# **Proposed Rules**

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

## Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

## 10 CFR Part 430

[Docket Number EE-RM/STD-98-440]

RIN 1904-AA77

## Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Energy Conservation Standards; Correction

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of October 5, 2000, regarding Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Energy Conservation Standards. This correction revises the cost increase of a typical air conditioner, clarifies the conclusions on the emerging technology analysis, clarifies terminology in the discussion of niche products and corrects the docket number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Michael E. McCabe, (202) 586–0854, email: michael.e.mccabe@ee.doe.gov, or Edward Levy, Esq., (202) 586–9507, email: edward.levy@hq.doe.gov.

## Correction

In proposed rule document 00–25336, appearing on page 59590, in the issue of Thursday, October 5, 2000, the following corrections should be made:

(1) The Docket Line should appear as set forth above.

(2) On page 59590 in the first column of the **ADDRESSES** section, the first sentence is corrected to the following:

Please submit written comments, oral statements, and requests to speak at the public hearing to: Brenda Edwards-Jones, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps, Docket No. EE–RM/STD/STD–98– 440, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585–0121.

(3) On page 59591 in the second column, in the third paragraph, the second sentence is corrected to the following:

For example, while the initial cost of a typical central air conditioner would increase by \$213 to \$274 or about 10–12%, the higher efficiency equipment would save enough over its life to pay for the increase in the price of the equipment plus an extra \$45.

(4) On page 59599, the second column, in the third paragraph, the first sentence is corrected to the following:

The emerging technology analysis based on reverse engineering information seems to confirm that, of the technologies considered, only variable capacity compressors and variable speed fan motors have the potential to be cost effective options for providing additional efficiency compared to today's established technologies.

(5) On page 59610, the third column, in the last paragraph, the first sentence is corrected to the following:

The Department encourages comments regarding whether the proposed standards concerning small-duct high-velocity, vertically-packaged wall-mounted equipment, and through-the-wall equipment provide a significant advantage to those products versus competing products, whether they are sufficient to preserve the unique features of those products, and whether improvements in the definitions are needed to prevent loopholes.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 31, 2000.

#### Dan W. Reicher,

Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. [FR Doc. 00–28370 Filed 11–3–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### **Bureau of Export Administration**

#### **15 CFR Chapter VII**

[Docket No. 001013285-0285-01]

## Effects of Foreign Policy-Based Export Controls

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Export Administration, Commerce. **ACTION:** Request for comments on foreign policy-based export controls. Federal Register Vol. 65, No. 215 Monday, November 6, 2000

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Export Administration (BXA) is reviewing the foreign policy-based export controls in the Export Administration Regulations to determine whether they should be modified, rescinded or extended. To help make these determinations, BXA is seeking comments on how existing foreign policy-based export controls have affected exporters and the general public.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by November 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (three copies) should be sent to Kirsten Mortimer, Regulatory Policy Division, Bureau of Export Administration, Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 273, Washington, DC 20044.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joan Roberts, Director, Foreign Policy Controls Division, Bureau of Export Administration, Telephone: (202) 482– 5400. Copies of the current Annual Foreign Policy Report to the Congress are available at our website: http:// www.bxa.doc.gov and copies may also be requested by calling the Office of Strategic Trade and Foreign Policy Controls.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The current foreign policy controls maintained by the Bureau of Export Administration (BXA) are set forth in the Export Administration Regulations (EAR), parts 742 (CCL Based Controls), 744 (End-User and End-Use Based Controls) and 746 (Embargoes and Special Country Controls). These controls apply to: high performance computers (§ 742.12); significant items (SI): hot section technology for the development, production, or overhaul of commercial aircraft engines, components, and systems (§ 742.14); encryption items (§ 742.15 and § 744.9); crime control and detection commodities (§ 742.7); specially designed implements of torture (§ 742.11); regional stability commodities and equipment (§ 742.6); equipment and related technical data used in the design, development, production, or use of missiles (§ 742.5 and §744.3); chemical precursors and biological agents, associated equipment, technical data, and software related to the production of chemical and biological agents ( $\S742.2$  and \$744.4); activities of U.S. persons in transactions related to missile technology or

chemical or biological weapons proliferation in named countries (§ 744.6); nuclear propulsion (§ 744.5); aircraft and vessels (§ 744.7); embargoed countries (part 746); countries designated as supporters of acts of international terrorism (§§ 742.8, 742.9, 742.10, 746.2, 746.3, 746.5, and 746.7); and, Libya (§§ 744.8 and 746.4). Attention is also given in this context to the controls on nuclear-related commodities and technology (§ 744.2 and § 744.2), which are, in part, implemented under section 309(c) of the Nuclear Non Proliferation Act.

Under the provisions of section 6 of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (EAA), export controls maintained for foreign policy purposes require annual extension. Section 6 of the EAA requires a report to Congress when foreign policy-based export controls are extended. Although the Export Administration Act (EAA) expired on August 20, 1994, the President invoked the International Emergency Economic Powers Act and continued in effect the EAR, and, to the extent permitted by law, the provisions of the EAA, in Executive Order 12924 of August 19, 1994, as extended by the President's notices of August 15, 1995 (60 FR 42767), August 14, 1996 (61 FR 42527), August 13, 1997 (62 FR 43629), August 13, 1998 (63 FR 44121), August 10, 1999 (64 FR 44101, August 13, 1999) and August 3, 2000 (65 FR 48347, August 8, 2000). The Department of Commerce, insofar as appropriate, is following the provisions of section 6 in reviewing foreign policy-based export controls, requesting public comments on such controls, and submitting a report to Congress.

In January 2000, the Secretary of Commerce, on the recommendation of the Secretary of State, extended for one year all foreign policy controls then in effect.

To assure maximum public participation in the review process, comments are solicited on the extension or revision of the existing foreign policy controls for another year. Among the criteria considered in determining whether to continue or revise U.S. foreign policy controls are the following:

1. The likelihood that such controls will achieve the intended foreign policy purpose, in light of other factors, including the availability from other countries of the goods or technology proposed for such controls;

2. Whether the foreign policy purpose of such controls can be achieved through negotiations or other alternative means; 3. The compatibility of the controls with the foreign policy objectives of the United States and with overall United States policy toward the country subject to the controls;

4. The reaction of other countries to the extension of such controls by the United States is not likely to render the controls ineffective in achieving the intended foreign policy purpose or be counterproductive to United States foreign policy interests;

5. The comparative benefits to U.S. foreign policy objectives versus the effect of the controls on the export performance of the United States, the competitive position of the United States in the international economy, the international reputation of the United States as a supplier of goods and technology; and

6. The ability of the United States to enforce the controls effectively.

BXA is particularly interested in the experience of individual exporters in complying with the proliferation controls, with emphasis on economic impact and specific instances of business lost to foreign competitors. BXA is also interested in industry information relating to the following:

1. Information on the effect of foreign policy controls on sales of U.S. products to third countries (i.e., those countries not targeted by sanctions), including the views of foreign purchasers or prospective customers regarding U.S. foreign policy controls.

2. Information on controls maintained by U.S. trade partners (i.e., to what extent do they have similar controls on goods and technology on a worldwide basis or to specific destinations)?

3. Information on licensing policies or practices by our foreign trade partners which are similar to U.S. foreign policy controls, including license review criteria, use of conditions, requirements for pre and post shipment verifications (preferably supported by examples of approvals, denials and foreign regulations.

4. Suggestions for revisions to foreign policy controls that would (if there are any differences) bring them more into line with multilateral practice.

5. Comments or suggestions as to actions that would make multilateral controls more effective.

6. Information that illustrates the effect of foreign policy controls on the trade or acquisitions by intended targets of the controls.

7. Data or other information as to the effect of foreign policy controls on overall trade, either for individual firms or for individual industrial sectors. 8. Suggestions as to how to measure the effect of foreign policy controls on trade.

9. Information on the use of foreign policy controls on targeted countries, entities, or individuals.

BXA is also interested in comments relating generally to the extension or revision of existing foreign policy controls.

Parties submitting comments are asked to be as specific as possible. All comments received before the close of the comment period will be considered by BXA in reviewing the controls and developing the report to Congress.

All information relating to the notice will be a matter of public record and will be available for public inspection and copying. In the interest of accuracy and completeness, BXA requires written comments. Oral comments must be followed by written memoranda, which will also be a matter of public record and will be available for public review and copying.

Copies of the public record concerning these regulations may be requested from: Bureau of Export Administration, Office of Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 6883, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482–0637. This component does not maintain a separate public inspection facility. Requesters should first view BXA's website (which can be reached through *http:// www.bxa.doc.gov*). If requesters cannot access BXA's website, please call the number above for assistance.

#### Daniel O. Hill,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–28440 Filed 11–3–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

#### 16 CFR Part 1026

## Standards of Conduct for Outside Attorneys Practicing Before the Consumer Product Safety Commission; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission is proposing to amend its regulations to add a new part addressing the behavior of attorneys on matters before the Commission. The behavior of attorneys who represent clients in