0.01 percent. GOM cod bycatch in the 1999 raised footrope trawl fishery represented approximately 0.93 percent of the landings of cod in the directed regulated multispecies fishery from January through November 1999. In addition, by catch of regulated multispecies in the 1999 raised footrope trawl fishery represented a relatively low percentage of overall regulated multispecies discards, ranging from 0.02 percent for witch flounder to 5.95 percent for GOM cod. Also, the raised footrope trawl has demonstrated significant overall reductions in bycatch compared to traditional whiting trawl gear. In the area near Provincetown in 1997, for example, regulated flatfish bycatch with traditional whiting nets was approximately 66 lb (29.9 kg) per hour. With the raised footrope trawl, the by catch rate was approximately 7 lb (3.18 kg) per hour—a reduction of approximately 89 percent. Results in 1998 and 1999 were similar. Since bycatch of cod and other roundfish was known to be a continued problem with the modified gear, the MADMF prosecuted the fishery in areas low in cod and other roundfish concentrations.

During October and November, the area encompassed by this exempted fishery falls almost entirely within the October/November GOM rolling closure area (Rolling Closure V). Framework 35 allows the exempted fishery to operate within the closed area under a letter of authorization. Operation of this fishery in the closed area should not pose a threat to flatfish, but could pose a threat to cod if high concentrations are present. However, cod bycatch is not expected to be significant. First, the season for this exempted fishery is September 1 through November 20. Historically, cod bycatch in the experimental raised footrope trawl fishery increased in November and cod bycatch after November 20 has represented 50 percent of the bycatch of cod for the entire experimental fishing season (September through December). Second, during the development of Framework 33 to the FMP, the Northeast Fishery Science Center (NEFSC) provided evidence that cod concentrations in the southern portion of block 124 (the portion of the closed area overlapped by the exempted area) is low. Cod concentrations appear to be approximately five times higher in the northwestern and west-central parts of block 124 (areas not overlapped by the proposed exempted fishery area), in the autumn, based on NEFSC trawl survey data from 1994 through 1998. Further, the use of exempted fishery

authorization letters will allow NMFS to identify vessels participating in the fishery on a day-to-day basis, providing the ability for simplified monitoring of the fishery.

Framework 35 establishes the exempted whiting raised footrope trawl fishery in an area in upper Cape Cod Bay. The exempted area is a modification of the areas authorized for previous experimental fisheries, but falls completely within the areas studied under the experiment. The exempted area is based on the highest concentrations of observed and reported fishing activity during the experimental fisheries.

Requirements of the exempted fishery include gear specifications for the raised footrope trawl and bycatch restrictions. Gear restrictions include: minimum mesh size; prohibition on net strengtheners; headrope specifications including number and distribution of floats; ground gear (legs) specifications; footrope specifications; drop chain specifications (with an option for a sweepless net configuration); and chainsweep specifications. Because Small Mesh Areas 1 and 2 in the GOM (already exempted fisheries) require the use of a raised footrope trawl, these specifications also apply in those areas, with the exception of the minimum mesh size and prohibition on net strengthener use. The only modifications to the current gear requirements in Small Mesh Areas 1 and 2 is an option to use a sweepless raised footrope trawl net and a change of the footrope length specification. The change to the footrope length is implemented through this framework to correct the regulations which mistakenly stated that the footrope must be at least 20 ft (6.1 m) longer than the headrope. To be consistent with the original design of the MADMF's net, the footrope must be no greater than 20 ft (6.1 m) longer than the headrope. Modifying the mesh size and strengthener requirements in Small Mesh Areas 1 and 2 is outside the scope of this framework.

While enrolled in the raised footrope trawl whiting fishery, vessels are restricted to retaining only the following species: Whiting, offshore hake, red hake, butterfish, dogfish, herring, mackerel, scup, and squid, up to the amounts allowed by the regulations for each species. Retention of all other species is prohibited. Vessels fishing in the raised footrope trawl fishery may fish in other small mesh fisheries, but are subject to the most restrictive measures, regardless of where they are fishing.

#### **Economic Impact Analysis**

The economic impacts of exempting the raised footrope trawl whiting fishery were analyzed in the RIR section of the Council's framework document and the supplement to that document. When compared to taking no action, implementation of the exempted fishery under Framework 35 is likely to generate approximately \$1.25 million in revenues for vessels fishing primarily out of the ports of Gloucester and Provincetown, MA, based on 1997 through 1999 averages. Existing area closures, gear restrictions, and fishing effort controls would otherwise limit the potential participants. Other alternatives, such as gear modifications and additional areas, were considered throughout the development of the fishery and during the experimental fishery phase. However, the gear specifications included in this framework maximize flexibility of the industry while minimizing bycatch. Exemption in additional areas is not warranted due to insufficient information to determine bycatch levels. Framework 35 also results in minor modifications to the gear specifications in Small Mesh Areas 1 and 2. These modifications are expected to result in minimal costs to vessels. Vessels that fish in Small Mesh Areas 1 and 2 are already required to use raised footrope trawl gear and only minimal costs would be required to modify their existing gear, if any modifications need to be made at all.

## Abbreviated Rulemaking

NMFS is making these adjustments to the regulations under the framework abbreviated rulemaking procedure in 50 CFR part 648, subpart F. This procedure requires the Council, when making specifically allowed adjustments to the FMP, to develop and analyze the action over the span of at least two Council meetings where public comments are accepted. The Council must provide the public with advance notice of both the framework proposals and the associated analyses, and provide an opportunity to comment on them specifically prior to and at the second Council meeting. Upon review of the analyses and public comments, the Council may recommend to the Regional Administrator, Northeast Region (Regional Administrator), that the measures be published as a final rule, or as a proposed rule if additional public comment is necessary.

The initial and final meetings for Framework 35 at which public comment was received were on January 18, 2000, and May 3, 2000, respectively. The Council also discussed the raised

footrope whiting exempted fishery at previous meetings on other actions, including the Council meeting on November 16 through 19, 1999, the Council's Groundfish Committee on December 13, 1999, and January 14, 2000, and at the Groundfish Committee Advisory Panel meetings on December 13, 1999, and January 13, 2000. Documents summarizing the Council's proposed action and the analyses of biological, economic, and social impacts of this action and alternative actions were available for public review 1 week prior to the final meeting, as is required under the framework adjustment process. No written comments were received.

#### Classification

This final rule has been determined to be not significant for the purposes of E.O. 12866.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of the law, no person is required to respond to, and no person shall be subject to penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

This final rule contains collection-ofinformation requirements subject to the PRA. These requirements have been approved by OMB. The OMB Control numbers and estimated response times are as follows:

1. Call-in to NMFS Region for enrollment into Raised Footrope Trawl Exempted Whiting Fishery (§ 648.80(a)(14)(i)(A)) approved under 0648–0422 at 2 minutes/response.

2. Call-in to NMFS Region to withdraw from the Raised Footrope Trawl Exempted Whiting Fishery (§ 648.80(a)(14)(i)(A)) approved under 0648–0422 at 2 minutes/response.

The estimated response times include the time needed for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding these burden estimates or any other aspect of the data requirements, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to NMFS and OMB (see ADDRESSES).

Because prior notice and opportunity for public comment are not required for this rule by 5 U.S.C. 553 et seq., or any other law, the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., are inapplicable.

Nevertheless, the socioeconomic impacts on affected small entities were considered in the EA/RIR contained in the supporting analyses for Framework

35 and the supplement to Framework 35. The economic impacts are described in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the preamble to this final rule.

The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (AA), finds that, because public meetings held by the Council to discuss the management measures implemented by this final rule provided adequate prior notice and opportunity for public comment, further notice and opportunity to comment on this final rule is unnecessary. Therefore, the AA, under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), finds good cause exists to waive prior notice and additional opportunity for public comment.

This final rule establishes an exempted small mesh whiting fishery in the Gulf of Maine and vessel operators who choose to participate in this fishery would be required to use a raised footrope trawl gear. Existing area closures, gear restrictions, and fishing effort controls would otherwise limit vessel operators from fishing for whiting in this area. Therefore, this action relieves a restriction, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1) and is not subject to a 30-day delay in effectiveness. This final rule also results in minor modifications to the gear specifications in Small Mesh Areas 1 and 2. Vessels that fish in these areas are already required to use raised footrope trawl gear. The minor modifications made by this rule will provide vessels with an option to use sweepless raised footrope trawl net and will clarify that the footrope may not be more than 20 ft longer than the headrope. By providing another gear option, this rule does not impose a restriction. Furthermore, complying with the clarified requirement that the footrope be no more than 20 ft longer than the headrope will require only a minimal amount of time. In addition, participation in the raised footrope trawl gear fishery is voluntary and vessel operators can choose whether or not to modify their gear, if necessary, to participate in this fishery. Therefore, it is not necessary to delay for 30 days implementation of the gear modification provisions associated with this final rule.

#### List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 648

Fisheries, Fishing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: August 11, 2000.

#### William T. Hogarth,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, 50 CFR part 648 is amended as follows:

## PART 648—FISHERIES OF THE NORTHEASTERN UNITED STATES

1. The authority citation for part 648 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

2. In § 648.14, paragraphs (a)(35) and (a)(43) are revised to read as follows:

#### §648.14 Prohibitions.

(a) \* \* \*

(35) Fish with, use, or have on board within the area described in § 648.80(a)(1), nets with mesh size smaller than the minimum mesh size specified in § 648.80(a)(2), except as provided in § 648.80(a)(3) through (6), (a)(8), (a)(9), (a)(14), (d), (e), and (i), unless the vessel has not been issued a multispecies permit and fishes for NE multispecies exclusively in state waters, or unless otherwise specified in § 648.17.

\* \* \* \* \*

(43) Violate any of the provisions of § 648.80, including paragraphs (a)(3), the small-mesh northern shrimp fishery exemption area; (a)(4), the Cultivator Shoal whiting fishery exemption area; (a)(8), Small-mesh Area 1/Small-mesh Area 2; (a)(9), the Nantucket Shoals dogfish fishery exemption area; (a)(11), the Nantucket Shoals mussel and sea urchin dredge exemption area; (a)(12), the GOM/GB monkfish gillnet exemption area; (a)(13), the GOM/GB dogfish gillnet exemption area; (a)(14), the Raised Footrope Trawl Exempted Whiting Fishery; (b)(3), exemptions (small mesh); (b)(5), the SNE monkfish and skate trawl exemption area; (b)(6), the SNE monkfish and skate gillnet exemption area; (b)(7), the SNE dogfish gillnet exemption area; (b)(8), the SNE mussel and sea urchin dredge exemption area; or (b)(9), the SNE little tunny gillnet exemption area. A violation of any provision of the paragraphs in § 648.80 is a separate violation.

3. In § 648.80, paragraphs (a)(6)(iii)(C), (a)(8)(ii)(C) and (D) are revised and paragraph (a)(14) is added to read as follows:

## § 648.80 Multispecies regulated mesh areas and restrictions on gear and methods of fishing.

\* \* \* \* \* \* (a) \* \* \*

(6) \* \* \* (iii) \* \* \*

(C) Vessels do not fish for, possess on board, or land any fish, except when fishing in the areas specified in paragraphs (a)(4), (a)(9), (a)(14), (b), and (c) of this section. Vessels may retain exempted small mesh species as provided in paragraphs (a)(4)(i), (a)(9)(i), (a)(14)(i), (b)(3), and (c)(3) of this section.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(ii) \* \* \*

(C) The footrope must be longer than the length of the headrope, but not more than 20 ft (6.1 m) longer than the length of the headrope. The footrope must be rigged so that it does not contact the ocean bottom while fishing.

(D) The raised footrope trawl may be used with or without a chain sweep. If used without a chain sweep, the drop chains must be a maximum of 3/8 inch (0.95 cm) diameter bare chain and must be hung from the center of the footrope and each corner (the quarter, or the junction of the bottom wing to the belly at the footrope). Drop chains must be hung at intervals of 8 ft (2.4 m) along the footrope from the corners to the wing ends. If used with a chain sweep, the sweep must be rigged so it is behind and below the footrope, and the footrope is off the bottom. This is accomplished by having the sweep longer than the footrope and having long drop chains attaching the sweep to the footrope at regular intervals. The forward end of the sweep and footrope must be connected to the bottom leg at the same point. This attachment, in conjunction with the

headrope flotation, keeps the footrope off the bottom. The sweep and its rigging, including drop chains, must be made entirely of bare chain with a maximum diameter of 5/16 inch (0.8 cm). No wrapping or cookies are allowed on the drop chains or sweep. The total length of the sweep must be at least 7 ft (2.1 m) longer than the total length of the footrope, or 3.5 ft (1.1 m) longer on each side. Drop chains must connect the footrope to the sweep chain, and the length of each drop chain must be at least 42 inches (106.7 cm). One drop chain must be hung from the center of the footrope to the center of the sweep, and one drop chain must be hung from each corner. The attachment points of each drop chain on the sweep and the footrope must be the same distance from the center drop chain attachments. Drop chains must be hung at intervals of 8 ft (2.4 m) from the corners toward the wing ends. The distance of the drop chain that is nearest the wing end to the end of the footrope may differ from net to net. However, the sweep must be at least 3.5 ft (1.1 m) longer than the footrope between the drop chain closest to the wing ends and the end of the sweep that attaches to the wing end.

\* \* \* \* \*

(14) Raised Footrope Trawl Exempted Whiting Fishery. Vessels subject to the minimum mesh size restrictions specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section may fish with, use, or possess nets in the Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery area with a mesh size smaller than the minimum size specified, if the vessel complies with the requirements specified in paragraph (a)(14)(i) of this section. The Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery area (copies of a map depicting the area are available from the Regional Administrator upon request) is defined by straight lines connecting the following points in the order stated:

## RAISED FOOTROPE TRAWL WHITING FISHERY EXEMPTION AREA

Point	N. Lat.	W. Long.
RF 1	42°01.9′ 41°59.45′ 42°07.85′ 42°15.05′ 42°08.35′ 42°04.75′	70°14.7′ 70°23.65′ 70°30.1′ 70°08.8′ 70°04.05′ 70°16.95′ 70°14.7′

(i) Requirements. (A) A vessel fishing in the Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery under this exemption must have on board a valid letter of authorization issued by the Regional Administrator. To obtain a letter of authorization, vessel owners must write to or call during normal business hours the Northeast Region Permit Office and provide the vessel name, owner name, permit number, and the desired period of time that the vessel will be enrolled. Since letters of authorization are effective the day after they are requested, vessel owners should allow appropriate processing and mail time. To withdraw from a category, vessel owners must write to or call the Northeast Region Permit Office. Withdrawals are effective the day after the date of request. Withdrawals may occur after a minimum of 7 days of enrollment.

(B) Up to and including April 30, 2002, all nets must comply with a minimum mesh size of 2.5-inch (6.35cm) square or diamond mesh, subject to the restrictions as specified in paragraph (a)(14)(i)(D) of this section. An owner or operator of a vessel enrolled in the raised footrope whiting fishery may not fish for, possess on board, or land any species of fish other than whiting and offshore hake subject to the applicable possession limits as specified in § 648.86, except for the following allowable incidental species: Red hake; butterfish; dogfish; herring; mackerel; scup; and squid.

(C) Beginning May 1, 2002, in addition to the requirements specified in paragraph (a)(14)(i)(B) of this section, all nets must comply with a minimum mesh size of 3-inch (7.62-cm) square or diamond mesh, subject to the restrictions as specified in paragraph (a)(14)(i)(D) of this section. An owner or operator of any vessel enrolled in the raised footrope whiting fishery may not fish for, possess on board, or land any species of fish other than: Silver hake and offshore hake—up to 10,000 lb (4,536 kg); red hake; butterfish; dogfish; herring; mackerel; scup; and squid.

(D) All nets must comply with the minimum mesh sizes specified in paragraphs (a)(14)(i)(B) and (C) of this section. Counting from the terminus of the net, the minimum mesh size is applied to the first 100 meshes (200 bars in the case of square mesh) from the terminus of the net for vessels greater than 60 ft (18.28 m) in length and the first 50 meshes (100 bars in the case of square mesh) from the terminus of the net for vessels less than or equal to 60 ft (18.28 m) in length.

(E) Raised footrope trawl gear is required and must be configured as specified in paragraphs (a)(8)(ii)(A) through (D) of this section.

(F) Fishing may only occur from September 1 through November 20 of each fishing year.

(G) A vessel enrolled in the Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery may fish for small-mesh multispecies in exempted fisheries outside of the Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery exemption area, provided that the vessel complies with the more restrictive gear,

possession limit and other requirements specified in the regulations of that exempted fishery for the entire participation period specified on the vessel's letter of authorization. For example, a vessel may fish in both the Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery and the Cultivator Shoal Whiting Fishery Exemption Area and would be restricted to a minimum mesh size of 3 inches (7.62 cm), as required in the Cultivator Shoal Whiting Fishery Exemption Area, the use of the raised footrope trawl, and the catch and bycatch restrictions of the Raised Footrope Trawl Whiting Fishery, except for red hake, which is restricted to 10 percent of the total catch under the Cultivator Shoal Whiting Fishery.

(ii) Sea sampling. The Regional Administrator shall conduct periodic sea sampling to evaluate the bycatch of regulated species.

4. In § 648.81, paragraph (g)(2)(v) is added to read as follows:

## § 648.81 Multispecies closed areas.

(g) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(v) That are fishing in the Raised Footrope Trawl Exempted Whiting Fishery, as specified in § 648.80(a)(14), and in the Gulf of Maine Rolling Closure Area V, as specified in paragraph (g)(1)(v) of this section.

[FR Doc. 00-20847 Filed 8-15-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric** Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 000211039-0039-01; I.D. 081000C1

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Shallow-water Species Fishery by Vessels Using Trawl Gear in the Gulf of Alaska

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Closure.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS is prohibiting directed fishing for species that comprise the shallow-water species fishery by vessels using trawl gear in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA), except for vessels fishing for pollock using pelagic trawl gear in those portions of the GOA open to directed

fishing for pollock. This action is necessary because the third seasonal apportionment of the 2000 halibut bycatch allowance specified for the trawl shallow-water species fishery in the GOA has been caught.

DATES: Effective 1200 hrs, Alaska local time (A.l.t.), August 11, 2000, until 1200 hrs. A.l.t., October 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Furuness, 907-586-7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NMFS manages the groundfish fishery in the GOA exclusive economic zone according to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (FMP) prepared by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council under authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Regulations governing fishing by U.S. vessels in accordance with the FMP appear at subpart H of 50 CFR part 600 and 50 CFR part 679.

The Pacific halibut bycatch allowance for the GOA trawl shallow-water species fishery, which is defined at  $\S679.21(d)(3)(iii)(A)$ , was established by the Final 2000 Harvest Specifications for Groundfish for the GOA (65 FR 8298, February 18, 2000) for the third season, the period July 4, 2000, through September 30, 2000, as 200 metric tons.

In accordance with  $\S 679.21(d)(7)(i)$ , the Administrator, Alaska Region, NMFS, has determined that the third seasonal apportionment of the 2000 Pacific halibut bycatch allowance specified for the trawl shallow-water species fishery in the GOA has been caught. Consequently, NMFS is prohibiting directed fishing for species included in the shallow-water species fishery by vessels using trawl gear in the GOA, except for vessels fishing for pollock using pelagic trawl gear in those portions of the GOA open to directed fishing for pollock. The species and species groups that comprise the shallow-water species fishery are: pollock, Pacific cod, shallow-water flatfish, flathead sole, Atka mackerel, and "other species."

Maximum retainable bycatch amounts may be found in the regulations at § 679.20(e) and (f).

#### Classification

This action responds to the best available information recently obtained from the fishery. It must be implemented immediately in order to prevent exceeding the third seasonal apportionment of the 2000 Pacific halibut bycatch allowance specified for the trawl shallow-water species fishery in the GOA. A delay in the effective date is impracticable and contrary to the

#### SEC. 303. CONTENTS OF FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLANS 16 U.S.C. 1853

## 95-354, 99-659, 101-627, 104-297

- **(a) REQUIRED PROVISIONS.**—Any fishery management plan which is prepared by any Council, or by the Secretary, with respect to any fishery, shall—
- (1) contain the conservation and management measures, applicable to foreign fishing and fishing by vessels of the United States, which are--
  - (A) necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery to prevent overfishing and rebuild overfished stocks, and to protect, restore, and promote the long-term health and stability of the fishery;
    - (B) described in this subsection or subsection (b), or both; and
  - (C) consistent with the national standards, the other provisions of this Act, regulations implementing recommendations by international organizations in which the United States participates (including but not limited to closed areas, quotas, and size limits), and any other applicable law;
- (2) contain a description of the fishery, including, but not limited to, the number of vessels involved, the type and quantity of fishing gear used, the species of fish involved and their location, the cost likely to be incurred in management, actual and potential revenues from the fishery, any recreational interest in the fishery, and the nature and extent of foreign fishing and Indian treaty fishing rights, if any;
- (3) assess and specify the present and probable future condition of, and the maximum sustainable yield and optimum yield from, the fishery, and include a summary of the information utilized in making such specification;
  - (4) assess and specify-
  - (A) the capacity and the extent to which fishing vessels of the United States, on an annual basis, will harvest the optimum yield specified under paragraph (3),
  - (B) the portion of such optimum yield which, on an annual basis, will not be harvested by fishing vessels of the United States and can be made available for foreign fishing, and
  - (C) the capacity and extent to which United States fish processors, on an annual basis, will process that portion of such optimum yield that will be harvested by fishing vessels of the United States:
- (5) specify the pertinent data which shall be submitted to the Secretary with respect to commercial, recreational, and charter fishing in the fishery, including, but not limited to,

## 16 U.S.C. 1853

information regarding the type and quantity of fishing gear used, catch by species in numbers of fish or weight thereof, areas in which fishing was engaged in, time of fishing, number of hauls, and the estimated processing capacity of, and the actual processing capacity utilized by, United States fish processors;

- (6) consider and provide for temporary adjustments, after consultation with the Coast Guard and persons utilizing the fishery, regarding access to the fishery for vessels otherwise prevented from harvesting because of weather or other ocean conditions affecting the safe conduct of the fishery; except that the adjustment shall not adversely affect conservation efforts in other fisheries or discriminate among participants in the affected fishery;
- (7) describe and identify essential fish habitat for the fishery based on the guidelines established by the Secretary under section 305(b)(1)(A), minimize to the extent practicable adverse effects on such habitat caused by fishing, and identify other actions to encourage the conservation and enhancement of such habitat;
- (8) in the case of a fishery management plan that, after January 1, 1991, is submitted to the Secretary for review under section 304(a) (including any plan for which an amendment is submitted to the Secretary for such review) or is prepared by the Secretary, assess and specify the nature and extent of scientific data which is needed for effective implementation of the plan;
- (9) include a fishery impact statement for the plan or amendment (in the case of a plan or amendment thereto submitted to or prepared by the Secretary after October 1, 1990) which shall assess, specify, and describe the likely effects, if any, of the conservation and management measures on--
  - (A) participants in the fisheries and fishing communities affected by the plan or amendment; and
  - (B) participants in the fisheries conducted in adjacent areas under the authority of another Council, after consultation with such Council and representatives of those participants;
- (10) specify objective and measurable criteria for identifying when the fishery to which the plan applies is overfished (with an analysis of how the criteria were determined and the relationship of the criteria to the reproductive potential of stocks of fish in that fishery) and, in the case of a fishery which the Council or the Secretary has determined is approaching an overfished condition or is overfished, contain conservation and management measures to prevent overfishing or end overfishing and rebuild the fishery;
- (11) establish a standardized reporting methodology to assess the amount and type of bycatch occurring in the fishery, and include conservation and management measures that, to the extent practicable and in the following priority--
  - (A) minimize bycatch; and

- (B) minimize the mortality of bycatch which cannot be avoided;
- (12) assess the type and amount of fish caught and released alive during recreational fishing under catch and release fishery management programs and the mortality of such fish, and include conservation and management measures that, to the extent practicable, minimize mortality and ensure the extended survival of such fish;
- (13) include a description of the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors which participate in the fishery and, to the extent practicable, quantify trends in landings of the managed fishery resource by the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors; and
- (14) to the extent that rebuilding plans or other conservation and management measures which reduce the overall harvest in a fishery are necessary, allocate any harvest restrictions or recovery benefits fairly and equitably among the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors in the fishery.

97-453, 99-659, 101-627, 102-251, 104-297

- **(b) DISCRETIONARY PROVISIONS.**--Any fishery management plan which is prepared by any Council, or by the Secretary, with respect to any fishery, may--
- (1) require a permit to be obtained from, and fees to be paid to, the Secretary, with respect to--
  - (A) any fishing vessel of the United States fishing, or wishing to fish, in the exclusive economic zone [or special areas,]\* or for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources beyond such zone [or areas]\*;
    - (B) the operator of any such vessel; or
  - (C) any United States fish processor who first receives fish that are subject to the plan;
- (2) designate zones where, and periods when, fishing shall be limited, or shall not be permitted, or shall be permitted only by specified types of fishing vessels or with specified types and quantities of fishing gear;
- (3) establish specified limitations which are necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery on the--
  - (A) catch of fish (based on area, species, size, number, weight, sex, bycatch, total biomass, or other factors);
  - (B) sale of fish caught during commercial, recreational, or charter fishing, consistent with any applicable Federal and State safety and quality requirements; and

- (C) transshipment or transportation of fish or fish products under permits issued pursuant to section 204;
- (4) prohibit, limit, condition, or require the use of specified types and quantities of fishing gear, fishing vessels, or equipment for such vessels, including devices which may be required to facilitate enforcement of the provisions of this Act;
- (5) incorporate (consistent with the national standards, the other provisions of this Act, and any other applicable law) the relevant fishery conservation and management measures of the coastal States nearest to the fishery;
- (6) establish a limited access system for the fishery in order to achieve optimum yield if, in developing such system, the Council and the Secretary take into account--
  - (A) present participation in the fishery,
  - (B) historical fishing practices in, and dependence on, the fishery,
  - (C) the economics of the fishery,
  - (D) the capability of fishing vessels used in the fishery to engage in other fisheries,
  - (E) the cultural and social framework relevant to the fishery and any affected fishing communities, and
    - (F) any other relevant considerations;
- (7) require fish processors who first receive fish that are subject to the plan to submit data (other than economic data) which are necessary for the conservation and management of the fishery;
- (8) require that one or more observers be carried on board a vessel of the United States engaged in fishing for species that are subject to the plan, for the purpose of collecting data necessary for the conservation and management of the fishery; except that such a vessel shall not be required to carry an observer on board if the facilities of the vessel for the quartering of an observer, or for carrying out observer functions, are so inadequate or unsafe that the health or safety of the observer or the safe operation of the vessel would be jeopardized;
- (9) assess and specify the effect which the conservation and management measures of the plan will have on the stocks of naturally spawning anadromous fish in the region;
- (10) include, consistent with the other provisions of this Act, conservation and management measures that provide harvest incentives for participants within each gear group to employ fishing practices that result in lower levels of bycatch or in lower levels of the mortality of bycatch;

## 16 U.S.C. 1853

- (11) reserve a portion of the allowable biological catch of the fishery for use in scientific research; and
- (12) prescribe such other measures, requirements, or conditions and restrictions as are determined to be necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery.

97-453, 104-297

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [A-583-831]

Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Extension of Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for final determination of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the final determination of the review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Taiwan. This review covers the period June 8, 1999 through June 30, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 1, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen Bailey, Enforcement Group III—Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1102.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

### Background

On September 6, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review for the period of January 4, 1999 through June 30, 2000 (65 FR 53980). On November 30, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review for the correct period of June 8, 1999 through June 30, 2000.

## **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary determination, to issue its final results

by an additional 60 days. Completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable for the following reasons:

- This review involves certain complex issues (*i.e.*, identification of home market sales).
- Yieh United Steel Corporation has been instructed to revise certain significant portions of its responses during this review.
- The review involves a large number of transactions and complex adjustments.
- The review involves middleman dumping issues.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final determination of review by 60 days until February 4, 2002.

Dated: October 25, 2001.

#### Edward C. Yang,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–27394 Filed 10–31–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 102601A]

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Northeast Region Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Exemption Requests and Notifications

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before [*December 31*, 2001].

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via the Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should

be directed to Peter Christopher, NMFS, 1 Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930 (phone 978–281–9288).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

The Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries has been conducting an experimental fishery, referred to as the Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Experimental Fishery (Raised Footrope Experiment), to allow trawlers to target whiting, red hake, dogfish and other small mesh species using a raised footrope trawl. The experiment was designed to assess the effectiveness of a raised footrope small mesh otter trawl in reducing bycatch of regulated multispecies. Framework Adjustment 35 to the Multispecies Fishery Management Plan made the Raised Footrope Experiment a multispecies exempted fishery. The collection-of-information requirements are: (1) a request for a certificate to fish in the Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Exemption, and (2) a notification of intention to withdraw from the Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Exemption. Requests for a certificate identify the person, the vessel name, the permit number, and how long he/she intends to fish in the exemption area (no less than 7 days but not more than 4 months). These collection-ofinformation requirements were approved by OMB under emergency procedures for 6 months; NOAA is soliciting comments on its intent to request a 3-year Paperwork Reduction Act approval for the requirements.≤

### II. Method of Collection

Requests and notifications are made by telephone.

#### III. Data

OMB Number: 0648–0422. Form Number: None. Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: Business and other

for-profit organizations (commercial fishermen).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 288.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 230 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$2,419.

#### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 25, 2001.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-27481 Filed 10-31-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

#### **COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS**

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton and Man-Made Fiber Textile **Products Produced or Manufactured in** the Arab Republic of Egypt

October 26, 2001.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 1, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy Unger, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927–5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at http://www.customs.gov. For information on embargoes and quota reopenings, refer to the Office of Textiles and Apparel website at http:// www.otexa.ita.doc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for swing and carryover.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the

CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 65 FR 82328, published on December 28, 2000). Also see 65 FR 66721, published on November 7, 2000.

#### D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

#### Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

October 26, 2001.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on October 26, 2000, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in Egypt and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2001 and extends through December 31, 2001.

Effective on November 1, 2001, you are directed to adjust the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit 1
Fabric Group 218–220, 224–227, 313–O <sup>2</sup> , 314–O <sup>3</sup> , 315–O <sup>4</sup> , 317–O <sup>5</sup> and 326–O <sup>6</sup> , as a group. Sublevel within Fab-	137,016,868 square meters.
ric Group	
227	27,013,902 square meters.
Levels not in a group	
300/301	15,668,997 kilograms of which not more than 4,914,348 kilo- grams shall be in Category 301.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December

5208.52.4055.

<sup>5</sup> Category 317–O: all HTS numbers except 5208.59.2085. <sup>6</sup> Category 326–O: all HTS numbers except

5208.59.2015, 5209.59.0015 5211.59.0015. The Committee for the Implementation of

Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely. D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc. 01-27429 Filed 10-31-01; 8:45 a.m. BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

#### **COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS**

#### Adjustment of an Import Limit for **Certain Wool Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Russia**

October 26, 2001.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting a limit.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 1, 2001. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Naomi Freeman, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of this limit, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927-5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs Web site at http:// www.customs.gov. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, refer to the Office of Textiles and Apparel Web site at http://otexa.ita.doc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limit for Category 435 is being increased for carryover.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 65 FR 82328, published on December 28, 2000). Also see 66 FR 28425, published on May 23,

#### D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

#### Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

October 26, 2001.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on May 18, 2001, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive

<sup>31, 2000.</sup> <sup>2</sup>Category 313–O: all HTS numbers except 5208.52.3035, 5208.52.4035 and 5209.51.6032

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Category 314-O: all HTS numbers except 5209.51.6015. <sup>4</sup>Category 315-O: all HTS numbers except