Record of Environmental Consideration

REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL - LOUISIANA - April 2007

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number: Maintenance and Storage Building / FIPS#: 089-0042C-00

Applicant Name: St. Charles Parish Public School Board

Project Location: 215 Sugarland Parkway, Luling, Louisiana 70070, St. Charles Parish

Latitude: 29.9359, Longitude: -90.3802

Project Description:

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) have established Alternative Arrangements to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the CEQ Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA to Reconstruct Critical Infrastructure in the New Orleans Metropolitan Area. These alternative arrangements will enable FEMA, as a component of DHS, to consider the potential for significant impacts to the human environment from its approval to fund the reconstruction of critical physical infrastructure in NOMA. This project qualifies as an Alternative Arrangement for the Reconstruction of Critical Infrastructure in the New Orleans Metropolitan Area. For more information visit www.fema.gov/plan/ehp/noma/index.shtm

Due to the impact of Hurricane Katrina, the St. Charles Parish Public Schools' Maintenance Building suffered significant damage. The former Maintenance Building, located at 211 Ellington Avenue, Luling, LA 70070, was deemed eligible for replacement. However, the applicant has applied for an improved project to rebuild the maintenance facility at an alternate site located at 215 Sugarland Parkway, Luling, LA 70070. The proposed scope of work includes the construction of three maintenance/storage buildings (6,500 SF each) and a parking area.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination

	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review (Review Concluded)
П	Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded)
П	Categorical Exclusion - Category
لــــــا	No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Extraordinary Circumstances exist (see Section IV).
	Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (see Section IV comments)
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
\boxtimes	Alternative Arrangements
	Public Involvement Plan on file (see comments below)
	Environmental Assessment
Ħ	Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
Ħ	Environmental Impact Statement
لبيا	Environmental Impact Statement
Cara	wearter Based on de sympetation provided by the applicant FEMA's Environmental Department and Alternative
	ments: Based on documentation provided by the applicant, FEMA's Environmental Department and Alternative
	agements team has determined that the project at St. Charles Parish Schools has provided sufficient documentation to
	ort satisfactory public involvement for this project.
Corre	espondence/Consultation/References: NEPA Alternative Arrangements Finding Letter to St. Charles Parish Public
Scho	ols, signed by Rick Kuss, Deputy Environmental Liaison Officer for Special Considerations, dated October 3, 2007
П	Project is Non-Compliant (see attached documentation justifying selection).

Reviewer and Approvals

	A Environmental Reviewer: :: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist, FE	MA LA TRO
	ture Brandan M. Clark	
	A Environmental Liaison Officer or Delegated	
Name:	: Cynthia Teeter, Deputy Environmental Liaison (Officer FEMA LA TRO
	(1,-1,0)	100 102
Signatu	ture Gitthen Cuter	Date_/8 UCT 07
I.		nmental Laws (other than NEPA)
A No	ational Historia Processuation Act (N)	LID A)
	ational Historic Preservation Act (N) t type of activity with potential to affect historic structu	
Acti	tivity meets Programmatic Agreement, December 3, 20	04. Appendix A: Allowance No.
	e project conditions required? Yes (see Section V)	
	v (see below).	rures or archeological sites, must conduct standard Section 106
	ner Programmatic Agreement dated	applies
HISTO	ORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES	
	historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or olde	er in project area. (Review Concluded)
	ilding or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in proje	
	Determination of No Historic Properties Affected	
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see Se	ection V) No (Review Concluded)
	☐ Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FI	
		and National Park Service was provided early notification
	during the consultation process. If not, e	
		A finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		es (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA f	
	Resolution of Adverse Effect of	
	Are project conditions require	d Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
	HEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
	ject scope of work has no potential to affect archeologi	
	ject affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review	
🔀 Proje	ject affects undisturbed ground or grounds associated v	vith a historic structure
	Project area has no potential for presence of arche	
		affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
	(Review Concluded)	
	Project area has potential for presence of archeol	
		affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		s (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Determination of historic properties affe	
	☐ NR eligible resources not present	(FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
	Are project conditions require	ed Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
	NR eligible resources present in	project area (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		nination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		quired? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
		ation (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)
	• •	ditions required? Yes (see Section V) No
	(Review Concl	uara)

Comments: Project activities will have no effect on historic structures as this undertaking involves construction of new buildings on a clear site outside the confines or viewscape of a national register-listed historic district. Connected activities involving adverse effects on historic buildings were resolved via the execution of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between FEMA, the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the St. Charles Parish School Board dated 10.31.06. Treatment measures outlined in this MOA were received by the SHPO on 8.30.06.
FEMA recommended that these ground disturbing activities will have no adverse effect on archaeological resources. SHPO concurred with this finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" (see correspondence dated 8/6/2007).
Correspondence/Consultation/References: James Crouch, Historic Preservation Specialist and Jerame J. Cramer, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist
B. Endangered Species Act No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (Review Concluded) Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Per correspondence with USFWS dated July 17, 2007, this project has been reviewed for effects to Federal trust Resources under the jurisdiction of the USFWS and currently protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act). The project, as proposed, will have no effect on those resources. This finding fulfills the requirements under Section 7(a)(2) of the Act. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS consultation dated July 17, 2007
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). ☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on file) ☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6 (Review Concluded) ☐ Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not within a CBRA zone. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Barrier Resource System Maps referenced June 25, 2007
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Water Act or Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) ☐ Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to a surface water body.
Comments: No jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including wetlands, occur in or near the project area. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS National Wetlands Inventory map (http://www.fws.gov/nwi/) queried on October 4, 2007. Aaron Cox, Water Pollution Control Division, LDEQ, (225) 219-3092; http://www.dea.louisiana.gov/nermits/Indes/fp. lar20000.ndf

225-342-9232. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Zone maps queried July 25, 2007	
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act ☐ Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project affects, controls, or modifies a waterway/body of water. ☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted ☐ No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) ☐ Recommendations provided by USFWS. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: Per correspondence with USFWS dated July 17, 2007, this project has been reviewed for effects to Fed trust Resources under the jurisdiction of the USFWS and currently protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The project, as proposed, will have no effect on those resources. This finding fulfills the requirements under Section of the Act. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS consultation dated July 17, 2007	3 (Act).
G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: The proposed project includes activities that would produce a minor, temporary, and localized impact of quality from vehicle emissions and fugitive dust particles. No long-term air quality impact is anticipated. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Brandon M. Clark	n air
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project will not affect undisturbed ground. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has a zoning classification that is other than agricultural or is in an urbanized area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resources Conservation Service required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: The site is located within an existing urban and developed area and is therefore exempt from FPPA reg Correspondence/Consultation/References: NRCS Consultation Letter dated July 27, 2007	ulations.
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	
Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)	4

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to migratory birds and would not be included in the USFWS migratory bird management program. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 27, 2005.
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not located in or near any surface waters with the potential to affect EFH species. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist
 K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) Project is along or affects WSR Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required?
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Wild and Scenic Rivers http://www.nps.gov/rivers/wildriverslist.html.
L. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials (such as asbestos and lead based paint) and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
M. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
Formosan Termite Initiative Act In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parish of St. Charles is under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the Commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and forestry or his designee(s).
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Project Name/Env Database No: Maintenance and Storage Buildira g Parish: St. Charles Parish
environment 8 Step Process Complete - documentation Are project conditions required? YE	nt in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
A Final Public Notice is required	
Comments: St. Charles Parish is enrolled in the National Floor Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 2201600125 c dated 06/16/1 floodplain administrator and comply with floodplain ordinance Correspondence/Consultation/References: Flood Insurance 06/16/1992, A. C. Clark, CFM, Floodplain	e.
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands	
No Effects on Wetland(s) and/or project located outside Welland in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded Possible adverse effect associated with constructing Review completed as part of floodplain 8 Step Process Complete - documentation	ed) ng in or near wetland review
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS NWI ma	ap accessed on-line June 25, 2007.
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Project scope of work has no potential to adversely impact No Low income or minority population in, near or affected http://factfinder.census.gov. (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low Are project conditions required? YES (see Secondary Comments: The populations within zip code 70070 (constructions)	any population (Review Concluded) I by the project based on information gathered from I low income or minority population (Review Concluded) I income or minority population Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
The median household income in 1999 was \$55,979 and 7.3% Correspondence/Consultation/References: U.S. Census bure 10/4/07	of families are below poverty level.
III. Other Environmental Issues	
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the executive order (see environmental concerns scoping	
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:	
IV. Extraordinary Circumstances	·
Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experience (ii) Actions with a high level of public controvers (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight	у
conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with po	tential adverse effects or actions involving
unique or unknown environmental risks; Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)	6

FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Project Name/Env Database No: Maintenance and Storage Building Parish: St. Charles Parish
- ',	species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
cultural, historical or other protected resou	
	inces at levels which exceed Federal, state or local
regulations or standards requiring action of	r attention;
(vii) Actions with the potential to affect sp	ecial status areas adversely or other critical resources
such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife re	efuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
sole or principal drinking water aquifers;	
(viii) Potential for adverse effects on healt	h or safety; and
(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, loc	cal or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
protection of the environment.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(x) Potential for significant cumulative im	pact when the proposed action is combined with
	able future actions, even though the impacts of the
proposed action may not be significant by	themselves.

C-					
Co	m	222	e i	IIS	

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

The following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

- 1. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO. In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Division of Archaeology at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work or the location of the new building changes outside of the current APE, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of federal funding.
- 2. The reconstruction should be coordinated the local floodplain administrator and comply with floodplain ordinance.
- 3. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials (such as asbestos and lead based paint) and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 4. In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parish of St. Charles, is under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the Commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and forestry or his designee(s).
- 5. According to the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) under the federal Clean Water Act regulations, construction projects disturbing greater than 1 acre require a General Stormwater Construction Permit due to the potential of these activities discharging stormwater to surface water. This general stormwater permit requires preparation and implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) before ground disturbance begins.