NATIONAL ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE MONITORING SYSTEM-ENTERIC BACTERIA **2003 EXECUTIVE REPORT**

NARMS







Contents

Page Number

I. Introduction	1
A. Executive Report	1
B. Background	1
C. NARMS Program	2
D. NARMS Components	3
1. Human Component	3
2. Retail Meat Component	3
3. Animal Component	3
II. Sampling and Testing Methods	4
A. Sampling Methodology	4
1. Human Component	4
2. Retail Meat Component	
3. Animal Component	4
B. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Methods	6
III. Results	8
A. Background	8
B. Salmonella Data	10
1. Salmonella Isolates Tested	10
2. Isolation of Salmonella from Retail Meats	11
3. Salmonella Serotypes	12
4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among all non-Typhi Salmonella	15
5. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Typhimurium	29
6. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Enteritidis	40
7. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Newport	51
8. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Heidelberg	62
C. Campylobacter Data	7 3
1. Campylobacter Isolates Tested	73
2. Isolation of Campylobacter from Retail Meats	74
3. Campylobacter Species	75
4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Campylobacter	76
IV. Links to Additional Information	81

I. Introduction

A. Executive Report

This report summarizes National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System – Enteric Bacteria (NARMS) data on *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* isolates recovered in 2003 from human clinical cases, retail meats, and food animals at federally inspected slaughter and processing plants. For comparison purposes, data from prior years are also included in the report. This is the first NARMS report summarizing data from all three components of the program in an integrated format.

Suggested Citation: National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System—Enteric Bacteria (NARMS): 2003 Executive Report. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. FDA, 2006.

B. Background

Antimicrobial resistance is a serious problem that threatens both human and animal health. In human medicine, antimicrobials are most often used to treat infectious diseases, whereas in food animals, antimicrobials are used for the prevention, control, and treatment of infectious diseases, as well as for enhancing growth and improving feed efficiency. An undesired consequence of antimicrobial use in any environment is the potential development of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. In food animals, these bacteria can contaminate meats as well as dairy products, eggs, and (indirectly) produce. These resistant bacteria, and in particular resistant zoonotic pathogens, may be transferred to humans through the consumption, handling, or improper cooking of contaminated foods and may cause serious infections.

Recognizing this potential health hazard, the World Health Organization (WHO), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), and the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) recommend that countries implement national monitoring programs on the use of antimicrobials in animals and the occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from animals, foods of animal origin, and cases of human illness.¹

WHO, FAO, and OIE recognize that data obtained by such monitoring may be used to:

- Document the usage of antimicrobials and the occurrence of resistance, and identify epidemiological trends;
- Compare the usage of antimicrobials and the occurrence of resistance between countries or regions over time;
- Aid interpretation of patterns and trends regarding antimicrobial resistance and residues;
- Identify areas for targeted research;
- Develop risk assessment models;
- Develop policies for the containment of antimicrobial resistance;
- Evaluate the effectiveness of any control measures implemented.

¹ The Joint FAO/OIE/WHO Expert Workshop on Non-Human Antimicrobial Usage and Antimicrobial Resistance Scientific Assessment (Geneva, Dec. 1-5, 2003) can be found at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2004/WHO CDS CPE ZFK 2004.7.pdf

C. NARMS Program

In the United States, the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System – Enteric Bacteria (NARMS) is a national public health monitoring system that tracks changes in the susceptibility of certain enteric bacteria to antimicrobial agents of human and veterinary medical importance. The NARMS program was established in 1996 by the Food and Drug Administration's Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) as part of its overall strategy to assess the impact of antimicrobial use in food animals on public health. NARMS is a collaboration between three federal agencies: the Food and Drug Administration (FDA); the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). NARMS also collaborates with scientists involved in antimicrobial resistance monitoring in other countries, including Canada, Denmark, France, Greece, Italy, Mexico, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, so that information can be shared on the global dimensions of antimicrobial resistant foodborne bacteria.

The NARMS program monitors antimicrobial susceptibility/resistance among enteric bacteria from humans, retail meats, and food animals. Surveillance is conducted for two categories of enteric bacteria: zoonotic bacterial pathogens (Salmonella and Campylobacter) and commensal (not usually pathogenic) bacteria (Escherichia coli and Enterococcus). Salmonella was chosen as the sentinel pathogen for the NARMS program. Campylobacter was subsequently added, followed by E. coli and Enterococcus. Monitoring of E. coli and Enterococcus isolates was added due to their ubiquitous presence in animals, foods, and humans and their potential to serve as reservoirs of antimicrobial resistance genes for bacterial pathogens. Recently, NARMS began testing Salmonella and Campylobacter isolates for genetic relatedness using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Epidemiological and microbiological research studies are also conducted within and between agencies based on NARMS findings. These studies may include isolates of a particular serotype or those exhibiting a particular resistance pattern or they may focus on improving the culture, isolation, or antimicrobial testing methodology of target bacteria. Currently, each NARMS agency prepares a comprehensive annual report that is posted on each agency's website. Data and directed research studies are reported at scientific meetings and published in peer-reviewed scientific journals.

As a public health monitoring system, the primary objectives of NARMS are to:

- Provide descriptive data on the extent and temporal trends of antimicrobial susceptibility/resistance in zoonotic foodborne bacterial pathogens and select commensal organisms to veterinarians, physicians, public health authorities, and other stakeholders;
- Provide a platform for successive epidemiology and research studies to better understand the emergence and transfer of antimicrobial resistance and the burden of illness posed by these organisms, and assist in the development of science-based strategies to contain or mitigate resistance;
- Assist the FDA in making decisions related to the approval of safe and effective drugs for humans and animals, as well as to promote judicious use of antimicrobial drugs.

D. NARMS Components

The NARMS program has three components or "arms" which are described below.

1. Human Component

The human component of NARMS was launched in 1996 within the framework of CDC's Emerging Infections Program and the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of human isolates is performed at CDC's laboratories in the National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne and Enteric Diseases (NCZVED, proposed name) in Atlanta, Georgia.

The program initially included non-Typhi *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates from 14 state and local health departments. It later expanded to include additional bacteria and testing sites. In 1997, testing was expanded to include monitoring of resistance among *Campylobacter* isolates from humans in five sites participating in FoodNet. In 1999, testing of *Salmonella* Typhi and *Shigella* isolates was added. Since 2003, all 50 states have been forwarding a representative sample of non-Typhi *Salmonella*, *Salmonella* Typhi, *Shigella*, and *E. coli* O157 isolates to CDC for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and 10 FoodNet states have been participating in *Campylobacter* surveillance.

2. Retail Meat Component

The retail meat component of NARMS was launched in 2002, following a 15-month pilot study in lowa. The retail meat component is conducted through an ongoing collaboration between FDA/CVM, CDC, and FoodNet laboratories. Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of retail meat isolates is performed at CVM's Office of Research in Laurel, Maryland.

Retail meat sampling began in January of 2002 for FoodNet laboratories in Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, and Tennessee. Oregon joined the program in September of 2002, while FoodNet laboratories in California and New York joined the program in 2003. All participating FoodNet sites purchased chicken breasts, ground turkey, ground beef, and pork chops at retail stores and cultured them for *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*. Four sites (Georgia, Maryland, Oregon, and Tennessee) also tested for *E. coli* and *Enterococcus*.

3. Animal Component

The animal component of NARMS was launched in 1997 after pilot studies were conducted in 1995 and 1996. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of animal isolates is conducted at the USDA's Agricultural Research Service (ARS) Bacterial Epidemiology and Antimicrobial Resistance Research Unit at the Russell Research Center in Athens, Georgia.

Salmonella slaughter isolates recovered from chickens, turkeys, cattle, and swine were submitted to the NARMS program through the USDA Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) Salmonella HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point) Verification Testing Program. Salmonella isolates from USDA baseline studies, ready-to-eat sampling programs, and diagnostic and on-farm sources were also tested. In 1998, the program was expanded to include monitoring of resistance among *Campylobacter* isolates from chicken carcass rinsates collected at slaughter. In 2000, USDA began monitoring resistance among *E. coli* and *Enterococcus* isolates recovered from chicken carcass rinsates collected at slaughter as well.

II. Sampling and Testing Methods

A. Sampling Methodology

Sample collection is an integral part of public health surveillance systems, including NARMS. Sampling strategies necessarily differ among the three components (arms) of NARMS and are described below.

1. Human Component

Sampling for the human isolates depends on public health laboratory-based surveillance and is driven by the occurrence of laboratory-confirmed cases. The NARMS program at CDC began in 1996 and initially included monitoring of antimicrobial resistance among non-Typhi *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157 isolates in 14 states. Testing of *Salmonella* Typhi and *Shigella* isolates was added in 1999. Subsequently, additional states joined the program. Since 2003, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *E. coli* O157 isolates have been collected from clinical laboratories by state and local health departments in all 50 states and sent to the CDC for susceptibility testing. In 2003, participating state and local public health laboratories sent every 20th non-Typhi *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *E. coli* O157:H7 isolate they received. *Salmonella* serotyping was performed by the participating laboratories prior to shipping. All isolates of *Salmonella* Typhi, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and non-cholerae *Vibrio* isolates were also forwarded to CDC for further analysis.

Surveillance for *Campylobacter* began in 1997 with five FoodNet sites submitting one isolate each week. This was expanded through the years, and in 2003 included isolates submitted from 10 FoodNet sites. Since not all states require submission of *Campylobacter* isolates from clinical laboratories, some states receive isolates from almost all clinical laboratories in their jurisdiction (five sites) while others receive isolates from sentinel laboratories (five sites).

2. Retail Meat Component

In 2002, retail meat sampling began in January with FoodNet laboratories in Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, and Tennessee; Oregon joined in September. For calendar year 2003, retail meat sampling was expanded to include California and New York. An attempt was made by each FoodNet site to sample as many different stores as possible each month. The object was to purchase as many different brands of fresh (not frozen) meat and poultry as possible. Each site attempted to purchase a total of 40 food samples per month including 10 samples each of chicken breast, ground turkey, ground beef, and pork chops. For each meat and poultry sample, the FoodNet sites recorded the store name, brand name, lot number (if available), sell-by date, purchase date, and laboratory processing date on log sheets. Where possible, additional information, such as whether the meat or poultry was ground or cut in-store was also collected. Once isolated and identified, bacterial isolates were sent to the FDA-CVM Office of Research for further characterization including species confirmation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

3. Animal Component

The animal component of NARMS was launched in 1997 and initially included monitoring of antimicrobial resistance among *Salmonella*. *Salmonella* isolates included in the NARMS program have originated from diagnostic, on-farm, and slaughter sources.

Diagnostic *Salmonella* isolates from sick animals were submitted by sentinel sites, which served as state, regional, or local veterinary diagnostic laboratories and were primarily located at universities,

or were collected by ARS staff from the National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL) in Ames, lowa. Animal sources included food animals (e.g., poultry, swine, and cattle) as well as exotics, pets, and other non-food producing animals.

On-farm *Salmonella* isolates were obtained from healthy farm animals and were collected as part of epidemiological research studies or as part of the USDA-APHIS-National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) studies. The USDA initiated NAHMS in 1983 to collect, analyze, and disseminate data on the health, management, and productivity of America's domestic livestock populations. On-farm isolates were also submitted from smaller, specific studies conducted by the USDA or collaborators when available.

Slaughter *Salmonella* isolates were submitted to NARMS from all federally inspected plants throughout the United States and included carcass rinsates (chickens), carcass swabs (turkey, cattle, and swine), ground products (chicken, turkey, and beef), eggs/egg products, and certain ready-to-eat (RTE) foods. Isolates from food animals at slaughter were submitted through the USDA-FSIS *Salmonella* HACCP Verification Testing Program. Isolates from FSIS baseline and RTE sampling programs were also tested when available. This Executive Report only contains data for *Salmonella* slaughter isolates from carcass rinsates, carcass swabs, and ground products.

USDA began testing *Campylobacter* isolates in 1998. From 1998 to 2000, *Campylobacter* isolates from chickens were obtained from a variety of USDA-FSIS programs for inclusion in NARMS. In 1998, *Campylobacter* isolates were only submitted from the Eastern FSIS laboratory, whereas in 1999 and 2000, isolates were obtained from all three FSIS laboratories (Eastern, Midwestern, and Western laboratories). FSIS cultured samples for *Campylobacter* using the most probable number method described in the FSIS Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook.¹ Nalidixic acid susceptibility and cephalothin resistance were initially used as identification criteria for *Campylobacter jejuni/coli*. This likely resulted in an underreporting of quinolone/fluoroquinolone (Q/FQ) resistant *Campylobacter* until 2001, when use of this method was discontinued. From January through June, 2001. Since that time, *Campylobacter* reported in the NARMS animal component have been isolated from spent chicken carcass rinsates submitted by the Eastern FSIS laboratory as part of the *Salmonella* HACCP Verification Program using the new ARS method. In addition to antimicrobial susceptibility testing, the ARS laboratory also speciates *Campylobacter* isolates.

This Executive Report contains data on *Campylobacter* recovered from chicken carcass rinsates for the period July, 2001 through December, 2003, when the new ARS isolation method was used. Additional data from the NARMS animal component can be found on USDA's NARMS website.

¹ <u>http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Science/Microbiological_Lab_Guidebook/index.asp</u>

B. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Methods

The dilution schemes and antimicrobial content of NARMS antimicrobial susceptibility testing panels have undergone several design iterations as the program has matured. This has resulted in testing arrays that now meet international standards for guality control. We also have amended the content of the panels, as appropriate, to accommodate new antimicrobial agents entering the market, to omit those no longer available or used, or to adjust dilution ranges. The susceptibility testing panel formats undergo annual review to consider possible improvements. Customized testing panels also have been designed, and are available for use in phenotypic assessment of extended spectrum beta-lactam and fluoroquinolone resistance.

Antimicrobial minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for Salmonella were determined according to manufacturer's instructions using the Sensititre[®] semi-automated antimicrobial susceptibility system (Trek Diagnostic Systems, Westlake, Ohio). For isolates from humans that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre[®] plate (MIC >4 µg/ml), Etest[®] (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) was performed to determine amikacin MICs. MICs were interpreted using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) standards, when available.^{1,2} The antimicrobials tested included amikacin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ampicillin, cefoxitin, ceftiofur, ceftriaxone, cephalothin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, kanamycin, nalidixic acid, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. The guality control organisms used included E. coli ATCC 35218, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 to ensure that all antimicrobial agents were appropriately quality controlled, except for streptomycin, for which CLSI quality control standards and interpretive criteria have not been set.

Antimicrobial MICs for Campylobacter were determined using two different methods for 2003. The human and animal components used Etest[®] (AB Biodisk) to determine MICs for *Campylobacter*. while the retail component used the CLSI-approved agar dilution method. Campylobacter jejuni ATCC 33560 was the quality control organism used for testing. The antimicrobials tested using Etest[®] included azithromycin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, erythromycin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, and tetracycline. Based on Etest[®] manufacturer recommendations, MIC results that fell between the two-fold dilutions described in CLSI documents were rounded up to next two-fold dilution for interpretation.³ The antimicrobials included in agar dilution testing were ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, erythromycin, gentamicin, and meropenem. The use of different methodologies and antimicrobials highlighted the need for a less cumbersome test method for Campylobacter, and prompted FDA-CVM to develop a broth microdilution method and an appropriate control strain. This method has been approved by CLSI and has been used throughout the NARMS program since 2005.

Tables 1 and 2 detail antimicrobials tested and corresponding CLSI interpretive criteria, where available, for Salmonella and Campylobacter, respectively.^{1,2}

¹ NCCLS/CLSI. 2002. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated from Animals. Approved Standard, M31-A2. NCCLS, Wayne, PA.

² CLSI. 2006. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Sixteenth Informational Supplement (M100-S16). CLSI, Wayne, PA. ³ In USDA's NARMS annual reports, MIC values were not rounded up prior to interpretation.

		В	reakpoints (µg/r	nl)
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial Agent	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin	≤ 16	32	≥ 64
	Gentamicin 4	<	8	≥ 16
	Kanamycin	≤ 16	32	≥ 64
	Streptomycin	≤ 32	N/A	≥ 64
Aminopenicillins	Ampicillin	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	Amoxicillin–Clavulanic Acid	≤ 8 / 4	16 / 8	≥ 32 / 16
Cephalosporins	Ceftiofur 2	4	4	≥ 8
	Ceftriaxone	≤ 8	16 - 32	≥ 64
	Cephalothin	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Cephamycins	Cefoxitin	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Sulfamethoxazole	≤ 256	N/A	≥ 512
	Trimethoprim–Sulfamethoxazole	≤ 2 / 38	N/A	≥ 4 / 76
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin 1	Ч	2	≥ 4
	Nalidixic acid	≤ 16	N/A	≥ 32
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline 4	М	8	≥ 16

Table 1. Breakpoints Used for Susceptibility Testing of Salmonella¹

¹ Breakpoints were adopted from CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute), except for streptomycin, which has no official breakpoint

	Used for Susceptibility res	sting of our	pyrobuotor	
		В	eakpoints (µg/r	nl)
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial Agent	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin 4	м	8	≥ 16
Lincosamides	Clindamycin	≤ 0.5	1 - 2	≥ 4
Macrolides	Azithromycin	≤ 0.25	0.5 - 1	≥ 2
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.5	1 - 4	≥ 8
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin 1	≤	2	≥ 4
	Nalidixic acid	≤ 16	N/A	≥ 32
Tetracyclines	Doxycycline 4	5	8	≥ 16
	Tetracycline 4	Ч	8	≥ 16

Table 2. Breakpoints Used for Susceptibility Testing of Campylobacter¹

¹ In 2003, there were no CLSI breakpoints available for susceptibility testing of Campylobacter

III. Results

A. Background

The next two sections present NARMS data on *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* isolates recovered from humans, retail meats, and food animals at slaughter. Section IIIB contains *Salmonella* data, and Section IIIC contains *Campylobacter* data.

Each section reports the number of retail meat samples tested, the number of meat samples from which *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* were recovered, the serotypes or species isolated and tested from humans, retail meats, and food animals, and antimicrobial susceptibility phenotypes. The *Salmonella* section not only includes data for all non-Typhi *Salmonella*, but also includes specific data for the top four *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from humans in 2003 (*Salmonella* serotypes Typhimurium, Enteritidis, Newport, and Heidelberg). The *Campylobacter* section provides separate antimicrobial susceptibility data for *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*.

The first set of antimicrobial susceptibility tables for each organism (Tables 7, 12, 17, 22, 27, 36, and 37) includes MIC distributions for 2003, the percent of isolates displaying intermediate susceptibility and resistance, and 95% confidence intervals for the percent resistant. The confidence intervals were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.¹ The non-shaded areas in the tables indicate the range of dilutions tested for each antimicrobial. Single vertical bars indicate antimicrobial susceptibility breakpoints, while double vertical bars indicate antimicrobial resistance breakpoints. CLSI interpretive criteria were used when available.

The MIC distribution tables are followed by tables that show the numbers and percentages of isolates that were resistant, for all years that each NARMS component conducted testing through 2003 (Tables 8, 13, 18, 23, 28, 38, and 39).² The total number of isolates tested per year for each source is listed at the top of each table. An empty cell in this area indicates that surveillance was not conducted for that particular source, whereas a zero indicates that surveillance was conducted, but no isolates were available for testing. Below the section containing the number of isolates tested in each of these tables, empty shaded boxes indicate that there are no data to report as either surveillance was not conducted or isolates were not available for testing.

Third-generation cephalosporins (such as ceftriaxone) and quinolones (such as ciprofloxacin) are antimicrobial agents commonly used for the treatment of severe *Salmonella* infections in humans. Therefore, resistance to these agents in *Salmonella* is highlighted using pie charts and graphs on ceftiofur and nalidixic acid resistance phenotypes (Figures 4-15).^{3,4} Ceftiofur is the only third-generation cephalosporin approved for use in food animals in the U.S. and elevated MICs (\geq 8 µg/ml) correlate well with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone (MIC \geq 2 µg/ml). Similarly, nalidixic acid resistance (MIC \geq 32 µg/ml) correlates well with decreased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin (MIC \geq 0.125 µg/ml). For *Salmonella*, data on multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotypes of public health importance are also presented (Tables 11, 16, 21, 26, and 31).

¹ Newcombe RG. Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. Statistics in Medicine 1998; 17(8): 857-872.

² Data on *Campylobacter* recovered from chickens is presented only for July, 2001 through December, 2003, as described in Section IIA.

³ Note that the scales vary from figure to figure, based on the maximum percent resistance.

⁴ Below each graph is a table that shows the number of isolates exhibiting resistance. Grey boxes indicate that there were no isolates to test, while boxes with zeros indicate that there were isolates to test, but none exhibited resistance.

The data contained in this report may, in a few cases, differ slightly from those previously reported in each corresponding agency's annual report. These minor differences are due to the dynamic nature of the data, which are updated if new information is obtained about the bacterial isolates under surveillance or specific isolates were retested, and, in the case of the *Campylobacter* data reported from the NARMS animal arm, may be a result of MIC rounding, which was not done for the USDA annual reports.

B. Salmonella Data

1. Salmonella Isolates Tested

				Ye	ear			
Source	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008	1865
Chicken Breasts							60	83
Ground Turkey							74	114
Ground Beef							9	10
Pork Chops							10	5
Chickens		214	561	1438	1173	1307	1500	1158
Turkeys		107	240	713	518	550	244	262
Cattle		24	284	1610	1388	893	1008	670
Swine		111	793	876	451	418	379	211

Table 3. Total Number of Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates Tested, by Source and Year, 1996-2003

2. Isolation of Salmonella from Retail Meats, 2003

	Chicken Breasts	Ground Turkey	Ground Beef	Pork Chops
Number of Meat Samples Tested	897	857	880	899
Number Positive for Salmonella	83	114	10	5
Percent Positive for Salmonella	9.3%	13.3%	1.1%	0.6%

Table 4. Number and Percent of Retail Meat Samples Positive for Salmonella, 2003

Figure 1. Percent of Retail Meat Samples Positive for Salmonella, 2003



3. Salmonella Serotypes

Table 5. Most Common Serotypes among Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

	Humans				Retail Meats				Food Animal	s	
Source	Serotype	n	%	Meat Type	Serotype	n	%	Animal Source	Serotype	n	%
Humans	Typhimurium	403	21.6	Chicken	Typhimurium	22	26.5	Chickens ¹	Kentucky	418	36.1
(n=1865)	Enteritidis	257	13.8	Breasts	Kentucky	20	24.1	(n=1158)	Heidelberg	226	19.5
(,	Newport	222	11.9	(n=83)	Heidelberg	16	19.3	(Typhimurium	156	13.5
	Heidelberg	96	5.1		Mbandaka	7	8.4		Hadar	51	4.4
	Javiana	85	4.6		Haardt	4	4.8		Enteritidis	42	3.6
	Saintpaul	58	3.1		Enteritidis	3	3.6		Montevideo	30	2.6
	Muenchen	48	2.6		Brandenburg	2	2.4		Thompson	29	2.5
	Montevideo	43	2.3		Hadar	2	2.4		Infantis	27	2.3
	Oranienburg	43	2.3		Saintpaul	2	2.4		Mbandaka	18	1.6
	l 4,[5],12:i:- Agona	38 32	2.0 1.7		I 4,5,12:i:-	2	2.4		Senftenberg	12	1.0
	Braenderup Infantis	31 31	1.7 1.7	Ground	Heidelberg	32	28.1	Turkeys	Heidelberg	57	21.8
	Java	30	1.6	Turkey	Saintpaul	24	21.1	(n=262)	Hadar	44	16.8
	Mississippi	30	1.6	(n=114)	Reading	13	11.4	(,	Arizona ²	32	12.2
	Thompson	24	1.3	Ì, '	Hadar	11	9.6		Reading	31	11.8
	Hadar	19	1.0		Agona	6	5.3		Saintpaul	20	7.6
	Anatum	18	1.0		Senftenberg	5	4.4		Newport	19	7.3
	Bareilly	18	1.0		Kentucky	4	3.5		Senftenberg	12	4.6
	Senftenberg	18	1.0		Bredeney	2	1.8		Kentucky	9	3.4
	5				Montevideo	2	1.8		Muenchen	6	2.3
					Newport	2	1.8		Schwarzengrund	6	2.3
					Schwarzengrund	2	1.8		Typhimurium	6	2.3
					Typhimurium	2	1.8		<i>.</i>		
					Illa 18:z4,z23:-	2	1.8				
					IIIa 18:z4,z32:-	2	1.8				
				Ground	Dublin	3	30.0	Cattle	Typhimurium	78	11.6
				Beef	Montevideo	2	20.0	(n=670)	Newport	75	11.2
				(n=10)	Enteritidis	1	10.0		Montevideo	64	9.6
					Infantis	1	10.0		Anatum	58	8.7
					Muenchen	1	10.0		Agona	44	6.6
					Newport	1	10.0		Muenster	44	6.6
					Typhimurium	1	10.0		Mbandaka	31	4.6
									Dublin	30	4.5
									Kentucky	30	4.5
									Cerro	23	3.4
				Pork	Johannesburg	2	40.0	Swine	Derby	46	21.8
				Chops	Brandenburg	1	20.0	(n=211)	Typhimurium	27	12.8
				(n=5)	Newport	1	20.0		Infantis	15	7.1
					Typhimurium	1	20.0		Heidelberg	11	5.2
									Anatum	10	4.7
				1					Johannesburg	10	4.7
									Agona	9	4.3
									Agona Reading	9 9	
									-		4.3

¹ There were 56 (4.8%) Salmonella isolates from chickens that were classified as monophasic. The antigenic formulas for these isolates are not available ² Salmonella Arizona refers to *S. enterica* subspecies IIIa; antigenic formulas are not available for these isolates

	Humans		Retail	Meats			Food A	nimals	
	Humans (n=1865)	Chicken Breast (n=83)	Ground Turkey (n=114)	Ground Beef (n=10)	Pork Chops (n=5)	Chickens (n=1158)	Turkeys (n=262)	Cattle (n=670)	Swine (n=211)
1. Typhimurium	21.6%	26.5%	1.8%	10.0%	20.0%	13.5%	2.3%	11.6%	12.8%
r. ryphinunum	403	22	2	1	1	156	6	78	27
2. Enteritidis	13.8%	3.6%	0.9%	10.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%
2. Entertuais	257	3	1	1	0	42	0	3	1
3. Newport	11.9%	0.0%	1.8%	10.0%	20.0%	0.6%	7.3%	11.2%	1.4%
5. Newport	222	0	2	1	1	7	19	75	3
4. Heidelberg	5.1%	19.3%	28.1%	0.0%	0.0%	19.5%	21.8%	1.3%	5.2%
4. Heidelberg	96	16	32	0	0	226	57	9	11
5. Javiana	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
J. Javialia	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
6. Saintpaul	3.1%	2.4%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	0.3%	4.3%
o. Sampau	58	2	24	0	0	0	20	2	9
7. Muenchen	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.1%	2.3%	2.4%	1.9%
7. Muenchen	48	0	0	1	0	1	6	16	4
8. Oranienburg	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%
o. Oramenburg	43	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	2
9. Montevideo	2.3%	1.2%	1.8%	20.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.4%	9.6%	0.9%
3. MONTEVICEO	43	1	2	2	0	30	1	64	2
10. 4,[5],12:i:- ¹	2.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Not	Not	Not	Not
10. 14,[5],12:1:-	38	2	0	0	0	Determined	Determined	Determined	Determined

Table 6. Most Common *Salmonella* (non-Typhi) Serotypes in Humans and their Distributions among Retail Meat and Food Animal Isolates, by Meat Type and Animal Source, 2003

¹ Antigenic formulas are not available for monophasic Salmonella isolated from food animals, so the number of Salmonella I 4,[5],12:i:- isolates could not be determined

Figure 2. Most Common Salmonella (non-Typhi) Serotypes from Humans in 2003 and their Relative Frequencies, by Year, 1996-2003



Salmonella Serotypes from Food Animals in 2003 and their Relative Frequencies, by Year, 1997-2003



4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among all non-Typhi Salmonella

	Isolate Source									Di	stributi	on (%)	of MI	Cs (µg	/ml) ⁴						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides																					
Amikacin	Humans (1865) ⁵	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.2]						3.6	62.3	31.2	2.7	0.1	0.2						
	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.3]						8.4	47.0	41.0	3.6								
	Ground Turkey (114)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 3.2]							52.6	44.7	2.6								
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]							60.0	40.0									
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]							100.0										
	Chickens (1158) ⁶	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.2	[0.0 - 0.6]						25.2	48.2	24.0	2.4	0.2							
	Turkeys (262)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]						26.0	46.9	26.0	1.1								
	Cattle (670) ⁷	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.1	[0.0 - 0.8]						24.3	50.1	24.0	1.5	0.1							
	Swine (211)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]						17.1	54.5	22.3	6.2								
Gentamicin	Humans (1865)	0.5	1.4	[0.9 - 2.0]					35.9	38.7	23.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.8					
Gentamicin	Chicken Breasts (83)	1.2	6.0	[2.0 - 13.5]					33.7	54.2	4.8			1.2	2.4	3.6					
	Ground Turkey (114)	5.3	22.8	[15.5 - 31.6]					25.4	37.7	5.3	3.5		5.3	14.9	7.9					
	,	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]					30.0	40.0	30.0	0.0		0.0							
	Ground Beef (10) Pork Chops (5)	20.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]					40.0	40.0				20.0							
	Chickens (1158)	0.9	6.3	[5.0 - 7.9]					76.9	11.7	3.5	0.4	0.2	0.9	3.5	2.8					
	Turkeys (262)	7.3	21.0	[16.2 - 26.4]					58.0	8.8	2.7	1.5	0.8	7.3	15.3	5.7					
	Cattle (670)	0.9	2.7	[1.6 - 4.2]					72.4	19.3	4.5	0.1	0.1	0.9	1.0	1.6					
	Swine (211)	0.5	0.5	[0.0 - 2.6]					75.8	20.4	2.8			0.5		0.5					
	Humans (1865)	0.2	3.4	[2.7 - 4.4]										96.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	3.3			
Kanamycin	. ,														0.0		0.2				
	Chicken Breasts (83)	1.2	4.8	[1.3 - 11.9]										94.0		1.2		4.8			
	Ground Turkey (114)	2.6	27.2	[19.3 - 36.3]										70.2		2.6	14.0	13.2			
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]										100.0		20.0					
	Pork Chops (5)	20.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]										80.0		20.0					
	Chickens (1158)	0.0	2.8	[1.9 - 3.9]										96.9	0.3		0.4	2.3			
	Turkeys (262)	3.8	16.0	[11.8 - 21.0]										79.4	0.8	3.8	3.4	12.6			
	Cattle (670)	0.1	13.7	[11.2 - 16.6]										85.6	0.4	0.1	0.6	13.1			
	Swine (211)	0.0	5.7	[3.0 - 9.7]										94.3			0.5	5.2			
Streptomycin	Humans (1865)	N/A	15.0	[13.4 - 16.7]												84.8	7.1	7.9			
	Chicken Breasts (83)	N/A	26.5	[17.4 - 37.3]												73.5	14.5	12.0			
	Ground Turkey (114)	N/A	45.6	[36.3 - 55.2]												54.4	20.2	25.4			
	Ground Beef (10)	N/A	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]												60.0		40.0			
	Pork Chops (5)	N/A	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]												60.0	20.0	20.0			
	Chickens (1158)	N/A	19.6	[17.4 - 22.0]												80.4	14.8	4.8			
	Turkeys (262)	N/A	29.4	[23.9 - 35.3]												70.6	17.9	11.5			
	Cattle (670)	N/A	28.7	[25.3 - 32.2]												71.3	4.5	24.2			
	Swine (211)	N/A	30.8	[24.6 - 37.5]												69.2	13.7	17.1			

Table 7a. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among all Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁴ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for strentomycin

resistance. the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. ⁵ For isolates from humans that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 μg/mI), Etest was performed to determine amikacin MICs; the percentages reported in the shaded area (MIQ₈ μg/mI) are The amikacin Etest strip range of dilutions is 0.016-256 μg/mI

based on Etest results for these isolates. The amikacin Etest strip range of dilutions is 0.016-256 µg/ml ⁶ There were 2 isolates from chickens that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 µg/ml). Further testing of these isolates was not conducted. For the calculation of confidence intervals, these isolates were considered resistant

⁷ There was 1 isolate from cattle that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 µg/mI). Further testing of this isolate was not conducted. For the calculation of a confidence interval, this isolate was

	Isolate Source									Di	stributi	on (%)	of MI	Cs (uo	ı/ml)⁴						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminopenicillins		701	7011																		
•	Humans (1865)	0.1	13.6	[12.1 - 15.3]							49.7	32.8	3.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	13.6				
Ampicillin	Tiumans (1005)	0.1	13.0								43.7	52.0	5.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	13.0				
	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	33.7	[23.7 - 44.9]							43.4	22.9					33.7				
	Ground Turkey (114)	0.0	28.9	[20.8 - 38.2]							36.8	31.6	1.8	0.9			28.9				
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]							10.0	50.0					40.0				
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]							40.0	20.0					40.0				
		0.0	13.7	[11.8 - 15.8]							67.8	17.4	10	0.1		0.1	13.6				
	Chickens (1158)	0.0	18.7	[14.2 - 24.0]							60.7	18.7		0.1		0.4	18.3				
	Turkeys (262)	0.0	28.1	[24.7 - 31.6]							59.4	11.6		0.1		0.1	27.9				
	Cattle (670)	0.0	12.8	[8.6 - 18.1]							70.1	14.7		0.1		0.5	12.3				
	Swine (211)	0.0	12.0	[0.0 - 10.1]							70.1	14.7	2.7		l	0.5	12.5				
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations																					
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid	Humans (1865)	5.0	4.6	[3.7 - 5.7]							83.3	2.6	1.0	3.5	5.0	0.8	3.8				
	Chicken Breasts (83)	6.0	25.3	[16.4 - 36.0]							65.1	1.2		2.4	6.0		25.3				
	Ground Turkey (114)	15.8	11.4	[6.2 - 18.7]							58.8	11.4	0.9	1.8	15.8	8.8	2.6				
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]							50.0	10.0					40.0				
	Pork Chops (5)	20.0	20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]							40.0	20.0			20.0		20.0				
	,	2.2	9.7	[8.0 - 11.5]							83.8	2.3	0.3	1.8	2.2	0.6	9.1				
	Chickens (1158)	9.2	1.5	[0.4 - 3.9]							78.2	2.7	2.7	5.7	9.2	0.4	1.1				
	Turkeys (262)	2.5	21.0	[18.0 - 24.3]							69.6	1.0	2.2	3.6	2.5	4.8	16.3				
	Cattle (670)	6.2	3.8	[18.0 - 24.3] [1.7 - 7.3]							81.0	5.2	2.2 1.9	3.0 1.9	6.2	4.0 0.5	3.3				
	Swine (211)	0.2	3.0	[1.7 - 7.3]							01.0	J.2	1.5	1.5	0.2	0.5	3.5				
Cephalosporins																					
Ceftiofur	Humans (1865)	0.1	4.5	[3.6 - 5.5]				0.3	1.0	61.8	31.3	1.1	0.1	0.1	4.5						
	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	25.3	[16.4 - 36.0]						51.8	21.7	1.2			25.3						
	Ground Turkey (114)	0.0	2.6	[0.5 - 7.5]						41.2	54.4	1.8			2.6						
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]						30.0	30.0				40.0						
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]						60.0		20.0			20.0						
	Chickens (1158)	0.0	9.8	[8.1 - 11.6]				0.1	1.8	78.1	9.6	0.7		0.2	9.6						
	Turkeys (262)	0.0	1.5	[0.4 - 3.9]				0.1	0.8	69.5	27.9	0.4		•	1.5						
	Cattle (670)	0.1	21.0	[18.0 - 24.3]				0.1	0.3	61.0	17.0	0.3	0.1	1.3	19.7						
		0.0	4.3	[2.0 - 7.9]				0.5	1.4	71.1	22.7				4.3						
	Swine (211)							0.0	_								1				
Ceftriaxone	Humans (1865)	3.4	0.4	[0.2 - 0.8]					95.3	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.5	2.3	1.1	0.2	0.2			
	Chicken Breasts (83)	24.1	0.0	[0.0 - 4.3]					73.5				1.2	1.2	16.9	7.2					
	Ground Turkey (114)	1.8	0.0	[0.0 - 3.2]					97.4					0.9	1	1.8					
	Ground Beef (10)	30.0	10.0	[0.3 - 44.5]					60.0						30.0		10.0				
	Pork Chops (5)	20.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]					80.0							20.0					
	Chickens (1158)	5.6	0.1	[0.0 - 0.5]					90.2	0.1			0.1	3.9	4.7	0.9		0.1			
	Turkeys (262)	0.8	0.4	[0.0 - 2.1]					98.9						0.4	0.4	0.4				
	Cattle (670)	16.6	0.1	[0.0 - 0.8]					78.7	0.1		0.1	0.3	4.0	13.3	3.3	0.1				
	Swine (211)	3.3	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]					95.7					0.9	1.9	1.4					

Table 7b. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among all	Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isol	plates from Humans. Retail Meat	s. and Food Animals. 2003
---	-----------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

Table 7c. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among	I Salmonella (non-T	vphi) Isolates from Humans.	Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

	Isolate Source						Ì		. ,		stributi										
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Cephalothin	Humans (1865)	0.9	5.4	[4.4 - 6.5]								68.6	21.7	3.4	0.9	0.8	4.7				
	Chicken Breasts (83)	2.4	28.9	[19.5 - 39.9]								21.7	42.2	4.8	2.4	1.2	27.7				
	Ground Turkey (114)	1.8	28.9	[20.8 - 38.2]									49.1	14.9	1.8	2.6	26.3				
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]								0.0		10.0			40.0				
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]									60.0			20.0	20.0				
	Chickens (1158)		10.4									60.4	16.4		1.4	0.8	9.7				
	. ,	1.4 3.4		[8.7 - 12.4]									26.0	2.3 6.5	1.4 3.4						
	Turkeys (262)		11.1	[7.5 - 15.5]									20.0	2.7		8.8	2.3				
	Cattle (670)	0.9 1.4	21.2	[18.2 - 24.5]									24.0 28.0	2.7 3.8	0.9	0.1	21.0 3.8				
	Swine (211)	1.4	3.8	[1.7 - 7.3]								03.0	20.0	3.0	1.4	ļ	3.0				
Cephamycins																					
Cefoxitin	Humans (1865)	0.6	4.3	[3.4 - 5.3]						0.2	16.1	63.1	13.5	2.1	0.6	4.3					
	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	25.3	[16.4 - 36.0]								60.2	13.3	1.2		25.3					
	Ground Turkey (114)	1.8	2.6	[0.5 - 7.5]							1.8	55.3	31.6	7.0	1.8	2.6					
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]								40.0	20.0			40.0					
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]									20.0	40.0		20.0					
	Chickens (1158)	1.6	8.2	[6.7 - 9.9]						0.1	16.2	59.3	13.2	1.4	1.6	8.2					
	Turkeys (262)	0.8	1.1	[0.2 - 3.3]						0.1	9.5		21.8	3.8	0.8	1.1					
	Cattle (670)	4.0	17.8	[14.9 - 20.9]						0.1	4.6		33.9	1.3	4.0	17.8					
	Swine (211)	0.0	4.3	[2.0 - 7.9]						0.5	4.7		46.4		4.0	4.3					
				[
Folate Pathway Inhibitors															_						
Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (1865)	N/A	15.1	[13.5 - 16.8]											76.6	7.9	0.4		0.1	0.4	14.7
	Chicken Breasts (83)	N/A	14.5	[7.7 - 23.9]											32.5	33.7	15.7	3.6			14.5
	Ground Turkey (114)	N/A	33.3	[24.8 - 42.8]											18.4	33.3	13.2	1.8		0.9	32.5
	Ground Beef (10)	N/A	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]											20.0	30.0	10.0				40.0
	Pork Chops (5)	N/A	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]											20.0	40.0					40.0
	Chickens (1158)	N/A	10.3	[8.6 - 12.2]											76.5	10.3	0.4	0.3	2.2	7.3	3.0
	Turkeys (262)	N/A	28.2	[22.9 - 34.1]											60.7	7.3	0.4		3.4	18.3	9.9
	Cattle (670)	N/A	25.1	[21.8 - 28.5]											55.8	9.9	2.1	0.4	6.7	15.4	9.7
	Swine (211)	N/A	25.1	[19.4 - 31.5]											64.0	6.6	0.9	0.9	2.4	15.6	9.5
Trimetheorim Culfemetheyezele	Humans (1865)	N/A	1.9	[1.4 - 2.7]				84.9	12.5	0.6	0.1			1.9							
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	Chicken Breasts (83)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.3]				97.6	2.4												
	Ground Turkey (114)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.3]				86.0	13.2	0.9											
	Ground Beef (10)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]				60.0	40.0	0.0											
	Pork Chops (5)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]				60.0	40.0												
										0.2	0.1	0.2		0.2							
	Chickens (1158)	N/A	0.3	[0.1 - 0.9]				90.6	8.5	0.3	0.1	0.2		0.3							
	Turkeys (262)	N/A	2.3	[0.8 - 4.9]				75.6	20.6 22.7	1.5	0.1			2.3							
	Cattle (670)	N/A	3.3	[2.1 - 4.9]				71.3		2.5	0.1		0.3	3.0							
	Swine (211)	N/A	2.4	[0.8 - 5.4]				74.9	17.5	5.2				2.4							

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

Table 7d. Distribution of	Isolate Source								. , .		stributi					,				,	
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25		1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Phenicols																					
Chloramphenicol	Humans (1865)	1.0	10.0	[8.7 - 11.5]								2.0	55.3	31.6	1.0	0.3	9.8				
	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	2.4	[0.3 - 8.4]									32.5	65.1			2.4				
	Ground Turkey (114)	2.6	0.9	[0.0 - 4.8]									13.2	83.3	2.6		0.9				
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]									10.0	50.0			40.0				
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]										60.0			40.0				
	Chickens (1158)	0.3	2.1	[1.3 - 3.1]								5.4	66.4	25.9	0.3		2.1				
	Turkeys (262)	2.3	4.2	[2.1 - 7.4]								5.0	56.1	32.4	2.3		4.2				
	Cattle (670)	0.7	25.1	[21.8 - 28.5]								0.7	42.7	30.7	0.7	0.1	24.9				
	Swine (211)	1.9	8.5	[5.1 - 13.1]								_	43.1	46.4	1.9		8.5				
Quinolones																					
Ciprofloxacin	Humans (1865)	0.1	0.2	[0.0 - 0.5]	96.4	1.3	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1		0.2							
	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.3]	83.1	14.5	1.2		1.2			1									
	Ground Turkey (114)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 3.2]	86.0	8.8	0.9		3.5	0.9											
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]	70.0	30.0															
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]	60.0	20.0	20.0														
	Chickens (1158)	0.0	0.1	[0.0 - 0.5]	98.1	1.5		0.1		0.2				0.1							
	Turkeys (262)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]	92.7	3.4	0.8	1.9	1.1	0.2				0.1							
	Cattle (670)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.5]	96.1	3.3		0.1	0.4												
	Swine (211)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]	94.8	5.2															
Nalidixic Acid	Humans (1865)	N/A	2.3	[1.7 - 3.1]						0.1	0.2	4.7	84.9	7.5	0.4	0.2	2.1				
	Chicken Breasts (83)	N/A	1.2	[0.0 - 6.5]							1.2	1.2	84.3	12.0			1.2				
	Ground Turkey (114)	N/A	4.4	[1.4 - 9.9]										11.4	0.9		4.4				
	Ground Beef (10)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]								10.0	70.0	20.0							
	Pork Chops (5)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]									80.0		20.0						
	Chickens (1158)	N/A	0.4	[0.1 - 1.0]						0.1		10.0	79.4	9.6	0.4	0.1	0.3				
	Turkeys (262)	N/A	3.8	[1.8 - 6.9]							0.8	9.2	75.2	10.7	0.4		3.8				
	Cattle (670)	N/A	0.4	[0.1 - 1.3]								5.7	87.2	6.0	0.7		0.4				
	Swine (211)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]								5.2	78.2	14.7	1.9						
Tetracyclines																					
Tetracycline	Humans (1865)	0.2	16.3	[14.7 - 18.1]									83.6	0.2	3.6	4.1	8.6				
-	Chicken Breasts (83)	0.0	27.7	[18.4 - 38.6]									72.3			1.2	26.5				
	Ground Turkey (114)	2.6	39.5	[30.4 - 49.1]									57.9	2.6			39.5				
	Ground Beef (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]									60.0				40.0				
	Pork Chops (5)	0.0	80.0	[28.4 - 99.5]									20.0				80.0				
	Chickens (1158)	0.3	26.2	[23.7 - 28.8]									73.5	0.3	1.5	3.3	21.4				
	Turkeys (262)	1.1	58.8	[52.6 - 64.8]									40.1	1.1	6.5	7.6	44.7				
	Cattle (670)	0.1	36.9	[33.2 - 40.6]									63.0	0.1	4.9	3.4	28.5				
	Swine (211)	1.9	43.1	[36.3 - 50.1]									55.0	1.9	5.7	5.7	31.8				

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

Table 8a. Antimicrobial Resistance among all	Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats,
and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003	

Year Number of Isolates Te	astad	Humana	1996	1997 1301	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates 16	55180 	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008 60 74 9 10	1865 83 114 10 5
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		214 107 24 111	561 240 284 793	1438 713 1610 876	1173 518 1388 451	1307 550 893 418	1500 244 1008 379	1158 262 670 211
	Antimicrobial	laslata								
Antimicrobial Class	(Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/mI)	Humans		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.1% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
	(WIC = 04 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts		0	0	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0 0.0%	0 0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0%	0 0.0%
		Chickens		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0 ≤ 0.2%
		Turkeys		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	≤ 2 0.0%
				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0 ≤ 0.1%
		Cattle		0	0	0	0	0	0 0.0%	≤ 1 0.0%
	Quatantiala	Swine	4.00/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gentamicin (MIC ≥ 16 µg/mI)	Humans	4.8% 63	2.9% 38	2.8% 41	2.1% 32	2.7% 37	1.9% 27	1.3% 27	1.4% 26
		Chicken Breasts							10.0% 6	6.0% 5
		Ground Turkey							14.9% 11	22.8% 26
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							30.0% 3	0.0%
		Chickens		17.8% 38	15.3% 86	10.4% 150	14.9% 175	7.9% 103	5.5% 83	6.3% 73
	Turkeys		20.6% 22	18.3% 44	17.5% 125	16.2% 84	20.9% 115	19.3% 47	21.0% 55	
		Cattle		0.0% 0	1.8% 5	1.6% 25	2.1% 29	2.1% 19	2.6% 26	2.7% 18
		Swine		0.9%	0.8%	1.1% 10	1.3% 6	1.4% 6	0.8%	0.5%
	Kanamycin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/mI)	Humans	5.0% 66	5.1% 67	5.7% 83	4.3% 65	5.6% 77	4.8% 68	3.8% 76	3.4% 64
	(e = 0 · µg/)	Chicken Breasts		01					6.7% 4	4.8%
		Ground Turkey							18.9% 14	27.2% 31
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							10.0% 1	0.0%
		Chickens		2.3% 5	3.2% 18	1.2% 17	4.0% 47	2.4% 31	2.0% 30	2.8% 32
		Turkeys		24.3%	17.1%	21.5%	21.4%	22.9%	24.2%	16.0%
		Cattle		26 8.3%	41 9.5%	153 7.1%	111 6.6%	126 6.9%	59 10.1%	42
		Swine		2 11.7%	27 7.3%	115 6.7%	92 9.3%	62 6.9%	102 4.2%	92 5.7%
	Streptomycin	Humans	20.6%	13 21.4%	57 18.6%	59 16.8%	42 16.3%	29 17.0%	16 13.2%	12 15.0%
	(MIC ≥ 64 µg/mI)	Chicken Breasts	273	278	272	252	224	241	265 28.3%	280 26.5%
		Ground Turkey							17 37.8%	22 45.6%
		Ground Beef							28 22.2%	52 40.0%
		Pork Chops							2 70.0%	40.0%
		Chickens		24.3%	27.8%	27.5%	28.6%	21.0%	7 22.9%	2 19.6%
		Turkeys		52 34.6%	156 40.8%	396 43.6%	335 41.9%	275 46.7%	343 37.7%	227 29.4%
		Cattle		37 12.5%	98 16.2%	311 15.4%	217 21.3%	257 20.3%	92 25.9%	77 28.7%
				3 27.9%	46 29.4%	248 29.3%	296 39.2%	181 35.6%	261 40.1%	192 30.8%
		Swine		31	233	29.3%	177	149	152	65

 1 In 2003, there were 2 isolates from chickens that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 µg/mL). Further testing was not conducted 2 In 2003, there was 1 isolate from cattle that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 µg/mL). Further testing was not conducted

 Table 8b. Antimicrobial Resistance among all Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year	4a.d		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tes	ted	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008 60 74 9 10	1865 83 114 10 5
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		214 107 24 111	561 240 284 793	1438 713 1610 876	1173 518 1388 451	1307 550 893 418	1500 244 1008 379	1158 262 670 211
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminopenicillins	Ampicillin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/mI)	Humans	20.7%	18.3%	16.5%	15.6%	15.9%	17.4%	12.9%	13.6%
	(MIC ≥ 32 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts	274	238	241	233	219	247	259 16.7%	254 33.7%
		Ground Turkey							10 16.2%	28 28.9%
		Ground Beef							12 22.2%	33 40.0%
		Pork Chops							2 40.0%	4 40.0%
		Chickens		11.7%	12.8%	12.4%	13.0%	9.4%	4 14.3%	2 13.7%
		Turkeys		25 12.1%	72 10.4%	179 17.7%	152 16.2%	123 19.5%	215 18.0%	159 18.7%
		Cattle		13 12.5%	25 9.2%	126 12.5%	84 18.7%	107 17.9%	44 23.9%	49 28.1%
				3 16.2%	26 12.9%	202 10.8%	259 18.8%	160 11.7%	241 13.7%	188 12.8%
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase	Amoxicillin-	Swine	1.1%	18 1.0%	102 1.7%	95 2.3%	85 3.9%	49 4.7%	52 5.3%	27 4.6%
Inhibitor Combinations	Clavulanic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 / 16 µg/ml)	Humans	15	13	25	35	54	66	106 10.0%	86 25.3%
	(·····e = e = e = e = p.g.····)	Chicken Breasts							6 12.2%	21 11.4%
		Ground Turkey							9 22.2%	13 40.0%
		Ground Beef							2	4
		Pork Chops		0.50/	0.001	1.001	= 00/	. 50/	20.0% 2	20.0%
		Chickens		0.5%	2.0% 11	4.9% 70	7.3% 86	4.5% 59	10.2% 153	9.7% 112
		Turkeys		4.7% 5	0.4% 1	4.3% 31	3.5% 18	6.9% 38	3.7% 9	1.5% 4
		Cattle		8.3% 2	2.5% 7	3.9% 62	9.9% 138	11.8% 105	17.7% 178	21.0% 141
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.4% 3	1.0% 9	1.8% 8	2.6% 11	3.7% 14	3.8% 8
Cephalosporins	Ceftiofur (MIC ≥ 8 µg/mI)	Humans	0.2% 2	0.5% 6	0.8% 12	2.1% 31	3.2% 44	4.1% 58	4.3% 87	4.5% 84
		Chicken Breasts							10.0% 6	25.3% 21
		Ground Turkey							8.1% 6	2.6% 3
		Ground Beef							22.2%	40.0% 4
		Pork Chops							20.0% 2	20.0%
		Chickens		0.5%	2.0% 11	5.2% 75	7.6% 89	4.1% 54	10.2% 153	9.8% 113
		Turkeys		3.7%	0.4%	4.6%	3.3%	5.1%	3.3%	1.5%
		Cattle		4	1 2.1%	33 4.2%	17 9.8%	28 11.4%	8	4 21.0%
		Swine		0.0%	6 0.1%	67 1.9%	136 1.3%	102 2.2%	175 3.2%	141 4.3%
	Ceftriaxone	Humans	0.0%	0 0.1%	1 0.0%	17 0.4%	6 0.0%	9 0.0%	12 0.2%	9 0.4%
	(MIC ≥ 64 µg/mI)	Chicken Breasts	0	1	0	6	0	0	4 0.0%	8 0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0 0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0 0.0%	0 10.0%
									0 0.0%	1 0.0%
		Pork Chops		0.0%	≤ 0.5% ¹	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0	0.1%
	_	Chickens Turkeys	3		≤ 0.0%	0	1	0	5	1
				≤ 0.9% ≤ 0.0%	$0.07\%^{3}$	6 0.1%	2 0.1%	1	0.2%	0.4% 1 0.1%
		Cattle	2	0.0%	≤ 0.7% ≤ 0.0%	0.1% 1 0.0%	1 0.0%	0.1% 1 0.0%	2 0.0%	0.1%
		Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

¹ In 1998, there were 3 isolates from chickens that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC >16 μg/mL). Further testing was not conducted ² In 1997, there was 1 isolate from turkeys that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC >16 μg/mL). Further testing was not conducted ³ In 1998, there were 2 isolates from cattle that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC >16 μg/mL). Further testing was not conducted

 Table 8c.
 Antimicrobial Resistance among all Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year Number of Isolates Test	ed	Humans	1996 1324	1997 1301	1998 1460	1999 1498	2000 1377	2001 1419	2002 2008	2003 1865
Number of Isolates Test	ea	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008 60 74 9 10	1865 83 114 10 5
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		214 107 24 111	561 240 284 793	1438 713 1610 876	1173 518 1388 451	1307 550 893 418	1500 244 1008 379	1158 262 670 211
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Cephalosporins	Cephalothin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	2.9% 39	2.2% 29	2.3% 33	3.7% 55	4.0% 55	4.0% 57	5.0% 101	5.4% 101
	(iiii0 = 02 µg/iii)	Chicken Breasts	55	23	35			51	13.3% 8	28.9% 24
		Ground Turkey							14.9% 11	28.9% 33
		Ground Beef							22.2% 2	40.0%
		Pork Chops							20.0% 2	40.0%
		Chickens		1.4%	4.5% 25	5.8% 83	7.8% 91	4.7% 62	10.5% 158	2 10.4%
		Turkeys		3 5.6% 6	5.0% 12	10.5% 75	8.3% 43	13.1% 72	9.8% 24	121 11.1% 29
				0.0%	2.1% 6	4.7% 76	9.9% 137	11.6% 104	17.7% 178	29 21.2% 142
		Swine		0.0%	0.1%	0.8%	2.4%	2.2%	3.2%	3.8%
Cephamycins	Cefoxitin	Humans		0	1	7	11 3.2%	9 3.4%	12 4.3%	8 4.3%
	(MIC ≥ 32 µg/mI)	Chicken Breasts					44	48	86 10.0%	80 25.3%
		Ground Turkey							6 8.1%	21 2.6%
		Ground Beef							6 22.2%	3 40.0%
		Pork Chops							2 20.0%	4 20.0%
		Chickens					7.2%	4.1%	2 8.7%	1 8.2%
		Turkeys					85 3.3%	53 4.5%	130 2.5%	95 1.1%
		Cattle					17 9.1%	25 11.1%	6 15.9%	3
		Swine					126 1.3% 6	99 2.2% 9	160 2.9% 11	119 4.3% 9
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 512 µg/mI)	Humans	20.3% 269	22.8% 297	19.4% 283	18.1% 271	17.1% 235	9 17.7% 251	12.8% 258	9 15.1% 281
	(1010 = 312 µg/111)	Chicken Breasts	203	201	200	211	200	201	16.7% 10	14.5% 12
		Ground Turkey							20.3% 15	33.3% 38
		Ground Beef							22.2%	40.0%
		Pork Chops							70.0% 7	40.0% 2
		Chickens		24.8% 53	23.7% 133	15.9% 229	18.4% 216	11.8% 154	8.9% 133	10.3% 119
		Turkeys		37.4% 40	32.1% 77	36.0% 257	25.1% 130	38.0% 209	30.3% 74	28.2% 74
		Cattle		20.8% 5	15.5% 44	15.0% 242	19.9% 276	19.7% 176	22.3% 225	25.1% 168
		Swine		34.2% 38	29.0% 230	30.7% 269	35.7% 161	34.9% 146	34.6% 131	25.1% 53
	Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole	Humans	3.9% 51	1.8% 24	2.3% 34	2.1% 31	2.1% 29	2.0% 28	1.4% 28	1.9% 36
	(MIC \geq 4 / 76 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts						2.5	0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							1.4% 1	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							20.0% 2	0.0%
		Chickens		0.5%	1.2% 7	1.1% 16	0.4% 5	0.5% 6	0.8% 12	0.3%
		Turkeys		3.7%	2.5%	4.2% 30	1.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.3%
		Cattle		4 4.2% 1	6 2.5% 7	2.4%	8 2.2% 30	14 2.6% 23	6 2.5% 25	6 3.3% 22
		Swine		1.8%	0.3%	39 1.1%	30 0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	2.4%

 Table 8d.
 Antimicrobial Resistance among all Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008 60 74 9 10	1865 83 114 10 5
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		214 107 24 111	561 240 284 793	1438 713 1610 876	1173 518 1388 451	1307 550 893 418	1500 244 1008 379	1158 262 670 211
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol	Humans	10.6%	10.1%	9.9%	9.2%	10.1%	11.6%	8.6%	10.0%
	(MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts	140	131	145	138	139	164	172 0.0%	187 2.4%
		Ground Turkey							0 1.4%	2 0.9%
		Ground Beef							1 22.2%	1 40.0%
		Pork Chops							2 40.0%	4 40.0%
		Chickens		2.3%	2.9%	1.8%	4.6%	2.5%	4 2.4%	2 2.1%
				5 3.7%	16 0.8%	26 4.1%	54 4.1%	33 3.8%	36 5.3%	24 4.2%
		Turkeys		4 4.2%	2 5.6%	29 8.5%	21 15.1%	21 16.5%	13 20.6%	11 25.1%
		Cattle		1 11.7%	16 8.4%	137 8.0%	209 12.4%	147 7.7%	208 10.0%	168 8.5%
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	Swine	0.0%	13 0.0%	67 0.1%	70 0.1%	56 0.4%	32 0.2%	38 0.0%	18 0.2%
Quinciones	(MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	0.070	0	1	1	5	3	1 0.0%	3 0.0%
		Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0	0
		Pork Chops		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.00/	0.00/	0.0%	0.0%
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.1% 1
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Nalidixic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	0.4% 5	0.9% 12	1.4% 20	1.1% 16	2.5% 34	2.6% 37	1.8% 36	2.3% 43
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	1.2% 1
		Ground Turkey							8.1% 6	4.4% 5
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.2% 1	0.2% 3	0.5% 6	0.0% 0	0.8% 12	0.4% 5
		Turkeys		4.7% 5	2.1% 5	5.3% 38	5.4% 28	5.1% 28	5.3% 13	3.8% 10
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	24.2% 320	21.7% 282	20.2% 295	19.4% 291	18.6% 256	19.7% 280	14.9% 299	16.3% 304
		Chicken Breasts	520	202	293	231	200	200	33.3%	27.7% 23
		Ground Turkey							20 55.4%	39.5%
		Ground Beef							41 22.2%	45 40.0%
		Pork Chops							2 70.0%	4 80.0%
		Chickens		20.6%	20.5%	25.0%	26.3%	21.9%	7 24.9%	4 26.2%
		Turkeys		44 52.3%	115 45.8%	359 52.9%	308 56.2%	286 54.9%	374 54.5%	303 58.8%
		Cattle		56 25.0%	110 24.3%	377 20.9%	291 25.8%	302 26.3%	133 32.0%	154 36.9%
		Swine		6 51.3%	69 47.5%	336 48.4%	358 54.3%	235 53.1%	323 57.8%	247 43.1%
		Swine		58	377	424	245	222	219	91

Ceftiofur Resistance



Figures 4a-d. Ceftiofur-Resistant *Salmonella* (non-Typhi) Isolates, by Source¹ and Serotype, 2003

¹ Pie charts are not provided for other sources due to the small number of ceftiofur-resistant isolates (3 from ground turkey, 4 from ground beef, 1 from pork chops, 4 frc turkeys, and 9 from swine)



Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to

Table 9. Number of Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans and Food AnimalsResistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	2	6	12	31	44	58	87	84
Chickens		1	11	75	89	54	153	113
Turkeys		4	1	33	17	28	8	4
Cattle		0	6	67	136	102	175	141
Swine		0	1	17	6	9	12	9





¹ Pie charts are not provided for retail meats or food animals due to the small number of nalidixic acid-resistant isolates (1 from chicken breasts, 5 from

ground turkey, 5 from chickens, 10 from turkeys, and 3 from cattle)² This category includes 16 isolates from 11 different serotypes. There were 2 nalidixic acid-resistant isolates for each of the following serotypes: Agona, There was 1 nalidixic acid-resistant isolate for each of the following serotypes: Heidelberg, Kentucky, I 4, [5], 12:i-, Blockley, Hadar, Infantis, and Virchow. Newport, Poona, and Saintpaul



Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to

Table 10. Number of Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans and Food AnimalsResistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	5	12	20	16	34	37	36	43
Chickens		0	1	3	6	0	12	5
Turkeys		5	5	38	28	28	13	10
Cattle		0	1	1	6	4	4	3
Swine		0	0	0	1	0	1	0

Table 11a. Resistance Patterns among all Salmonella (non-Typhi) Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food
Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

•	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008	1865
Chicken Breasts							60	83
								114
								10 5
		214	561	1438	1173	1307		1158
Turkeys		107	240	713	518	550	244	262
Cattle		24	284	1610	1388	893	1008	670
		111	793	876	451	418	379	211
	66.2%	68.4%	72.9%	74.0%	74 4%	72.3%	79.0%	77.5%
Humans	876	890	1064	1109	1024	1026	1586	1446
Chicken Breasts							51.7% 31	47.0% 39
Ground Turkey							37.8% 28	34.2% 39
Ground Beef							77.8% 7	60.0% 6
Pork Chops							20.0%	20.0% 1
Chickens		52.8%	58.6%	58.8%	56.9%	66.5%	62.0%	61.1% 708
								24.0%
Turkeys		35	99	232	173	174	73	63
Cattle								61.0% 409
Swine		44.1%	49.2%	48.9%	43.2%	43.3%	40.1%	53.6%
Swille		49	390	428	195	181	152	113
Humans	8.8% 116	9.5% 124	8.9% 130	8.4% 126	8.9% 122	10.0% 142	7.8% 156	9.3% 173
Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	2.4% 2
Ground Turkey							1.4% 1	0.9% 1
Ground Beef							22.2% 2	40.0% 4
Pork Chops							40.0% 4	40.0% 2
Chickens		1.4% 3	2.7% 15	1.7% 24	4.3% 50	2.4% 32	1.9% 29	1.5% 17
Turkeys		3.7% 4	0.8% 2	3.8% 27	3.3% 17	3.6% 20	4.5% 11	2.3% 6
Cattle		4.2%	4.2%	7.6%	13.1%	14.6%	17.1%	18.1%
Swine		4.5%	7.8%	7.1%	8.6%	7.2%	7.7%	121 7.6%
Gwille		5	62	62	39	30	29	16
Humans	0.8% 10	0.4% 5	0.9% 13	1.0% 15	1.0% 14	0.5% 7	1.0% 21	1.2% 23
Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Ground Turkey							1.4% 1	0.0% 0
Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Pork Chops							20.0% 2	0.0% 0
Chickens		0.0% 0	0.2% 1	0.1% 2	0.0% 0	0.1% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.4% 1	0.4% 3	0.8% 4	0.7% 4	0.8% 2	0.0% 0
Cattle		0.0% 0	2.1% 6	2.2% 35	1.7% 23	2.4% 21	2.4% 24	2.7% 18
Swine		0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.9%
	Chicken Breasts Ground Beef Pork Chops Chickens Turkeys Cattle Isolate Source Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Ground Beef Pork Chops Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chickens Chicken Breasts Chicken Breasts Chicken Breasts Chicken Breasts	1996Humans1324Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops1324Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine1Isolate Source1Humans66.2% 876Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Ground Turkey1Ground Turkey1Chickens1Turkeys1Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Chickens1Turkeys1Cattle1Swine8.8% 116Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Ground Turkey1Ground Turkey1Chicken Breasts1Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Ground Beef1Pork Chops1Chickens1Turkeys10Chickens10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chicken Breasts10Chickens10Chickens10Chickens1Pork Chops1Chickens1Chickens1Chickens1Chickens1Chickens1Chickens1Chickens1Chickens1	1996 1997 Humans 1324 1301 Chicken Breasts 324 1301 Ground Beef Pork Chops 214 Turkeys 24 307 Chickens 214 107 Turkeys 24 307 Swine 111 111 Isolate Source 111 111 Humans 66.2% 68.4% Byo Chicken Breasts 111 Ground Turkey 113 113 Ground Beef 113 113 Turkeys 32.7% 35 Cattle 66.7% 16 Swine 44.1% 49 Humans 8.8% 9.5% 116 124 124 Chicken Breasts 116 124 Ground Turkey 124 14.1% Ground Beef 1.4% 3 Pork Chops 1 14 Chickens 3.7% 4 Cattle	1996 1997 1998 Humans 1324 1301 1460 Chicken Breasts Ground Beef Pork Chops 214 561 Chickens 214 240 Turkeys 24 284 Swine 111 793 Isolate Source	1996 1997 1998 1999 Humans 1324 1301 1460 1498 Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey 214 561 1438 Ortor Beef Pork Chops 214 561 1438 Turkeys 24 284 1610 Swine 214 284 1610 Swine 1111 793 876 Isolate Source 66.2% 68.4% 1064 1109 Chicken Breasts 66.2% 880 1064 1109 Chicken Breasts 52.8% 58.6% 58.8% Ground Turkey	1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 Humans 1324 1301 1460 1498 1377 Chicken Breats 214 561 1438 1173 518 Cond Turkey 24 284 1610 1388 1173 Cattle 24 284 1610 1388 1173 Swine 107 240 713 518 Swine 66.2% 68.4% 72.9% 74.0% 74.4% Humans 66.2% 890 1064 1109 1024 Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey 1024 1024 1024	1996 1997 1998 1998 1999 2000 2001 Humans 1324 1301 1460 1498 1377 1419 Chicken Breasts Ground Beef 214 561 1438 1173 1307 Chickens 214 561 1438 1173 1307 Chickens 24 284 1510 1388 893 Swine 111 793 876 451 418 Isolate Source 111 793 876 451 1024 Ground Turkey 111 793 876 451 1024 1026 Chicken Breasts 111 793 876 451 1024 1026 Ground Beef 111 72.3% 74.4% 72.3% 66.5% 66.7% 66.7% 66.7% 73.3% 74.4% 74.3% 31.6% Ground Turkey 32.7% 41.3% 32.5% 33.4% 31.6% 174 66.7% 72.3% 74	1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 Humans 1324 1301 1460 1498 1377 1419 2008 Chicken Breasts 214 561 1438 1173 1307 1500 Chickens 107 240 713 518 550 244 Cattle 24 264 1610 1388 893 1008 Swine 111 793 876 451 418 379 Isolate Source 0 74.0% 74.4% 72.3% 70.0% 51.7% Ground Beef 0 0 1024 1024 1026 1588 Chicken Breasts 0 0 37.8% 22 77 Pork Chops 0 20.0% 20.0% 2 20.0% Chickens 113 32.9 846 667 869 930 Turkeys 32.7% 41.3% 32.5% 73.8% 24.9%<

¹ ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline

 2 ACT/S = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Table 11b. Resistance Patterns among all	Salmone	ella (non-	Typhi) Ise	olates fro	om Huma	ns, Retai	l Meats, a	Ind
Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003								

Year		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	1324	1301	1460	1498	1377	1419	2008	1865
	Chicken Breasts							60	83
	Ground Turkey							74	114
	Ground Beef							9	10
	Pork Chops							10	5
	Chickens		214	561	1438	1173	1307	1500	1158
	Turkeys		107	240	713	518	550	244	262
	Cattle		24	284	1610	1388	893	1008	670
	Swine		111	793	876	451	418	379	211
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source								
	Humans	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	1.5%	2.6%	2.5%	3.3%	3.2%
4. At Least ACSSuTAuCf ¹		0	4	5	23	36	36	67	60
Resistant	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
								1.4%	0.9%
	Ground Turkey							1	1
	One of Dead							22.2%	40.0%
	Ground Beef							2	4
	Pork Chops							20.0%	20.0%
								2	1
	Chickens		0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	2.7%	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%
			0 3.7%	3 0.4%	5 3.4%	32 1.9%	14 2.9%	13 1.6%	12 0.8%
	Turkeys		3.7%	0.4%	3.4% 24	1.9%	2.9%	1.6%	0.8%
			0.0%	2.1%	3.7%	8.9%	11.0%	14.6%	15.1%
	Cattle		0	6	59	124	98	147	101
	Swine		0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	1.3%	2.2%	1.8%	1.9%
	Swille		0	1	5	6	9	7	4
	Humans	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
5. At Least Ceftiofur and		0	2	0	2	1	2	4	3
Nalidixic Acid Resistant	Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0% 0
					1			0.0%	0.9%
	Ground Turkey							0.070	1
	Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
	Ground Beer							0	0
	Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
			0.00/	0.00/	a 10/	a 10/	0.00/	0	0
	Chickens		0.0%	0.0% 0	0.1% 1	0.1% 1	0.0% 0	0.6% 9	0.1% 1
			1.9%	0.0%	2.7%	1.2%	1.5%	9 1.2%	0.4%
	Turkeys		2	0.070	19	6	8	3	1
	Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
	Cattle		0	0	1	1	3	2	3
	Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
			0	0	0	0	0	1	0

¹ ACSSuTAuCf = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftiofur

5. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Typhimurium

	Isolate Source	Distribution (%) of MIC										(µg/ml)⁴									
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides																					
Amikacin	Humans (403) ⁵	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]						1.2	58.1	37.7	2.7		0.2						
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 15.4]						18.2	36.4	40.9	4.5								
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]							100.0										
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]						25.6	53.2	16.7	4 5								
	Chickens (156)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3] [0.0 - 45.9]						25.0	16.7	83.3	4.5								
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.6]						23.1	46.0	28.2	26								
	Cattle (78)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.0]						18.5	40.0 59.3	18.5									
	Swine (27)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 12.0]						10.5	39.5	10.5	5.7			I	II				
Gentamicin	Humans (403)	0.7	2.0	[0.9 - 3.9]					24.3	48.1	24.6		0.2	0.7	0.5	1.5					
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 15.4]					36.4	54.5	9.1										
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]							50.0	50.0									
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Pork Chops (1)	100.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Chickens (156)	1.9	5.1	[2.2 - 9.9]					71.2	14.7	5.1	1.3	0.6	1.9	3.8	1.3					
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	83.3	[35.9 - 99.6]					16.7						66.7	16.7					
	Cattle (78)	0.0	1.3	[0.0 - 6.9]					75.6	17.9	5.1				1.3						
	Swine (27)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 12.8]					74.1	25.9											
																1	n —				
Kanamycin	Humans (403)	0.0	7.2	[4.9 - 10.2]										91.8	1.0			7.2			
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	18.2	[5.2 - 40.3]										81.8				18.2			
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]										50.0				50.0			
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Pork Chops (1)	100.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]												100.0					
	Chickens (156)	0.0	7.7	[4.0 - 13.1]										92.3				7.7			
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	50.0	[11.8 - 88.2]										50.0				50.0			
	Cattle (78)	0.0	16.7	[9.2 - 26.8]										83.3				16.7			
	Swine (27)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 12.8]										100.0							
	Humans (403)	N/A	35.0	[30.3 - 39.9]												65.0	20.3	14.6			
Streptomycin		NI/A	10.2													01.0	0.1	0.1			
	Chicken Breasts (22)	N/A N/A	18.2 50.0	[5.2 - 40.3] [1.3 - 98.7]												81.8 50.0	9.1	9.1 50.0			
	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	0.0	[1.3 - 98.7] [0.0 - 97.5]												100.0		50.0			
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0 100.0	[0.0 - 97.5] [2.5 - 100.0]												100.0	100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)																				
	Chickens (156)	N/A	16.7	[11.2 - 23.5]												83.3	13.5	3.2			
	Turkeys (6)	N/A	100.0	[54.1 - 100.0]													50.0	50.0			
	Cattle (78)	N/A	52.6	[40.9 - 64.0]												47.4	21.8	30.8			
	Swine (27)	N/A	59.3	[38.8 - 77.6]												40.7	48.1	11.1			

Table 12a. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁴ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin 5 For isolates from humans that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 µg/ml), Etest was performed to determine amikacin MICs; the percentages reported in the shaded area (MIC≥8 µg/ml) are

⁵ For isolates from humans that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC>4 μg/ml), Etest was performed to determine amikacin MICs; the percentages reported in the shaded area (MIC≥ 8 μg/ml) are based on Etest results for these isolates. The amikacin Etest strip range of dilutions is 0.016-256 μg/ml

	Isolate Source	Distribution (%) of MICs (μg/mI) ⁴																			
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	12	28 2	56 512	1024
Aminopenicillins																					
Ampicillin	Humans (403)	0.0	35.5	[30.8 - 40.4]							32.5	28.8	2.7	0.5		0.2	35.2				
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	72.7	[49.8 - 89.3]							13.6	13.6					72.7				
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	100.0	[15.8 - 100.0]							10.0	10.0					100.0				
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0									
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
		0.0	32.1	[24.8 - 40.0]							48.1	19.2	0.6				32.1				
	Chickens (156) Turkeys (6)	0.0	66.7	[22.3 - 95.7]							33.3	10.2	0.0				66.7				
	Cattle (78)	0.0	59.0	[47.3 - 70.0]							25.6	12.8	1.3	1.3			59.0				
	Swine (27)	0.0	51.9	[31.9 - 71.3]								22.2				3.7	48.1				
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor Combinations	(100)	40.4	5.0	10.0 7.01							01.0	0.7	0.7	40.4	40.4	0.7	4.5				
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid	Humans (403)	19.4	5.2	[3.3 - 7.9]							61.8	2.7	0.7	10.4	19.4	0.7	4.5				
	Chicken Breasts (22)	9.1	63.6	[40.7 - 82.8]							27.3				9.1		63.6				
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	100.0	[15.8 - 100.0]							400.0						100.0				
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0 100.0	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 97.5] [0.0 - 97.5]							100.0				100.0						
	Pork Chops (1)																				
	Chickens (156)	3.8	25.6	[19.0 - 33.2]							65.4	1.9	0.6	2.6	3.8		25.6				
	Turkeys (6)	16.7	16.7 20.5	[0.4 - 64.1]							33.3 33.3	2.0	3.8	33.3 19.2	16.7 19.2	1.3	16.7 19.2				
	Cattle (78)	19.2 44.4	20.5	[12.2 - 31.2] [0.0 - 12.8]							29.6	3.8 18.5	3.0	7.4	44.4	1.5	19.2				
	Swine (27)		0.0	[0.0 - 12.0]							20.0	10.5		7.4	44.4	I					
Cephalosporins	(100)							. 7		00.5		4.5		1							
Ceftiofur	Humans (403)	0.2	4.7	[2.9 - 7.3]				0.7	0.7	60.5	31.8	1.5	0.2		4.7						
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	63.6	[40.7 - 82.8]						27.3	4.5	4.5			63.6						
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	100.0	[15.8 - 100.0]											100.0						
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0									
	Chickens (156)	0.0	25.6	[19.0 - 33.2]						67.9	6.4			1.3	24.4						
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	16.7	[0.4 - 64.1]						66.7	16.7				16.7						
	Cattle (78)	0.0 0.0	20.5 0.0	[12.2 - 31.2]					3.7	65.4 55.6	12.8 40.7	1.3		1.3	19.2						
	Swine (27)		0.0	[0.0 - 12.8]						55.0	40.7										
Ceftriaxone	Humans (403)	3.2	0.2	[0.0 - 1.4]					95.0			0.2		1.2	2.5	0.7		0.	2		
	Chicken Breasts (22)	59.1	0.0	[0.0 - 15.4]					36.4					4.5	36.4	22.7					
	Ground Turkey (2)	50.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]					100.0					50.0		50.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Chickens (156)	16.7	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]					74.4				0.6	8.3	13.5	3.2					
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	16.7	[0.4 - 64.1]					83.3					~ ~				16	.7		
	Cattle (78)	14.1	0.0	[0.0 - 4.6]					79.5					6.4	11.5	2.6					
	Swine (27)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 12.8]					100.0								1				

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

	Isolate Source	Distribution (%) of MICs (µg/ml) ⁴																			
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Cephalothin	Humans (403)	1.7	6.0	[3.9 - 8.7]								57.1	27.3	7.9	1.7	0.7	5.2				
oopnaloann	Chicken Breasts (22)	4.5	63.6	[40.7 - 82.8]									22.7	9.1	4.5		63.6				
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	100.0	[15.8 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0					
	Chickens (156)	1.3	25.6	[19.0 - 33.2]									22.4	1.3	1.3		25.6				
	Turkeys (6)	16.7	33.3	[4.3 - 77.7]									33.3		16.7	16.7	16.7				
	Cattle (78)	0.0 7.4	21.8 0.0	[13.2 - 32.6] [0.0 - 12.8]									33.3 40.7	7.7	7.4	1.3	20.5				
	Swine (27)	7.4	0.0	[0.0 - 12.6]								40.7	40.7	11.1	7.4	11					
Cephamycins																					
Cefoxitin	Humans (403)	1.5	4.2	[2.5 - 6.7]						0.2	12.4	70.7	7.4	3.5	1.5	4.2					
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	63.6	[40.7 - 82.8]								27.3	4.5	4.5		63.6					
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	100.0	[15.8 - 100.0]												100.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0		100.0							
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Chickens (156)	1.9	23.7	[17.3 - 31.2]							8.3		9.0	1.3	1.9	23.7					
	Turkeys (6)	0.0 5.1	16.7 16.7	[0.4 - 64.1] [9.2 - 26.8]							16.7 3.8	50.0	11.5	16.7	5.1	16.7 16.7					
	Cattle (78) Swine (27)	0.0	3.7	[9.2 - 20.8] [0.1 - 19.0]							3.8 7.4		11.5	11.1	5.1	3.7					
	Swille (27)			[· · ·]																	
Folate Pathway Inhibitors																					
Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (403)	N/A	38.2	[33.4 - 43.2]											60.0	1.2			0.5	1.0	37.2
	Chicken Breasts (22)	N/A	31.8	[13.9 - 54.9]											36.4	18.2	13.6				31.8
	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]												50.0					50.0
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A N/A	0.0 100.0	[0.0 - 97.5] [2.5 - 100.0]											100.0						100.0
	Pork Chops (1)																				
	Chickens (156)	N/A N/A	28.2 100.0	[21.3 - 36.0] [54.1 - 100.0]											59.6	6.4			5.8	17.9 50.0	10.3 50.0
	Turkeys (6)	N/A	44.9	[33.6 - 56.6]											35.9	6.4	1.3		11 5	24.4	20.5
	Cattle (78) Swine (27)	N/A	63.0	[42.4 - 80.6]											33.3	3.7	1.0		11.5	37.0	25.9
	. ,	N/A	3.5	[1.9 - 5.8]				69.5	26.1	1.2				3.5							
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (403)									1.2				0.0							
	Chicken Breasts (22)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 15.4] [0.0 - 84.2]				90.9 50.0	9.1 50.0												
	Ground Turkey (2) Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2] [0.0 - 97.5]				50.0 100.0	50.0												
	Pork Chops (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
		N/A	0.6	[0.0 - 3.5]				76.9	21.2	1.3				0.6							
	Chickens (156)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 3.5] [0.0 - 45.9]				50.0	21.2 50.0	1.0				0.0							
	Turkeys (6) Cattle (78)	N/A	2.6	[0.3 - 9.0]				50.0	39.7	7.7			1.3	1.3							
	Swine (27)	N/A	3.7	[0.1 - 19.0]				44.4	37.0	14.8				3.7							

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

	on of MICs and Occurrenc	Isolate Source					Distributio								tribution (%) of MICs (µg/ml)⁴							
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	3 256	512	1024	
Phenicols																						
Chloramphenicol	Humans (403)	1.0	27.5	[23.2 - 32.2]								3.0	43.9	24.6	1.0	0.2	27.3					
oniorampricincoi	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	9.1	[1.1 - 29.2]									13.6	77.3			9.1					
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]										50.0			50.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0								
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0					
													07.0	00.0								
	Chickens (156)	0.0	5.1	[2.2 - 9.9]								0.6	67.3	26.9 16.7			5.1 50.0					
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	50.0 42.3	[11.8 - 88.2]									33.3 32.1				42.3					
	Cattle (78)	0.0		[31.2 - 54.0]										25.6	7.4		42.3					
	Swine (27)	7.4	48.1	[28.7 - 68.1]									14.8	29.6	7.4	I	40.1					
Quinolones																						
Ciprofloxacin	Humans (403)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]	96.3	2.7	0.2		1.0				1									
- F	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 15.4]	77.3	18.2	4.5															
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]	-	50.0			50.0													
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]	100.0																	
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]			100.0															
	Chickens (156)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]	98.7	1.3																
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 45.9]	66.7	1.0		16.7	16.7													
	Cattle (78)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.6]	96.2	3.8		10.1	10.7													
	Swine (27)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.0]	74.1	25.9																
	0	0.0	0.0	[0:0 12:0]		20.0						1	Ш									
Nalidixic Acid	Humans (403)	N/A	1.2	[0.4 - 2.9]						0.2	0.2	4.7	83.4	9.9	0.5	0.2	1.0					
	Chicken Breasts (22)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 15.4]								4.7	78.0	17.4								
	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]									50.0				50.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0									
	Pork Chops (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]											100.0							
	Chickens (156)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]								5.1	86.5	8.3								
	Turkeys (6)	N/A	33.3	[4.3 - 77.7]									66.7				33.3					
	Cattle (78)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.6]								5.1	88.5	6.4								
	Swine (27)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 12.8]						74.1	25.9											
Tetracyclines																						
Tetracycline	Humans (403)	0.2	37.7	[33.0 - 42.6]									62.3	0.2	14.4	9.7	13.6					
	Chicken Breasts (22)	0.0	31.8	[13.9 - 54.9]									68.2			4.5	27.3					
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]									50.0				50.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0									
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0					
	Chickens (156)	0.0	33.3	[26.0 - 41.3]									66.7		5.1	3.8	24.4					
	Turkeys (6)	0.0	100.0	[54.1 - 100.0]											33.3		66.7					
	Cattle (78)	1.3	53.8	[42.2 - 65.2]									44.9	1.3	24.4	5.1	24.4					
	Swine (27)	0.0	74.1	[53.7 - 88.9]									25.9		29.6	14.8	29.6					

² Percent of isolates that were resistant

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method
Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates T	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	306	328	377	362	303	325	393 9 2 2 2	403 22 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		24 11 2 25	66 6 33 105	154 37 189 114	145 18 187 81	130 15 87 44	150 9 98 48	156 6 78 27
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin	Humans		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	(MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts		0	0	0	0	0	0 0.0% 0	0 0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
	Gentamicin (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	4.2% 13	4.6% 15	3.7% 14	2.2% 8	2.6% 8	1.5% 5	2.3% 9	2.09
	(MIC 2 10 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts	13	15	14	0	0	5	0.0%	0.0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.09
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0
		Chickens		20.8% 5	18.2% 12	16.9% 26	15.2% 22	3.1% 4	12.7% 19	5.19
		Turkeys		45.5% 5	50.0% 3	29.7% 11	33.3% 6	53.3% 8	44.4% 4	83.3 5
		Cattle		0.0% 0	3.0% 1	2.6% 5	1.6% 3	0.0% 0	2.0% 2	1.39
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.8% 2	0.0% 0	2.3% 1	2.1% 1	0.0
	Kanamycin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	14.4% 44	15.5% 51	15.9% 60	13.0% 47	13.2% 40	8.3% 27	7.6% 30	7.2° 29
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	18.2 4
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	50.0 1
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.09
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.09
		Chickens		8.3% 2	4.5% 3	3.9% 6	3.4% 5	3.1% 4	5.3% 8	7.79
		Turkeys		81.8% 9	66.7% 4	59.5% 22	44.4% 8	73.3% 11	55.6% 5	50.0 3
		Cattle		0.0% 0	54.5% 18	36.5% 69	27.3% 51	24.1% 21	26.5% 26	16.7 13
		Swine		16.0% 4	18.1% 19	21.1% 24	14.8% 12	13.6% 6	2.1% 1	0.09
	Streptomycin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	51.6% 158	55.2% 181	47.2% 178	43.1% 156	39.3% 119	40.0% 130	31.8% 125	35.0 141
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	18.2 4
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	50.0 1
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							50.0% 1	100.0 1
		Chickens		41.7% 10	45.5% 30	40.9% 63	35.9% 52	16.9% 22	30.0% 45	16.7 ^o 26
		Turkeys		81.8% 9	83.3% 5	81.1% 30	72.2%	93.3% 14	77.8% 7	100.0
		Cattle		100.0% 2	57.6% 19	63.0% 119	63.1% 118	46.0% 40	66.3% 65	52.6° 41
		Swine		44.0% 11	82.9% 87	80.7% 92	77.8% 63	70.5% 31	77.1% 37	59.3 ⁴ 16

 Table 13a. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Test	ted	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	306	328	377	362	303	325	393 9 2 2 2	403 22 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		24 11 2 25	66 6 33 105	154 37 189 114	145 18 187 81	130 15 87 44	150 9 98 48	156 6 78 27
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminopenicillins	Ampicillin	Humans	50.0%	50.3%	45.1%	41.2%	41.9%	42.5%	33.6%	35.5%
	(MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts	153	165	170	149	127	138	132 33.3% 3	143 72.7% 16
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	100.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							50.0% 1	100.0% 1
		Chickens		33.3% 8	30.3% 20	43.5% 67	42.1% 61	26.2% 34	45.3% 68	32.1% 50
		Turkeys		72.7% 8	50.0% 3	64.9% 24	66.7% 12	80.0% 12	55.6% 5	66.7% 4
		Cattle		100.0% 2	57.6% 19	66.1% 125	63.1% 118	57.5% 50	71.4% 70	59.0% 46
		Swine		72.0% 18	75.2% 79	64.0% 73	82.7% 67	63.6% 28	62.5% 30	51.9% 14
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	Amoxicillin- Clavulanic Acid	Humans	2.6% 8	3.4% 11	4.5% 17	2.8% 10	6.3% 19	6.2% 20	7.6% 30	5.2% 21
	(MIC ≥ 32 / 16 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts							33.3% 3	63.6% 14
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	100.0% 2
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens		0.0% 0	9.1% 6	29.2% 45	25.5% 37	14.6% 19	28.7% 43	25.6% 40
		Turkeys		63.6% 7	0.0% 0	51.4% 19	38.9% 7	53.3% 8	22.2% 2	16.7% 1
		Cattle		50.0% 1	6.1% 2	6.9% 13	12.8% 24	13.8% 12	17.3% 17	20.5% 16
		Swine		0.0% 0	1.9% 2	1.8% 2	2.5% 2	4.5% 2	8.3% 4	0.0% 0
Cephalosporins	Ceftiofur (MIC ≥ 8 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	1.5% 5	1.9% 7	1.9% 7	3.6% 11	3.1% 10	4.3% 17	4.7% 19
		Chicken Breasts							33.3% 3	63.6% 14
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	100.0% 2
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens		0.0% 0	9.1% 6	29.9% 46	26.2% 38	14.60% 19	28.0% 42	25.6% 40
		Turkeys		63.6% 7	0.0% 0	48.6% 18	38.9% 7	53.3% 8	22.2% 2	16.7% 1
		Cattle		0.0% 0	3.0% 1	6.9% 13	11.8% 22	11.5% 10	15.3% 15	20.5% 16
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.8% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.2% 2	0.0% 0
	Ceftriaxone (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.2% 1
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
		Chickens	1	0.0%	≤ 1.5% ¹ ≤	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3% 2	0.0%
		Turkeys 1		≤ 9.1% ² ≤	0.0%	8.1% 3	11.1% 2	6.7% 1	0.0%	16.7% 1
		Cattle	1	0.0%	≤ 3.0% ³ ≤	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0

 Table 13b. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

¹ In 1998, there was 1 isolate from chickens that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC >16 µg/mL). Further testing was not conducted ² In 1997, there was 1 isolate from turkeys that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC >16 µg/mL). Further testing was not conducted

³ In 1998, there was 1 isolate from cattle that grew in all celtriaxone dilutions on the Sensitive plate (MIC >16 μg/mL). Further testing was not conducted

Year	stad	Human	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	sted	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	306	328	377	362	303	325	393 9 2 2 2	403 22 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		24 11 2 25	66 6 33 105	154 37 189 114	145 18 187 81	130 15 87 44	150 9 98 48	156 6 78 27
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source		20	100		01		40	21
Cephalosporins	Cephalothin	Humans	2.0%	4.3%	4.0%	4.4%	4.3%	3.1%	5.6%	6.0%
	(MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts	6	14	15	16	13	10	22 33.3%	24 63.6%
		Ground Turkey							3 0.0% 0	14 100.0 2
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0%	100.09
		Chickens		0.0% 0	9.1% 6	29.9% 46	25.5% 37	13.8% 18	28.0% 42	25.6% 40
		Turkeys		63.6% 7	50.0% 3	51.4% 19	38.9% 7	60.0% 9	22.2% 2	33.3% 2
		Cattle		0.0%	3.0% 1	13.2% 25	12.8% 24	12.6% 11	16.3% 16	21.8% 17
		Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.5% 2	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Cephamycins	Cefoxitin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans		0	0	1	3.6% 11	3.1% 10	4.3% 17	4.2%
	(WIC = 32 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts						10	33.3% 3	63.6% 14
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	100.0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens					24.8% 36	14.6% 19	26.7% 40	23.7% 37
		Turkeys					38.9% 7	53.3% 8	22.2% 2	16.7% 1
		Cattle					9.1% 17	11.5% 10	11.2% 11	16.7% 13
		Swine					12.1% 1	0.0% 0	4.2% 2	3.7% 1
Folate Pathway Inhibitor	Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 512 µg/ml)	Humans	53.3% 163	56.7% 186	49.6% 187	45.6% 165	45.2% 137	43.1% 140	32.1% 126	38.2% 154
		Chicken Breasts							44.4% 4	31.8% 7
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	50.0% 1
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							50.0% 1	100.0 [°] 1
		Chickens		41.7% 10	37.9% 25	32.5% 50	34.5% 50	18.5% 24	31.3% 47	28.2% 44
		Turkeys		81.8% 9	83.3% 5	75.7% 28	66.7% 12	86.7% 13	77.8% 7	100.0 ⁴ 6
		Cattle		100.0% 2	60.6% 20	64.6% 122	64.2% 120	54.0% 47	58.2% 57	44.9% 35
		Swine		80.0% 20	83.8% 88	78.9% 90	86.4% 70	75.0% 33	68.8% 33	63.0% 17
	Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole	Humans	4.6% 14	3.0% 10	4.5% 17	2.8% 10	3.6% 11	2.5% 8	2.3% 9	3.5% 14
	(MIC ≥ 4 / 76 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens		0.0% 0	1.5% 1	1.3% 2	0.0% 0	0.8% 1	13.0% 2	0.6% 1
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	11.1% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	6.1% 2	9.0% 17	2.1% 4	2.3% 2	4.1% 4	2.6% 2
		Swine		4.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.1% 1	3.7% 1

 Table 13c. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts	306	328	377	362	303	325	393 9	403 22
		Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops							2 2 2	2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle		24 11 2	66 6 33	154 37 189	145 18 187	130 15 87	150 9 98	156 6 78
		Swine		25	105	114	81	44	48	27
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Phenicols		Humans	39.9%	36.0%	33.4%	28.7%	30.7%	31.7%	23.2%	27.5%
	(MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts	122	118	126	104	93	103	91 0.0% 0	111 9.1% 2
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	50.0% 1
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							50.0% 1	100.0% 1
		Chickens		20.8% 5	19.7% 13	10.4% 16	14.5% 21	11.5% 15	16.0% 24	5.1% 8
		Turkeys		63.6% 7	0.0% 0	54.1% 20	55.6% 10	73.3% 11	66.7% 6	50.0% 3
		Cattle		100.0% 2	27.3% 9	37.0% 70	42.8% 80	37.9% 33	49.0% 48	42.3% 33
		Swine		52.0% 13	57.1% 60	49.1% 56	53.1% 43	47.7% 21	56.3% 27	48.1% 13
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	(- F S /	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Nalidixic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	0.3% 1	0.9% 3	0.5% 2	0.0% 0	1.3% 4	0.6% 2	1.3% 5	1.2% 5
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	50.0% 1
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0% 0
		Chickens		0.0%	0.0%	6.0% 1	7.0%	0.0%	2.7% 4	0.0%
		Turkeys		45.5% 5	0.0%	51.4% 19	33.3% 6	60.0% 9	55.6% 5	33.3% 2
		Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.2% 1	0.0% 0	2.1% 1	0.0% 0
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	49.3% 151	52.4% 172	45.9% 173	41.7% 151	43.2% 131	43.4% 141	31.8% 125	37.7% 152
		Chicken Breasts							44.4%	31.8% 7
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0 0.0%	50.0% 1 0.0%
		Ground Beef							0	0
		Pork Chops		22.20/	21.99/	22 50/	22 40/	16.0%	100.0% 2	100.0%
		Chickens		33.3% 8	31.8% 21	32.5% 50	32.4% 47	16.2% 21	28.0% 42	33.3% 52
		Turkeys		90.9% 10 100.0%	83.3% 5 63.6%	78.4% 29 58.7%	83.3% 15 61.5%	93.3% 14 44.8%	77.8% 7 64.3%	100.0% 6 53.8%
		Cattle		100.0% 2 84.0%	63.6% 21 89.5%	58.7% 111 84.2%	61.5% 115 91.1%	39	64.3% 63 89.6%	53.8% 42 74.1%
		Swine		84.0% 21	89.5% 94	84.2% 96	91.1% 73	79.5% 35	89.6% 43	74.1% 20

Table 13d. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Salmonella* Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Ceftiofur Resistance

Figure 8. Percent of *Salmonella* Typhimurium Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003



Table 14. Number of *Salmonella* Typhimurium Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	0	5	7	7	11	10	17	19
Chickens		0	6	46	38	19	42	40
Turkeys		7	0	18	7	8	2	1
Cattle		0	1	13	22	10	15	16
Swine		0	0	2	0	0	2	0

Nalidixic Acid Resistance

Figure 9. Percent of *Salmonella* Typhimurium Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003



Table 15. Number of Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans and Food
Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	1	3	2	0	4	2	5	5
Chickens		0	0	1	1	0	4	0
Turkeys		5	0	19	6	9	5	2
Cattle		0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Swine		0	0	0	1	0	1	0

 Table 16a. Resistance Patterns among Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food

 Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	306	328	377	362	303	325	393	403
	Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey							9 2	22 2
	Ground Beef							2	1
	Pork Chops							2	1
	Chickens		24	66	154	145	130	150	156
	Turkeys Cattle		11 2	6 33	37 189	18 187	15 87	9 98	6 78
	Swine		25	105	114	81	44	48	27
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source								
1. No Resistance Detected	Humans	37.9% 116	39.0% 128	46.9% 177	50.6% 183	49.5% 150	49.2% 160	60.3% 237	55.3% 223
	Chicken Breasts							22.2% 2	22.7% 5
	Ground Turkey							100.0% 2	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							100.0% 2	100.0% 1
	Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
	Chickens		37.5% 9	39.4% 26	29.2% 45	32.4% 47	64.6% 84	37.3% 56	45.5% 71
	Turkeys		0.0% 0	16.7% 1	10.8% 4	5.6% 1	6.7% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Cattle		0.0% 0	36.4% 12	29.1% 55	26.7% 50	34.5% 30	19.4% 19	39.7% 31
	Swine		12.0% 3	7.6% 8	7.9% 9	2.5% 2	13.6% 6	8.3% 4	18.5% 5
2. At Least ACSSuT ¹ Resistant	Humans	33.7% 103	35.1% 115	31.8% 120	27.6% 100	27.7% 84	29.5% 96	21.4% 84	25.8% 104
	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	9.1% 2
	Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	50.0% 1
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Pork Chops							50.0% 1	100.0% 1
	Chickens		12.5% 3	16.7% 11	9.7% 15	13.1% 19	11.5% 15	12.7% 19	3.2% 5
	Turkeys		27.3% 3	0.0% 0	51.4% 19	50.0% 9	66.7% 10	44.4% 4	50.0% 3
	Cattle		50.0% 1	21.2% 7	32.8% 62	37.4% 70	31.0% 27	31.6% 31	28.2% 22
	Swine		20.0% 5	54.3% 57	46.5% 53	39.5% 32	45.5% 20	47.9% 23	44.4% 12
3. At Least ACT/S ² Resistant	Humans	2.0% 6	0.6% 2	2.7% 10	2.2% 8	1.7% 5	0.9% 3	2.0% 8	3.2% 13
	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.6% 1	0.7% 1	0.0% 0	2.7% 4	0.0% 0
	Turkeys		18.2% 2	0.0% 0	48.6% 18	33.3% 6	53.3% 8	22.2% 2	16.7% 1
	Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.5% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.1% 1	0.0% 0

¹ ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline

 $^{2}\,\text{ACT/S}$ = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

 Table 16b. Resistance Patterns among Salmonella Typhimurium Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food

 Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	306	328	377	362	303	325	393	403
	Chicken Breasts							9	22
	Ground Turkey							2	2
	Ground Beef							2	1
	Pork Chops							2	1
	Chickens		24	66	154	145	130	150	156
	Turkeys		11	6	37	18	15	9	6
	Cattle		2	33	189	187	87	98	78
	Swine		25	105	114	81	44	48	27
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source								
	Humans	0.0%	1.2%	1.1%	0.6%	2.0%	1.2%	1.8%	2.2%
4. At Least ACSSuTAuCf ¹		0	4	4	2	6	4	7	9
Resistant	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
								0.0%	50.0%
	Ground Turkey							0	1
	Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
	Giodila Beel							0	0
	Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
								0	0
	Chickens		0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.6%
			0 27.3%	0.0%	1 45.9%	1 33.3%	0 53.3%	3 11.1%	1 16.7%
	Turkeys		3	0.070	43.370	6	8	1	10.770
			0.0%	3.0%	6.3%	11.8%	10.3%	11.2%	12.8%
	Cattle		0	1	12	22	9	11	10
	Swine		0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
	Swille		0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	Humans	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
5. At Least Ceftiofur and	Tidinano	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0
Nalidixic Acid Resistant	Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
								0.0%	0 50.0%
	Ground Turkey							0.0 %	1
								0.0%	0.0%
	Ground Beef							0	0
	Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
			0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.70/	0.00/	0	0
	Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.6% 1	0.7% 1	0.0% 0	2.7% 4	0.0% 0
			18.2%	0.0%	48.6%	33.3%	53.3%	22.2%	16.7%
	Turkeys		2	0	18	6	8	2	1
	Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Callie		0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
			0	0	0	0	0	1	0

¹ ACSSuTAuCf = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftiofur

6. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Enteritidis

	Isolate Source									Di	istribut	tion (%) of M	ICs (µg	/ml)⁵						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides																					
Amikacin	Humans (257)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]						10.9	71.2	16.7	1.2								
	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]						66.7		33.3									
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Chickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]						52.4	31.0	16.7									
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]							100.0										
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0											
Contominin	Humans (257)	0.0	0.4	[0.0 - 2.1]					63.4	22.2	14.0				1	0.4					
Gentamicin	C hickenBrea sts (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]					66.7	33.3											
	Ground _{Tu} rkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0											
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0	100.0											
	Chickens (42)	2.4	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]					90.5	7.1				2.4							
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]					100.0												
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Humans (257)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]										100.0		1	1				
Kanamycin		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]										100.0							
	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Ground Turkey (1) Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	C hickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]										100.0							
	U	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]										100.0							
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Swine (1)		0.0	[0.0 01.0]										100.0		1	II ••				
Streptomycin	Humans (257)	N/A	1.2	[0.2 - 3.4]												98.8	0.4	0.8			
	Chicken Breasts (3)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]												100.0					
	Ground Turkey (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]												100.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]												100.0					
	C hickens (42)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]												100.0					
	Cattle (3)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]												100.0					
	Swine (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]												100.0					

Table 17a. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Enteritidis isolates from pork chops and turkeys

²Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when_{available}. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source									Di	istribut	ion (%)	of MI	Cs (µg	/ml)⁵						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminopenicillins																					
Ampicillin	Humans (257)	0.0	2.3	[0.9 - 5.0]							33.5	55.3	8.6	0.4			2.3				
	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	66.7	[9.4 - 99.2]							33.3						66.7				
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0									
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Chickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]							50.0	50.0									
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]							66.7	33.3									
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations																					
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid	Humans (257)	0.8	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]							94.2	3.5		1.6	0.8						
	Chicken Breasts (3)	33.3	33.3	[0.8 - 90.6]								33.3			33.3		33.3				
	Gr ound Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0										
	Ch ickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]							97.6	2.4									
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]							100.0										
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							_		100.0								
Ce phalosporins																					
Ceftiofur	_{Hu} mans (257)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]					1.9	47.9	48.2	1.9									
	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	33.3	[0.8 - 90.6]						33.3	33.3				33.3						
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0											
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0											
	Ch ickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]						88.1	11.9										
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]						66.7	33.3										
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0											
Ceftriaxone	_{Hu} mans (257)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]					100.0												
	Chicken Breasts (3)	33.3	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]					66.6						33.3						
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Ch ickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]					100.0												
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]					100.0												
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												

Table 17b. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Enteritidis isolates from pork chops and turkeys

²Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when_{available}. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source) of MI		_						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Cephalothin	Humans (257)	0.8	1.2	[0.2 - 3.4]								75.1	22.2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.4				
	Chicken Breasts (3) Ground Turkey (1) Ground Beef (1)	0.0 0.0 0.0	66.7 0.0 0.0	[9.4 - 99.2] [0.0 - 97.5] [0.0 - 97.5]									33.3 100.0 100.0			33.3	33.3				
	Chickens (42) Cattle (3) Swine (1)	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 8.4] [0.0 - 70.8] [0.0 - 97.5]								73.8 100.0 100.0	26.2								
Cephamycins																					
Cefoxitin	Humans (257)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]						0.4	14.4	79.8	4.7	0.8							
	Chicken Breasts (3) Ground Turkey (1) Ground Beef (1)	0.0 0.0 0.0	33.3 0.0 0.0	[0.8 - 90.6] [0.0 - 97.5] [0.0 - 97.5]								33.3 100.0	33.3 100.0			33.3					
	Chickens (42) Cattle (3) Swine (1)	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 8.4] [0.0 - 70.8] [0.0 - 97.5]							19.0	78.6 100.0 100.0									
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Humans (257)	N/A	1.2	[0.2 - 3.4]											86.8	11.7	0.4				1.2
Sulfamethoxazole	Chicken Breasts (3) Ground Turkey (1) Ground Beef (1)	N/A N/A N/A	0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 70.8] [0.0 - 97.5] [0.0 - 97.5]											66.7	100.0	100.0	33.3			
	Chickens (42) Cattle (3) Swine (1)	N/A N/A N/A	2.4 0.0 0.0	[0.1 - 12.6] [0.0 - 70.8] [0.0 - 97.5]												19.0 33.3				2.4	
	Humans (257)	N/A	0.8	[0.1 - 2.8]				93.8	5.1	0.4				0.8							
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	Chicken Breasts (3) Ground Turkey (1) Ground Beef (1)	N/A N/A N/A	0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 70.8] [0.0 - 97.5] [0.0 - 97.5]				100.0 100.0 100.0													
	Chickens (42) Cattle (3) Swine (1)	N/A N/A N/A	0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 8.4] [0.0 - 70.8] [0.0 - 97.5]				95.2 100.0 100.0	4.8												

Table 17c. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Enteritidis isolates from pork chops and turkeys

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source									Dis	stribu	tion (%) of MI	Cs (µg/	′ml)⁵						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Phenicols																_					
Chloramphenicol	Humans (257)	0.4	0.4	[0.0 - 2.1]								1.6	65.4	32.3	0.4		0.4				
Onioramphenicor	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]										100.0							
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								
	Chickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]									50.0	50.0							
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]									100.0								
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								
Quinolones																					
Ciprofloxacin	Humans (257)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]	94.2	1.2	0.8	3.1	0.4	0.4											
	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]	100.0																
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]		100.0															
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]	100.0																
	Chickens (42)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]	100.0																
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]	100.0																
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]	100.0																
Nalidixic Acid	Humans (257)	N/A	4.7	[2.4 - 8.0]							0.4	1.9	81.7	11.3			4.7				
	Chicken Breasts (3)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]									100.0								
	Ground Turkey (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0							
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0									
	Chickens (42)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 8.4]									88.1	11.9							
	Cattle (3)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]									100.0								
	Swine (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								
Tetracyclines																					
Tetracycline	Humans (257)	0.0	1.6	[0.4 - 3.9]									98.4		0.4	0.4	0.8				
	Chicken Breasts (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]									100.0								
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								
	Chickens (42)	0.0	2.4	[0.1 - 12.6]									97.6				2.4				
	Cattle (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]									100.0								
	Swine (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0								

Table 17d. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Enteritidis isolates from pork chops and turkeys

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

and Food Animals _{Year}			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates To	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	351	301	244	269	319	276	337 4 5 1 0	257 3 1 1 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		1 0 1 0	13 0 1 0	41 1 8 2	31 1 4 1	21 0 4 1	48 0 6 1	42 0 3 1
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/mI)	Humans		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	
	(Chicken Breasts		-	-	-	-	-	0.0% 0	
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0
	Gentamicin	Swine	4.8%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0% 0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0 0.0%	0.0% 0 0.3%	0
	(MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	4.8%	1	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.3%	1
		Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0
		Ground Turkey							0	0
		Ground Beef Pork Chops							0	0
		Chickens		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Turkeys		0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0
		Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		Swine		0	0	0.0%	0 0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kanamycin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.7% 2	0.4% 1	0 0.4% 1	0.3%	0 0.7% 2	0 0.3% 1	0.0%
	(MIC = 04 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts	0	2				2	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.1% 1	0.0%
		Turkeys		0.534	0.634	0.0%	0.0%	0.634	0.634	0 3 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.4% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	12.5% 1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0
	Otavatav	Swine	0.001	4.00%	4.00%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0% 1 1.4%	0.0%	0
	Streptomycin	1	2.0%	4.3%	1.6%	2.2%	0.0%	1.4%	1.8%	1 2%

Table 18a. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats,	
and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003	

2.0% 7

4.3% 13

0.0% 0

0.0% 0

1.6% 4

0.0% 0

0.0% 0

2.2%

6

0.0% 0

0.0% 0

12.5%

1 0.0% 0

Humans

Chicken Breasts

Ground Turkey

Ground Beef Pork Chops

Chickens

Turkeys

Cattle

Swine

Streptomycin (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)

0.0% 0

0.0% 0

0.0% 0

0.0%

0.0% 0

1.4% 4

0.0% 0

0.0% 0

100.0% 1

1.8% ...8°. <u>6</u> 0.0% <u>0</u>

0.0%

0 0.0% 0

2.1% 1

0.0% 0 0.0% 0

1.2% 3

0.0% 0 0.0% 0

0.0% 0

0.0%

0.0% 0 0.0% 0

Table 18b. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats,	
and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003	

Year	y Year, 1996-200	-	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Teste	ed	Humans	351	301	244	269	319	276	337	257
		Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops							4 5 1 0	3 1 1 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		1 0 1 0	13 0 1 0	41 1 8 2	31 1 4 1	21 0 4 1	48 0 6 1	42 0 3 1
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminopenicillins	Ampicillin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	20.5% 72	11.3% 34	6.1% 15	10.8% 29	7.5% 24	8.7% 24	7.1% 24	2.3% 6
		Chicken Breasts							0.0%	66.7% 2
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		100.0% 1	30.8% 4	12.2% 5	9.7% 3	0.0% 0	4.2% 2	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%	-		-
		Cattle		0.0% 0	100.0% 1	12.5% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine		-		0.0%	0.0%	100.0% 1	0.0%	0.0%
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	Amoxicillin- Clavulanic Acid	Humans	0.6% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.4%	0.0% 0	1.4% 4	0.6% 2	0.0%
	(MIC ≥ 32 / 16 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts		-	-		-		0.0%	33.3% 1
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.4% 1	3.2% 1	0.0% 0	4.2% 2	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Cephalosporins	Ceftiofur (MIC ≥ 8 µg/mI)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.4% 1	0.0% 0	2.2% 6	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	33.3% 1
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.9% 2	3.2% 1	0.0% 0	4.2% 2	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ceftriaxone (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.1% 1	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Test	ed	Humans	351	301	244	269	319	276	337	257
		Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops							4 5 1 0	3 1 1 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle		1 0 1	13 0 1	41 1 8	31 1 4	21 0 4	48 0 6	42 0 3
		Swine		0	0	2	1	1	1	1
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Cephalosporins	Cephalothin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	4.0% 14	1.3% 4	0.0% 0	1.9% 5	0.9% 3	1.1% 3	0.6% 2	1.2% 3
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	66.7% 2
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0%	7.7% 1	4.9% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.2% 2	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine		-		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Cephamycins	Cefoxitin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/mI)	Humans					0.0%	0.4%	0.0% 0	0.0%
	(WIG = 62 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts					Ű		0.0%	33.3% 1
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
									0.0% 0	0.0%
									Ű	
		Chickens					0.0% 0	2.1% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
							0.0% 0	-	-	-
		Cattle					0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine					0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 512 µg/ml)	Humans	8.5% 30	9.0% 27	2.0% 5	3.0% 8	0.9% 3	2.2% 6	1.8% 6	1.2% 3
	(······ = = = = p.g.·····)	Chicken Breasts				-		-	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.9% 0	3.2% 0	0.0% 0	4.2% 0	2.4% 1
		Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole	Humans	6.6% 23	1.3% 4	0.8% 2	0.7% 2	0.0% 0	0.7% 2	0.6% 2	0.8%
	Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 4 / 76 µg/ml)								0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0

Table 18c. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Entertitidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats,
and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Table 18d. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats,	
and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003	

Year	- 4- d		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	sted	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef	351	301	244	269	319	276	337 4 5 1	257 3 1 1
		Pork Chops Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		1 0 1 0	13 0 1 0	41 1 8 2	31 1 4 1	21 0 4 1	0 48 0 6 1	0 42 0 3 1
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source				_				
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.7% 2	0.0% 0	0.4% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.6% 2	0.4%
		Chicken Breasts					-	-	0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							<u> </u>	Ŭ
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Swine		-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
	(Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops								Ŭ
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%			Ŭ
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine			Ű	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%
	Nalidixic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	0.9% 3	1.7% 5	2.0% 5	2.2% 6	2.2% 7	4.3% 12	3.9% 13	4.7% 12
	(Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops								Ŭ
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine			-	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	16.8% 59	9.6% 29	6.6% 16	8.2% 22	1.9% 6	1.8% 5	4.5% 15	1.6% 4
		Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops								
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	7.3% 3	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.1% 1	2.4% 1
		Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%			
		Cattle		0.0% 0	100.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine				0.0%	0.0%	100.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0%

Ceftiofur Resistance





Table 19. Number of *Salmonella* Enteritidis Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	0	1	0	1	0	6	0	0
Chickens		0	0	2	1	0	2	0
Turkeys				0	0			
Cattle		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swine				0	0	0	0	0

Nalidixic Acid Resistance

Figure 11. Percent of *Salmonella* Enteritidis Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003



Table 20. Number of *Salmonella* Enteritidis Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	3	5	5	6	7	12	13	12
Chickens		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkeys				0	0			
Cattle		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swine				0	0	0	0	0

Table 21a. Resistance Patterns among S	Salmonella Enteritidis	Isolates from Humans,	Retail Meats, and Food
Animals, by Year, 1996-2003			

Year)	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	351	301	244	269	319	276	337	257
	Chicken Breasts							4	3
	Ground Turkey Ground Beef							5 1	1 1
	Pork Chops							0	0
	Chickens		1	13	41	31	21	48	42
	Turkeys Cattle		0 1	0 1	1 8	1 4	0 4	0 6	0 3
	Swine		0	0	2	1	1	1	1
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source								
1. No Resistance Detected	Humans	73.5% 258	77.4% 233	87.7% 214	83.6% 225	89.0% 284	86.6% 239	87.2% 294	91.4% 235
	Chicken Breasts							100.0% 4	33.3% 1
	Ground Turkey							100.0% 5	100.0% 1
	Ground Beef							100.0% 1	100.0% 1
	Pork Chops								
	Chickens		0.0% 0	69.2% 9	82.9% 34	90.3% 28	100.0% 21	95.8% 46	97.6% 41
	Turkeys				100.0% 1	100.0% 1			
	Cattle		100.0% 1	0.0% 0	87.5% 7	100.0% 4	100.0% 4	100.0% 6	100.0% 3
	Swine				100.0% 2	100.0% 1	0.0% 0	100.0% 1	100.0% 1
2. At Least ACSSuT ¹ Resistant	Humans	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.4% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.4% 1
	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Pork Chops								
	Chickens		0.0% 0						
	Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
	Cattle		0.0% 0						
	Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
3. At Least ACT/S ² Resistant	Humans	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.4% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.4% 1
	Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
	Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0% 0
	Pork Chops								
	Chickens		0.0% 0						
	Turkeys				0.0%	0.0%			
	Cattle		0.0% 0						
	Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0

¹ ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline

 2 ACT/S = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Table 21b. Resistance Patterns among Salmonella Enteritidis Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Foo	d
Animals, by Year, 1996-2003	

Humans			1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef	351	301	244	269	319	276	337 4 5 1	257 3 1 1
Pork Chops Chickens		1	13	41	31	21	0 48	0 42
Turkeys Cattle Swine		0 1 0	0 1 0	1 8 2	1 4 1	0 4 1	0 6 1	0 3 1
Isolate Source								
Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.4% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Chicken Breasts							0	0.0% 0
Ground Turkey							0	0.0% 0
Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Pork Chops								
Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Turkeys				0.0% 0	0.0% 0			
Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Swine				0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Humans	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Pork Chops								
Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Turkeys				0.0%	0.0% 0			
Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0
Swine			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Chickens Turkeys Cattle Chickens Chicken Breasts Chicken Breasts Chicken Breasts Chicken Breasts Chickens C	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops1Turkeys0Chickens1Turkeys0Cattle1Swine0Isolate Source1Humans0.0% 00.0% 0Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Ground Beef1Pork Chops1Chickens0.0% 0Turkeys1Chickens0.0% 0Swine1Humans0.0% 0Turkeys1Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Chicken Breasts1Ground Turkey1Chicken Breasts0.0% 0Ground Beef1Pork Chops1Chickens0.0% 0Turkeys0Chickens0.0% 0Turkeys0Chickens0.0% 0Turkeys1Chickens0.0% 0Turkeys1Chickens0.0% 0Turkeys1Cattle0.0% 0Turkeys1Cattle0.0% 0	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops113Chickens Turkeys113Turkeys00Cattle11Swine00Isolate Source	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops 1 13 41 Chickens 1 13 41 Turkeys 0 0 1 Swine 0 0 2 Isolate Source	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops 1 13 41 31 Chickens Turkeys 1 13 41 31 Chickens 1 1 8 4 Swine 0 0 1 1 Bolate Source - - - - Humans 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.4% 0 0.0% 0 0.4% 0 Ground Turkey - - - - - - Ground Beef -	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops I 13 41 31 21 Chickens 1 13 41 31 21 Turkeys 0 0 1 1 0 Cattle 1 1 8 4 4 Swine 0 0 2 1 1 Isolate Source - - - - - Humans 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.4% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Ground Turkey -	Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops 1 13 41 31 21 48 Chickens 1 13 41 31 21 48 Turkeys 0 0 1 1 0 0 Cattle 1 1 8 4 4 6 Swine 0 0 2 1 1 1 Isolate Source - - - - 0 Humans 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0 Ground Turkey - - - 0 0 0 Ground Turkey - - - 0.0% 0.0% 0 Ground Beef - - - 0 0 0 0 Chickens 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

¹ ACSSuTAuCf = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftiofur

7. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Newport

	Isolate Source				Distribution (%)									b) of MICs (μg/ml)⁵								
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI]⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024	
Aminoglycosides																						
Amikacin	Humans (222)6	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]						1.4	78.4	18.0	1.4	0.9								
Aminacin	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]								50.0	50.0									
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0											
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]							100.0											
	Chickens (7)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]						42.9	14.3	14.3	28.6									
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]						52.6		10.5										
	Cattle (75)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.8]						49.3		17.3	1.3									
	Swine (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]						66.7		33.3										
		0.5		14 0 0 A					44.0	05.0	40.0			0.5								
Gentamicin	Humans (222)	0.5	3.2	[1.3 - 6.4]					44.6	35.6	16.2			0.5	1.4	1.8						
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]						50.0					50.0							
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0													
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0												
	Chickens (7)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]					85.7	14.3												
	Turkeys (19)	5.3	52.6	[28.9 - 75.6]					42.1					5.3	36.8	15.8						
	Cattle (75)	0.0	1.3	[0.0 - 7.2]					90.7	6.7	1.3					1.3						
	Swine (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]					100.0													
Kanamycin	Humans (222)	0.5	4.5	[2.2 - 8.1]										95.0		0.5		4.5				
Kanamyon	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]										100.0								
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0								
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0								
	Chickens (7)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]										100.0								
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	21.1	[6.1 - 45.6]										78.9			5.3	15.8				
	Cattle (75)	0.0	17.3	[9.6 - 27.8]										82.7				17.3				
	Swine (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]										100.0								
Streptomycin	Humans (222)	N/A	23.9	[18.4 - 30.0]												76.1	1.8	22.1				
Streptomycin	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]												50.0	50.0					
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]														100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)	N/A	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]														100.0				
	Chickens (7)	N/A	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]												14.3		85.7				
	Turkeys (19)	N/A	31.6	[12.6 - 56.6]												68.4		21.1				
	Cattle (75)	N/A	84.0	[73.7 - 91.4]												16.0		81.3				
	Swine (3)	N/A	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]														100.0				

Table 22a. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Newport isolates from chicken breasts

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration.
⁶ For isolates from humans that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititreplate (MIC>4 µg/ml), Etest was performed to determine amikacin MICs; the percentages reported in the shaded area (MIQ-8 µg/ml) are

⁶ For isolates from humans that grew in all amikacin dilutions on the Sensititreplate (MIC>4 µg/ml), Etest was performed to determine amikacin MICs; the percentages reported in the shaded area (MIC 8 µg/ml) are based on Etest results for these isolates. The amikacin Etest strip range of dilutions is 0.016-256 µg/ml

	Isolate Source				Ŭ										ig/ml) ⁵						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI]⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25		1	2	4	8	, 16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminopenicillins																					
	Humans (222)	0.5	22.1	[16.8 - 28.1]							49.5	25.7	1.8	0.5	0.5	1	22.1				
Ampicillin	. ,	0.0		5 0 0 0 0 0							400.0										
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]							100.0						400.0				
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Chickens (7)	0.0	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]								14.3					85.7				
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	15.8	[3.4 - 39.6]							68.4	15.8					15.8				
	Cattle (75)	0.0	82.7	[72.2 - 90.4]							14.7	1.3	1.3				82.7				
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]													100.0				
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor Combinations	Humans (222)	0.5	21.2	[16.0 - 27.1]							75.7	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.5	3.6	17.6				
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid	. ,																				
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]							50.0	50.0					400.0				
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Chickens (7)	0.0	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]							14.3						85.7				
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	10.5	[1.3 - 33.1]							84.2			5.3			10.5				
	Cattle (75)	0.0	81.3	[70.7 - 89.4]							16.0			2.7		16.0	65.3				
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]													100.0				
Cephalosporins																					
Ceftiofur	Humans (222)	0.0	22.1	[16.8 - 28.1]					0.9	50.5	25.7	0.9			22.1						
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]						100.0											
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]											100.0						
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]											100.0						
	,	0.0	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]						14.3					85.7						
	Chickens (7)	0.0	10.5	[1.3 - 33.1]						84.2	5.3				10.5						
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	81.3	[70.7 - 89.4]						18.7	0.0				81.3						
	Cattle (75)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]						10.7					100.0						
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[20.2 - 100.0]											100.0						
Ceftriaxone	Humans (222)	18.9	1.8	[0.5 - 4.5]					78.4					0.9	11.7	7.2	0.9	0.9			
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]					100.0												
	Ground Beef (1)	100.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]											100.0						
	Pork Chops (1)	100.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]												100.0					
	,								14.2					14.0	71.4						
	Chickens (7)	71.4	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]					14.3					14.3		E 0					
	Turkeys (19)	10.5	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]					89.5					5.0	5.3	5.3					
	Cattle (75)	74.7	1.3	[0.0 - 7.2]					18.7					5.3	64.0	10.7	1.3				
	Swine (3)	100.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]											66.7	33.3					

¹ There were no Salmonella Newport isolates from chicken breasts

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source				Distribution (%) of MICs (µg/ml) ⁵																
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l²	%R ³	[95% CI]⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Cephalothin	Humans (222)	0.5	22.1	[16.8 - 28.1]								63.1	13.1	1.4	0.5	0.9	21.2				
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]									100.0								
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]													100.0				
	Chickens (7)	0.0	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]								14.3					85.7				
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	10.5	[1.3 - 33.1]								78.9	10.5				10.5				
	Cattle (75)	0.0	81.3	[70.7 - 89.4]								13.3	4.0	1.3			81.3				
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]													100.0				
Cephamycins																					
Cefoxitin	Humans (222)	0.5	21.6	[16.4 - 27.6]							12.2	59.5	5.4	0.9	0.5	21.6					
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]								100.0									
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0					
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0					
	Chickens (7)	14.3	71.4	[29.0 - 96.3]								14.3			14.3	71.4					
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	10.5	[1.3 - 33.1]							21.1	52.6	10.5	5.3		10.5					
	Cattle (75)	6.7	74.7	[63.3 - 84.0]							4.0	14.7			6.7	74.7					
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]												100.0					
Folate Pathway Inhibitors																					
Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (222)	N/A	24.3	[18.8 - 30.5]											62.2	12.6	0.9			0.9	23.4
	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	50.0	[1.3 - 98.7]													50.0				50.0
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]																	100.0
	Pork Chops (1)	N/A	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]																	100.0
	Chickens (7)	N/A	71.4	[29.0 - 96.3]											14.3				14.3	42.9	28.6
	Turkeys (19)	N/A	52.6	[28.9 - 75.6]											26.3	10.5			10.5	26.3	26.3
	Cattle (75)	N/A	73.3	[61.9 - 82.9]											10.7	4.0			12.0		22.7
	Swine (3)	N/A	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]																66.7	33.3
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (222)	N/A	0.9	[0.1 - 3.2]				82.4	15.8	0.5	0.5			0.9							
	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]				100.0													
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Pork Chops (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]					100.0												
	Chickens (7)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]				42.9	57.1												
	Turkeys (19)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]				73.7	26.3												
	Cattle (75)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.8]				46.7	49.3	4.0											
	Swine (3)	N/A	33.3	[0.8 - 90.6]				33.3	33.3					33.3							

Table 22c. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella New	wport Isolates from Humans.	Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003
---	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

¹ There were no Salmonella Newport isolates from chicken breasts

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source		Ŭ								Cs (µg/	-								
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI]⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Phenicols														_						
Chloramphenicol	Humans (222)	0.5	21.6	[16.4 - 27.6]							0.9	65.8	11.3	0.5		21.6				
•	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]									100.0							
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0				
	Chickens (7)	0.0	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]								14.3				85.7				
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	21.1	[6.1 - 45.6]							5.3	57.9	15.8			21.1				
	Cattle (75)	0.0	78.7	[67.7 - 87.3]								21.3				78.7				
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]												100.0				
Quinolones																				
Ciprofloxacin	Humans (222)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]	99.1	0.5				0.5										
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]	100.0															
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]	100.0															
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]	100.0															
	Chickens (7)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]	100.0															
	Turkeys (19)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]	100.0															
	Cattle (75)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.8]	98.7				1.3											
	Swine (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]	100.0															
Nalidixic Acid	Humans (222)	N/A	0.5	[0.0 - 2.5]							3.2	86.9	8.6	0.9	1	0.5				
	Ground Turkey (2)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]								100.0								
	Ground Beef (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0								
	Pork Chops (1)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0								
	Chickens (7)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]								71.4	28.6							
	Turkeys (19)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]							10.5	78.9	10.5							
	Cattle (75)	N/A	1.3	[0.0 - 7.2]							9.3	84.0	5.3			1.3				
	Swine (3)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]								100.0								
Tetracyclines																				
Tetracycline	Humans (222)	0.0	23.9	[18.4 - 30.0]								76.1			5.4	18.5				
	Ground Turkey (2)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 84.2]								100.0								
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0				
	Pork Chops (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]												100.0				
	Chickens (7)	0.0	85.7	[42.1 - 99.6]								14.3				85.7				
	Turkeys (19)	5.3	36.8	[16.3 - 61.6]								57.9	5.3	5.3		31.6				
	Cattle (75)	0.0	84.0	[73.7 - 91.4]								16.0		1.3	4.0	78.7				
	Swine (3)	0.0	100.0	[29.2 - 100.0]												100.0				

Table 22d. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Newport isolates from chicken breasts

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	sted	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	51	46	77	99	121	124	239 0 3 3 2	222 0 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		0 0 0	1 1 8 1	7 4 54 5	5 6 109 2	8 16 87 7	6 10 113 0	7 19 75 3
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin (MIC ≥ 64)	Humans		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chicken Breasts			-					
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Cattle			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0		0.0%
	Gentamicin (MIC ≥ 16)	Humans	5.9% 3	4.3% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.5% 3	3.2% 4	3.3% 8	3.2% 7
		Chicken Breasts					-			
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	50.0% 1
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens			100.0% 1	0.0% 0	20.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	16.7% 1	6.3% 1	0.0% 0	52.6% 10
		Cattle			0.0% 0	1.9% 1	11.0% 12	6.9% 6	7.1% 8	1.3%
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%		0.0% 0
	Kanamycin (MIC ≥ 64)	Humans	2.0% 1	0.0% 0	1.3% 1	1.0% 1	5.0% 6	7.3% 9	9.6% 23	4.5% 10
	Ì, í	Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	10.0% 1	21.1% 4
		Cattle			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	9.2% 10	6.9% 6	15.9% 18	17.3% 13
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	57.1% 4		0.0% 0
	Streptomycin (MIC ≥ 64)	Humans	7.8% 4	4.3% 2	2.6% 2	19.2% 19	24.0% 29	31.5% 39	24.7% 59	23.9% 53
		Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	50.0% 1
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0% 1
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0% 1
		Chickens			100.0% 1	0.0% 0	20.0% 1	37.5% 3	0.0% 0	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	16.7% 1	12.5% 2	0.0% 0	31.6% 6
		Cattle			12.5% 1	37.0% 20	79.8% 87	73.6% 64	80.5% 91	84.0% 63
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	50.0% 1	85.7% 6		100.0% 3

Table 23a. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Salmonella* Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year Number of Isolates Test	tod	Humana	1996	1997	1998	1999 99	2000	2001 124	2002	2003 222
Number of Isolates Test	tea	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	51	46	77	99	121	124	239 0 3 3 2	222 0 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		0 0 0 0	1 1 8 1	7 4 54 5	5 6 109 2	8 16 87 7	6 10 113 0	7 19 75 3
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminopenicillins	Ampicillin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	5.9% 3	6.5% 3	2.6% 2	18.2% 18	23.1% 28	29.8% 37	24.3% 58	22.1% 49
	(·····e = e= p.g.····)	Chicken Breasts			_	10				10
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0%
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0%
		Chickens			100.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0%	37.5% 3	 16.7% 1	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	12.5% 2	0.0%	15.8% 3
		Cattle			12.5% 1	37.0% 20	77.1% 84	70.1% 61	78.8% 89	82.7% 62
		Swine			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7% 6		100.0%
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase	Amoxicillin- Clavulanic Acid	Humans	2.0% 1	0.0% 0	2.6% 2	18.2% 18	22.3% 27	26.6% 33	22.2% 53	21.2% 47
	(MIC \geq 32 / 16 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts	-	0	2	10	21			
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0%
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.09
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.09
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0%	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	12.5% 2	0.0%	10.5% 2
		Cattle			12.5% 1	37.0% 20	76.1% 83	69.0% 60	78.8% 89	81.3% 61
		Swine			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7% 6		100.0%
Cephalosporins	Ceftiofur (MIC ≥ 8 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.3% 1	18.2% 18	22.3% 27	27.4% 34	22.2% 53	22.1% 49
	(Chicken Breasts	-	-						
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0%
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0%
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.09
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0% 0	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	12.5% 2	0.0% 0	10.5% 2
		Cattle			12.5% 1	37.0% 20	76.1% 83	69.0% 60	78.8% 89	81.3% 61
		Swine			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7% 6		100.0%
	Ceftriaxone (MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	3.0% 3	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.8% 2	1.8% 4
		Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Cattle			≤12.5% ¹ ≤1	0.0% 0	0.9% 1	1.1% 1	0.9% 1	1.3% 1
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0		0.0% 0

Table 23b. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Salmonella* Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

¹ In 1998, there was 1 isolate from cattle that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensititre plate (MIC >16 µg/mL). Further testing was not conducted

Year		11	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Test	ed	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	51	46	77	99	121	124 0 0 0 0	239 0 3 3 2	222 0 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		0 0 0	1 1 8 1	7 4 54 5	5 6 109 2	8 16 87 7	6 10 113 0	7 19 75 3
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Cephalosporins	Cephalothin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	3.9% 2	4.3% 2	2.6% 2	18.2% 18	22.3% 27	26.6% 33	22.2% 53	22.1% 49
	(- FO /	Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0%
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0% 1
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0%	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	12.5% 2	0.0%	10.5% 2
		Cattle			12.5% 1	37.0% 20	74.3% 81	69.0% 60	78.8% 89	81.3% 61
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	85.7% 6	00	100.0% 3
Cephamycins	Cefoxitin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/mI)	Humans			Ū	0	22.3% 27	25.8% 32	22.2% 53	21.6% 48
	(WIC = 32 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts					21	52		40
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0%
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0% 1
	1	Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0%
		Chickens					0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0%	71.4% 5
		Turkeys					0.0% 0	12.5% 2	0.0% 0	10.5% 2
		Cattle					73.4% 80	66.7% 58	77.9% 88	74.7% 56
		Swine					0.0%	85.7% 6	00	100.0% 3
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 512 µg/ml)	Humans	11.8% 6	4.3% 2	3.9% 3	22.2% 22	23.1% 28	32.3% 40	25.1% 60	24.3% 54
	(Chicken Breasts					20	10		
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	50.0% 1
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0% 1
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0%
		Chickens			100.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0% 0	71.4% 5
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	16.7% 1	12.5% 2	0.0% 0	52.6% 10
		Cattle			12.5% 1	35.2% 19	73.4% 80	72.4% 63	74.3% 84	73.3% 55
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0%	50.0% 1	85.7% 6		100.0% 3
	Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole	Humans	3.9% 2	4.3% 2	1.3% 1	2.0% 2	4.1% 5	1.6% 2	4.2% 10	0.9% 2
	(MIC ≥ 4 / 76 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
		Pork Chops							100.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Cattle			0.0% 0	1.9% 1	14.7% 16	12.6% 11	7.1% 8	0.0%
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		33.3% 1

 Table 23c. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	51	46	77	99	121	124	239 0 3 3 2	222 0 2 1 1
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		0 0 0 0	1 1 8 1	7 4 54 5	5 6 109 2	8 16 87 7	6 10 113 0	7 19 75 3
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	5.9% 3	4.3% 2	2.6% 2	18.2% 18	23.1% 28	28.2% 35	24.7% 59	21.6% 48
		Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0% 1
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0% 1
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0%	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	12.5% 2	0.0%	21.1% 4
		Cattle			12.5% 1	37.0% 20	78.9% 86	73.6% 64	77.9% 88	78.7% 59
		Swine			0.0%	0.0%	50.0% 1	85.7% 6		100.0% 3
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
	(Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys			0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Cattle			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0		0.0% 0
	Nalidixic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.8% 1	0.0% 0	0.8% 2	0.5% 1
	(- +3 /	Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0%
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Cattle			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.3% 1
		Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0		0.0% 0
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	7.8% 4	4.3% 2	2.6% 2	19.2% 19	23.1% 28	30.6% 38	25.1% 60	23.9% 53
		Chicken Breasts								
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0% 1
		Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0% 1
		Chickens			100.0% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0% 0	85.7% 6
		Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	12.5% 2	40.0% 4	36.8% 7
		Cattle			12.5% 1	38.9% 21	80.7% 88	73.6% 64	80.5% 91	84.0% 63
		Swine			100.0% 1	20.0% 1	50.0% 1	85.7% 6		100.0% 3

Table 23d. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Salmonella* Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Ceftiofur Resistance



Figure 12. Percent of *Salmonella* Newport Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003

Table 24. Number of Salmonella Newport Isolates from Humans and Food
Animals Resistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	0	0	1	18	27	34	53	49
Chickens			0	0	0	3	0	6
Turkeys			0	0	0	2	0	2
Cattle			1	20	83	60	89	61
Swine			0	0	0	6		3

Nalidixic Acid Resistance

Figure 13. Percent of *Salmonella* Newport Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003



 Table 25. Number of Salmonella Newport Isolates from Humans and Food

 Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1
Chickens			0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkeys			0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattle			0	0	0	0	0	1
Swine			0	0	0	0		0

Table 26a. Resistance Patterns among *Salmonella* Newport Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year	03	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	51	46	77	99	121	124	239	222
	Chicken Breasts							0	0
	Ground Turkey Ground Beef							3 3	2 1
	Pork Chops							2	1
	Chickens		0	1	7	F	0	6	7
	Turkeys		0	1	7 4	5 6	8 16	10	19
	Cattle		0	8	54	109	87	113	75
	Swine		0	1	5	2	7	0	3
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source	00.00/	00.50/	0.4.00/	75.00/	75.00/	04.50/	70.00/	70.00/
1. No Resistance Detected	Humans	86.3% 44	93.5% 43	94.8% 73	75.8% 75	75.2% 91	64.5% 80	72.8% 174	73.9% 164
	Chicken Breasts								
	Ground Turkey							66.7% 2	50.0% 1
	Ground Beef							33.3% 1	0.0%
	Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
	Chickens			0.0%	100.0%	80.0%	62.5%	0 83.3%	14.3%
	Turkeys			0 100.0%	7 100.0%	4 83.3%	5 87.5%	5 60.0%	1 21.1%
	Cattle			1 87.5%	4 61.1%	5 19.3%	14 25.3%	6 19.5%	4 14.7%
	Cattle			7	33	21	22	22	11
	Swine			0.0% 0	80.0% 4	50.0% 1	14.3% 1		0.0% 0
2. At Least ACSSuT ¹ Resistant	Humans	5.9% 3	4.3% 2	1.3% 1	18.2% 18	23.1% 28	25.8% 32	23.0% 55	21.2% 47
	Chicken Breasts								
	Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							66.7% 2	100.0% 1
	Pork Chops							100.0% 2	100.0% 1
	Chickens			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	0.0% 0	71.4% 5
	Turkeys			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	12.5% 2	0.0% 0	5.3% 1
	Cattle			12.5% 1	35.2% 19	70.6% 77	67.8% 59	70.8% 80	66.7% 50
	Swine			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	85.7% 6		100.0% 3
3. At Least ACT/S ² Resistant	Humans	3.9% 2	4.3% 2	1.3% 1	2.0% 2	4.1% 5	0.8% 1	3.8% 9	0.9% 2
	Chicken Breasts	_				-		-	
	Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0%	0.0%
	Pork Chops							100.0% 2	0.0%
	Chickens			0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%
	Turkeys			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Cattle			0.0%	1.9%	13.8%	11.5%	7.1%	0.0%
	Swine			0.0%	1 0.0%	15 0.0%	10 0.0%	8	0 33.3%
	Swille			0	0	0	0		1

¹ ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline

 $^{2}\,\text{ACT/S}$ = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Table 26b. Resistance Patterns among Sal	monella	Newport	Isolates f	rom Hum	ans, Reta	ail Meats,	and Foo	d
Animals, by Year, 1996-2003								

Year		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	51	46	77	99	121	124	239	222
	Chicken Breasts							0	0
	Ground Turkey							3	2
	Ground Beef							3	1
	Pork Chops							2	1
	Chickens		0	1	7	5	8	6	7
	Turkeys		0	1	4	6	16	10	19
	Cattle		0	8	54	109	87	113	75
Pasistana Pattan	Swine Isolate Source		0	1	5	2	7	0	3
Resistance Pattern		0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	18.2%	22.3%	25.0%	22.2%	20.7%
4. At Least ACSSuTAuCf ¹	Humans	0	0	1	18	27	31	53	46
Resistant	Chicken Breasts								
	Ground Turkey							33.3% 1	0.0% 0
								66.7%	100.0%
	Ground Beef							2	1
	Pork Chops							100.0%	100.0%
	FUIK Chops							2	1
	Chickens			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	0.0%	71.4%
				0	0	0.0%	3 12.5%	0	5 5.3%
	Turkeys			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	5.3% 1
				12.5%	35.2%	69.7%	66.7%	70.8%	66.7%
	Cattle			1	19	76	58	80	50
	Swine			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%		100.0%
	Swille			0	0	0	6		3
	Humans	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%
5. At Least Ceftiofur and		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Nalidixic Acid Resistant	Chicken Breasts								
	Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Pork Chops							0.0%	0.0%
				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Chickens			0	0	0	0	0	0
	Turkeys			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Tankeyo			0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cattle			0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.3% 1
				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Swine			0	0	0	0		0

¹ ACSSuTAuCf = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftiofur

8. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Salmonella Heidelberg

	Isolate Source									Di	stributi	ion (%) of M	ICs (µg	ı/ml)⁵						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25			2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides																_					
Amikacin	Humans (96)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 3.8]						11.5	58.3	27.1	3.1								
	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]						6.3	50.0	37.5	6.3								
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]							53.1	46.9									
	Chickens (226)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]						26.1	47.8	26.1									
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]						42.1	33.3	22.8	1.8								
	Cattle (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]							22.2	77.8									
	Swine (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]						9.1	45.5	45.5									
	Humans (96)	0.0	5.2	[1.7 - 11.7]					53.1	27.1	14.6			1	3.1	2.1					
Gentamicin		0.0	18.8	[4.0 - 45.6]					18.8	62.5					6.3	12.5					
	Chicken Breasts (16)	3.1	12.5	[4.0 - 45.0] [3.5 - 29.0]					46.9	37.5				3.1	6.3	6.3					
	Ground Turkey (32)	5.1	12.5	[3.3 - 29.0]					40.9					5.1							
	Chickens (226)	1.3	7.5	[4.4 - 11.8]					81.9	7.5	1.8			1.3	3.5	4.0					
	Turkeys (57)	5.3	12.3	[5.1 - 23.7]					73.7	5.3		1.8	1.8	5.3	8.8	3.5					
	Cattle (9)	11.1	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]					55.6	33.3				11.1							
	Swine (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]					72.7	27.3											
Kanamycin	Humans (96)	0.0	8.3	[3.7 - 15.8]										91.7			1	8.3			
Randinyoni	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]										100.0							
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	34.4	[18.6 - 53.2]										65.6			6.3	28.1			
	Chickens (226)	0.0	5.3	[2.8 - 9.1]										94.7			0.9	4.4			
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	21.1	[11.4 - 33.9]										77.2	1.8			21.1			
	Cattle (9)	0.0	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]										44.4				55.6			
	Swine (11)	0.0	100.0	[71.5 - 100.0]														100.0			
Streptomycin	Humans (96)	N/A	12.5	[6.6 - 20.8]												87.5	8.3	4.2			
onepromyon	Chicken Breasts (16)	N/A	12.5	[1.6 - 38.3]												87.5	6.3	6.3			
	Ground Turkey (32)	N/A	37.5	[21.1 - 56.3]												62.5		31.3			
	Chickens (226)	N/A	17.7	[13.0 - 23.3]												82.3	10.6	7.1			
	Turkeys (57)	N/A	28.1	[17.0 - 41.5]													21.1	7.0			
	Cattle (9)	N/A	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]												44.4		55.6			
	Swine (11)	N/A		[71.5 - 100.0]													72.7				

Table 27a. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹There were no *Salmonella* Heidelberg isolates from ground beef and pork chops

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source										stributi				_						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25				4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminopenicillins																_					
Ampicillin	Humans (96)	0.0	10.4	[5.1 - 18.3]							45.8	39.6	4.2				10.4				
i	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	18.8	[4.0 - 45.6]							56.3	25.0					18.8				
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	9.4	[2.0 - 25.0]							53.1	31.3	3.1	3.1			9.4				
	Chickens (226)	0.0	19.0	[14.1 - 24.8]							60.2	20.4	0.4				19.0				
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	3.5	[0.4 - 12.1]							73.7	19.3	3.5				3.5				
	Cattle (9)	0.0	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]							44.4						55.6				
	Swine (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]							72.7	18.2					9.1				
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations																					
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid	Humans (96)	1.0	5.2	[1.7 - 11.7]							87.5	2.1	1.0	3.1	1.0	2.1	3.1				
	Chicken Breasts (16)	6.3	6.3	[0.2 - 30.2]							81.3			6.3	6.3		6.3				
	Ground Turkey (32)	3.1	9.4	[2.0 - 25.0]							75.0	12.5			3.1	9.4					
		7.5	9.3	[5.8 - 13.9]							79.2	1.8		2.2	7.5	1.3	8.0				
	Chickens (226)	1.8	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]							93.0			1.8	1.8	1.5	0.0				
	Turkeys (57) Cattle (9)	0.0	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]							44.4	0.0		1.0	1.0	22.2	33.3				
	Swine (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]							90.9						9.1				
	owine (11)														1	11					
Cephalosporins																					
Ceftiofur	Humans (96)	0.0	5.2	[1.7 - 11.7]				1.0		74.0	19.8				5.2						
	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	6.3	[0.2 - 30.2]						50.0	43.8				6.3						
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]						71.9	28.1										
	Chickens (226)	0.0	9.3	[5.8 - 13.9]						85.0	5.3	0.4			9.3						
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]						91.2	8.8										
	Cattle (9)	0.0	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]						44.4					55.6						
	Swine (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]						81.8	9.1				9.1						
Ceftriaxone	Humans (96)	3.1	0.0	[0.0 - 3.8]					94.8					2.1	2.1	1.0					
Centraxone	Chicken Breasts (16)	6.3	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]					93.8						6.3						
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]					100.0												
	Chickens (226)	5.8	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]					90.7					3.5	5.3	0.4					
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]					100.0												
	Cattle (9)	33.3	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]					44.4					22.2	11.1	22.2					
	Swine (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]					90.9					9.1							

Table 27b. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no *Salmonella* Heidelberg isolates from ground beef and pork chops

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensitire plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

Table 27C. Distribution of	Isolate Source								Ŭ.					Cs (µg							
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l²	%R ³	[95% CI]⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Cephalothin	Humans (96)	1.0	7.3	[3.0 - 14.4]								80.2	9.4	2.1	1.0	2.1	5.2				
	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	12.5	[1.6 - 38.3]								25.0	56.3	6.3			12.5				
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	12.5	[3.5 - 29.0]								9.4	68.8	9.4		3.1	9.4				
	Chickens (226)	4.4	12.8	[8.8 - 17.9]								69.5	11.1	2.2	4.4	3.5	9.3				
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	1.8	[0.0 - 9.4]								84.2	14.0			1.8					
	Cattle (9)	0.0	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]								44.4					55.6				
	Swine (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]								72.7	18.2				9.1				
Cephamycins															_						
Cefoxitin	Humans (96)	0.0	5.2	[1.7 - 11.7]							40.6	49.0	5.2			5.2					
	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	6.3	[0.2 - 30.2]								87.5	6.3			6.3					
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]							3.1	78.1	12.5	6.3							
	Chickens (226)	2.2	7.1	[4.1 - 11.2]							31.4	54.0	4.9	0.4	2.2	7.1					
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]								71.9	1.8	1.8							
	Cattle (9)	11.1	44.4	[13.7 - 78.8]								33.3			11.1	44.4					
	Swine (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]							18.2	72.7				9.1					
Folate Pathway Inhibitors																					
Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (96)	N/A	7.3	[3.0 - 14.4]											90.6	2.1					7.3
	Chicken Breasts (16)	N/A	12.5	[1.6 - 38.3]											62.5	25.0					12.5
	Ground Turkey (32)	N/A	15.6	[5.3 - 32.8]											31.3	40.6	12.5				15.6
	Chickens (226)	N/A	11.1	[7.3 - 15.9]											84.5	1.8			2.7	7.5	3.5
	Turkeys (57)	N/A	19.3	[10.0 - 31.9]											73.7	3.5	1.8		1.8	14.0	
	Cattle (9)	N/A	44.4	[13.7 - 78.8]											44.4				11.1	44.4	
	Swine (11)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]											100.0						
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	Humans (96)	N/A	2.1	[0.3 - 7.3]				89.6	8.3					2.1							
	Chicken Breasts (16)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]				100.0													
	Ground Turkey (32)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]				100.0													
	Chickens (226)	N/A	0.9	[0.1 - 3.2]				90.7	8.0	0.4			0.9								
	Turkeys (57)	N/A	3.5	[0.4 - 12.1]				84.2	12.3					3.5							
	Cattle (9)	N/A	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]				44.4						55.6							
	Swine (11)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]				90.9	9.1												

Table 27c. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no Salmonella Heidelberg isolates from ground beef and pork chops

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility. While double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

	Isolate Source				Ľ				-				Cs (µg	_						
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25				8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Phenicols																				
Chloramphenicol	Humans (96)	1.0	0.0	[0.0 - 3.8]								55.2	43.8	1.0						
onioramphenicol	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]								6.3	93.8							
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]								3.1	96.9							
	Chickens (226)	0.4	3.1	[1.3 - 6.3]								48.2	48.2	0.4		3.1				
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]							1.8	45.6	52.6							
	Cattle (9)	0.0	44.4	[13.7 - 78.8]								33.3	22.2			44.4				
	Swine (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]								27.3	72.7							
Quinolones																				
Ciprofloxacin	Humans (96)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 3.8]	96.9	2.1		1.0												
	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]	75.0	25.0														
	Ground Turkey (32)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]	100.0															
	Chickens (226)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]	99.1	0.9														
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]	100.0															
	Cattle (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]	66.7	33.3														
	Swine (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]	100.0															
Nalidixic Acid	Humans (96)	N/A	1.0	[0.0 - 5.7]							3.1	84.4	11.5			1.0				
	Chicken Breasts (16)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]						6.3		81.3	12.5							
	Ground Turkey (32)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 10.9]								78.1	21.9							
	Chickens (226)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]							0.4	83.6	14.6	1.3						
	Turkeys (57)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 6.3]						1.8		86.0	12.3							
	Cattle (9)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]									22.2							
	Swine (11)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]								63.6	36.4							
Tetracyclines																				
Tetracycline	Humans (96)	0.0	16.7	[9.8 - 25.6]								83.3				16.7				
	Chicken Breasts (16)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 20.6]								100.0								
	Ground Turkey (32)	3.1	43.8	[26.4 - 62.3]								53.1	3.1			43.8				
	Chickens (226)	0.9	16.4	[11.8 - 21.9]								82.7	0.9	0.4	1.3	14.6				
	Turkeys (57)	0.0	84.2	[72.1 - 92.5]								15.8				84.2				
	Cattle (9)	0.0	55.6	[21.2 - 86.3]								44.4				55.6				
	Swine (11)	0.0	100.0	[71.5 - 100]												100.0				

Table 27d. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, 2003

¹ There were no *Salmonella* Heidelberg isolates from ground beef and pork chops

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test 2003 isolates. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	74	75	101	89	79	102	105 11 21 0 3	96 16 32 0 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		51 14 1 7	143 39 11 37	297 139 28 33	259 125 6 22	329 142 10 16	403 60 8 11	226 57 9 11
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin (MIC ≥ 64)	Humans		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
	(1010 2 04)	Chicken Breasts		0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0 0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef								Ŭ
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%
		Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Gentamicin (MIC ≥ 16)	Humans	23.0% 17	17.3% 13	16.8% 17	14.6% 13	8.9% 7	7.8% 8	3.8% 4	5.2% 5
	(10)	Chicken Breasts	17	15	17	15	1	0	45.5% 5	18.8% 3
		Ground Turkey							28.6% 6	12.5% 4
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							100.0% 3	
		Chickens		41.2% 21	26.6% 38	18.5% 55	32.0% 83	12.5% 41	8.9% 36	7.5% 17
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	17.9% 7	16.5% 23	12.0% 15	13.4% 19	18.3% 11	12.3% 7
		Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	39.3% 11	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
		Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1% 2	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	0.0%
	Kanamycin (MIC ≥ 64)	Humans	14.9% 11	8.0% 6	12.9% 13	9.0% 8	15.2% 12	19.6% 20	10.5% 11	8.3% 8
	. ,	Chicken Breasts							36.4% 4	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							42.9% 9	34.4% 11
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.7% 1	1.3% 4	12.0% 31	4.3% 14	3.7% 15	5.3% 12
		Turkeys		7.1% 1	5.1% 2	17.3% 24	43.2% 54	31.0% 44	30.0% 18	21.1% 12
		Cattle		0.0% 0	63.6% 7	42.9% 12	16.7% 1	10.0% 1	37.5% 3	55.6% 5
		Swine		85.7% 6	64.9% 24	60.6% 20	77.3% 17	75.0% 12	54.5% 6	100.0° 11
	Streptomycin (MIC ≥ 64)	Humans	40.5% 30	24.0% 18	30.7% 31	24.7% 22	22.8% 18	25.5% 26	17.1% 18	12.5% 12
		Chicken Breasts							63.6% 7	12.5% 2
		Ground Turkey							61.9% 13	37.5% 12
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							100.0% 3	
		Chickens		35.3% 18	32.9% 47	23.9% 71	36.7% 95	20.4% 67	18.6% 75	17.7% 40
		Turkeys		14.3% 2	30.8% 12	30.2% 42	52.8% 66	40.1% 57	35.0% 21	28.1% 16
		Cattle		0.0% 0	72.7% 8	57.1% 16	16.7% 1	20.0% 2	37.5% 3	55.6% 5
		Swine		57.1% 4	81.1% 30	63.6% 21	86.4% 19	75.0% 12	45.5% 5	100.0% 11

 Table 28a. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and

 Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested		Humans	74	75	101	89	79	102	105	96
		Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops							11 21 0 3	16 32 0 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		51 14 1 7	143 39 11 37	297 139 28 33	259 125 6 22	329 142 10 16	403 60 8 11	226 57 9 11
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Aminopenicillins	Ampicillin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	14.9% 11	13.3% 10	16.8% 17	7.9% 7	10.1% 8	9.8% 10	12.4% 13	10.4% 10
		Chicken Breasts		10	17	7	0	10	18.2% 2	18.8% 3
		Ground Turkey							19.0% 4	9.4% 3
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		21.6% 11	25.2% 36	16.2% 48	24.7% 64	16.7% 55	14.9% 60	19.0% 43
		Turkeys		7.1% 1	12.8% 5	8.6% 12	4.0% 5	9.2% 13	13.3% 8	3.5% 2
		Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	50.0% 14	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	50.0% 4	55.6% 5
		Swine		0.0% 0	5.4% 2	0.0% 0	9.1% 2	0.0% 0	18.2% 2	9.1% 1
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	Amoxicilin- Clavulanic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 / 16 μg/ml)	Humans	2.7% 2	1.3% 1	1.0% 1	1.1% 1	3.8% 3	2.9% 3	9.5% 10	5.2% 5
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	6.3% 1
		Ground Turkey							19.0% 4	9.4% 3
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		2.0% 1	1.4% 2	1.3% 4	13.5% 35	7.0% 23	8.7% 35	9.3% 21
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	2.6% 1	0.7% 1	2.4% 3	5.6% 8	5.0% 3	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	42.9% 12	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	50.0% 4	55.6% 5
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.5% 1	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	9.1% 1
	Ceftiofur (MIC ≥ 8 μg/ml)	Humans	1.4% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	3.8% 3	2.9% 3	7.6%	5.2% 5
		Chicken Breasts							0.0%	6.3% 1
		Ground Turkey							19.0% 4	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							0.0%	
		Pork Chops		2.0%	1.4%	1.7%	13.9%	5.8%	0.0% 0 8.9%	9.3%
		Chickens		2.0% 1 0.0%	2 2.6%	0.7%	36 3.2%	19 5.6%	36 5.0%	9.3% 21 0.0%
		Turkeys		0.0%	2.0% 1 27.3%	0.7% 1 42.9%	3.2 % 4 0.0%	8 0.0%	37.5%	0.0% 0 55.6%
		Cattle		0.0%	27.3% 3 0.0%	42.9% 12 0.0%	0.0% 0 4.5%	0.0%	37.5% 3 9.1%	5 5 9.1%
	Ceftriaxone	Swine	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1 0.0%	0.0%	1 0.0%	9.1% 1 0.0%
	(MIC ≥ 64 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.070	0.070
		Ground Beef							0.0%	
		Pork Chops		0.0%	≤0.7% ¹	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0	0.0%
		Chickens		0.0%	≤1 0.0%	0	1 0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%
		Turkeys		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Cattle		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Swine		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 28b. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Salmonella* Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

¹ In 1998, there was 1 isolate from chickens that grew in all ceftriaxone dilutions on the Sensitire plate (MIC >16 μg/mL). Further testing was not conducted

Chicl Grou Pork Chicl Turk Catt			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
		Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	74	75	101	89	79	102	105 11 21 0 3	96 16 32 0 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		51 14 1 7	143 39 11 37	297 139 28 33	259 125 6 22	329 142 10 16	403 60 8 11	226 57 9 11
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Cephalosporins	Cephalothin (MIC ≥ 32 μg/ml)	Humans	6.8% 5	2.7% 2	5.9% 6	3.4% 3	5.1% 4	3.9% 4	10.5% 11	7.3% 7
		Chicken Breasts	3	2	0	5			18.2% 2	, 12.5% 2
		Ground Turkey							19.0% 4	12.5% 4
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		2.0% 1	9.8% 14	5.7% 17	15.4% 40	8.5% 28	9.9% 40	12.8% 29
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	5.1% 2	2.2% 3	2.4% 3	7.0% 10	5.0% 3	1.8% 1
		Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	42.9% 12	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	50.0% 4	55.6% 5
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.5% 1	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	9.1% 1
Cephamycins	Cefoxitin (MIC ≥ 32 µg/mI)	Humans					2.5% 2	2.9% 3	8.6% 9	5.2% 5
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	6.3% 1
		Ground Turkey							19.0% 4	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens					13.5% 35	5.2% 17	7.4% 30	7.1% 16
		Turkeys					2.4% 3	4.9% 7	1.7% 1	0.0% 0
		Cattle					0.0% 0	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	44.4% 4
		Swine					4.5% 1	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	9.1% 1
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 512 μg/ml)	Humans	17.6% 13	21.3% 16	21.8% 22	19.1% 17	11.4% 9	8.8% 9	6.7% 7	7.3% 7
		Chicken Breasts							45.5% 5	12.5% 2
		Ground Turkey							33.3% 7	15.6% 5
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							100.0% 3	
		Chickens		45.1% 23	33.6% 48	26.6% 79	33.2% 86	16.4% 54	9.7% 39	11.1% 25
		Turkeys		50.0% 7	35.9% 14	33.8% 47	15.2% 19	27.5% 39	30.0% 18	19.3% 11
		Cattle		0.0% 0	36.4% 4	57.1% 16	0.0% 0	10.0% 1	12.5% 1	44.4% 4
		Swine		0.0% 0	21.6% 8	21.2% 7	13.6% 3	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole (MIC ≥ 4 / 76 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.0% 2	1.1% 1	1.3% 1	2.0% 2	1.0% 1	2.1% 2
		Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.7% 1	0.7% 2	0.4% 1	0.3% 1	0.7% 3	0.9% 2
		Turkeys		7.1% 1	5.1% 2	4.3% 6	0.8% 1	3.5% 5	3.3% 2	3.5% 2
		Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	42.9% 12	0.0% 0	10.0% 1	0.0% 0	55.6% 5
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	0.0% 0

 Table 28c. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and

 Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003
Year			1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Te	ested	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chops	74	75	101	89	79	102	105 11 21 0 3	96 16 32 0 0
		Chickens Turkeys Cattle Swine		51 14 1 7	143 39 11 37	297 139 28 33	259 125 6 22	329 142 10 16	403 60 8 11	226 57 9 11
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial (Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source								
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	1.4% 1	0.0% 0	1.0% 1	2.2% 2	1.3% 1	1.0% 1	1.0% 1	0.0% 0
	(MIC = 52 µg/m)	Chicken Breasts	1	0	1	2	1	1	0.0%	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.7% 1	1.3% 4	11.6% 30	3.3% 11	1.7% 7	3.1% 7
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	2.6% 1	0.7% 1	1.6% 2	2.8% 4	1.7% 1	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	42.9% 12	0.0% 0	10.0% 1	25.0% 2	44.4% 4
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	3.0% 1	4.5% 1	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	0.0% 0
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	(Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
		Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							Ű	Ŭ
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0%
	Nalidixic Acid (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.0% 1	1.1% 1	1.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.0% 1
	(+0)	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							4.8% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
		Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.7% 3	0.0% 0
		Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.7% 1	0.8% 1	0.0% 0	1.7% 1	0.0% 0
		Cattle		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	20.3% 15	12.0% 9	19.8% 20	19.1% 17	21.5% 17	24.5% 25	19.0% 20	16.7% 16
		Chicken Breasts							45.5% 5	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey							57.1% 12	43.8% 14
		Ground Beef								
		Pork Chops							66.7% 2	
		Chickens		2.0% 1	7.7% 11	7.7% 23	20.1% 52	14.9% 49	11.7% 47	16.4% 37
		Turkeys		14.3% 2	23.1% 9	38.1% 53	64.0% 80	54.2% 77	70.0% 42	84.2% 48
		Cattle		0.0%	63.6% 7	60.7% 17	33.3% 2	40.0%	62.5% 5	55.6% 5
		Swine		85.7% 6	73.0% 27	72.7% 24	81.8% 18	93.8% 15	72.7% 8	100.0% 11

 Table 28d. Antimicrobial Resistance among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Ceftiofur Resistance





Table 29. Number of Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans and Food
Animals Resistant to Ceftiofur, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	1	0	0	0	3	3	8	5
Chickens		1	2	5	36	19	36	21
Turkeys		0	1	1	4	8	3	0
Cattle		0	3	12	0	0	3	5
Swine		0	0	0	1	0	1	1

Nalidixic Acid Resistance

Figure 15. Percent of *Salmonella* Heidelberg Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003



Table 30. Number of *Salmonella* Heidelberg Isolates from Humans and Food Animals Resistant to Nalidixic Acid, by Year, 1996-2003

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
11	1000		1000	1000	2000	2001	2002	2000
Humans	0	U	1	1	1	U	U	1
Chickens		0	0	1	0	0	3	0
Turkeys		0	0	1	1	0	1	0
Cattle		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swine		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

 Table 31a. Resistance Patterns among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food

 Animals, by Year, 1996-2003

Year		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	74	75	101	89	79	102	105	96
	Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef							11 21 0	16 32 0
	Pork Chops							3	0
	Chickens		51	143	297	259	329	403	226
	Turkeys Cattle		14 1	39 11	139 28	125 6	142 10	60 8	57 9
	Swine		7	37	33	22	16	11	11
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source								
1. No Resistance Detected	Humans	54.1% 40	66.7% 50	56.4% 57	67.4% 60	63.3% 50	64.7% 66	67.6% 71	68.8% 66
	Chicken Breasts							27.3% 3	62.5% 10
	Ground Turkey							33.3% 7	50.0% 16
	Ground Beef								
	Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
	Chickens		35.3% 18	50.3% 72	61.6% 183	48.3% 125	63.5% 209	66.5% 268	62.8% 142
	Turkeys		50.0%	46.2%	43.2%	28.8%	31.0%	15.0%	8.8%
	Cattle		7 100.0%	18 27.3%	60 25.0%	36 66.7%	44 60.0%	9 12.5%	5 44.4%
	Callie		1 14.3%	3 18.9%	7 27.3%	4 13.6%	6 6.3%	1 27.3%	4 0.0%
	Swine		14.5 %	7	9	3	0.3 %	3	0.078
2. At Least ACSSuT ¹ Resistant	Humans	1.4% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.1% 1	1.3% 1	1.0% 1	1.0% 1	0.0% 0
	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef								
	Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
	Chickens		0.0% 0	0.7% 1	1.3% 4	11.2% 29	3.0% 10	1.5% 6	2.2% 5
	Turkeys		0.0% 0	2.6% 1	0.7% 1	1.6% 2	2.8% 4	1.7% 1	0.0% 0
	Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	42.9% 12	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	12.5% 1	33.3% 3
	Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.5% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
3. At Least ACT/S ² Resistant	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.0% 1	0.0% 0
	Chicken Breasts							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Turkey							0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef								
	Pork Chops							0.0% 0	
	Chickens		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0
	Turkeys		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.4% 2	1.7% 1	0.0% 0
	Cattle		0.0% 0	27.3% 3	42.9% 12	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	44.4% 4
	Swine		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	9.1% 1	0.0% 0

¹ ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline

 $^{2}\,\text{ACT/S}$ = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Table 31b. Resistance Patterns among Salmonella Heidelberg Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Food	
Animals, by Year, 1996-2003	

Year		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	74	75	101	89	79	102	105	96
	Chicken Breasts							11	16
	Ground Turkey							21	32
	Ground Beef							0	0
	Pork Chops							3	0
	Chickens		51	143	297	259	329	403	226
	Turkeys		14	39	139	125	142	60	57
	Cattle		1	11	28	6	10	8	9
	Swine		7	37	33	22	16	11	11
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source								
4. At Least ACSSuTAuCf ¹	Humans	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	1.3% 1	1.0% 1	1.0% 1	0.0% 0
Resistant				Ű		•	•	0.0%	0.0%
	Chicken Breasts							0	0
	Ground Turkey							0.0%	0.0%
	Glound Turkey							0	0
	Ground Beef								
	Pork Chops							0.0%	
								0	
	Chickens		0.0%	0.7%	0.7%	11.2%	2.7%	1.5%	2.2%
			0	1 2.6%	2 0.7%	29 0.8%	9 2.8%	6 1.7%	5 0.0%
	Turkeys		0.0% 0	2.0%	0.7%	0.8%	2.8%	1.7%	0.0%
			0.0%	27.3%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	33.3%
	Cattle		0	3	12	0	0	1	3
	Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Swine		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Humans	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5. At Least Ceftiofur and		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nalidixic Acid Resistant	Chicken Breasts							0.0%	0.0%
								0.0%	0 0.0%
	Ground Turkey							0.0 %	0.0 %
	Ground Beef								
	Pork Chops							0.0%	
			0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0	0.00/
	Chickens		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.7% 3	0.0% 0
			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
	Turkeys		0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	1.170	0.070
	0.111		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Cattle		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Swine		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Owine		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹ ACSSuTAuCf = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftiofur

C. Campylobacter Data

1. Campylobacter Isolates Tested

 Table 32. Total Number of Campylobacter jejuni Isolates Tested, by Source and Year,

 1997-2003

				Year			
Source	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	209	297	293	306	365	329	303
Chicken Breasts						198	325
Ground Turkey						2	4
Ground Beef						0	1
Pork Chops						2	0
Chickens					64 ¹	526	374

¹ These isolates were recovered from July through December, 2001, when the new ARS isolation method was used

Table 33. Total Number of *Campylobacter coli* Isolates Tested, by Source and Year, 1997-2003

				Year			
Source	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Humans	6	8	20	12	17	25	22
Chicken Breasts						90	142
Ground Turkey						2	1
Ground Beef						0	0
Pork Chops						3	4
Chickens					52 ¹	288	247

¹These isolates were recovered from July through December, 2001, when the new ARS isolation method was used

2. Isolation of Campylobacter from Retail Meats

	Chicken Breast	Ground Turkey	Ground Beef	Pork Chops
Number of Meat Samples Tested	897	857	880	899
Number Positive for Campylobacter	469	5	1	4
Percent Positive for Campylobacter	52.3%	0.6%	0.1%	0.4%

Table 34. Number and Percent of Retail Meat Samples Positive for Campylobacter, 2003



Figure 16. Percent of Retail Meat Samples Positive for Campylobacter, 2003



Ground Beef

Pork Chops

Ground Turkey

0%

Chicken Breasts

3. Campylobacter Species

	Humans		Retail	Meats		Food Animals
	Humans (n=328)	Chicken Breast (n=469)	Ground Turkey (n=5)	Ground Beef (n=1)	Pork Chops (n=4)	Chickens (n=621)
Campylobacter Species						
C. jejuni	92.4%	69.3%	80.0%	100.0%	0.0%	60.2%
	303	325	4	1	0	374
C. coli	6.7%	30.3%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	39.8%
	22	142	1	0	4	247
Other	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	3	2	0	0	0	0

Table 35. Campylobacter Species Isolated from Humans, Retail Meats, and Chickens, 2003

Figure 17. *Campylobacter* Species Isolated from Humans, Chicken Breasts, and Chickens, 2003



4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Campylobacter

	Isolate Source												D	istribut	ion (%)	of MIC:	s (µg/m	nl) ⁵					
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) ¹	% I ²	%R ³	[95% CI]⁴	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512
Aminoglycosides																							
Gentamicin	Humans (303)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]						0.3	1.3	16.8	65.7	13.5	2.3								
	Chicken Breasts (325)	0.0	0.3	[0.0 - 1.7]							0.9	15.4	67.7	15.7					0.3				
	Ground Turkey (4)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 60.2]									50.0	50.0									
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]									100.0										
	Chickens (374)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.0]					1.3	2.4	19.3	48.4	23.8	4.0	0.8								
Lincosamides																							
Clindamycin	Humans (303)	4.0	0.3	[0.0 - 1.8]						5.0	23.4	49.2	18.2	3.6	0.3	0.3							
2	Chickens (374)	2.4	1.3	[0.4 - 3.1]				1.1	2.1	12.3	34.5	35.0	11.2	1.6	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.3		0.3			
Macrolides																							
Azithromycin	Humans (303)	1.0	0.3	[0.0 - 1.8]					5.9	34.0	45.9	12.5	1.0										0.3
	Chickens (374)	1.3	1.3	[0.4 - 3.1]				9.1	42.8	34.5	9.9	1.1	1.3				0.3						1.1
Erythromycin	Humans (303)	32.3	0.3	[0.0 - 1.8]							2.3	16.2	49.2	25.1	5.9	1.3	1						0.3
	Chicken Breasts (325)	80.6	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]								0.9	18.5	55.7	21.2	3.7							
	Ground Turkey (4)	75.0	0.0	[0.0 - 60.2]									25.0	50.0	25.0								
	Ground Beef (1)	100.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]										100.0									
	Chickens (374)	8.3	1.6	[0.6 - 3.5]						3.5	12.6	41.2	32.9	7.5	0.8		1				0.3		1.3
Phenicols																							
Chloramphenicol	Humans (303)	0.7	0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]								0.3	11.2	50.8	30.0	5.9	1.0	0.7					
	Chickens (374)	0.3	0.0	[0.0 - 1.0]						0.5		1.3	10.7	60.4	23.8	2.9		0.3					
Quinolones																							
Ciprofloxacin	Humans (303)	0.3	17.2	[13.1 - 21.9]				2.0	51.5	23.8	5.0		0.3		0.3			0.3		16.8			
	Chicken Breasts (325)	0.3	14.5	[10.8 - 18.8]						2.2	58.2	21.5	3.4		0.3	0.6	2.5	6.2	4.9	0.3			
	Ground Turkey (4)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 60.2]							50.0	50.0											
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]			0.5	1.0	04.0	40.0	40.7	100.0								40.4			
	Chickens (374)	0.0	14.7	[11.3 - 18.7]			0.5	1.6	24.9	46.3	10.7	1.3				0.3	0.5	0.5		13.4			
Nalidixic acid	Humans (303)	N/A	17.8	[13.7 - 22.6]							0.3		2.0	26.1	40.9	10.2	2.6	0.7					17.8
	Chickens (374)	N/A	15.8	[12.2 - 19.9]										0.5	4.8	54.0	21.4	3.5	0.3	0.5			15.0
Tetracyclines																							
Doxycycline	Chicken Breasts (325)	17.8	22.8	[18.3 - 27.7]						23.4	20.9	4.0	1.5	0.6	2.8	6.2	17.8	16.6	6.2				
, , ,	Ground Turkey (4)	0.0	75.0	[19.4 - 99.4]						100.0	25.0							50.0	25.0				
	Ground Beef (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]						100.0							 	11 11					
Tetracycline	Humans (303)	2.0	38.3	[32.8 - 44.0]					16.2	26.7	10.6	4.3	1.7		0.7		2.0	2.3	4.3	5.0	1.3		25.4
	Chickens (374)	1.6	47.6	[42.4 - 52.8]						1.3	12.0	19.3	12.0	3.7	1.3	1.1	1.6	2.7	5.1	4.3	2.9		32.6

Table 36. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Campylobacter jejuni Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Chickens, 2003

¹ There were no *C. jejuni* isolates from pork chops

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the range of dilutions tested for each antimicrobial. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest tested concentrations. Etest was used to test human and food animal isolates while an agar dilution method was used to test the retail meat isolates. In 2003, there were no CLSI breakpoints available for susceptibility testing of *Campylobacter*

	Isolate Source												D	istribut	oution (%) of MICs (µg/n			1) ⁵					
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates) 1	%l ²	%R ³	[95% CI] ⁴	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512
Aminoglycosides																	_						
Gentamicin	Humans (22)	0.0	4.5	[0.1 - 22.8]									45.5	50.0									4.5
	Chicken Breasts (142)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.6]							1.4	36.6	52.8	9.2									
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 97.5]								100.0											
	Pork Chops (4)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 60.2]				_					25.0	50.0	25.0								
	Chickens (247)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.5]						0.4	9.7	47.4	39.3	3.2									
Lincosamides																							
Clindamycin	Humans (22)	18.2	13.6	[2.9 - 34.9]				_			4.5	18.2	45.5	13.6	4.5	4.5	4.5						4.5
Olindaniyolin	Chickens (247)	9.7	10.9	[7.3 - 15.5]					2.8	6.9	33.6	27.5	8.5	2.0	7.7	6.5	2.0	1.2	0.8				0.4
Maanalistaa																							
Macrolides	Humans (22)	4.5	9.1	[1.1 - 29.2]				_		4.5	40.9	40.9	1	4.5	1								9.1
Azithromycin	Chickens (247)	0.0	20.2	[15.4 - 25.8]				2.0	21.9	41.3	12.6	2.0											20.2
								2.0	21.5	41.0	12.0			1	1		n						
Erythromycin	Humans (22)	54.5	9.1	[1.1 - 29.2]							_	13.6	22.7	13.6	22.7	18.2							9.1
	Chicken Breasts (142)	73.9	9.2	[5.0 - 15.1]								5.6	11.3	16.9	27.5	29.6	1.4	0.7			7.0		
	Ground Turkey (1) Pork Chops (4)	100.0 25.0	0.0 75.0	[0.0 - 97.5] [19.4 - 99.4]										100.0	25.0						75.0		
	Chickens (247)	21.5	20.2	[15.4 - 25.8]							6.9	20.6	30.8	19.0	2.4								20.2
Phenicols		21.0	20.2	[10.4 - 20.0]				-			0.5	20.0	50.0	10.0	2.7		U						20.2
Chloramphenicol	Humans (22)	4.5	0.0	[0.0 - 15.4]										13.6	54.5	22.7	4.5	4.5					
emeranpriemeer	Chickens (247)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.5]									1.6	29.1	49.0	18.6	1.6						
Quinolones																							
	Humans (22)	0.0	22.7	[7.8 - 45.4]					36.4	27.3	9.1	4.5				1				22.7			
Ciprofloxacin	Chicken Breasts (142)	0.0	13.4	[8.3 - 20.1]						1.4	28.2	37.3	19.7				0.7	0.7	11.3	0.7			
	Ground Turkey (1)	0.0	100.0	[2.5 - 100.0]						1.4	20.2	57.5	13.7				0.7	100.0	11.0	0.7			
	Pork Chops (4)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 60.2]							50.0	50.0											
	Chickens (247)	0.0	20.2	[15.4 - 25.8]				0.4	12.1	40.1	25.1	2.0						0.4		19.8			
Nalidixic acid	Humans (22)	N/A	22.7	[7.8 - 45.4]										4.5	36.4	18.2	18.2		1				22.7
	Chickens (247)	N/A	24.7	[19.4 - 30.6]								0.4			0.4	8.5	42.1	23.9	3.2	0.4	0.4		20.6
Tetracyclines	Chicken Breasts (142)	5.6	45.1	[36.7 - 53.6]						3.5	30.3	7.7	2.1	2.8	2.1	0.7	5.6	14.8	23.9	6.3			
Doxycycline	Ground Turkey (1)	5.6 100.0	45.1 0.0	[36.7 - 53.6] [0.0 - 97.5]						3.5	30.3	1.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	0.7	5.6 100.0		23.9	0.5			
	Pork Chops (4)	25.0	50.0	[6.8 - 93.2]										25.0			25.0						
Tatas and line	Humans (22)	0.0	45.5	[24.4 - 67.8]					4.5	9.1	31.8	4.5	4.5				1	4.5					40.9
Tetracycline	. ,			• •					-	-				16.6	10	0 0	16			04	0 8		49.4
	Chickens (247)	1.6	51.0	[44.6 - 57.4]							0.4	6.1	18.6	16.6	4.9	0.8	1.6	0.4		0.4	0.8		49.4

Table 37. Distribution of MICs and Occurrence of Resistance among Campylobacter coli Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Chickens, 2003

¹ There were no *C. coli* isolates from ground beef

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

³ Percent of isolates that were resistant

⁴ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁵ The unshaded areas indicate the range of dilutions tested for each antimicrobial. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded area indicate the breakpoints represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. Etest was used to test human and food animal isolates while an agar dilution method was used to test the retail meat isolates. In 2003, there were no CLSI breakpoints available for susceptibility testing of *Campylobacter*

Table 38. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Campylobacter jejuni* Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and Chickens, by Year, 1997-2003

Chickens, by Year, 1997-2003 Year		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Number of Isolates Tested	Humans	209	297	293	306	365	329	303
	Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey						198 2	325 4
	Ground Beef						0	4
	Pork Chops						2	0
Antimicrobial	Chickens					64 ¹	526	374
Antimicrobial Class Breakpoint)	Isolate Source							
Aminoglycosides (MIC ≥ 16 μg/ml)	Humans		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
(IVIIC 2 10 µg/III)	Chicken Breasts		0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.3%
	Ground Turkey						0.0% 0	0.0%
	Ground Beef						Ū	0.0%
	Pork Chops						0.0%	
	Chickens					0.0% 0	0.0%	0.0%
Lincosamides Clindamycin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	1.4% 3	1.0% 3	1.0% 3	1.0% 3	2.5% 9	1.8% 6	0.3%
(MIC = 4 µg/III)	Chickens					0.0%	1.0% 5	1.3% 5
Macrolides Azithromycin (MIC ≥ 2 µg/mI)	Humans		0.3% 1	2.7% 8	1.6% 5	1.9% 7	1.8% 6	0.3%
	Chickens					3.1% 2	1.1% 6	1.3% 5
Erythromycin (MIC ≥ 8 μg/ml)	Humans	2.9% 6	1.0% 3	2.4% 7	1.6% 5	1.9% 7	1.8% 6	0.3%
(Chicken Breasts						0.0%	0.0%
	Ground Turkey						0.0% 0	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0
	Pork Chops						0.0% 0	
	Chickens					3.1% 2	0.6% 3	1.6% 6
Phenicols Chloramphenicol (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	3.8% 8	1.0% 3	0.7% 2	0.0% 0	0.3% 1	0.3% 1	0.0% 0
	Chickens					0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Quinolones Ciprofloxacin (MIC ≥ 4 μg/mI)	Humans	12.4% 26	13.8% 41	17.7% 52	14.7% 45	18.4% 67	20.7% 68	17.2% 52
	Chicken Breasts						15.2% 30	14.5% 47
	Ground Turkey						50.0% 1	0.0% 0
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0
	Pork Chops						0.0% 0	
	Chickens					20.3% 13	18.6% 98	14.7% 55
Nalidixic acid (MIC ≥ 32 μg/ml)	Humans	19.1% 40	16.5% 49	20.1% 59	16.0% 49	19.5% 71	21.3% 70	17.8% 54
	Chickens					20.3% 13	23.2% 122	15.8% 59
Tetracyclines Doxycycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts						20.2% 40	22.8% 74
	Ground Turkey						50.0% 1	75.0% 3
	Ground Beef							0.0% 0
	Pork Chops						0.0% 0	
						10.00/		0.0.00/
Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 μg/ml)	Humans	47.8% 100	46.1% 137	45.4% 133	39.2% 120	40.3% 147	41.3% 136	38.3% 116

¹ These isolates were recovered from July through December, 2001, when the new ARS isolation method was used

Table 39. Antimicrobial Resistance among Campylobacter coli Isolates from Humans, Retail Meats, and
Chickens, by Year, 1997-2003

Year Number of Isolates	Tested	Humans	1997 6	1998 8	1999 20	2000 12	2001 17	2002 25	2003 22
Number of Isolates	- esteu	Humans Chicken Breasts Ground Turkey Ground Beef	0	0	20	12	17	25 90 2 0	22 142 1 0
		Pork Chops					52 ¹	3	4
	Antimicrobial	Chickens					52	288	247
Antimicrobial Class	(Resistance Breakpoint)	Isolate Source							
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans		0.0% 0	0.0% 0	8.3% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	4.5% 1
		Chicken Breasts						0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Turkey						0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							
		Pork Chops						0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens					0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Lincosamides	Clindamycin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	16.7% 1	12.5% 1	10.0% 2	8.3% 1	11.8% 2	4.0% 1	13.6% 3
		Chickens					3.8% 2	10.8% 31	10.9% 27
Macrolides	Azithromycin (MIC ≥ 2 μg/ml)	Humans		37.5% 3	10.0% 2	8.3% 1	5.9% 1	4.0% 1	9.1% 2
		Chickens					11.5% 6	19.4% 56	20.2% 50
	Erythromycin (MIC ≥ 8 µg/ml)	Humans	0.0% 0	37.5% 3	10.0% 2	8.3% 1	5.9% 1	4.0% 1	9.1% 2
		Chicken Breasts						18.9% 17	9.2% 13
		Ground Turkey						0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							
		Pork Chops						33.3% 1	75.0% 3
		Chickens					11.5% 6	18.8% 54	20.2% 50
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	50.0% 3	37.5% 3	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens					0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin (MIC ≥ 4 µg/ml)	Humans	33.3% 2	0.0% 0	30.0% 6	25.0% 3	47.1% 8	12.0% 3	22.7% 5
		Chicken Breasts						10.0% 9	13.4% 19
		Ground Turkey						50.0% 1	100.0% 1
		Ground Beef							
		Pork Chops						0.0% 0	0.0% 0
		Chickens					19.2% 10	16.0% 46	20.2% 50
	Nalidixic acid (MIC ≥ 32 µg/ml)	Humans	66.7% 4	50.0% 4	30.0% 6	25.0% 3	47.1% 8	12.0% 3	22.7% 5
	,	Chickens					23.1% 12	19.4% 56	24.7% 61
Tetracyclines	Doxycycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Chicken Breasts						42.2% 38	45.1% 64
	,	Ground Turkey						50.0% 1	0.0% 0
		Ground Beef							
		Pork Chops						33.3% 1	50.0% 2
	Tetracycline (MIC ≥ 16 µg/ml)	Humans	66.7% 4	50.0% 4	30.0% 6	25.0% 3	58.8% 10	40.0% 10	45.5% 10
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Chickens					57.7% 30	49.0% 141	51.0% 126

¹ These isolates were recovered from July through December, 2001, when the new ARS isolation method was used



Figure 18. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Campylobacter jejuni* Isolates from Humans, Chicken Breasts, and Chickens, 2003

¹ Isolates from humans and chickens were tested for tetracycline resistance while isolates from chicken breasts were tested for doxycycline resistance



Figure 19. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Campylobacter coli* Isolates from Humans, Chicken Breasts, and Chickens, 2003

¹ Isolates from humans and chickens were tested for tetracycline resistance while isolates from chicken breasts were tested for doxycycline resistance

IV. Links to Additional Information

Additional information about NARMS, including comprehensive annual reports for each NARMS component, can be found on the CDC, FDA, and USDA websites.

CDC: http://www.cdc.gov/narms

FDA: http://www.fda.gov/cvm/narms_pg.html

USDA: http://ars.usda.gov/Main/docs.htm?docid=6750

General information about CDC's Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) can be found at: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/</u>

General information about USDA's National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) can be found at: <u>http://nahms.aphis.usda.gov/index.htm</u>