


# Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Draft Revised Management Plan



February 2005

U.S. Department of Commerce

National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration

National Ocean Service

National Marine Sanctuary Program

This document is the draft revised management plan for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary. It replaces the management plan that was implemented in 1997 and will serve as the primary management document for the Sanctuary during the next five years.

Comments or questions on this management plan should be directed to:

Billy D. Causey  
Superintendent  
Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary  
P.O. Box 500368  
Marathon, FL 33050  
(305) 743-2437 x 26  
billy.causey@noaa.gov

### **Note to Reader**

In an effort to make this document more user-friendly, we have included references to the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary web site rather than including the entire text of many bulky attachments or appendices that are traditionally included in management plans. Readers who do not have access to the Internet may call the Sanctuary office at (305) 743-2437 to request copies of any documents that are on the Sanctuary's web site. For readers with Internet access, the Sanctuary's web site can be found at: <http://floridakeys.noaa.gov>.

# ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This document is a report on the results of NOAA's five-year review of the strategies and activities detailed in the 1997 *Final Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement* for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary. It serves two primary purposes: 1) to update readers on the outcomes of successfully implemented strategies - in short, accomplishments that were merely plans on paper just five years ago; and, 2) to disseminate useful information about the Sanctuary and its management strategies, activities and products. The hope is that this information, which charts the next 5 years of Sanctuary management, will enhance the communication and cooperation so vital to protecting important national resources.

## *Sanctuary Characteristics*

The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary extends approximately 220 nautical miles southwest from the southern tip of the Florida peninsula. The Sanctuary's marine ecosystem supports over 6,000 species of plants, fishes, and invertebrates, including the nation's only living coral reef that lies adjacent to the continent. The area includes one of the largest seagrass communities in this hemisphere. Attracted by this tropical diversity, tourists spend more than thirteen million visitor days in the Florida Keys each year. In addition, the region's natural and man-made resources provide livelihoods for approximately 80,000 residents.

The Sanctuary is 2,900 square nautical miles of coastal waters, including the recent addition of the Tortugas Ecological Reserve. The Sanctuary overlaps six state parks and three state aquatic preserves. Three national parks have separate jurisdictions, and share a boundary with the Sanctuary. In addition, the region has some of the most significant maritime heritage and historical resources of any coastal community in the nation.

The Sanctuary faces specific threats, including direct human impacts such as ship groundings, pollution, and overfishing. Threats to the Sanctuary also include indirect human impacts, which are harder to identify but seem to be reflected in coral declines and increases in macroalgae and turbidity. More information about the Sanctuary can be found in this document and at the Sanctuary's web site: <http://floridakeys.noaa.gov>.

## *Management Plan Organization*

Within this document, the tools that the Sanctuary uses to achieve its goals, are presented under five management divisions: 1) Science; 2) Education, Outreach & Stewardship; 3) Enforcement & Resource Protection; 4) Resource Threat Reduction; and, 5) Administration, Community Relations, & Policy Coordination. Each management division contains two or more *action plans*, which are implemented through supporting *strategies* and *activities*. The strategies described in the 1997 *Management Plan* generally retain their designations in this document. As in the 1997 plan, two or more action plans may share a strategy where their goals and aims converge.

## *Accomplishments and Highlights*

The Sanctuary's programs and projects have made significant progress since the original management plan was implemented 1997. An overview of these accomplishments is provided in the Introduction. In addition, each action plan contains bulleted lists of accomplishments since the 1997 management plan was adopted.

# Table of Contents

<b>ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT .....</b>	<b>i</b>
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	ii
ACRONYMS .....	vi
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 THE NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY PROGRAM (NMSP) .....	2
1.2 THE FLORIDA KEYS NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY (FKNMS).....	3
1.3 THE MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW PROCESS .....	7
1.4 ACCOMPLISHMENTS .....	9
<b>2.0 THE SANCTUARY ENVIRONMENT: A SUBTROPICAL ECOSYSTEM.....</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	13
2.2 LIVING MARINE RESOURCES.....	14
2.3 NON-LIVING MARINE RESOURCES .....	17
2.4 THREATS TO THE ECOSYSTEM.....	18
<b>3.0 ACTION PLANS.....</b>	<b>19</b>
WHAT ARE ACTION PLANS? .....	20
WHAT ARE THE ACTION PLANS IN THIS DOCUMENT?.....	20
IMPLEMENTING ACTION PLANS.....	21
<b>3.1 SANCTUARY SCIENCE.....</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1.1 SCIENCE MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATION ACTION PLAN .....	25
<i>Strategy B.11 Issuance of Sanctuary Research Permits.....</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Strategy W.29 Dissemination of Findings.....</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Strategy W.32 Maintaining a Technical Advisory Committee .....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>Strategy W.34 Regional Science Partnerships and Reviews .....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Strategy W.35 Data Management .....</i>	<i>32</i>
3.1.2 RESEARCH AND MONITORING ACTION PLAN .....	34
<i>Strategy W.33 Ecological Research and Monitoring.....</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Strategy Z.6 Marine Zone Monitoring .....</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Strategy W.36 Conducting Socioeconomic Research.....</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>Strategy F.3 Researching Queen Conch Population Enhancement Methods.....</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Strategy F.7 Researching Impacts From Artificial Reefs.....</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Strategy F.6 Fisheries Sampling .....</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Strategy F.11 Evaluating Fishing Gear/Method Impacts .....</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Strategy F.15 Assessing Sponge Fishery Impacts .....</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Strategy W.18 Conducting Pesticide Research .....</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Strategy W.22 Assessing Wastewater Pollutants Impacts.....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Strategy W.23 Researching Other Pollutants and Water Quality Issues .....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Strategy W.24 Researching Florida Bay Influences.....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Strategy W.21 Developing Predictive Models.....</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Previous Strategies.....</i>	<i>59</i>
<b>3.2 EDUCATION, OUTREACH, &amp; STEWARDSHIP.....</b>	<b>60</b>
3.2.1 EDUCATION AND OUTREACH ACTION PLAN.....	61
<i>Strategy E.4 Developing Training, Workshops and School Programs.....</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>Strategy E.6 Continuing the Education Working Group.....</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>Strategy E.10 Establishing Public Forums .....</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Strategy E.11 Participating In Special Events .....</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>Strategy E.1 Printed Product Development and Distribution.....</i>	<i>70</i>
<i>Strategy E.2 Continued Distribution of Audio-Visual Materials.....</i>	<i>74</i>

<i>Strategy E.3</i>	<i>Continued Development of Signs, Displays, Exhibits, and Visitor Centers</i> .....	75
<i>Strategy E.5</i>	<i>Applying Various Technologies</i> .....	78
<i>Strategy E.12</i>	<i>Professional Development of Education and Outreach Staff</i> .....	79
3.2.2	VOLUNTEER ACTION PLAN .....	80
<i>Strategy V.1</i>	<i>Maintaining Volunteer Programs</i> .....	82
<i>Strategy V.2</i>	<i>Working With Other Organization/Agency Volunteer Programs</i> .....	85
<i>Strategy V.3</i>	<i>Supporting Volunteer Activities</i> .....	88
	<i>Previous Strategies</i> .....	90
<b>3.3</b>	<b>ENFORCEMENT &amp; RESOURCE PROTECTION .....</b>	<b>91</b>
3.3.1	REGULATORY ACTION PLAN.....	92
<i>Strategy R.1</i>	<i>Maintain the Existing Permit Program</i> .....	95
<i>Strategy R.2</i>	<i>Regulatory Review and Development</i> .....	98
3.3.2	ENFORCEMENT ACTION PLAN.....	104
<i>Strategy B.6</i>	<i>Acquiring Additional Enforcement Personnel</i> .....	109
3.3.3	DAMAGE ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION ACTION PLAN.....	112
<i>Strategy B.18</i>	<i>Injury Prevention</i> .....	115
<i>Strategy B.19</i>	<i>Implementing DARP Notification And Response Protocols</i> .....	118
<i>Strategy B.20</i>	<i>Damage Assessment And Documentation</i> .....	120
<i>Strategy B.21</i>	<i>Case Management</i> .....	123
<i>Strategy B.22</i>	<i>Habitat Restoration</i> .....	124
<i>Strategy B.23</i>	<i>Data Management</i> .....	128
3.3.4	MARITIME HERITAGE RESOURCES ACTION PLAN .....	130
<i>Strategy MHR.1</i>	<i>MHR Permitting</i> .....	136
<i>Strategy MHR.2</i>	<i>Establishing An MHR Inventory</i> .....	138
<i>Strategy MHR.3</i>	<i>MHR Research and Education</i> .....	140
<i>Strategy MHR.4</i>	<i>Ensuring Permit Compliance through Enforcement</i> .....	142
<i>Strategy MHR.5</i>	<i>Ensuring Interagency Coordination</i> .....	143
<b>3.4</b>	<b>RESOURCE THREAT REDUCTION.....</b>	<b>145</b>
3.4.1	MARINE ZONING ACTION PLAN .....	146
<i>Strategy Z.1</i>	<i>Sanctuary Preservation Areas</i> .....	151
<i>Strategy Z.2</i>	<i>Ecological Reserves</i> .....	154
<i>Strategy Z.3</i>	<i>Special-use Areas</i> .....	159
<i>Strategy Z.4</i>	<i>Wildlife Management Areas</i> .....	162
<i>Strategy Z.5</i>	<i>Existing Management Areas</i> .....	164
3.4.2	MOORING BUOY ACTION PLAN.....	165
<i>Strategy B.15</i>	<i>Mooring Buoy Management</i> .....	168
3.4.3	WATERWAY MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN.....	171
<i>Strategy B.1</i>	<i>Boat Access</i> .....	175
<i>Strategy B.4</i>	<i>Waterway Management/Marking</i> .....	177
3.4.4	WATER QUALITY ACTION PLAN .....	181
FLORIDA BAY/EXTERNAL INFLUENCE STRATEGIES .....		186
<i>Strategy W.19</i>	<i>Florida Bay Freshwater Flow</i> .....	187
DOMESTIC WASTEWATER STRATEGIES .....		189
<i>Strategy W.3</i>	<i>Addressing Wastewater Management Systems</i> .....	190
<i>Strategy W.5</i>	<i>Developing and Implementing Water Quality Standards</i> .....	193
<i>Strategy W.7</i>	<i>Resource Monitoring of Surface Discharges</i> .....	194
STORMWATER STRATEGIES.....		195
<i>Strategy W.11</i>	<i>Stormwater Retrofitting</i> .....	196
<i>Strategy W.14</i>	<i>Instituting Best Management Practices</i> .....	197
MARINA AND LIVE-ABOARD STRATEGIES .....		198
<i>Strategy B.7</i>	<i>Reducing Pollution Discharges</i> .....	199
<i>Strategy L.1</i>	<i>Elimination of Wastewater Discharge From Vessels</i> .....	201
<i>Strategy L.3</i>	<i>Reducing Pollution From Marina Operations</i> .....	203
LANDFILL STRATEGY .....		204

<i>Strategy L.7</i> <i>Assessing Solid Waste Disposal Problem Sites</i> .....	205
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STRATEGIES.....	206
<i>Strategy W.15</i> <i>HAZMAT Response</i> .....	207
<i>Strategy W.16</i> <i>Spill Reporting</i> .....	209
<i>Strategy L.10</i> <i>HAZMAT Handling</i> .....	210
MOSQUITO SPRAYING STRATEGY .....	211
<i>Strategy W.17</i> <i>Refining the Mosquito Spraying Program</i> .....	212
CANAL STRATEGY .....	213
<i>Strategy W.10</i> <i>Addressing Canal Water Quality</i> .....	214
<i>Previous Strategies</i> .....	216
<b>3.5 ADMINISTRATION, COMMUNITY RELATIONS AND POLICY COORDINATION.....</b>	<b>217</b>
3.5.1 OPERATIONS ACTION PLAN.....	218
FUNCTION 1:    SANCTUARY ADMINISTRATION .....	219
FUNCTION 2:    COMMUNITY RELATIONS.....	224
FUNCTION 3:    POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND COORDINATION .....	226
<i>Strategy OP.1</i> <i>Addressing Administrative Policy Issues</i> .....	229
<i>Strategy OP.2</i> <i>Addressing Resource Policy Issues</i> .....	230
<i>Strategy OP.3</i> <i>Addressing Legal Issues</i> .....	231
FUNCTION 4:    THE SANCTUARY ADVISORY COUNCIL.....	232
3.5.2 EVALUATION ACTION PLAN.....	234
<i>Strategy EV.1</i> <i>Measuring Sanctuary Performance Over Time</i> .....	236
<b>APPENDICIES.....</b>	<b>245</b>
APPENDIX A    THE NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARIES ACT .....	246
APPENDIX B    THE FLORIDA KEYS NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY AND PROTECTION ACT .....	267
APPENDIX C    FKNMS REGULATIONS.....	280
APPENDIX D    FINAL FKNMS DESIGNATION DOCUMENT .....	334
APPENDIX E    FKNMS ADVISORY COUNCIL AND WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP .....	340
APPENDIX F    MARITIME HERITAGE RESOURCES PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT .....	344
APPENDIX G    VESSEL OPERATIONS/PWC MANAGEMENT REGULATORY ALTERNATIVES .....	361

**List of Figures**

Figure 1.1 The National Marine Sanctuaries.....2  
Figure 1.2 The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Boundaries.....5  
Figure 1.3 Reef groundings of ships greater than 50m in length before and after the creation of the ATBA.  
.....9  
Figure 1.4 FKNMS boundary and ATBA ..... 10  
Figure 3.1 NMSP Performance Evaluation Logic Model.....236

**List of Tables**

Table 3.1 Action Strategy Implementation Over Five Years Under Three Funding Scenarios.....21  
Table 3.2 Estimated costs of the Science Management and Administration Action Plan.....26  
Table 3.3 Estimated costs of the Research and Monitoring Action Plan.....38  
Table 3.4 Estimated costs of the Education and Outreach Action Plan .....63  
Table 3.5 Estimated costs of the Volunteer Action Plan .....81  
Table 3.6 Estimated costs of the Regulatory Action Plan.....94  
Table 3.7 Estimated costs of the Enforcement Action Plan ..... 108  
Table 3.8 Estimated costs of the Damage Assessment and Restoration Action Plan .....114  
Table 3.9 Estimated costs of the Maritime Heritage Resources Action Plan .....135  
Table 3.10 Estimated costs of the Marine Zoning Action Plan.....150  
Table 3.11 Criteria for the Creation and Establishment of the Tortugas Ecological Reserve .....155  
Table 3.12 Estimated costs of the Mooring Buoy Action Plan. ....167  
Table 3.13 Estimated costs of the Waterway Management Action Plan.....174  
Table 3.14 Estimated costs of the Water Quality Action Plan .....184  
Table 3.15 Estimated costs of the Operations Action Plan/Policy Development and Coordination Function.  
.....228  
Table 3.16 Estimated costs of the Evaluation Action Plan.....235  
Table 3.17 Measures for Evaluating the Performance of FKNMS Action Plans .....239






## Acronyms

ASA	Abandoned Shipwreck Act
ATBAs	Areas to Be Avoided
AWT	Advanced Wastewater Treatment
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DARP	Damage Assessment and Restoration Program
DMR	Department of Marine Resources (Monroe County)
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
F.S.	Florida Statutes
FAC	Florida Administrative Code
FDACS	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
FDHR	Florida Division of Historical Resources
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FFWCC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FKNMS	Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary
FKNMSPA	Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Protection Act
FPS	Florida Park Service
FWRI	Fish and Wildlife Research Institute
FWS	Fish and Wildlife Service
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
HAZMAT	Hazardous Materials
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MEERA	Marine Ecosystem Event Response and Assessment
MHR	Maritime Heritage Resources
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MMS	Minerals Management Service
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NEPA	National Environmental Protection Act
NGO	Non-governmental Organization
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NMS	National Marine Sanctuary
NMSA	National Marine Sanctuary Act
NMSP	National Marine Sanctuary Program
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
OSDS	On-Site Disposal System
PSSA	Particularly Sensitive Sea Area



SAV	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
SCR	Submerged Cultural Resources
SEFSC	Southeast Fisheries Science Center
SFWMD	South Florida Water Management District
SPA	Sanctuary Preservation Area
SWIM	Surface Water Improvement and Management Act
SWM	Stormwater Management
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	U.S. Coast Guard
USDOC	U.S. Department of Commerce
USDOI	U.S. Department of Interior
USDOS	U.S. Department of State
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WMA	Wildlife Management Area

## 2.0 THE SANCTUARY ENVIRONMENT: A SUBTROPICAL ECOSYSTEM



## 2.1 Introduction

Adjacent to the Keys' land mass is a complex marine ecosystem that supports a variety of spectacular, unique, and nationally significant seagrass meadows, mangrove islands, and extensive living coral reefs. This ecosystem is the marine equivalent of a tropical rain forest in that it supports high levels of biological diversity, is fragile and easily susceptible to damage from human activities, and possesses great value to humans if properly conserved. The ecosystem supports over 6,000 species of plants, fishes, and invertebrates, including the nation's only coral reef that lies adjacent to the continent, and one of the largest seagrass communities in this hemisphere.

## 2.2 Living Marine Resources

The Florida Keys ecosystem contains one of North America's most diverse assemblages of flora and fauna. The Florida peninsula and Florida Keys serve as a partial barrier between the temperate waters of the Gulf of Mexico and the tropical to subtropical waters of the Atlantic Ocean, resulting in a unique distribution of marine organisms.

The coral reef tract, arching in a southwesterly direction for 220 miles, comprises one of the largest communities of its type in the world. It is the only emergent coral reef system off the continental U.S. All but the northernmost extent of the reef tract lies within the sanctuary.

The reef tract is a bank-barrier system comprised of an almost continuous reef community. One of its most noticeable features is its seaward-facing spur-and-groove formation. Over 6000 patch reefs, circular to oval in shape, lie in nearshore to offshore areas.

The ecosystem also supports one of the world's largest seagrass beds, among the richest, most productive, and most important submerged coastal communities. Seagrasses provide food and habitat for commercially and recreationally important species of fish and invertebrates. Without the seagrass community, the coral reef community would likely collapse.

Mangroves form an important component of the ecosystem, fringing most of the more than 1600 islands and 1800 miles of shoreline. Mangroves provide important ecological functions such as habitat for juvenile fishes and invertebrates, sediment traps, and surface area for attached organisms such as oysters, sponges, and algae.

The Florida Keys coral reef ecosystem is highly biologically diverse, and includes:

- 520 species of fish, including over 260 species of reef fish
- 367 species of algae
- 5 species of seagrasses
- 117 species of sponges
- 89 species of polychaete worms
- 128 species of echinoderms
- 2 species of fire coral
- 55 species of soft corals
- 63 species of stony corals

### *Coral Reefs and Coral Health*

The reefs of Florida have undergone change for millennia due to sea-level changes, storms, and other natural occurrences. More recently, human impacts have directly and indirectly damaged the reef structure and reef communities, and as a result corals are under stress.

In the Florida Keys, a decrease in coral cover and species diversity and an alarming increase in coral diseases and coral bleaching have been recorded in the Coral Reef/Hard-bottom Monitoring Project conducted by Florida's Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI). The project records biodiversity, coral condition (including diseases and bleaching), and coral cover at stations located in various habitat types. Since 1996, over 66 percent of the monitored sites have exhibited losses in stony coral

diversity, although some positive trends were noted in the 1999-2000 survey period. Significant gains and losses of several stony coral species have occurred both between years and over the entire sampling period, indicating fluctuations in coral species richness but no loss of species Sanctuary-wide.

In addition, FWRI monitoring has shown a declining trend in stony coral cover from 1996 to 2000, with the greatest relative change occurring in the Upper Keys. A reprieve from this decline has recently been observed and may be attributable to the lack of significant events such as bleaching, tropical storms, or hurricanes. As with species diversity, scientists find that coral cover is highly variable by both habitat type and region.

Recruitment (settlement of new individuals) of stony corals is an important factor in overall community dynamics. Two monitoring programs that are evaluating coral recruitment trends find that differences exist in coral recruitment among habitat types and regions. Juvenile corals in the lower Keys suffered significant mortality in 1998 due to a direct strike from Hurricane Georges.

Coral diseases increasingly threaten the overall health and vitality of reef systems in the Sanctuary. While over ten coral diseases are believed to exist at this time, only three pathogens have been positively identified. The monitoring project has documented increases in the number of research stations that contain diseased coral, the number of coral species with disease, and the number of diseases themselves. Regional differences in disease incidence have also been documented, with the highest concentration observed in the Key West and Lower Keys region.

Over the past 20 years, coral bleaching events in the Sanctuary have increased in frequency and duration. Massive coral bleaching was first recorded in the Lower Keys in 1983 along the outer reef tract, where shallow fore-reef habitats were the most affected areas. Bleaching expanded and intensified with events in 1987 and 1990, and culminated with massive coral bleaching in 1997 and 1998 that targeted inshore and offshore reefs throughout the Keys. Coral bleaching is undoubtedly responsible for some of the dramatic declines in stony coral cover observed Sanctuary-wide in the last five years. Similar observations of bleaching have been made regionally and internationally since 1987, and it is widely recognized that 1997 and 1998 were the worst coral bleaching years on record, causing significant loss of corals worldwide.

#### *Algae, Seagrasses, and Other Benthic Organisms*

Monitoring of benthic, or bottom, communities by the National Undersea Research Center at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington has documented that algae of various species dominate bottom habitats at all sites throughout the Sanctuary. Sponges and soft corals cover a much smaller percentage of the sea floor (from about 10 percent to 20 percent). Like algae, they are highly variable, depending on the region being surveyed and the time of year.

Seagrasses are comprehensively monitored by Florida International University as part of the Sanctuary's Water Quality Protection Program. Data indicate approximately 12,800 square kilometers of seagrass beds lie within and adjacent to the Sanctuary. Some variability in seagrass cover and abundance has been identified, although populations seem relatively stable. Continued monitoring will be invaluable for detecting human impacts on the seagrass communities.

### *Reef Fish*

Monitoring fish populations occurred for many years before the Sanctuary's designation and continues to this day. From 1979 through 1998, a total of 263 fish species representing 54 families were observed. Over half of all fish observed were from just ten species. Relatively few fish of legal size have been seen, which is consistent with several studies that indicate reef fish in the Florida Keys are highly overexploited.

Despite population declines throughout much of the Sanctuary, fish numbers in fully protected zones (Sanctuary Preservation Areas, Ecological Reserves, and Special-use and Research-only areas) are increasing to some degree. Years of data from one monitoring program show that the number of individuals of three exploited species are higher in protected zones than in fished sites. Researchers have also seen an overall increase in the average abundance of three snapper species at several sites after the sites were protected.

### *Mobile Invertebrates*

FWRI monitors mobile invertebrates, such as spiny lobster and queen conch. Spiny lobsters continue to be more abundant in the fully protected Sanctuary Preservation Areas and Ecological Reserves than outside these areas. Researchers have found their average size is larger and catch rates (number of lobsters per trap) are higher than in reference areas during both the open and closed fishing seasons.

Queen conch populations have remained low for the last decade despite a prohibition on their collection since 1985. Attempts to supplement wild populations with laboratory reared stock and experiments aimed at improving their reproduction are designed to ameliorate the long-term decline in queen conch populations in the region.

Sea urchins are also in very low abundances, especially the long-spined urchin, suggesting poor recovery of this species since its massive Caribbean-wide die-off in 1983. Two research efforts underway are exploring means by which populations of this key species may be restored.

## 2.3 Non-living Marine Resources

### *Maritime Heritage Resources*

The waters of the Florida Keys have some of the most significant maritime heritage and historical resources of any coastal community in the nation. Because of its unique geographical position on the European and American trade routes, shipwrecks in the Keys contain a record of the 500-year history of the Americas. Key West has been the crossroads of the Caribbean, and the sea has remained the common thread through the region's cultural and historic sites. The relative inaccessibility of underwater cultural sites has ensured that many delicate artifacts remain undisturbed. The importance of the region's maritime heritage resources is great, and the possibility exists for discovering some of the earliest archaeological sites in North America. A detailed description of the cultural and historical resources of the Florida Keys is contained in the "Description of the Affected Environment," of the Environmental Impact Statement (see Volume II of the Florida Keys Management Plan at <http://floridakeys.noaa.gov>).

### *Water Quality*

Many water-quality parameters have been monitored Sanctuary wide by Florida International University's Southeast Environmental Research Center since 1995 as part of the Water Quality Protection Program. Thus far, results indicate that some elements (dissolved oxygen, total organic nitrogen, and total organic carbon) are present in higher concentrations in surface waters, while other indicators (salinity, turbidity, nitrite, nitrate, ammonium, and total phosphorus) are higher in bottom waters.

Geographic differences in water quality include higher nutrient concentrations in the Middle and Lower Keys and lower nutrient concentrations in the Upper Keys and Dry Tortugas. Also, declining inshore-to-offshore trends across Hawk Channel have been noted for some parameters (nitrate, ammonium, silicate, total organic carbon and nitrogen, and turbidity).

Probably the most interesting findings thus far show increases over time in total phosphorus for the Dry Tortugas, Marquesas Keys, Lower Keys, and portions of the Middle and Upper Keys, and increases in nitrate in the Southwest Florida Shelf, Dry Tortugas, Marquesas Keys, and the Lower and Upper Keys. In contrast, total organic nitrogen decreased somewhat, mostly in the Southwest Florida Shelf, the Sluiceway, and the Lower and Upper Keys. These trends may be driven by regional circulation patterns arising from the Loop Current and Florida Current, and have changed as the period of record has increased.

Stationary instruments along the reef tract continuously monitor seawater parameters and ocean states. The data are analyzed by Florida Institute of Oceanography's SEAKEYS program and periodically transmitted to satellites and made available on the Internet. Additionally, water temperature data are recorded every two hours from a series of thermographs that the Sanctuary has maintained for the past ten years.

## 2.4 Threats to the Ecosystem

The deterioration of the marine ecosystem in South Florida is no longer a matter of debate. Visitors, residents and scientists alike have noted the precipitous decline in the health of the coral reef ecosystem. The threats causing these visible signs of decline are numerous and often complex, ranging from direct human impacts to global climate changes.

Direct human impacts include vessel groundings, anchor damage, destructive fishing, and damage to corals as a result of divers and snorkelers standing on them. Boat propellers and large ships have damaged over 30,000 acres of seagrasses and more than 20 acres of coral reef habitat in the Sanctuary.

Most pressures stem from the 5 million annual visitors and 80,000 year-round residents. Their high levels of use in the Sanctuary have significant direct and indirect effects on the ecosystem. Sanctuary visitors primarily seek water-related recreation, including fishing, diving, snorkeling, and boating.

Although less immediate than direct physical damage to the corals, other stressors also significantly affect the Florida Keys ecosystem. Overfishing has dramatically altered fish and other animal populations on the coral reef, contributing to an imbalance in ecological relationships that are critical to sustaining a diversity of organisms. Eutrophication (an outcome of excess nutrients in the water, such as fertilizers) of nearshore waters is a documented problem. Wastewater and stormwater treatment and solid-waste disposal facilities are highly inadequate, directly affecting nearshore water quality. Some solutions to water quality problems are being implemented, but given the scope of the problem, more action is required.

In Florida Bay, reduced freshwater flow has increased plankton blooms, sponge and seagrass die-offs, and fish kills. Since Florida Bay and nearshore waters provide important nursery and juvenile habitat for a variety of reef species, the declines in these areas affect the overall health and structure of offshore coral reefs. Therefore, regional strategies to address the quantity, quality, timing, and distribution of freshwater flows into the South Florida ecosystem and Florida Bay through the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan are critical.

In addition, seasonal and yearly seawater temperature fluctuations, increasing solar radiation, and atmospheric changes all affect the ecosystem. The impacts are seen in coral disease and bleaching, which have increased in frequency, duration and range, coinciding with the ten warmest years on record. Under normal conditions, corals and reef organisms would be expected to tolerate and recover from sporadic events such as temperature variation. However, additional human-induced stresses are likely affecting the ability of these organisms to adequately recover from climate fluctuations.