## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

- - -

PORTSMOUTH FIELD HEARING

ON UNIVERSAL POSTAL SERVICE AND THE POSTAL MONOPOLY

- - -

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

1 Jenkins Avenue

Portsmouth, New Hampshire

JUNE 19, 2008

Commencing at 2:00 p.m.

DUFFY & McKENNA REPORTING ASSOCIATES
P.O. Box 1658
Dover, NH 03821-1658
(603) 743-4949
Toll Free: 1-800-600-1000

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
      POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSIONERS:
 3
      Dan G. Blair, Chairman
      Mark Acton, Vice Chairman
      Ruth Goldway, Commissioner
 4
      Tony Hammond, Commissioner
 5
      Nanci Langley, Commissioner
 6
 7
                              WITNESSES
 8
      PANEL 1:
 9
      Jamie Trowbridge, President, Yankee Publishing, Inc.
10
      Liz Robert, President, The Vermont Teddy Bear Company
      Jeanie Schnell, Postmaster
11
      Tom Underkoffler, Director, corporate Logistics, Medco
12
           Health Solutions, Inc.
13
14
      PANEL 2:
15
      Steve Smith, Director of Distribution, Christian Science
           Publishing Society
16
      Jody Berenblatt, Senior Vice President Postal Strategy,
17
           Bank of America
18
      Laura A. Timmons, Area Marketing Manager, Northeast
           Area, USPS
19
      Richard Moses, City Letter Carrier
20
21
      Court Reporter:
22
      Camille M. Palladino-Duffy
      RPR, LCR No. 105
23
```

	Postal Regulatory Commission hearing	6/19/2006 3
1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2	Opening Statement	Page
3	Chairman Blair	4
4	Commissioner Hammond	7
5	Commissioner Goldway	7
6	Commissioner Langley	8
7	Vice Chairman Acton	10
8	Panel 1	
9	Statement	Page
10	Jamie Trowbridge	11
11	Liz Robert	20
12	Tom Underkoffler	33
13	Jeanie Schnell	39
14	Question and Answer Session	43
15		
16	Panel 2	
17	Richard Moses	69
18	Laurie Timmons	74
19	Jody Berenblatt	81
20	Steve Smith	86
21	Question and Answer Session	92
22		
23		

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Good afternoon everyone.

Welcome to Portsmouth. It's a beautiful day and we're doing some, I'm told they're doing some work to the roof of the City Council Chambers. So if you hear a loud thud, don't take cover, it's just work that's going on up above us.

But I'm pleased to be here this afternoon and to be able to welcome everyone. This is the third hearing that we've had in our road show as the Commission has embarked upon this study, the Universal Service Obligation and the Postal Monopolies.

Congress tasked the Commission with this job back in December of 2006 when it passed the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act. And in this past year we initiated our study earlier through an extensive notice in the Federal Register. We had some comments by the end of June with replied comments due by July 29. In an effort to reach out beyond Washington, we've gone to Flagstaff, St. Paul, Minnesota, and now we're in Portsmouth, New Hampshire.

We conducted a workshop in Washington, D.C. last week and we're going to conclude on July 10 with another hearing in Washington as well.

We've heard a number of themes from these hearings as well. We've heard about the need to maintain access from the Postal Service and the importance that local post offices play in providing community identity in the face of the federal governmental role in remote locations throughout our country.

At the workshops, many of the mailer representatives stressed the need to maintain affordable postal practices and writings. Some urge the Commission to review potential trade-offs in service reductions should this off set future increases in the cost of mail.

The sanctity and security of the mail has also been a reoccurring theme with most witnesses generally favoring the current mailbox restrictions.

Defining Universal Postal Service along with estimating the cost of universal obligations is in deed a very broad task. That's why we've come to

Portsmouth today to hear from a variety of witnesses whom I'd like to introduce at this point.

Our first panelist is comprised of Jaime
Trowbridge who is the President and CEO of Yankee
Publishing in Dublin, New Hampshire. Our second
witness this afternoon is Liz Robert, President of
the Vermont Teddy Bear Company in Shelburne,
Vermont. Jeanie Schnell joins us today from the
remote island of Monhegan off the coast of Maine,
where she serves as the Monhegan Postmaster. And
Tom Underkoffler completes the first panel and
serves as the Director of Corporate Logistics of
Medco Health Solutions in Franklin Lakes, New
Jersey. Welcome.

Our second panel of witnesses today, and I'll introduce them when they begin to testify, include Steve Smith, Director of Distribution of the Christian Science Publishing Society in Boston; Jody Berenblatt, Senior Vice President of Postal Strategy of the Bank of America in New York; Laurie Timmons, Area Marketing Manager for the U.S. Postal Services Northeast Area; and Richard Moses, a U.S. Postal Service City Letter Carrier since 1972, and

he's based in Boston.

Your statements will be made part of the Commission's hearing record today and a transcript of today's hearing will also be available on the Commission's web site.

At this point, I welcome you and I'll yield to my fellow Commissioners to say a few words.

Commissioner Hammond.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. And I want to thank all our

witnesses for taking time out of your schedules to

be with us today. I've commended the Chairman

before for taking this on the road, so to speak, to

get out of Washington, D.C. to get a varied group

of individuals who are involved in various aspects

of mail delivery and postal service and from

stakeholders and all, and that I think it's been

very good for us and I look forward to a nice

discussion today. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Commissioner Goldway.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: I'll second everyone's welcoming remarks. I'm delighted that you are all here and I'm looking forward to a range of

interesting discussions and an opportunity for us 1 2 to ask you some questions to further broaden the 3 dialogue that we have on universal service 4 obligation. 5 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you. We're kind of 6 mixing it up on the panel today. We have a new 7 member of the panel today, Nanci Langley. Welcome, 8 Nanci, your first official hearing at the Commission. 9 10 COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Do you have any opening 11 12 remarks you'd like to make? COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: Well, I do want to 13 14 welcome everybody and join my colleagues in 15 thanking you for coming. Thank you, Mr. Chairman for his foresight in going out of beyond 16

thanking you for coming. Thank you, Mr. Chairman for his foresight in going out of beyond

Washington, D.C. I think the Commission is going to benefit greatly from the diverse and varied opinions that we've heard so far.

There have been many witnesses who have

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

discussed the societal benefits of the postal service and it's universal service obligation.

Others have brought up the sanctity of the mail.

And just in looking at the testimony from today, I was reminded that the Postal Service is a designated, critical infrastructure by the Department of Homeland Security, and I was reminded of this when I looked at Mr. Moses' testimony, and he discussed the issue of the city's readiness initiative and, because the Postal Service sits on the Postal and Shipping Sector Committee on the Department of Homeland Critical Infrastructure Advisory Council, I think this is something that we also need to keep in mind when we are looking at the different functions of the postal service.

It certainly is a critical infrastructure, both in the Federal government viewpoint, but also the huge economic impact that the postal sector has on the economy. This is an important topic. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Commissioner

Langley. I'd like to introduce now the Vice

Chairman of the Postal Regulatory Commission, Mark

Acton.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Congress has charged this Commission with an

important mission and that's the Universal Service Obligation, as well as the monopoly mandate. I thank you for your time and testimony. It's a great contribution toward the work that we need to be doing.

As the Chairman mentioned, this is our third of three hearings in the field. There's a couple of individuals that have an important role that I'd like to thank on the record. The first is the Chief of Staff, Ann Fisher, who is here today. And she's also our Acting Director of Government Affairs and Public Relations. And the second is Judy Grady, who is the agency's Director or Assistant Director of Administrative Services and Strategic Mission. The two of them have done a lot of the yeoman's work in preparing for these events and they have been very helpful and thanks again.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: We're ready to start. So, with that, why don't we go from my left to my right, which would be from your right to your left. So we'll start with Mr. Trowbridge.

Welcome. I appreciate you coming before the Commission. I look forward to hearing your

testimony today.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And a credit to you all for getting out of Washington and for coming to New Hampshire. I hope you have time to enjoy the state while you are here.

My name -- I'm just going to read the prepared statement and then I'd be happy to answer your questions.

My name is Jamie Trowbridge. I am the

President and CEO of Yankee Publishing,

Incorporated, which is headquartered in Dublin, New

Hampshire, which is about two hours west of here.

I appreciate this opportunity to testify before the

Commission.

Yankee Publishing was founded in 1935 by my grandfather Robb Sagendorph. He was a frustrated freelance writer who had a vision for a magazine that would be the expression of our great New England culture. Yankee was not very successful at first, but my grandfather bought the rights to publish The Old Farmer's Almanac in 1939, and things started to look up financially after that. The Old Farmer's Almanac is America's oldest

DUFFY & McKENNA REPORTING ASSOCIATES 1-800-600-1000

continuously published periodical. It was started by Robert B. Thomas in 1793, when George Washington was still in his first term as President. Yankee still publishes both Yankee and the Old Farmer's Almanac. We have 55 employees. 45 at our company headquarters in Dublin, New Hampshire, and 10 at a sales office in Boston. And the company, 75 years old, is still family-owned.

Today, we use the Post Office and the Postal Service quite extensively to distribute Yankee Magazine to our 325,000 subscribers. Yankee Magazine is New England's magazine, the essential resource for people who want to know and enjoy the New England way of life today.

Our readers live in both urban and rural areas, and about half of them live outside New England, which is unusual for a regional magazine. The outsiders use the magazine as a way of staying in touch with the region that they love.

A year and a half ago in January 2007, we cut our publishing frequency to six times per year and reduced our circulation in an effort to recast the magazine's publishing economics. Reducing our

frequency and our circulation was a way to reduce our production and distribution expenses which had really grown beyond our ability to afford. At the same time, even though we changed the size of our magazine, it was a 6 by 9 inch magazine that we changed it to a standard size magazine. Increasing trim size not only provided a better visual presentation for our readers, but it gave readers more content per issue. New Englanders don't like change and some of them let us know they didn't like our changes but, for the most part, the change has been well-received and has been very successful.

Changing the size of Yankee from digest size to a more common size allowed us to join the comail pool of our printer, Quad Graphics. It also allowed us to switch to a printer that was closer to where most of our readers are. We switched from printing in Wisconsin to printing in New York. Through comailing, we are able to qualify more than 80 percent of our copies for Carrier Route rates and over 90 percent of our copies for destination entry rates.

In addition to distributing Yankee magazine to subscribers through the Postal Service, we also use the Postal Service extensively to support our subscriptions by sending acknowledgements, invoices, and renewal notices and use it for attracting new subscribers by sending promotions and special offers for magazines and related products.

The Postal Service is extremely important to our business because mail serves as our primary means of contact with our readers.

While we do sell copies on newsstands, subscriptions comprise more than 90 percent of our circulation. We expect that the subscription channel for Yankee magazine will continue to be the major component of our magazine's circulation for the foreseeable future. All subscription copies are delivered by the Postal Service.

Given the large increase in recent years in the costs of producing and distributing magazines, as well as changes in media consumption patterns, Yankee magazine has established a successful Internet companion website, YankeeMagazine.com,

where we serve about 90,000 unique visitors per month. We are focusing our investment resources on the web, as we expect are many mail users are, as businesses in general continue to explore ways in which technology can facilitate quicker, less expensive, and more effective communication with customers.

While we don't expect the circulation of our magazine to continue to grow much in the future, we do believe the magazine will continue in print form for a long time to come. Our customers tell us they prefer receiving the material that way. I've been told that magazines and other mailed periodicals have been called an anchor of the mailbox, eagerly awaited by consumers. And I feel confident that is true for Yankee's subscribers. There is a good reason that mail with educational, cultural, scientific, and informational value, such as magazines and newspapers, have received special treatment under postal law throughout the years.

Ever-increasing production and distribution costs may force magazines to raise prices for consumers and for advertisers. Unfortunately, our

subscribers and advertisers are more focused on their costs and they are very, very price sensitive.

Realistically, the only way we can accommodate increased costs in production and distribution is by cutting back the least profitable aspects of our business as they turn unprofitable. That decreases the volume of our business and it decreases the volume of the USPS. Thus begins the downward spiral. To keep our businesses viable, we need a viable, reliable and affordable Postal Service now and in the future.

I wish to offer the following observations in response to the Commission's questions regarding universal postal service.

Maintaining a universal postal system is in the national interest. Facilitating nationwide distribution of the printed word was a fundamental justification for the original establishment of America's government-sponsored postal system. More than 200 years later, magazines, like Yankee, still make a difference in people's lives, and in the life of the nation.

What does universal service mean? Several features of universal service are particularly important to Yankee and magazine publishers in general. If I could presume to speak for them.

To hit a point I've already stressed, postal rates must remain affordable. Consistent with the recently-enacted postal reform law, this can be achieved by constraining periodicals rate increases to inflation. As a small mailer, I can tell you how hard it is to absorb increasing business costs, paper, fuel, health insurance, those in addition to and postage.

As the only truly universal service provider, the Postal Service should continue delivery to each and every business and residence as it does today.

I feel this is important.

Six days per week delivery we'd like to see continued. Certain magazines may be best received just prior to or on the weekend when readers have more time to devote to perusing the magazine.

Other magazines may be best received early in the week to provide useful information a reader can utilize during the workweek. I'm speaking here for

magazines as a category more than I am for our own magazine.

The Postal Service should provide reliable service, with clearly articulated service standards, a service measurement and reporting system, and avenues of recourse if the standards are not met. We believe that current service levels provide adequate service for magazines and should be maintained.

The Postal Service must continue to deliver the variety of mail that publishers and other mailers want to send. Publishers use the Postal Service to distribute a broad spectrum of magazine formats, ranging from ounces to ones that weight pounds; from digest size to tabloid size; from unwrapped to polywrapped.

I realize that the potential declines in mail volumes could make funding universal service more challenging in the future. It is likely that the Postal Service will need to look internally for cost reduction opportunities. I understand that one such opportunity is for the Postal Service to streamline its processing network to reach an

optimal configuration. The Postal Service should be given substantial flexibility to do so as long as it continues to meet it universal service obligation.

Finally, I wish to offer the following observations in response to the Commission's questions regarding postal monopoly laws.

One reason the Postal Service is able to pay for its nationwide and truly universal network of carriers delivering mail to each and every business and residence in the nation is through the monopolies it has been granted over the delivery of letters and access to mailboxes. This seems to be a reasonable approach to me. The Commission should not recommend changes to these monopolies that could jeopardize universal service.

I understand that magazine publishers have tested alternate delivery options and concluded that efficient and affordable delivery requires large quantities of mail. Allowing new entrants to cream-skim the Postal Service's most profitable mail volume could deprive the service of the volume and revenue needed to underwrite the cost of the

universal service obligations. 1 2 It really seems to me that keeping the volume 3 of the USPS up is going to be essential to its long-term survival. 4 5 Thank you for your time and attention. And I 6 would be pleased to answer any questions you may 7 have. 8 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Mr. Trowbridge. Ms. Robert. I hope I'm pronouncing it right. 9 10 MS. ROBERT: Yes, you are. CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay. Thank you. 11 MS. ROBERT: Very fortuitous for someone in my 12 position. 13 14 Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, on behalf of 15 the employees and customers of The Vermont Teddy Bear Company, I thank you for the opportunity to 16 17 appear before you today. I am Liz Robert, 18 President and Chief Bear Officer of our company. 19 Vermont Teddy Bear represents a segment of commerce 20 that some believe is vanishing in America today, and that is domestic manufacturing, but one that 21 22 remains critically important to thousands of small 23 companies like ours to collectively employ millions of Americans.

We make teddy bears in Vermont, but we position them uniquely in the marketplace as Bear Grams in order to compete against low cost imported teddy bears. Bear Grams are customized teddy bears that are delivered directly to recipients as personalized greetings for special occasions such as birthdays, anniversaries, weddings and new babies, as well as holidays, such as Valentine's Day, Christmas, and Mother's Day.

We're also a cataloger. In fiscal 2007

Vermont Teddy Bear mailed over 20 million catalogs
that generated approximately 43 percent of its
annual sales.

Catalog remains extremely important to the future of our business, as we continue to expand on the national and international level. The recent postal rate increases applicable to catalogs have had a material impact on the profitability of our company, as I set forth previously in a March 2007 letter to the then Chairman Miller.

We are a small business today by national standards, but one of the largest Vermont

employers. We're number 31 according to Vermont
Business Magazine, with about 300 high-skilled
jobs, including 52 engaged in all aspects of teddy
bear manufacturing.

We believe, in fact, we are the only manufacturer of teddy bears in North America with virtually all plush toys and gifts being made in China. And, therefore, we are the stewards of Teddy Roosevelt's American tradition of the teddy bear. Our Shelbourn and Newport, Vermont facilities demonstrate our commitment of preserving manufacturing. Newport is an economically depressed area with one of the highest unemployment rates in the State of Vermont.

And our factory in the city, which is one of the smallest cities in America, provides high paying manufacturing jobs not generally available elsewhere in the area.

To be a successful American manufacturer,
we've been innovative, consistently innovative,
adopting lean and other advanced manufacturing
techniques to be competitive. We continually
invest in our domestic manufacturing capabilities,

not only because we believe that the Vermont made theme reinforces our brand identify, but also because we sincerely believe that times are a changing. That the pendulum is, in fact, swinging back and that our domestic manufacturing will be a real strategic asset in the future.

As we monitor closely the increased cost of doing business in China, related in part to rising energy prices, we have a new vision that with continued innovation and a competitive mind set, we can leverage this asset as a real opportunity for growth in the near future.

I'm here today to convey three points that I believe are relevant as you contemplate changes to our national mail systems, and the role the U.S. Postal Service plays in enabling commerce, particularly for smaller U.S. based companies and its greater benefits to rural America in providing higher paying employment than might be available in a local fast food restaurant or a convenience store.

So, number 1. The U.S. mail among many other things is a direct marketing channel delivering

advertising content on behalf of direct marketers to every individual address in America. It's a channel paid for by advertisers, including catalogers delivering advertising content in much the same fashion as radio and television. And this channel allows small business in America, even those businesses that are located in remote rural areas, such as our company in northern Vermont, access to a national marketplace.

The Vermont Teddy Bear Company and companies like it would not exist if it were not for direct marketing, including catalogs delivered by the mail.

We tried more than once in our history, but we could not compete with imports, imported teddy bears, on the thin margins of wholesale or with the expensive infrastructure of brick and mortar retail. Our small business was too nitch. We couldn't reach enough people with our differentiated product message.

It was not until the company turned to direct response in the early 1990's, principally radio and catalog, that it was able to make the transition

from a struggling start up to an expanding success story growing from 17 to 75 million dollars in annual sales in eight years.

As an agency of the U.S. Government, the U.S. Postal system, I believe, has a responsibility to understand its role in an enabling commerce, particularly that of small business, the heart of the U.S. economy, helping to level the playing field in an increasingly competitive global supply change.

Further, a properly managed postal system enables future growth for thousands of small marketers like us who use the U.S. mail to connect to consumers, producing in turn increased mail and package volume that can increasingly, but competitively cover the costs of maintaining universal service to all Americans.

Point number 2. Some consider the U.S. Postal system to be a full monopoly in every market segment outside of packages and overnight delivery. And I would say to you that the experience of our company, the Vermont Teddy Bear Company, does not bear this out -- no pun intended.

We have a variety of alternatives in how we can allocate our marketing dollars. Our behavior is driven by economics. We compare the cost of each medium to the return that medium delivers, and while we have worked hard to counter postage increases for our catalog with lower paper weights, reduced page counts and more sophisticated comail programs, our company is mailing significantly fewer catalogs in the coming year and is committed to reducing its mail dependency in the future.

We are shifting more of our marketing dollars as a percentage of total dollars spent to other direct response channels, including radio, TV, and web advertising, as we seek an improved return on each advertising dollar spent. We do not have a choice.

Some tell us that catalog postage went up significantly because flats are not profitable to send through the mail; however, we understand that catalog companies have not been engaged in this discussion and we're, in fact, the only major mailer group that was not involved in the debate of how to allocate the high fixed cost of running our

postal system.

In making costs and pricing decisions, no one from our industry was available to challenge the claim for some that flats were not profitable or, more importantly, given the chance to explore ways to tailor catalogs or automate catalog processing methods. More specifically, to reduce the cost impact on the Postal system.

Since it appears that the current status quo is to have the effect of actually driving large numbers of catalogs out of the mail and, therefore, completely missing a sizeable growth opportunity for the benefit of the Postal Service and its universal service obligation, we would really urge an immediate review and reconsideration of the decisions that have been made over the past few years as it relates to catalog postage.

Because cataloging is complicated to do this effectively, we think it requires experts within the United States Postal Service on our industry segment, on cataloging, who can partner with catalogers, increase the value of mail by the impact of response it generates while reducing the

cost of handling the mail through such initiatives as flats, automation.

Point number 3. I believe that the USPS has an opportunity to compete effectively, not only in delivering advertising, competing against radio and television, but also with common carriers in package delivery. Again, for the benefit of preserving its universal service obligation.

Vermont Teddy Bear over the years has repeatedly attempted to work with the USPS on package delivery of its BearGram gifts, but it's consistently run into road blocks.

First, USPS pricing of its parcel delivery services is not competitive currently, except in certain localized situations. Second, tractability en route while the package is in transit, not just delivery confirmation is critical to our gift delivery product, and that's not available when shipping via the USPS. And third, the local Post Office and distribution unit have not been adequately set up to provide adequate support of the injection of significant package volume into the mail stream at the holidays.

And we've tested persistently the USPS over the years. So we sincerely believe, based on our experience that investment in management systems and technology, the USPS can became a viable competitor to both UPS and Federal Express in the package delivery industry.

So, in conclusion, the universal service obligation debate is largely driven by changes in a USPS volume that make it increasingly difficult for remaining mail volume to cover the cost of frequent delivery to every address in America.

For a relevant lesson in adapting to changing consumption patterns, one might consider the experience, the recent experience of the common carriers, UPS and FedEx in particular. In recent years their bread-and-butter business on which they had long depended to maintain an efficient delivery platform is disappearing. Electronic word processing documents, e-mail, PDF files, and even electronic signatures all reduce the demand for overnight document delivery. And at the same time another market shift was occurring. Internet driven E-commerce dramatically exploded the global

parcel delivery volume. Because so much parcel delivery occurs at major holidays, such as Valentine's Day and Christmas, these common carriers became capacity constrained on these certain critical days that tend to be holidays.

At the same time, their consistent daily base document business supporting the existing infrastructure was shrinking. FedEx, on the one hand, unwilling to invest in additional capacity, presumably because the seasonal variability made such investment uneconomical, they established caps on and eliminated discounts to larger shippers, larger holiday shippers such as Vermont Teddy Bear, limiting the VTBC packages it will carry on Valentine's Day.

UPS, on the other hand, was innovative and aggressive. It leveraged more broadly its integrated ground and air systems to handle the added seasonal parcel volume and won over a large number of shippers, larger shippers, including Vermont Teddy Bear as a consequence.

Struggling to recapture lost business, more recently FedEx has now eliminated these seasonal

caps. And the experience demonstrates in my mind two distinct approaches to adjusting to societal shifts in demand, such as electronic distribution to printed material.

One is to try to govern the demand and reprice current services in an effort to cover the cost of existing infrastructure as contribution margins change. The second, and I believe the premise for a viable and free market economy, is to innovate and adapt to societal changes and demands.

We see a parallel here for the USPS as it adapts to the loss of its bread and butter, the first-class letter. E-commerce is the catalyst for an increase in direct marketing and the USPS, as I just mentioned, has a long-standing business partner to a plethora of direct marketers and virtually all catalog direct marketers, is perfectly positioned to benefit, both in delivering the incremental volumes of advertising and parcels. By leveraging its existing infrastructure with improved efficiencies and advanced relevant methods to support direct marketing, it seems there is an opportunity to compete profitably in a developing

marketplace that could then in turn support some responsible level of Universal Service Obligation tailored to the electronic age.

On the other hand, it seems futile to me to focus on the reverse approach of meeting an outdated standard by raising prices on services for which there is no competitive market, thereby reducing demands for those services and creating a negative spiral while trying to support an outdated and likely over extensive obligation or infrastructure.

We the catalog industry are sincerely ready to partner with the USPS to help facilitate its competitive opportunity to support reasonable universal service. Right now is the time to invest in U.S. commercial platforms, as American industry is once again poised to compete in a rebalancing of an evolving marketplace.

So thank you again for the opportunity to share with you today my point of view and I very much appreciate your ears.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Ms. Robert.
Mr. Underkoffler, if I'm pronouncing that

1 correctly. 2 MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Correct. Correct. 3 Mr. Chairman, Vice Chairman, Commissioners, 4 thank you for allowing me to present today. 5 Good afternoon, my name is Tom Underkoffler. 6 I'm Director of Logistics for Medco Health 7 Solutions. My responsibilities require extensive 8 involvement in postal affairs, and I also represent Medco with a number of associations, including the 9 10 Parcel Shippers Association where I serve on their executive committee. I'm also a member of the 11 Mailers Technical Advisory Committee and cochair of 12 the Impact Subgroup on Service Standards for 13 14 Packages. 15 Medco Health Solutions is a leading Pharmacy Benefit Manager or PBM, and we operate the nation's 16 17 largest and most advanced mail-order pharmacy 18

largest and most advanced mail-order pharmacy operation. In fact, we've spent almost 95 million prescription via our mail order pharmacy last year.

Almost more importantly though, is our level

19

20

21

22

23

of quality. We have our highly automated,
proprietary pharmacy systems in our Las Vegas,
Nevada and Willingboro, NJ pharmacies. Those are

significantly more accurate than hospitals or retail operations, in dispensing accuracy, exceeding Six Sigma® levels of dispensing. And Six Sigma is a statistical standard used to characterize a near-perfect production environment, representing a 99.997 percent error-free rate, unmatched by any retail pharmacy.

In addition to that, we are also presently underway in building a \$140 million facility to develop a next-generation mail-order facility in Whitestown, IN.

So in terms of what Medco uses with the Postal Service, we utilize many classes of mail. We utilize first-class mail and standard mail flats for our correspond between our clients, ourselves and our patients. We also receive first-class Mail letters containing new prescription orders from our patients on a daily basis. Our mail-order pharmacies dispense almost a million and a half packages per week, largely via our Expedited Drop Shipment program containing standard mail parcels. That also includes our Priority Mail -- your Priority Mail distribution process. In addition,

we use first-class mail parcels, Priority Mail,
Express Mail and we utilize a third party for
Parcel Select.

In short, the USPS assists Medco in providing a value proposition to our clients and service convenience to our patients. Universal, affordable delivery of its products is critical to Medco, its clients, and its patients.

So our clients and patients have come to depend upon the ability of the USPS to cost-effectively deliver the mail, our prescription mail six days per week today. And reduction in Postal Service delivery days to Zip codes with existing volume without a corresponding improvement in service would ultimately lead to delays in Medco receiving new orders as well as our patients receiving their medication.

Saturday delivery also complements our 24-hour dispensing process during the week. Although the concept of eliminating Saturday delivery has been brought up, this is a large concern to us as it would create a period of two consecutive days without delivery, clearly impacting service to our

patients.

We are also concerned that reducing the number of delivery days per week would result in degraded service during the inevitable peak volume periods during the year. People say Thanksgiving, Christmas, et cetera.

That being said, however, we also understand the state of the current economy, and the likely impact it will have on the existing volume trends for the USPS. We certainly want to see a financially healthy USPS in the future, but any changes made need to protect the existing value proposition, which is affordable service.

Degrading service to control costs will not likely enhance either customer's satisfaction nor future volume growth.

My experience at Medco, and my role with MTAC in the effort to develop delivery service standards for the Postal Service, reinforces my opinion that simply mandating universal service is not sufficient.

Unpredictable or unreliable delivery service for our products would, obviously, be problematic.

We at Medco appreciate the role this Commission is playing in the development of service standards and performance measurement systems and publicly thank you for that. We look forward to commenting, as you invite, in your order from yesterday.

Medco residents and patients reside in all 50 states and U.S. Protectorates. And, from that aspect, the continued ability of the USPS to offer cost-effective service to all locations is very important to us. In fact, ordering medication from PBM's like Medco is the most convenient, cost-effective way for many Americans to access their medications especially in rural areas.

To maintain the USPS density existing letter and mailbox monopolies should be protected.

Reducing the scope of these monopolies could jeopardize the Postal Service's ability to comply with the Postal Accountability Enhancement Act's CPI's based price cap for market-dominant products, an outcome that Medco believes would be unacceptable.

In addition, the security of the mailboxes that is provided by the existing monopoly is of

great importance. American citizens need to continue to trust the contents of their mailbox, as the present law ensures.

In exchange for these protections, we feel strongly that the Universal Service Obligation should include all areas and should definitely include first-class mail and standard mail parcels.

Of course, care must be taken to ensure that delivery to higher cost areas be affordable as affordability is a key element in any Universal Universal Service Obligation.

As previously stated, we do understand the need for the Postal Service to continually look for ways to reduce costs. Worksharing, in particular, has proven to be a valuable and effective method for reducing total network cost. Medco has embraced this concept, and currently sorts Standard Mail parcels by 3 and 5-Digit Zip Codes and injects them in the DSCF and DDU's today.

We look forward to additional incentives that will allow us to inject additional parcels deeper into the USPS in the near future.

In summary, we feel that to best service our

patients, the USO should protect existing delivery 1 2 schedules. We appreciate the devotion of the USPS 3 to constantly look for ways to reduce costs, but 4 would not expect service to be reduced as a result. 5 We look forward to the continued requirement 6 for all existing mail products, including parcels, to be included in the USO. 8 In the best interests of the USPS, we would 9 support the protection of the letter and mailbox 10 monopolies, as will best ensure the overall value proposition of USPS products. 11 Thanks for this opportunity and your 12 attention. I will be pleased to answer any 13 14 questions. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Mr. Underkoffler, thank you very much. Ms. Schnell, welcome. 16 17 MS. SCHNELL: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Did you get here by boat 19 today? 20 MS. SCHNELL: Yesterday, actually. 21 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Yesterday. Okay. 22 MS. SCHNELL: Mr. Chairman, distinguished 23 Commission members, my name is Jeanie Schnell.

am Postmaster of Monhegan, Maine, and I am testifying on behalf of the 40,000-member National Association of Postmasters of the United States. Please understand that my statement and views do not necessarily reflect the policy of the U.S. Postal Service. Nonetheless, I strongly believe that I am very qualified to assist the Commission with its report on universal postal service.

Monhegan is a remote and literally isolated community. The Postal Service is our commercial and interpersonal lifeline. Located approximately ten miles off the coast, Monhegan Island is a mile and a half long and half a mile wide.

Three-quarters of the island is a forested, natural preserve with 17 miles of trails through wooded areas and over rocky ledges up to some of the highest ocean cliffs on the Maine coastline. It is accessible only by boat, an hours ride in good weather; and there are no cars or paved roads on the island. There is a church, a library, a museum, and a one-room school house for grades K through 8, but no high school, no bank, no drug store; certainly no doctor, not even a nurse.

Monhegan has a year-round population of around 60 residents; however, during the summer months the population grows more than tenfold.

Painters, photographers and bird watchers from round the world come each year to escape the hustling cities and rushing highways and rejuvenate on Monhegan. Indeed, many return year after year. Some buy summer homes and pass them down from one generation to the next.

And then there are those, like my husband and me, who come to stay and work and live with families that go back five and more generations. Please note the word "work" because, while quite quaint and picturesque, Monhegan is first and foremost a working community.

Monhegan's economy is dependent on, of course, lobstering and fishing, but also the tourist industry. The inns, the cottage trade, the summer shops and restaurants, even Winter Works, a co-op selling crafts made by the winter community. And central to all this work and central to this whole remarkable isolated place is its Post Office.

Of course, visitors send letters and cards,

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

but every single business on this island, and every family depends on our Post Office. Packages are sent and received, financial transactions are carried out, prescriptions and medications are sent, and reservations are made. The Post Office is Monhegan's lifeline. It is our bank, our pharmacy, and our commercial center. If there were no Post Office on Monhegan, there would be no year round community on Monhegan.

On a personal note, I will add that the Post Office provides us with the priceless ability to communicate with our children who must, out of necessity, leave the island for boarding school at the tender age of twelve. Speaking as a parent who's been through the ordeal, nothing quite compares with sending or receiving a care package from home.

While private-courier transported parcels do reach the island, the packages sit on the Port Clyde dock for days, since there is no economic justification to load the boat with the packages as they arrive and convey them to Monhegan. They are considered delivered when they reach Port Clyde

Dock. And, of course, there is no private-courier service provided from the island to the mainland. Indeed, without the mail contract, there would be no incentive for the boat-line to run during the winter months.

I am proud that the Postal Service provides universal service, and that universal service is not characterized solely by economics.

Nonetheless, I caution the Commission that if you define universal service in economic terms, rather

than based upon its societal impact, you will be arranging for the demise of communities like mine. I am proud that I play a small, but vital role in providing universal service. I strongly believe that a universal postal service is a sacred, government-guaranteed obligation, which must be preserved for the sake of small-town, back-roads America, places like Monhegan Island.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you very much, Ms.

Schnell. We will go to some questioning. And I
think this first question is for the first three
witnesses. The Postal Service has embarked on some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ambitious new programs, such as implementation of the intelligent mail bar code and the flat sequencing sortation systems. How do these impact you? How do these impact the Universal Service Obligation and the need to provide universal service? Is this being done in a vacuum? Is the USO something separate and distinct from this, or is this all part of giving greater value to the mail, and this is -- and these programs which are incurring costs are passed on to all postal customers, but how does that factor into what will increase or does it? I'll take whoever wants to have that 10,000 foot question. MR. TROWBRIDGE: I don't feel qualified Mr. Chairman, to answer specifically. Is the program one, the bar coding, one that allows mail orders to advance the mail further into the mail stream; is that what this is? CHAIRMAN BLAIR: It will allow mailers to better track their mail and they'll know when it's delivered and when it's entered into the system. MR. TROWBRIDGE: Okay. CHAIRMAN BLAIR: So it gives value to that --

it gives value to the center because that way 1 2 they'll be able to understand how the Postal 3 Service is performing in delivering that specific 4 piece of mail. 5 MR. TROWBRIDGE: I understand your question 6 better. Liz, so maybe you should --7 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: And, excuse the --8 MS. ROBERT: The thunder from above. 9 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Knock three times. 10 MS. ROBERT: I don't believe that our company would necessarily see great value in being able to 11 track its catalog distribution. I think we have a 12 13 great deal of confidence that once the catalogs are 14 injected into the mail stream, the vast majority 15 get delivered on time. I do think however, on the parcel side, the tractability of packages in the 16 17 system in order to make the service competitive 18 with the services of UPS and FedEx would be 19 valuable. 20 In our case, the customer can be very anxious. Two days before Valentine's Day, the young man 21 22 wants to know that the teddy bear's going to reach 23 his girlfriend and he calls us quite frequently to

find out exactly where it is. And without that tractability, we really aren't able to use UPS -- USPS as a competitive product in delivering our packages. But as far as catalogs are concerned, I mean, the advertising side of the channel, I don't believe there will necessarily be that much added value.

MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Mr. Chairman, I think from an IMB perspective, I think there would be some longer term benefits from that from what we've seen so far; however, we are looking to the overall value proposition. In other words, being able to completely identify what the complete requirements are so we can put together: A. The cost model to figure out what it would cost to completely engage; and then B. From a cost differential perspective, what the likely enhancement or benefits would be. So I think if we have those two important pieces of the puzzle, we would be better suited to take a position on that.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: How important is it to the universal service allocation and to the study of the Universal Service that the Postal Service

implement a viable and cost-effective performance
measurement system?

MR. UNDERKOFFLER: I think it's an integral part of the USO. You can't have one without the other. From my perspective, the Universal Service offering really means being able to have, regardless of urban area, super rural area, you need to be able to have consistent, reliable deliveries and cost-effective.

MS. ROBERT: Again, speaking as an advertiser, I believe that the system is reliable and that it would be difficult to justify a huge investment in developing a system whereby you could track the flats, the catalogs in delivering the advertising component of the mail stream. But, again, there may be others who find that there would be a material advantage. I can't say that we would find a material advantage to them.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Well, there's an old maxim, what gets measured is what gets done.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: That's the part I like. I mean, I agree with Liz, actually, in terms of delivery of the magazines, we are satisfied with

the current level of service and it seems very 1 2 reliable, but just in terms of management of the 3 USPS business, the more information you have about 4 how things are working, the better qualified you 5 are to make improvements. 6 MS. ROBERT: I think if the objective is to 7 try to drive costs down in the system, that would 8 be a major reason for it to be worthwhile. 9 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: May I just interrupt? 10 It seems to me, Mr. Trowbridge, you do want tracking for your packages, you do want more 11 12 measurements and accountability for your packages. 13 MS. ROBERT: Yes, but I think the question was related to flats. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Well, just your postal products generally. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: You are talking about 18 measurements and accountability, intelligent mail 19 bar code, which has some costs to it, and we're concerned about the balance between the cost for 20 21 implementing a new system and the benefits that are 22 received, and how important it is to have a 23 measurement system in place. So that same IMB

would work for your packages.

MS. ROBERT: Again, I think for the package side of the business, it would be valuable because it would certainly enable us to use the USPS for package delivery versus UPS or FedEx. But on the catalog on the advertising side, the flats, which is where I thought the question was going, I don't believe it's as important. So if it were possible to implement it for one component of the mail stream and not another, I would certainly support more it being adopted for package delivery.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Change gears a little bit.

Ms. Schnell, would you describe for us your typical day as being a Postmaster of Monhegan Island? Do you have delivery -- how do residents receive their mail? How often do they receive it?

MS. SCHNELL: All residents come to the Post Office. They all have P.O. boxes. There's 155 boxes at the Post Office. So everybody -- it is the place to go. Everybody has to go there. And the mail comes usually, about six months out of the year it comes at 10:00. And then six months out of the year it comes Monday, Wednesday, Friday weather

permitting, and an hour later. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: So it comes three days a week 3 on the mainland? 4 MS. SCHNELL: November first through May 5 first, yes. And then six days a week every day the 6 other six months of the year. 7 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Anything else that, apart 8 from the public service aspect of providing mail 9 service, how long has the Post Office been on the 10 island? MS. SCHNELL: That's a good question. I don't 11 12 have the answer. I know that once upon a time it 13 came by sail boat, and that was actually thrown 14 onto the dock. That was out of Boothbay and that 15 I'd have to go back. I would say that would probably be 1900. It may have been earlier. I'm 16 17 not positive. 18 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Mr. Acton. VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 20 Ms. Schnell, Postmaster Schnell, you mentioned the 21 circumstances with private courier delivery. Is 22 that UPS and FedEx? 23 MS. SCHNELL: UPS and FedEx. They do provide

service. It is delivered to the boat line in Port 1 2 Clyde, and that is where they say, okay, we're 3 done. And they, you know, if you are tracking it, 4 you are tracking your package wondering where it is 5 and you say, you'll see that it's delivered, when, 6 no, it hasn't made it to made Monhegan. 7 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: What do you mean to the boat 8 line in Port Clyde? 9 MS. SCHNELL: My mail is delivered -- the 10 Postal Service has a contract with Monhegan Boat 11 Lines, which is located in Port Clyde, Maine. CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay. And where is Port 12 13 Clyde? It's not on the island? 14 MS. SCHNELL: No. 15 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay. 16 MS. SCHNELL: It's an hour away by boat. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: So that arrival at Port 18 Clyde is the final milestone scan for that type of 19 delivery? 20 MS. SCHNELL: For UPS --VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: For UPS and FedEx. 21 22 MS. SCHNELL: Yes. And then, the boat line 23 does deliver it to Monhegan and there's an

individual on the island who takes it from there 1 2 and delivers it to your home. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: The individual other 4 than a Postal person? 5 MS. SCHNELL: Correct, yes. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: But is it accurate to 7 represent that without the Postal Service, there 8 would be no express delivery to the residents of 9 the island. For instance, you are getting express 10 delivery via the Postal Service product. MS. SCHNELL: Correct. I'm not --11 12 VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: I'm saying that the 13 Postal Service is able to provide a service --14 MS. SCHNELL: Yes. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: -- for express delivery that the private sector competitors cannot. 16 17 MS. SCHNELL: Correct. And, like I said, 18 there was no service at all from the private 19 courier's going in the opposite direction. VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: 20 Thank you. 21 Mr. Trowbridge, you mentioned in the course of your 22 testimony that one of the provisions that you are 23 hopeful for is some avenue of recourse when

standards are not met by the Postal Service. I'm wondering if you have some particular approach in mind.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: No, I don't. I just think that having -- it's what the Chairman said, what gets measured gets done. I think that having those standards and tracking those standards will help the Postal Service itself, manage itself and probably help you as a Commission also when you are looking at different ways to evaluate the Postal Service.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: But it sounds as if you have -- you contemplate some forum or procedure whereby mailers who are dissatisfied with the service that has been promised may go for relief or resolution.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: I don't have any specifics along those lines, and I don't want that to be your main take away from my testimony.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: Okay. One last question for Ms. Robert. You emphasized that package pricing by the U.S. Postal Service isn't competitive. I'm wondering if you can speak to

that a little bit.

MS. ROBERT: Sure. We manage delivery of our packages through both the common carriers, UPS and Federal Express, as well as the U.S. Postal Service. And we have a means by which, based on the weight of our packages, the level of service requested by the customer, and the destination, i.e. the zip codes, means by which algorithmically or through a computer to determine the actual rate per package.

And we monitor this on a daily basis and it is a rare occasion when a package would automatically be chosen to be delivered on the basis of cost by the U.S. Postal Service. Obviously, we deliver packages to P.O box addresses via the USPS, but our rate shopping mechanism enables us to determine the cheapest rate. And we do have, you know, analyses also to support the difference in package delivery cost via courier.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: So your algorithm will often convey to you that there are better choices than the Postal Service in terms of affordability.

MS. ROBERT: Exactly.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: 1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Commissioner Hammond. 3 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman. I was wondering, Mr. Trowbridge, 5 you said, as I see your magazine, Yankee magazine, 6 is now six times yearly. 7 MR. TROWBRIDGE: That's correct. 8 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Every other month. 9 yet, every time we'd have periodical people talk to 10 us during this process, they have stressed the importance of with, Universal Service, how 11 12 important six day a week delivery is. And I know 13 you said you were speaking as much on behalf of 14 other periodicals as yourself when you were talking 15 about how important that was, but could you expound a little bit on, if, what kind of effect do you 16 17 think it would have on your customers if that six 18 day service was to disappear? I mean, we've heard 19 that from magazine and newspaper people, especially 20 more than anyone else. 21 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yes. When I was speaking for

the group magazines, I still feel very much that we

are in the business of journalism and that we are

22

23

delivering news. Now, it's true that if you are delivering a magazine only every two months, what's the difference if it was two months and a day. So, for us as a publisher, I think if there really were, if push came to shove and you all decided that you really could substantially concentrate the same mail volume into fewer delivery days, and then that would save the USPS money and make Postal service more affordable, that would work for us in a way that it wouldn't work for a news weekly.

But I feel bound to speak for the other publishers whose material is more time sensitive because I think that using the mail to deliver news and journalism and information is, you know, was what I think really got the USPS going and should remain a viable part of what the USPS does.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Underkoffler, I was wondering if maybe you

could, and I don't know all the specifics about

this, but in thinking about the monopoly on the

mail box, most time we are focusing on universal

service, but monopoly is just as important in part

of what we are doing. And you have prescription

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

drugs, things like that. It is prescription drugs. Is what you -- I know. I can go to the nearby pharmacy and get mine, but my hound dog who suffers from depression, I have to order it through the mail. It's the only way I can get it, and it's required by law to be overnighted and a signature has to be -- they can't leave it without. And so, that leads me to think about the restrictions that you all have and if there were not a monopoly, we keep hearing from people that customers care about the security of their mail and you all have specific requirements on what you have to do. Could you talk about what not having the monopoly or a change in that might make as far as things like prescription drugs and the federal requirements that you currently have?

MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Sure. Based on the type of medication that's shipped out, there are a number of different rules that we follow internally, as well as externally based on federal guidelines and as such, signature required, other options like would be required on certain types of medications.

So from a security perspective at the mail box

level, I think our greatest concern would be that additional opportunities for others to have entries into that would mean that, from a customer/patient perspective, it could necessarily trust who was entering mail or packages, in our perspective, who was entering packages into the mail box. So, as far as where that actually came from, was it the USPS? Again, this is theoretical, would it be some other kind of courier. And I think that's kind of our concern there, make sure it's still protected. It is still one, just one, the USPS.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Okay. I can go on, but I know we're starting to run late.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Commissioner Goldway.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Yes. All of you have given really interesting testimony that raises a lot of questions for me. I want to point out that, Ms. Robert, your testimony includes some detailed descriptions of your dealing with USPS and some frustrations you have, and the marketing people for the region are here and you should make sure that you talk to them and see what you can do in terms of getting more recognition of your concerns about

handling packages at certain times of the year and special arrangements for that. I'm sure the Postal Service is now more than ever willing to work with you on those issues.

You do say something about wanting cheaper, faster, greater impact delivery of advertising mail. So does that mean the current service standards aren't adequate or is there some other way of delivering products that you think would be better? I mean, what do you mean? What were you thinking of in more specific terms in that sentence?

MS. ROBERT: I think I was thinking in terms of being competitive with other means of advertising. For instance, our other largest channels are radio and television. And it's primarily directed at the issue of cost. And I think up until fairly recently the return on our catalog advertising dollars was relatively comparable to the other channels we use, but with, you know, as we approach a 24 percent increase of postage began to really create a much wider discrepancy in the cost of delivering a catalog and

its related return as compared to radio and television advertising. And, as such, we have shifted dollars away now from catalog, back to those other channels. So, really, the primary thrust of my comment in my written testimony was related to the cost of delivering advertising.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: So investment in something like the FSS, which we hope would reduce some cost of handling these flats and, therefore, keep the prices down, is something that you think is important?

MS. ROBERT: And I, you know, I understand that, you know, in recent years there's been a huge investment in automation for standard envelopes. And while I can appreciate the value, obviously, of that to the system, there are huge advertising dollars being spent by people who put flats into the mail and it seems like many of those dollars should be allocated in trying to develop automation and more cost-effective means of handling flats so that catalogers aren't subject to increases in postage and they can benefit from cost reductions in fact or mitigation of cost increases by way of

automation and improved processes for that 1 2 particular segment of the mail stream. 3 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Thank you. 4 Mr. Underkoffler, I wonder, I think it was 5 Commissioner Langley mentioned that there is this 6 Homeland Security Task Force in which the Postal Service participates. Has anybody from your 8 company been involved in giving advice to the 9 Postal Service about distribution of pharmacy and 10 drug products in a crisis? Is that --MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Without going into too much 11 detail, we've had some discussions with the Postal 12 Service. I think there still remain to be many 13 14 discussions to be had. We also had discussions 15 with the CDC a few weeks ago on the same topic. I think there was an opportunity there that can be 16 17 explored a little further than it is today. 18 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Yes. I really think 19 that the opportunity to have a partnership with 20 your kind of firm and the Postal Service is just 21 the sort of thing that needs to happen in the 22 future --23 MR. UNDERKOFFLER: I would agree.

1	COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: and build on Ms.
2	Langley's comments about the essential nature of
3	the Postal Service.
4	Now, quick question for Ms. Schnell. I have
5	so many. Isn't that where On Golden Pond was set?
6	The film, On Golden Pond?
7	MS. SCHNELL: No.
8	COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: No? That was a
9	different island.
10	MR. TROWBRIDGE: That was set on Squam Lake,
11	about two hours north of here.
12	COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Oh, a different one.
13	Okay. Now, you have a library on the island.
14	MS. SCHNELL: Correct.
15	COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Do they use media mail
16	or book rates?
17	MS. SCHNELL: Library rates.
18	COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Library rates to get
19	books back and forth.
20	MS. SCHNELL: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: So they need that kind
22	of mail service, as well, and that's an important
23	part.

MS. SCHNELL: Yes. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And you mentioned 3 something about banking? 4 MS. SCHNELL: There's no bank on the island so 5 and, especially the summertime all the employees 6 that come out to work at the inns and stuff, they 7 all come to the Post Office to get money orders so 8 that we can send their earnings to their banks. 9 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Any ATM's on the island? 10 MS. SCHNELL: There are as of, I think two 11 years ago, there are two. And, of course, the 12 Postal Service has the debit card where you can get a few dollars. 13 14 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And people have 15 internet? They use the internet on the island? MS. SCHNELL: We just got -- well, we just got 16 17 high speed in March of this year, so we're just 18 getting it now. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Okay. Really interesting. And Mr. Trowbridge, do you do seeding 20 of your publication to know how quickly they arrive 21 22 now. Do you do your own internal measurements? 23 MR. TROWBRIDGE: We have done that for the,

over the years, but we don't currently do it. We really depend on just the customers to let us know when the service isn't up to speed. And when I said I was satisfied with the level of service we see that, particularly, where we are moving the mail so far through the stream that delivery is very consistent.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: So the copalitization has helped you with cost and with service.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Right. Because, I mean, basically, you know, when a carrier route gets the bundle, I mean, there they are. They are all in the right order and all that's got to be done is fed in the boxes along the street. There's much less to go wrong along the way.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Thank you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Mrs. Langley.

MS. LANGLEY: All of your testimony was very interesting and I think Postmaster Schnell's testimony brought some real life example of why delivery density and/or distance are not always viable factors in costing true value of Postal

Service and Universal Service. 1 2 I have many questions for you because I think 3 it's fascinating. And I just want to ask, how do 4 you get food? Do people bring it in or do you also 5 use, do residents use the Postal Service? 6 MS. SCHNELL: For food? Most residents will 7 go on shore and buy groceries in bulk, usually a 8 months' supply. And there are two, you know, local 9 stores that have staples on the island. 10 MS. LANGLEY: Okay. MS. SCHNELL: Very expensive. 11 12 MS. LANGLEY: So you do have, you are not like 13 the Alaska bypass mail system whereby you are using 14 the Postal Service for many products. 15 MS. SCHNELL: I would say medication is a big one and Netflix is a big one. 16 17 MS. LANGLEY: All right. Mr. Underkoffler, 18 speaking about density, you mentioned that maintaining density of deliveries is important and 19 that Medco serves all 50 states and the U.S. 20 territories. 21 22 Given the special considerations that you have 23 with certain types of medication, do you have to

take into account the distances you are serving, say, for Alaska or Hawaii or any of the rural areas out in Montana, you know, up in Maine, how do you factor this into your system?

MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Yes, we do.

Simplistically, from temperature sensitive medications, we do ship quite a few of those each day each week as well, and certainly there are certain areas in Alaska and Hawaii and certain parts of the continental U.S. as well that are just very difficult to get to. So that certainly plays a part in determining what origin we ship from based on what destination it needs to go to, and how to pack it, and make sure it's there in a timely manner. So in certain areas we have to, we certainly choose amongst all four shippers, but certain areas, the Postal Service is the means we use to get it there.

MS. LANGLEY: And if you run into problems, you have people you can go to. I mean, do you see any difficulties in certain areas more than others?

MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Certain areas are so remote that it does require a completely different

standard operating plan in terms of how to ship it 1 2 out and get it there. So, yes, it does play a 3 part. 4 MS. LANGLEY: You are very sensitive to any 5 diminution in service? 6 MR. UNDERKOFFLER: Yes. 7 MS. LANGLEY: Thank you. 8 MS. SCHNELL: Could I add one more thing? 9 Your question, I will say that catalog shopping, 10 that is huge. Food, no, but everything else, we do 11 rely on catalogs. MS. LANGLEY: I'm sure the catalogers love to 12 13 hear that. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Commissioner 15 Langley. 16 I think we have someone doing the soft shoe up 17 there so, on that note, I want to thank the 18 witnesses here today. We could go on, but I know that you all have things to do this afternoon, but 19 20 we really appreciate you taking the time and effort to come and prepare the testimony and deliver it 21 22 before us. 23 Again, your full remarks will be included in

the record. It will be part of the transcript that 1 2 will be available. And if you have any questions 3 for us, please let us know. Again, on behalf of 4 the Commission, thank you for coming in today. 5 greatly appreciate it. 6 We have a second panel of witnesses here 7 today. If you all would proceed to come up to the 8 witness table. We have Steve Smith, who is with the Christian Science Publishing Society in Boston. 9 10 Steve's been a great host. I've had the opportunity to visit the Westcoast publishing 11 facility of the Christian Science Monitor and 12 13 appreciate learning about that. 14 We have Jody Berenblatt, Bank of America, and 15 Jody's been a witness before before the Postal 16 Regulatory Commission. So, again, welcome. 17 We have Laurie Timmons, the Area Marketing 18 Manager for the U.S. Postal Services Northeast 19 Area, looking forward to hearing about that. 20 That's quite a large area that you have, isn't it. MS. TIMMONS: Yes, it is. 21 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: And then we have Richard 22 23 Moses, a U.S. City letter carrier, based in Boston.

So, Mr. Moses, thanks for coming to testify.

On that note, we are going to start off with you, Mr. Moses.

MR. MOSES: Thank you very much.

Good afternoon Chairman Blair and other distinguished members of the Postal Rate Commission. My name is Richard Moses and I am a full-time city letter carrier and have been since 1972. The Postal Service hired me immediately after I left the military and I have carried routes in Boston, Quincy, Brookline, and West Roxbury in the years since. Thank you for the opportunity to share my views on the importance of six-days-per-week service from a city letter carrier's perspective.

I speak to you today with over 36 years of experience delivering the mail and serving my communities everyday as a trusted employee of the federal government. I'm proud to wear my uniform and I am proud to work for an agency that has won the confidence and trust of the American public. I am also proud to be a long time union member. I belong to the National Association of Letter

Carriers, Branch 34. My union has long sought to represent members like me while keeping an eye out for the long-term health of the Postal Service. We understand the importance of working hand in hand with the Postal Service to serve our customers, both senders and recipients of the mail, as well as the community we live in.

Universal service is more than just delivering the mail to each address every day at an affordable price, though that is certainly important. In fact, we are proud to be part of the most efficient and affordable service in the world and we know the postal services are like water and electricity and good roads. They make it possible for businesses to grow and for communities to prosper. But as a letter carrier, I know how important the Post Office is to residents that make up any community in ways that are less obvious.

I'll begin with the Carrier Alert program.

Nobody knows what's happening throughout a

community like a letter carrier. We deliver to the

same home each day and we know when something has

changed. If cars haven't moved, if the lawn is

overgrown, or especially if the mail is piling up, we know there is reason to be concerned. Through the Carrier Alert program, carriers throughout the country have saved hundreds of lives by keeping an eye out for elderly or disabled citizens who sign up for the program. When carriers notice something out of the ordinary, they get help to people who have fallen ill or become immobile.

As a steady daily presence on America's streets, carriers are often at the right place at the right time to help fallen fellow citizens. Whether it's helping victims of traffic accidents, aiding lost children, reporting crimes or house fires, letter carriers have a broad definition of public service. NALC honors many of these carriers at our annual Heroes of the Year ceremony held in Washington, D.C. Each year a committee is tasked with reading through hundreds of stories detailing letter carriers' heroic and humanitarian acts while on the job. Six eventually are selected and honored by the NALC and the Postal Service. Having more than 200,000 letter carriers on the streets everyday is an invaluable way to keep watch on

America's neighborhoods and communities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Letter carriers have always agreed to actively participate in the Cities Readiness Initiative, or CRI, a federally funded effort to prepare major US cities and metropolitan areas to effectively respond to a large scale bioterrorist event by dispensing antibiotics to entire communities within 48 hours of the decision to do so. Service and the NALC have agreed to participate in CRI by working with major cities and develop Postal Plans, under which letter carriers will distribute medicine to all affected homes in the event of an attack.

Letter Carriers are also instrumental in the fight against hunger. NALC's annual "Stamp Out Hunger" food drive is conducted in over 10,000

16 17 towns and cities throughout America once a year. 18 On Saturday, May 10, 2008 carriers hit the streets 19 again and collected a record 73.1 million pounds of food donations in the nation's largest one-day 20 effort to combat hunger. The food was delivered to 21 22 local food banks, pantries, shelters to help needy 23 families in 50 states and U.S. jurisdictions.

Playing a role in community service programs is an extremely rewarding dynamic of serving as one the nation's letter carriers.

I know that lots of businesses rely on the Postal Service for their existence. Whether it's the home-based business that sell products on E-bay or publishers that distribute their books and magazines through the mail. But I hope you will also remember the human element that the down-to-earth role the Postal Service plays in their communities as you conduct your study. Beyond the economic impact, there are many advantages to having letter carriers out delivering mail to their communities six days a week. Protecting the mailboxes and the sanctity of the mail, coming to the aid of the customers we serve, and promoting safe neighborhoods are all pieces of the importance of universal service.

The Postal Service has a long history of providing its customers with high quality and consistent service. Over the decades, we have worked hard to build confidence and trust. That is something very valuable and worth preserving. I

urge this Commission to consider all the factors 1 2 involved, both fiscal and social, when studying and 3 making recommendations on the Universal Service 4 Obligation. 5 That concludes my testimony, and I would be 6 happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank vou. 8 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you very much, 9 Mr. Moses, and I really appreciate your testimony. 10 Ms. Simmons, welcome. MS. SIMMONS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, 11 Commissioners, ladies and gentlemen. 12 13 My name is Laurie Timmons and I am the Manager 14 of Marketing for the United States Postal Service's 15 Northeast Area. I welcome you to Portsmouth, New Hampshire and thank you for giving me the 16 17 opportunity to speak with you today. 18 As the manager of Marketing for the Northeast 19 Area, I oversee marketing and retail operations for 20 the six New England states and Upstate New York. This area includes Connecticut, Maine, 21 22 Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont 23 and New York, with the exception of the New York

Metropolitan area. It extends as far north as the Canadian border and as far south as Stamford,
Connecticut.

More than 60,000 Postal Service employees serve our customers in the Northeast Area bringing mail to 8.5 million delivery points and 1.6 million Post Office boxes six days a week. The only exception to our six-day delivery schedule is Escourt Station, Maine, a town located in the wilderness near the Canadian border. There are no clear roads to this town from the United States, so our carrier must travel through Canada to deliver the mail to Escourt Station. In this small rural town, we deliver mail to the 16 compartment collection box unit, two days a week.

Our employees process and deliver more than 20 billion pieces of mail annually for a diverse range of customers, from the residents of one of America's oldest cities, Boston, Massachusetts, to the French-speaking residents of the small rural town of Escourt, Maine. And, delivery service in the Northeast does not stop at the businesses and residents on dry land. As you heard, we also

travel on water and by air to provide service to our customers.

Here in New Hampshire, the Wolfeboro, New Hampshire Post Office uses a boat to deliver mail to summer residents on the islands in Lake Winnipesaukee.

In Maine, we also provide seasonal deliveries by mail boat to residents along the Belgrade Lakes. And we make daily deliveries by ferry to the Casco Bay islands off the coast of Portland, Maine. We also deliver by ferry and by small planes to Monhegan, Vinalhaven, Northhaven, and to many other islands throughout the Northeast Area's coastal towns. It sometimes takes more than an hour by ferry just to get to the islands for some of these deliveries.

Deliveries to the islands and other remote locations in the Northeast can be very challenging in blizzards and other rough weather, especially during the winter months. These customers are dependent upon our services, sometimes for their very survival.

This past winter, New Hampshire had its

snowiest winter in 135 years with a record snowfall of 113.4 inches. And in February of 2008, Parish, New York received more than 80 inches of snow in 36 hours. But it was Aroostook County, Maine that tested our ability to deliver when nearly 200 inches of snow contributed to a major flood in the Fort Kent area in early spring forcing the evacuation of more than 600 of the town's 4,233 residents.

Through it all, the snow, the rain, the floods and most recently, the extreme heat, our employees delivered exceptional mail service to our customers. And our customers have recognized our efforts each and every year for more than 15 consecutive years by rating the Northeast Area number one in the nation in Customer Satisfaction as measured by the Gallup Organization.

We provide a number of convenient services to meet our customers' growing needs. These include a number of online services available at usps.com, including the ability to purchase stamps and other postal products, the ability to prepare postage paid packages, and even to have those packages

picked up by their letter carrier whether they live or work in a city or rural area.

Customers who visit the usps.com site can also print customized stamps featuring personal photos and they can purchase and send greeting cards, with or without gift cards, to almost anywhere in the world without ever leaving their home or their office.

We've added other conveniences in the community as well, including 120 Automated Postal Centers in our Post Office lobbies and 456 Contract Postal Units in local businesses. We offer Stamps on Consignment in thousands of supermarkets and other retailers and aggressively publicize the ability to purchase stamps by phone, by fax or by computer.

The Northeast Area is also very active in engaging employees to seek new revenue opportunities through a number of programs, like Business Connect, Customer Connect, and Rural Reach. These programs allow postmasters, city carriers, and rural carriers to suggest new business prospects based upon their day-to-day

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

contacts. The Business Development Teams work with these contacts to identify postal options that offer them the most efficient and cost effective shipping methods available. They also provide follow up to ensure that we are meeting and exceeding the customers' expectations.

Our efforts with the community do not stop there. We have some of the most active Postal Customer Councils in the nation. Postal Customer Councils are a partnership between postal officials and community members, including business owners, and serve to identify opportunities of mutual benefit. In the Northeast Area, 9 of our 22 PCCs received national recognition last year.

Our partnership with our customers is very important to us. One of the proudest moments in my own career was being selected as the 2007 PCC Person of the Year. I was nominated for the Award by Industry PCC leaders for the support that we provide to all the PCC Co-Chairs to ensure that their organizations and annual events are successful and valuable to all of our customers.

Some examples of the successful events the

PCCs have hosted include Area-wide Co-Chair meetings; certification classes for Mail Center Management, and education seminars for all of our customers on pricing changes. Affordable, universal service is a top priority that is often discussed at these meetings.

Changes to the universal service obligation could affect access and delivery and it could impact the bottom line for thousands of businesses in our area.

The Postal Service's ability to fund its universal service obligation is due in large part to the postal monopoly on letters and the mailbox. As I mentioned earlier, the Northeast Area has 8.5 million delivery points, 2.5 million of which are rural deliveries like those to the residents of Aroostook County in Maine and the Adirondacks in Upstate New York. Potential changes to the postal monopoly could affect the Postal Service's ability to provide customers in rural locations with universal service at affordable prices.

As you have heard, we do what we must to keep all of our customers connected to the world,

whether it's delivering mail by land in postal vehicles, on the water using mail boats and ferries, or by air in little small planes. Keeping all of our customers connected is our mission and we do it with pride because we consider it our civic duty as employees of the United States Postal Service to do so.

Thank you for your time today. I'd be happy to take any questions.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you very much, Ms. Timmons. Ms. Berenblatt, welcome.

MS. BERENBLATT: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, thank you for the opportunity to appear here today and discuss the universal service obligation. My name is Jody Berenblatt and I'm Senior Vice President at Bank of America and I'm here today for the National Postal Policy Council.

Bank of America and the USPS have a long history together. Our former CEO, Rudolph Pederson, was a member of the Kappel Commission in 1968. And we were a strong supporter of the 2006 Postal Reform law.

NPCC members unanimously support a robust

1-800-600-1000

postal system as a key to their business success and the health of the economy generally.

I'll summarize our views on the USO and request that my formal statement be placed on the record.

What is the legal basis of the USO? I'm not a lawyer, but the question appears to be an academic exercise. Whether or not the law explicitly requires it, all of the stakeholders in the postal system have expectations that currently affordable levels of service shall continue.

Does the USO mean everywhere in all delivery points? Yes. But how the Postal Service serves those delivery points is a different question. So long as each recipient, individual or business has reasonably affordable access at reasonably frequency regardless of how that is accomplished, the obligation has been satisfied.

The Commission and the Congress should allow local stakeholders and the USPS to determine appropriate arrangements for remote locations or other specific circumstances. The Commission should intervene only if negotiations break down.

Does the Universal Service Obligation mean six days a week? There's no simple answer to this question. Six days a weeks service is essential to some mailers, but not others. Reducing the number of days of mail delivery may not produce significant cost savings. And any cost savings could be offset by increases in the cost of handling and storing the additional inventory of undeliverable mail.

There is also likely to be a lively disagreement among mailers over which delivery days to skip.

What about the current level of access to facilities and services? Access to services appears to be at the correct level. Access to facilities is probably excessive. The Postal Service probably operates far more retail facilities than is necessary or cost effective. Network optimization where politically possible should match up with facilities with current population trends, technology, real estate expenses and other relevant factors.

What about the current range of product

offers? All market dominant products should be included in the USO. The service should expand its products offerings to meet its customer demand. For example, establishing a distance-related rate for workshared first-class mail would likely expand the overall demand for mail. For truly competitive products, in our view, USPS should be careful to preserve Universal Service because delivery everywhere is one of the most consistent selling points.

How do rates and quality of service factor into the USO? The quality of universal service that the Postal Service can afford to provide very much depends on it financial health. Ultimately, the value of extra service quality must be balanced against its cost. And the affordability of rates to support it are quite conceivably costs to taxpayers for an appropriation to subsidize it.

Should the letter monopoly be continued?

Tinkering with the scope of the letter monopoly now would be imprudent. The USPS just should wait until domestic economic conditions stabilize, the 2006 Postal legislation is fully implemented, and

the consequences of the elimination of curtailment of the letter monopoly in certain European countries become much clearer before we seriously explore whether to conduct such a radical experiment on our own soil.

Should the mail box monopoly be continued?

Yes. At least at this point NPPC members believe that opening the mailbox monopolies to third-party delivery companies could raise the reality or the perception of security issues.

What effect will environmental issues have over the next three to five years?

The mailing community is an environmentally enlightened group that is taking many steps to ensure that mail is environmentally friendly form of commerce. We can and will do more as an industry. Some claim otherwise. The legislative suppression of advertising mail that they seek would reduce mail volume and depress postal revenues to the point of destroying the financial basis for Universal Service.

Given the paper and the mailing industries efforts on recycling, reforestation and much more,

this is a solution in search of a problem. As we examine what sustainability means for each of us, I invite businesses and citizens to leverage the greatest carpool on earth, the United States Postal Service, and to join Bank of America and the rest of NPPC members in a stronger commitment to the environment.

Thank you for this opportunity, and I'll be pleased to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Ms. Berenblatt.
Mr. Smith, welcome.

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Commissioner and Chairman and the Commission.

My name is Steve Smith. I am the Distribution
Director for the Christian Science Publishing
Society, headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts. I
very much appreciate the opportunity to testify
before the Commission on this important topic.

The Christian Science Publishing Society
serves as the publishing arm of The First Church of
Christ Scientist in Boston, Massachusetts. The
publishing activities include a daily and weekly
newspaper, and periodicals issued in a variety of

frequencies and formats. We are also publishers of books and other related collections and materials in support of the general public and members worldwide. The Christian Science Publishing Society has maintained a longstanding relationship with the United States Postal Service, beginning shortly after 1875. Our daily newspaper, The Christian Science Monitor, is among the last nationally available newspapers distributed primarily through the U.S. mail. Approximately 83% of our subscribers and commercial outlets receive their newspaper by date of issue through the mail. We depend on the mail as a necessary service for our readership.

In my position at Christian Science

Publishing, I plan the worldwide distribution

strategy of our periodicals, products and written

communications. During the past 22 years, my

responsibilities have included direct management of

the pre-press, printing, mailing and distribution

roles. All of this activity was performed with the

clear goal of understanding, negotiation and

continual improvement in the construction of our

wide variety of products and their subsequent delivery through the mail.

The Christian Science Publishing Society also uses every class, subclass and mail product available from the USPS. Just to highlight a few examples, our mail consists of first-class letters expressing thanks and welcome to members and the general public. first-class invoices for periodical subscriptions are a requisite of the publishing business, and an important acknowledgement of the subscribers' value to the publisher. Standard mail is used to support our renewal efforts and communicate updates to the domestic audience. Media mail and library rate are integral to the cost-effective delivery to addresses we serve throughout the United States.

The Commission's study of the universal service obligation and the postal monopoly is of great interest to mailers like the Christian Science Publishing Society. We rely on the Postal Service to:

Deliver our publications, newsletters, documents, and written communications to urban and

rural addresses throughout the United States and internationally through contractual relationships with foreign posts to the extent possible.

To deliver our mail to all addresses on a regular and predictable basis.

Provide service at affordable prices that do not increase faster than the Consumer Price Index.

We support the continuation of the postal monopoly over delivery of letter mail, at least for the foreseeable future, to ensure that the Postal Service has the necessary revenues to continue to provide essential services. The potential threat of "cream-skimming" that could result from relaxation of the postal monopoly is of significant concern to The Christian Science Publishing Society and all nonprofit organizations. We also support continuation of the mailbox monopoly, which protects the actual and perceived privacy and security of the mails as a medium for transmitting bills, statements and other confidential and personal information.

Universal service should continue to have its generally recognized attributes: geographic scope;

range of product offerings; access to postal facilities and services; frequency of delivery; rates and affordability; and, finally, quality of service. We believe the current dimensions of universal service are generally appropriate, and do not advocate changing them now. At the same time, however, the Postal Service needs to retain sufficient flexibility to change its operations in response to future changes in economic conditions.

For our organization and nonprofits generally, universal reach to everyone is of paramount importance. The inclusion of all is inherent in the mission of the nonprofit community. At the same time, we recognize that the Postal Service must have some flexibility to adopt reasonable economies, for example, delivery to cluster boxes and college mailrooms rather than to individual houses or apartments when more personalized delivery to the ultimate addressee would not be cost effective. Any review of universal service must take into account the economic constraints on the size and scope of the postal infrastructure. Furthermore, the optimum tradeoff between the cost

and the level of universal service is likely to change if Postal Service mail volume continues to decline or, in the opposite direction, if advances in technology improve the Postal Service's financial health. For these reasons, the Commission and Congress should avoid freezing the current level of universal service in place by prescribing detailed and rigid rules governing the geographic scope of universal service.

Similarly, we believe that six-day delivery is of great value to publishers and readers, and should be provided to virtually all addresses, as occurs today. Nevertheless, one can imagine future circumstances that might warrant broader or narrower departures from the six-day delivery requirement, and the Commission should avoid recommending rules that would tie the Postal Service's hands.

Affordability is another critical component of tomorrow's postal system. With substantial cost reduction initiatives throughout the industry, postage costs are becoming a greater and greater portion of the prices that we must pass along to

our subscribers. Those rising costs make it quite challenging to maintain, much less grow, our subscriber base. Hence, continuation of the existing universal service model cannot be at any cost. The industry must be allowed to participate, examine and co-develop standards and alternatives when we experience the continued diminishment of affordability with any mail service.

Thank you for your time and attention. And I would be pleased to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Mr. Smith. I'll start off by going with Mr. Moses. You said you've been with the Postal Service since 1972?

MR. MOSES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: What was your job like then and how much has it changed since that time? You know, what's the life of a letter carrier today versus what it was in that 1970 environment?

MR. MOSES: Well, back when I started, there were a lot more older gentlemen and ladies working. Mainly, I think, because of the way automation and the way they brought in the scanners and the

progression. I don't think the older people wanted to adapt to the way the Post Office was going. So now they are getting out right at 55 when they can. Back then they were working until, I mean, we had guys 70-years-old working. And I don't think it's so much the work load that's chasing them out, I think it's just the automation. Everything's changing that way. I think that's what's causing a lot of people.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: What do you think the workplace of the future's you going to be like?

MR. MOSES: I think -- I think it's going to be scaled down a bit, as far as the numbers go.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Fewer letter carriers?

MR. MOSES: Yes. Only because the automation is in and it's doing a lot of work that we were doing a few years ago.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I think one of the areas where the Postal Service hopes to achieve savings is through that implementation to have those flat sequencing orders. Now, my question for Ms.

Timmons is: how many are going to go in the New England area? Where are they going to go and what

do you think will be -- what's the customer impact?

MS. TIMMONS: I don't know the answer to the exact numbers that are going. I know that some are going in Boston and some are going in the Connecticut and/or Massachusetts area. Some of that is set and then there are still some negotiations going on for additional FSS machines.

In terms of the impact to the customers, I think it varies depending on the customer that you talk with. Certainly, we're looking to automate the process, which means that the carriers will see their flats, various flats and catalogs put together. And for some customers, they talk about whether or not that's a benefit, and for others, as long as it keeps the cost down, that's a good thing.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: My question for Ms.

Berenblatt and Mr. Smith is, is there a difference between the Universal Service Obligation and the ability of the Postal Service is provide services over and above that, or do you think that the obligation, should it be defined, is it a floor or a ceiling or somewhere in between? For instance,

if you mandate five-day a week delivery, that 1 2 wouldn't prohibit six-day a week delivery, but 3 would that be a de facto to dictate it five days a 4 week? 5 MR. SMITH: Why don't you go first. 6 MS. BERENBLATT: Okay. Well, I'm not -- the 7 way in which I was thinking about the universal 8 service obligation is not focused on the particular 9 number per se. So it's a, from my perspective, 10 it's a philosophical belief system to serve the American public and that includes both citizens and 11 12 businesses, and so, that depends on what's reasonable for the circumstance. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: So you see it as a floor and 15 not -- you see it as providing a minimal level of service or the maximum level of service? 16 17 MS. BERENBLATT: I'm not sure how to 18 translate. I could say yes to both. Really, it's 19 a manner of what's appropriate for the circumstance. And when we look at some areas 20 21 that's remote where it's not physically possible to 22 get there in less than two days or more than two 23 days a week, then that's what's needed, you know,

for that group and for that community.

If that community was in greater need, let's say there's an increase in pharmaceutical needs, then maybe we need to be creative about dropping drugs via helicopters to the Postal people to deliver, you know, the pharmaceuticals. You know, I'm not going to box that in.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, if I may take an honorable stab at that. Let's assume that it is a floor. And as a floor, Universal Service related to frequency of delivery. And we say that it's a floor and there's no option against a six day. The natural development of the market would probably include differentiation by class of mail. That six day may be optional only for first-class and time value news, weekly or daily frequency or less. And you would see some, perhaps, unanticipated consequences of the mail as a result.

Right now, the Postal Service has delivery standards, and thank you very much for your assistance as a Commission in helping to achieve that. With those delivery standards you actually have a sort of frequency of commitment of delivery,

if you will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You are speaking to the producers of the mail, but the actual recipients, the consumers of the mail, are the ones that make the driving difference in what we do as those producers of the mail. And if they didn't want a six-day of delivery to avoid the 43 cent stamp, as an example, there's not a practical way for them to understand or best differentiate how would they, by a statement which is standard mail versus an invoice which is mailed to them first-class which is their credit card receipt, you would end up with a very confused consuming public because they are not part of this process.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: My final question for the

panel is, as the mix of mail changes, we've seen now the standard classes, they dominate in terms of volume class of mail, and as first-class, should it continue its decline in terms of volume and percentage of overall mail mix, does the changing mix of mail have any impact on the obligation of the federal government to provide this universal mail service?

MS. TIMMONS: If I may speak, I would say, no, 1 2 it doesn't. I think that packages are just as 3 important as letters, whether it's first-class or 4 standard mail. I think as we move forward, I think 5 that there are opportunities in some of our 6 competitive products where packages, it may become 7 more important in terms of Universal Service. 8 MR. MOSES: I agree. 9 Well, I think the mail mix MS. BERENBLATT: 10 primarily affects the bottom line of the Postal Service. It doesn't affect the obligation of serve 11 12 and delivery. 13 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: We'll start with Commissioner 14 Langley. 15 COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: Oh, thank you. CHAIRMAN BLAIR: We'll mix it up a little. 16 17 COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: We'll mix it up. Okay. 18 First of all, I really would like to compliment Mr. 19 Moses and Postmaster Schnell for their appearance 20 today. I think we've put them into roles that they are not commonly accustomed to, and both did very 21 22 well. So thank you for appearing. 23 I do have a question for Ms. Berenblatt

regarding your written testimony. You have mentioned the need for reasonably affordable access at reasonable frequency, and you do mention on page four of your testimony, "the lack of population density makes it prohibitively expensive to engage daily transport, particularly in places where daily transport systems don't currently exist." And you use, I'm assuming the neighbor island of Hawaii. Is that what you are referring to, everything except the island of Oahu?

MS. BERENBLATT: I was referring to on the basis of my experience in the service standards workgroups where there were some individuals that thought that it was unreasonable for certain outer islands in Hawaii not to get daily delivery service. And they pointed to a tourist helicopter that brought them over there and wondered why, you know, mail couldn't just be using that transport mechanism. And the local operations postal folks informed them that that was purely for tourists and that that they wouldn't carry freight under any circumstances, even if the Postal Service could afford to use them.

And then a discussion ensured about other islands that don't even get tourists that often and how the transport is really a boat and has to wait until the waters are calm enough.

COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: Okay. Certainly, the transportation of mail outside the island of Oahu sometimes results in spirited discussions with the local facilities there, and it was something that peaked my interest.

I do want to turn to Ms. Timmons. You know, for one thing, I think it will be helpful for the Commission to have more information on the Cities Readiness Initiative. And I don't know that -- you are not the individual to provide that, but perhaps it can -- the request can made to the Postal Service to give us more information on that and information on the Postal Service as a critical infrastructure.

MS. TIMMONS: Yes, I would not be the person to provide it, but I certainly will take that message back.

COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: I would appreciate that and I know for the financial industry, that's also

an important consideration. We definitely heard from Postmaster Schnell and from Ms. Timmons testimony that there's significant challenges in this northeast region. And one of the recommendations, or not recommendations, but one of the points that you make, Ms. Timmons, is that there are a number of online services available to customers.

Given the diversity of geographical areas, population density, has the Postal Service done any survey to understand the percentage of citizens with internet access?

MS. TIMMONS: I'm sure the Postal Service has done surveys related to that. Do I have the results that I can tell you? No, I don't, but I certainly think we can get you that information. They've looked at -- I know that they've looked at online services from different views from what we are able to provide to customers and then also how customers use online, and then how they ship what they buy online, be it Postal Service or other competitors.

COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: So that they are seeing

a usage of the internet and they are extrapolating it, perhaps, you know, such and such community, like Postmaster Schnell mentioned, just they have high speed internet now.

MS. TIMMONS: Right. So they will be able to possibly purchase their postage; however, if they still order through the catalog, we would hope to be able to ship those packages and get those packages back to those people.

COMMISSIONER LANGLEY: I mean, it's helpful to know that the Postal Service is attempting to have an understanding of the breath of internet access before sometimes, you know, eliminating services. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Ms. Goldway.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Okay. Ms. Timmons, would you be in a position to know what the cost differential is for the Postal Service in serving a place like Escourt Station versus Boston, the delivery costs? You know, we have a commitment of universal service to provide service to everybody and to provide rates that are equal to everybody. There's a subsidy there. So does the Postal

Service keep track of what those cost differentials 1 2 are and do you have this particular cost? 3 MS. TIMMONS: I don't have that particular 4 information, and they do keep track of costs. So, 5 again, that's something I can take back and they 6 can provide that to you. 7 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And then with regard 8 again to service, was it a hundred inches of snow 9 or something like that? 10 MS. TIMMONS: Over a hundred inches of snow; 11 that's right. COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Neither rain nor sleet 12 13 nor snow, did the Postal Service actually have to 14 delay delivery as a result of bad weather and on 15 how many occasions did that occur? MS. TIMMONS: We attempt delivery every day, 16 17 and in some cases where the streets aren't plowed, 18 we cannot deliver the mail. In other cases where 19 there was a lot of sleet and it was too dangerous for the carrier to drive the vehicle, we did not 20 21 deliver the mail. We tracked by day the number of 22 deliveries that were not made. And, again, that's 23 something we can provide for you. I don't have

1 that with me.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Did you get complaints about it or did you think citizens in the area understood?

MS. TIMMONS: Most of the citizens and most of the news coverage is very positive with the fact that we do get most of our mail delivered and very little of it not delivered. And we also worked with our citizens and massive chore system to do whatever they can do to make sure that their walks are cleared and that their steps are cleared. That makes it a lot easier for us to ensure the mail gets delivered assuming, of course, that the road's plowed.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Mr. Moses, one of the issues that we talked about today is the responsibility of the Postal Service to work in an emergency situation and, perhaps, in a serious situation to deliver medicine or to continue to deliver mail even if there is a threat.

Do you take that responsibility seriously, and do you see that responsibility as differentiating you from other carriers in the private sector?

MR. MOSES: Well, what type of threat would 1 2 you be talking about? 3 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Oh, like the anthrax 4 threat. 5 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Or the case of the pandemic 6 influenza. 7 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: A pandemic influenza 8 where there might be some spreading disease and, 9 yet, the Postal Service carriers are obligated to 10 get the medicine to as many people as possible, the 11 vaccine. Do you see that as an obligation that 12 differentiates you from UPS or FedEx? 13 MR. MOSES: No, I don't see it as a hindrance 14 at all. Just, the offices that I've worked in, the 15 employees are dedicated and they'll do whatever has to be done to complete their job. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And you think even the 18 younger people who are coming in understand that? 19 MR. MOSES: Yes, they are more dedicated, yes. 20 MS. LANGLEY: I just want to add, you know, anyone who has attended the Hero's of the Year 21 22 ceremony would see that, you know, the letter 23 carriers go far and beyond what is required of

them. Same thing, all postal worker's, you know, there's, during Hurricane Katrina, you could see Postal trucks out there hoping to save people. I think, you know, they really do a yeoman's job.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Yes. I just think there's a certain premium in that responsibility that has to be factored in somehow in the way we measure the Universal Service Obligation.

Both Mr. Smith and Ms. Berenblatt talked about the efficiencies of using cluster boxes in one way or another, or that option as being quite valuable. In other words, you don't have to deliver to everybody's home and hand them the letter individually. But there has been some talk about the mail moment and how important it is for people to feel they get their mail individually, and I wondered, in your experiences, whether there is a trade off between the more individualized delivery and the group delivery in terms of the impact you get back.

MR. SMITH: Commissioner, thank you. You can tell from the slowness of my speech I didn't grow up in New England. I come from the desert

southwest where the opportunities to pick up mail were community events at the Post Office. And that's still quite common in communities that would surprise you. Communities that have greater disposal incomes that most of middle America and still go to the Post Office because there's no home delivery to the condo or to the megahome. That said, the development of cluster boxes was a natural extension of what many people had experienced in some form, if they have went to the community college or a university or worked in any sort of office environment. And that was considered an improvement for delivery. At least they didn't have to go into town.

Does it abridge or change the mail moment?

Perhaps, but they know when they open that box,

even though it's one of several and, again,

consider apartment dwellers, both rural and urban,

when they open that box, that box is theirs. The

content is theirs. There's a sense of ownership

that's in view. All of us, if we think back can

remember the first letter we received with a stamp

on it. We can remember, not necessarily the

contents, but we began to take ownership of mail.

If you are changing the delivery location and the device, and that's what preserves the mail, you are beginning the process of negotiations with your consuming public. I think if it's properly communicated and they understood and these boxes are properly located, people will be more willing to work with the Postal Service and the mailers on them. You do lose things that have been said before by Mr. Moses. You lose vital intelligence that's gathered every day about the condition of the community and the condition of our patrons, our consumers. And when you distance yourself from that feedback, the Postal Service will have to spend more money to gather information about how people use mail and what they did with it.

MS. BERENBLATT: Okay. I'll get very personal.

MR. SMITH: Okay.

MS. BERENBLATT: I live in New York City, so I never had door-to-door delivery. I still had mail moments on a personal level, and I honestly can report the next generation had a mail moment in an

urban environment without a door-to-door delivery. 1 2 I mean, when my daughter got her college 3 application, she got the college advertisement, she 4 did think that it was literally written for her, 5 the advertisement. And when we she got the 6 acceptance, she didn't open the envelope until she 7 got up the elevator and into the apartment and then 8 her friends videotaped her opening it. So I would simply share that story to echo Steve's comments. 9 10 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Okay. Those are great stories. One other question for Mr. Smith. 11 Well, I actually have lots for Christian Science 12 13 Publishing Company. It's fascinating and that it 14 still hangs in there in New England. But do you 15 send materials internationally? MR. SMITH: Yes, ma'am, we do on a daily basis 16 17 to 35 countries, 60 countries a month. In the 18 course of a quarter, 125 countries. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Do you view the Postal 20 Services service of delivering your materials 21 internationally to be part of the Universal Service 22 Obligation? 23 MR. SMITH: That's a fascinating question.

The obligation for the person on the street and 1 2 then for the people in the industry is the bridge 3 when the Postal Service has made, through due 4 diligence, the arrangements to hand off to an 5 authorized party in the receiving country, be that 6 the Post -- or the recipient Postal authority, 7 let's say Germany, that you've made those 8 arrangements and the private citizen doesn't have 9 to worry about where it goes. But once you've 10 handed it off to that nation's representative then your obligation is over. That that falls to the 11 12 delivery country and goes through that delivery 13 process, but that's something I'd like to think 14 about and reflect on, if I may submit comments 15 appropriately? COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: I'd really appreciate 16 17 it. Yes, thank you. 18 MR. SMITH: I'm fascinated by the construct of 19 it. 20 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you. Mr. Hammond. 21 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: I want to thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. Mr. Smith, as far as your major 23 newspaper publication talking about periodicals

now, you said about close to 85 percent -MR. SMITH: 83 percent, sir.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: 83 percent is received through the mail by -- on the date, on the same date.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. So, for example, today's paper, Thursday, and the Chairman has seen one of our three printing plants, print that paper, and then at our expenses move through truck and air to 43 different entry points around the country to put that into the mail stream as close to the delivery point at possible, so that 83 percent of the people and firms that subscribe to the newspaper can receive the paper, see that it's Thursday's date, and that it was written last night, put to bed and printed just like another major metropolitan newspaper, except this one is going completely across the country.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: So I assume like other dailies that would be mostly delivered through e-mail, if you do not have six-day delivery that, I mean, your customers -- just take, for example, if there wasn't any mail on Wednesday, they get their

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

112

Wednesday paper along with their paper on Thursday, do you think that would have a negative effect? Do you think they would care that much or what kind of effect would it have on you?

MR. SMITH: We have a surprising loyal subscriber base based on the circulation life history of the individual. And they bear that -what, the grouping, when it's called bunching -they bear that okay. They don't complain vigorously and they do not cancel as a group when they say, I'm here in Toledo. I'm getting regularly Wednesday and Thursday, Wednesday's on Thursday at the same time and it's been going on for weeks. Our subscribers may tolerate it, but my publisher certainly would not. I'm at 83 percent and my publisher would like very much for me to be at 90 percent. And that's something that we discuss on a somewhat regular basis.

We have a weekly product currently that's available outside the United States, and that weekly product is a practical recognition that news is needed internationally, but you cannot deliver,

20 21 22 23 except to Canada and perhaps parts of Mexico, a daily newspaper by date of issue. So we produce a weekly issue to accommodate that.

If you weren't delivering on Wednesday, we, like other publishers from whom you have heard may change our frequency. There are currently papers in Wisconsin and in New Mexico in the capital cities that have changed their frequency of publication, and this calendar year to accommodate costs. One more day of nondelivery, they could actually have an interesting problem of saying, okay, you are going to drive us to the internet where content is available. And that's not a desirable situation because that loss of volume will have a material effect on the Postal Services' ability to support the infrastructure.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: How do you know that it's 83 percent?

MR. SMITH: We measure that very carefully.

We participate in organizations like Red Tag.

We're one of the three daily newspapers that are in that, in addition to weekly's and monthly's. We

also have seeded programs where approximately 100 people across the United States receive our paper separate from Red Tag and they provide a listing log, in addition to the ability to have news bureaus around the country, they can actually tell us, because they are our direct employees, okay, this is when I'm receiving the following periodicals. So it's a very good opportunity to review that.

And the Postal Service can tell you a very complimentary story about how, with the area managers and the district managers at events like its National Postal forum where we bring takeaways that are physical handouts that measure and tell them to the zip code how they are doing by their area, and we can actually count on that information. So we put a great deal of infrastructure time in counting that, and applaud, applaud the Postal Service for that delivery.

It's a remarkable thing to have that many copies or that percentage of copies through the U.S. mail by date of issue. If I fall below 80 percent, you won't see me anymore.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Were you done?

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: That's it.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Ms. Berenblatt, in reading through your testimony, I was struck by part of it on cost savings and albeit, where it looked like and maybe this was, like, what we call back in the envelope estimate or so, but you talked in here about that possibly eliminating one day of delivery could save at most about two percent of Postal Service cost, and even if we eliminated three additional deliveries days a week, would save only about seven percent of Postal Service costs.

And do you have any other information on that?
Have you all done any further study or about that
kind of change? We've had several people, or while
I'm talking about it, if anybody has any cost
estimates that you've come up with, the question
has come up several times during these hearings and
the workshops that we've had of, well, if you would
tell us what the cost would be if this change were
made, we could then decide whether we might be
willing to alter things that way, but did you just
do this on the back of the envelope, so the speak,

or have you got anything else you can share with us?

MS. BERENBLATT: I did specifically do that for this testimony, and I think it is like your hour data. The cost revenue analysis data has the public data that the Postal Services publishes regarding it costs, right? And so, on the flip side, in terms of, while I sort of positive the notion that it would increase costs possibly by storing the mail as opposed to delivering it, I don't believe the CRA data has that information available, so that's why I didn't provide it.

There has been extensive studies done in the economic regulatory field of the Postal sector worldwide as it relates to the question of the costs of delivery days. And if you don't have it, I might point you to what Sweden did, I think, two years ago in terms of an analysis of delivery days to the rural areas that are required by obligation by their regulator. If there's something in particular that you are interested in, I'm thrilled to be able to provide it, if you want something more in depth, I will certainly look into doing

that.

I would point out to you that there are many areas in contrast that are either bigger expense or comparable expenses, as you are well aware, for instance, the Postmaster General had declared an attack on the cost of undeliverable addressed mail and that's two percent. So, you know, we are all actively, both the Postal Service and the industry attacking that cost under the assumption that that is real waste that could be effectively eliminated as opposed to the actual delivery days of the retail facilities where there's this seeming belief that there's a cost there that doesn't appear to be a lot of money to be saved by reducing those areas.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Yes. Well, in deed there's some of the studies that you mentioned we are looking at, have looked at, and our group is going to be helping compile, but I welcome any information on that that we can get because it seems from some of the estimates, thus far, it depends on exactly how you wish to calculate as to what might be there or what might not be there, but anything you got, okay, will be helpful.

MS. BERENBLATT: Okay.

2

2 |

3

4

5

7

9

10 11

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

20

21

23

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: And, Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: Commissioner, if I may amplify in a related way to what Jody just said. One of the ways in looking at the information that you do receive is, and I know you have an incredibly gifted economist available to you, there are net mail consumers in the system and there are net mail produces in the system. It's not uniform. geography doesn't allow that. People live where they live and by virtue of the income they have, they dispose of it ordering, subscribing, doing the things that they do. But you need to take a look at how mail is used by, as a percentage of the population of a true ratio. There are areas that consume more mail per population density than others. And that can be the remoteness of the location. It could be a very desirable and scenic vista where people with higher disposable income live and they subscribe to everything. things need to be taken into consideration. It's not a uniform tablet when you are looking at the service obligation and the density.

We've heard some compelling stories about what is done in remote locations and what is done in urban areas to make sure that mail moves efficiently. And we've heard in industry, in formal meetings that during inclement weather periods that are prolonged in a region, catalog ordering goes up.

Now, the catalogers know this, but no ones' ever done a comprehensive and authoritative study to say, hey, we had a great snow storm in New England, how many weeks after that will ordering kick in because catalogs were, 1. Available in the home; and 2. They were -- they could still reach out and know that what they ordered, when the weather broke, it would been delivered. And it's something that, in the industry, we're going to be asking for of our colleagues, you know, this is information that you should come forward with because there is a direct relationship there. If population growth can occur after a blackout, cataloging can go up.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: I'll be brief here, but I did want to say to Mr. Moses that he did, just to

echo what Commissioner Langley said, I know I've gotten an invite and gotten to go the Hero's of the Year luncheon in the past, and I think everyone on the Commission here has been able to go to that over the years. And it may be kind of corny to say, but it's inspiring when we hear those stories of the dedication of the letter carriers and all. So I appreciate you mentioning that and talking about it today.

And Ms. Timmons, I won't ask you any questions because we got to have a nice discussion recently in a Postal gathering and all, and I'll just defer off. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Hammond. And to wrap it up, we have our Vice Chairman, Mark Acton.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have one question for Witness Moses. Universal

Service Obligation and the universal service

question in general drew a lot of our attention at

the end of these discussions, but the federal

mandate on the mail box monopoly is an important

part of our review as well. Some of your fellow

panelists are speaking about the concerns they have about opening mail to private sector delivery services, and I think you referenced the sanctity of the mailbox, which I believe is also a term for the the conflicts. And so, I'm wondering from a person who delivers the mail on a daily basis what sort of issues do you see arising from elimination of the mailbox monopoly?

MR. MOSES: Only maybe a customer themselves might feel violated by other people being able to invade their mailbox. That's basically their mailbox and their personal lives are in that mail box.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: So it's a security concern?

MR. MOSES: It is.

VICE CHAIRMAN ACTON: How about the time you may spend at the mailbox, do you think that would change? For instance, if folks were leaving things in the box other than outgoing mail?

MR. MOSES: It might. It might back up and then the mail we put in there might fall out, eventually get lost or get wet, ruined. You know,

2

## CERTIFICATE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

I, Camille M. Palladino-Duffy, a Licensed Shorthand Reporter for the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of the proceeding taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.

Camille M. Palladino-

LCR 105, RPR

