

SNAPSHOT OF WTO CASES INVOLVING THE UNITED STATES

Updated: February 17, 2009

UNITED STATES AS COMPLAINING PARTY -- of the total of 88 complaints (81 original, 7 compliance) the United States has filed so far, 63 (including 2 that are partially concluded) have been concluded; 4 were merged with other complaints; 4 are in the litigation stage; and 19 are either in the pre-litigation consultation stage or currently inactive, as follows:

<p>27-resolved to U.S. satisfaction without completing litigation:</p>	<p>(1) Korea-shelf-life restrictions; (2) EU-grain imports; (3) Japan-protection of sound recordings; (4) Portugal-patent protection; (5) Pakistan-patent protection; (6) Turkey-tax on movies; (7) Hungary-agricultural subsidies; (8) Philippines-pork & poultry imports; (9) Brazil-auto regime; (10) India-patent protection (compliance); (11) Sweden- intellectual property protection; (12) Australia-salmon imports; (13) Greece-intellectual property protection; (14) Ireland-intellectual property protection; (15) Denmark-intellectual property protection; (16) Romania-customs valuation; (17) Philippines-auto regime; (18) Belgium-rice imports; (19) Brazil-patent law; (20) EU-corn gluten imports; (21) Mexico-hog imports; (22) Argentina- patent protection (partial); (23) China-VAT; (24) Egypt-apparel tariffs; (25) Cda-corn CVD; (26) China - Subsidies; (27) China-Financial Information Services</p>
<p>32-U.S. won on core issue(s):</p>	<p>(1) Japan-liquor taxes; (2) Cda-magazine imports; (3) EU-banana imports; (4) EU-banana imports (compliance); (5) EU-hormone-treated beef imports; (6) India-patent protection; (7) Argentina-textile imports; (8) Indonesia-auto regime; (9) Korea-liquor taxes; (10) Japan-fruit imports; (11) Cda-dairy sector; (12) Cda-dairy sector (compliance); (13) Australia- leather subsidies; (14) Australia-leather subsidies (compliance); (15) India-import licensing; (16) Mexico-antidumping duties on high-fructose corn syrup; (17) Mexico-antidumping duties on high-fructose corn syrup (compliance); (18) Cda-patent law; (19) Korea-beef imports; (20) India-auto regime; (21) Japan-apples (fire blight); (22) Mexico-telecom barriers; (23) EU-geographical indication protection (<i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (24) Japan-apples (fire blight) (compliance); (25) Mexico-AD duties on beef and rice (rice); (26) Mexico-beverage tax; (27) EU-customs; (28) EU-biotech products; (29) Turkey-rice; (30) India-wine and spirits; (31) EU-banana imports (compliance II); (32) China-Autos</p>
<p>4-U.S. did not prevail on core issue(s):</p>	<p>(1) Japan-film imports; (2) EU/Ireland/UK-tariff classification of computer equipment (<i>3 complaints consolidated</i>); (3) Korea-airport procurement; (4) Cda-wheat</p>
<p>0-in appellate stage:</p>	
<p>4-in panel stage:</p>	<p>(1) EU-Aircraft (<i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (2) China-IPR; (3) China-Market Access; (4) EU-ITA Products</p>
<p>3-in consultations:</p>	<p>(1) Mexico-AD duties on beef and rice (beef); (2) China-Famous Brand Subsidies; (3) EU-Poultry</p>
<p>16-monitoring progress or otherwise inactive:</p>	<p>(1) Korea-import clearance; (2) Japan-Large Stores Law; (3) Belgium-yellow pages; (4) EU-dairy subsidies; (5) Chile-liquor taxes; (6) Belgium-tax subsidies; (7) France-tax subsidies; (8) Greece-tax subsidies; (9) Ireland-tax subsidies; (10) Netherlands-tax subsidies; (11) EU/France-avionics subsidies; (12) Argentina-footwear imports; (13) Brazil-customs valuation; (14) EU-Steel safeguards; (15) Venezuela-import licensing; (16) Argentina-patent protection (partial)</p>

UNITED STATES AS RESPONDING PARTY -- of the total of 128 complaints (113 original, 15 compliance) filed against the United States so far, 72 have been concluded; 26 were merged with other complaints; 4 are in the litigation stage; and 26 are either in the pre-litigation consultation stage or currently inactive, as follows:

<p>19-resolved without completing litigation:</p>	<p>(1) Autos (Japan); (2) Wool coats (India); (3) Various products (EU); (4) Tomatoes (Mexico); (5) Poultry (EU); (6) Urea (Germany); (7) Brooms (Colombia); (8) Helms-Burton Act (EU); (9) TVs (Korea); (10) Cattle, swine & grain (Cda); (11) Textiles (EU) (<i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (12) Massachusetts government procurement (EU, Japan) (<i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (13) DRAMs (Korea) (compliance); (14) Steel safeguards (Chinese-Taipei); (15) Orange juice (Bzl); (16) AD-softwood lumber (prelim) (Cda); (17) CVD-softwood lumber reviews (Cda); (18) AD - cement (Mexico); (19) AD - OCTG (Mexico) (compliance)</p>
<p>16-U.S. won on core issue(s):</p>	<p>(1) Sections 301-310 of Trade Act of 1974 (EU); (2) “Shrimp/turtle” law (India, et al.) (compliance); (3) CVD regulations (Cda); (4) AD-steel plate (India); (5) CVD-German steel (EU); (6) Section 129 (Cda); (7) Rules of origin-textiles and apparel products (India); (8) AD-sunset review (Japan); (9) CVD-softwood lumber (final) (Cda); (10) AD-softwood lumber (final) (Cda); (11) Gambling and betting services (Antigua & Barbuda); (12) CVD - Semiconductors (Korea); (13) AD - OCTG (Mexico); (14) Injury-softwood lumber (Cda) (compliance); (15) AD-sunset review (Argentina) (compliance); (16) EU hormones sanctions</p>
<p>37-U.S. did not prevail on core issue(s):</p>	<p>(1) Gasoline (Venezuela, Brazil; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (2) Underwear (Costa Rica); (3) Wool shirts (India); (4) “Shrimp/turtle” law (India, et al.); (5) DRAMs (Korea); (6) UK leaded bars (EU); (7) Music licensing provision in US copyright law (EU); (8) 1916 Revenue Act (EU, Japan; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (9) Bonding requirements (EU); (10) Wheat gluten import safeguard (EU); (11) Stainless steel AD (Korea); (12) Lamb meat import safeguard (Australia, New Zealand; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (13) Hot-rolled steel AD (Japan); (14) Cotton yarn (Pakistan); (15) Section 211 of Omnibus Appropriations Act (EU); (16) Taxes on Foreign Sales Corporations (EU); (17) Taxes on Foreign Sales Corporations (EU) (compliance); (18) Line pipe safeguard (Korea); (19) CVD-steel products (EU); (20) CDSOA (Australia, et al.; <i>11 complaints consolidated</i>); (21) CVD-softwood lumber (prelim) (Cda); (22) Steel safeguards (EU, et al.; <i>8 complaints consolidated</i>); (23) Injury-softwood lumber (Cda); (24) AD-sunset review (Argentina); (25) Cotton subsidies (Brazil); (26) Privatization (compliance) (EU); (27) CVD-softwood lumber (final) (Cda) (compliance); (28) Taxes on Foreign Sales Corporations (EU) (compliance II); (29) “Zeroing” of AD margins (EU); (30) AD-softwood lumber (final) (Cda) (compliance); (31) “Zeroing” of AD margins (Japan); (32) AD-shrimp zeroing (Ecuador); (33) Gambling (Antigua & Barbuda) (compliance) (34) “Zeroing”(Mex; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (35) Cotton subsidies (Brazil) (compliance) (36) Shrimp (Thailand, India; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (37) “Zeroing” AD II (EU)</p>
<p>1-in appellate stage:</p>	<p>(1) “Zeroing” (EU) (compliance)</p>
<p>3-in panel stage:</p>	<p>(1) Aircraft (EU; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (2) Ag Subsidies (Cda, Brazil; <i>2 complaints consolidated</i>); (3) “Zeroing” (Jpn) (compliance)</p>
<p>7-in consultations:</p>	<p>(1) Certain Products AD/CVD (China); (2) Tuna (Mex); (3) Orange Juice “Zeroing” (Bz); (4) Plastic Bags “Zeroing” (Thai); (5) COOL (Cda); (6) COOL (Mex); (7) Hormones Retaliation (EU) (compliance)</p>
<p>19-monitoring progress or otherwise inactive:</p>	<p>(1) Salmon (Chile); (2) Peanuts (Argentina); (3) Harbor maintenance tax (EU); (4) Live cattle (Cda); (5) Sugar syrups (Cda); (6) Section 337, Tariff Act 1930 (EU); (7) Amendment to §306, 1974 Trade Act (EU); (8) U.S. patent law (Brazil); (9) CVD-steel (Brazil); (10) AD-steel pipe (Italy); (11) AD-silicon metal (Brazil); (12) AD/CVD-sunset reviews (EU); (13) Safeguards on steel line pipe and wire rod (EU); (14) CVD-steel plate (Mexico); (15) Wheat injury (Cda); (16) AD-UK steel bar (EU); (17) AD-OCTG review (Argentina); (18) AD-shrimp (Thailand); (19) Paper AD/CVD (China)</p>