

### 3.0 References

- Ashby J, Basketter DA, Paton D, Kimber I. 1995. Structure activity relationships in skin sensitization using the murine local lymph node assay. *Toxicology* 103:177-194.
- Basketter DA, Andersen KE, Carola C, Van Loveren H, Boman A, Kimber I, et al. 2005a. Evaluation of the skin sensitizing potency of chemicals by using the existing methods and considerations of relevance for elicitation. *Contact Dermatitis* 52:39-43.
- Basketter DA, Cadby P. 2004. Reproducible prediction of contact allergenic potency using the local lymph node assay. *Contact Dermatitis* 50:15-17.
- Basketter DA, Clapp C, Jeffries D, Safford B, Ryan C, Gerberick F, et al. 2005b. Predictive identification of human skin sensitization thresholds. *Contact Dermatitis* 53:260-267.
- Basketter DA, Gerberick F, Kimber I. 2007. The local lymph node assay and the assessment of relative potency: status of validation. *Contact Dermatitis* 57:70-75.
- Basketter DA, Gerberick GF, Kimber I, Loveless SE. 1996. The local lymph node assay – a viable alternative to currently accepted skin sensitization tests. *Food Chem Toxicol* 34:985-997.
- Basketter DA, Kimber I. 2001. Predictive testing in contact allergy: facts and future. *Allergy* 56:937-943.
- Basketter DA, Lea LJ, Cooper KJ, Dickens A, Stocks J, Pate I, et al. 1999a. Thresholds for classification as a skin sensitizer in the local lymph node assay: a statistical evaluation. *Food Chem Toxicol* 37:1167-1174.
- Basketter DA, Lea LJ, Cooper KJ, Ryan CA, Gerberick GF, Dearman RJ, et al. 1999b. Identification of metal allergens in the local lymph node assay. *Am J Contact Dermat* 10:207-212.
- Basketter DA, Lea LJ, Dickens A, Briggs D, Pate I, Dearman RJ, et al. 1999c. A comparison of statistical approaches to the derivation of EC3 values from local lymph node assay dose responses. *J Appl Toxicol* 19:261-266.
- Basketter DA, Scholes EW, Kimber I, Botham PA, Hilton J, Miller K, et al. 1991. Interlaboratory evaluation of the local lymph node assay with 25 chemicals and comparison with guinea pig test data. *Toxicology Methods* 1:30-43.
- Basketter DA, Scholes EW. 1992. Comparison of the local lymph node assay with the guinea-pig maximization test for the detection of a range of contact allergens. *Food Chem Toxicol* 30:65-69.
- Basketter DA, Smith Pease CK, Patlewicz GY. 2003. Contact allergy: the local lymph node assay for the prediction of hazard and risk. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 28:218-221.
- Basketter DA, Wright ZM, Warbrick EV, Dearman RJ, Kimber I, Ryan CA, et al. 2001. Human potency predictions for aldehydes using the local lymph node assay. *Contact Dermatitis* 45:89-94.
- Betts CJ, Dearman RJ, Heylings JR, Kimber I, Basketter DA. 2006. Skin sensitization potency of methyl methacrylate in the local lymph node assay: comparisons with guinea-pig data and human experience. *Contact Dermatitis* 55:140-147.

- Buehler EV. 1965. Delayed contact hypersensitivity in the guinea pig. *Arch Dermatol* 91:171-177.
- Cardin CW, Weaver JE, Bailey PT. 1986. Dose-response assessments of Kathon biocide. (II). Threshold prophetic patch testing. *Contact Dermatitis* 15:10-16.
- Cockshott A, Evans P, Ryan CA, Gerberick GF, Betts CJ, Dearman RJ, et al. 2006. The local lymph node assay in practice: a current regulatory perspective. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 25:387-394.
- Dean JH, Twerdok LE, Tice RR, Sailstad DM, Hattan DG, Stokes WS. 2001. ICCVAM evaluation of the murine local lymph node assay. Conclusions and recommendations of an independent scientific peer review panel. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 34:258-273.
- Dearman RJ, Wright ZM, Basketter DA, Ryan CA, Gerberick GF, Kimber I. 2001a. The suitability of hexyl cinnamic aldehyde as a calibrant for the murine local lymph node assay. *Contact Dermatitis* 44:357-361.
- EPA. 2003. Health Effects Test Guidelines: OPPTS 870.2600 – Skin Sensitization. Available: [www.epa.gov/opptsfrs/publications/OPPTS\\_Harmonized/870\\_Health\\_Effects\\_Test\\_Guidelines/Revised/870r-2600.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/opptsfrs/publications/OPPTS_Harmonized/870_Health_Effects_Test_Guidelines/Revised/870r-2600.pdf).
- EPA. 2006a. Good laboratory practice standards. 40CFR160.
- EPA. 2006b. Good laboratory practice standards. 40CFR792.
- Estrada E, Patlewicz G, Chamberlain M, Basketter D, Larbey S. 2003. Computer-aided knowledge generation for understanding skin sensitization mechanisms: the TOPS-MODE approach. *Chem Res Toxicol* 16:1226-1235.
- FDA. 2006. Good laboratory practice for nonclinical laboratory studies. 21CFR58.
- Friedmann PS, Moss C, Shuster S, Simpson JM. 1983. Quantitation of sensitization and responsiveness to dinitrochlorobenzene in normal subjects. *Br J Dermatol* 109 Suppl 25:86-88.
- Gaspari A. 2007. Mechanism of action and other potential roles of an immune response modifier. *Cutis* 79:36-45.
- Gerberick GF, Ryan CA, Kern PS, Dearman RJ, Kimber I, Patlewicz GY, et al. 2004. A chemical dataset for evaluation of alternative approaches to skin-sensitization testing. *Contact Dermatitis* 50:274-288.
- Gerberick GF, Ryan CA, Kern PS, Schlatter H, Dearman RJ, Kimber I, et al. 2005. Compilation of historical local lymph node data for evaluation of skin sensitization alternative methods. *Dermatitis* 16:157-202.
- Gerberick GF, Vassallo JD, Foertsch LM, Price BB, Chaney JG, Lepoittevin JP. 2007. Quantification of chemical peptide reactivity for screening contact allergens: a classification tree model approach. *Toxicol Sci* 97:417-27.
- Griem P, Goebel C, Scheffler H. 2003. Proposal for a risk assessment methodology for skin sensitization based on sensitization potency data. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 38:269-290.

- Hutchings CV, Shum KW, Gawkrödger DJ. 2001. Occupational contact dermatitis has an appreciable impact on quality of life. *Contact Dermatitis* 45:17-20.
- ICCVAM. 1997. Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Toxicological Test Methods. A Report of the *ad hoc* Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods. NIH Publication No. 97-3981. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: [http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/about\\_docs/validate.pdf](http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/about_docs/validate.pdf).
- ICCVAM. 1999. The Murine Local Lymph Node Assay: A Test Method for Assessing the Allergic Contact Dermatitis Potential of Chemicals/Compounds. Results of an Independent Peer Review Evaluation Coordinated by the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) and the National Toxicology Program Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods (NICEATM). NIH Publication No. 99-4494. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: [http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/immunotox\\_docs/llna/llnarep.pdf](http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/immunotox_docs/llna/llnarep.pdf).
- ICCVAM. 2003. ICCVAM Guidelines for the Nomination and Submission of New, Revised, and Alternative Test Methods. NIH Publication No. 03-4508. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: [http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/SuppDocs/SubGuidelines/SD\\_subg034508.pdf](http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/SuppDocs/SubGuidelines/SD_subg034508.pdf).
- Ikarashi Y, Ohno K, Tsuchiya T, Nakamura A. 1992. Differences of draining lymph node cell proliferation among mice, rats and guinea pigs following exposure to metal allergens. *Toxicology* 76:283-292.
- Jordan WP, King SE. 1977. Delayed hypersensitivity in females. The development of allergic contact dermatitis in females during the comparison of two predictive patch tests. *Contact Dermatitis* 3:19-26.
- Jowsey IR, Basketter DA, Westmoreland C, Kimber I. 2006. A future approach to measuring relative skin sensitising potency: a proposal. *J Appl Toxicol* 26:341-350.
- Kimber I, Basketter DA. 1992. The murine local lymph node assay: a commentary on collaborative studies and new directions. *Food Chem Toxicol* 30:165-169.
- Kimber I, Hilton J, Botham PA, Basketter DA, Scholes EW, Miller K, et al. 1991. The murine local lymph node assay: results of an inter-laboratory trial. *Toxicol Lett* 55:203-213.
- Kimber I, Hilton J, Dearman RJ, Gerberick GF, Ryan CA, Basketter DA, et al. 1995. An international evaluation of the murine local lymph node assay and comparison of modified procedures. *Toxicology* 103:63-73.
- Kimber I, Weisenberger C. 1989. A murine local lymph node assay for the identification of contact allergens. Assay development and results of an initial validation study. *Arch Toxicol* 63:274-282.
- Kligman AM. 1966a. The identification of contact allergens by human assay. II. Factors influencing the induction and measurement of allergic contact dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol* 47:375-392.

Kligman AM. 1966b. The identification of contact allergens by human assay. 3. The maximization test: a procedure for screening and rating contact sensitizers. *J Invest Dermatol* 47:393-409.

Kwon JA, Lee MS, Kim MI, Park YM, Kim HO, Kim CW. 2003. Allergic contact dermatitis from dodecyl diaminoethylglycine and isopropyl alcohol in a commercial disinfectant swab. *Contact Dermatitis* 48:339-340.

Loveless SE, Ladics GS, Gerberick GF, Ryan CA, Basketter DA, Scholes EW, et al. 1996. Further evaluation of the local lymph node assay in the final phase of an international collaborative trial. *Toxicology* 108:141-152.

Magnusson B, Kligman AM. 1970. *Allergic Contact Dermatitis in the Guinea Pig*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.

Marzulli FN, Maibach HI. 1974. The use of graded concentrations in studying skin sensitizers: experimental contact sensitization in man. *Food Cosmet Toxicol* 12:219-227.

Marzulli FN, Maibach HI. 1980. Contact allergy: predictive testing of fragrance ingredients in humans by Draize and Maximization methods. *J Environ Pathol Toxicol* 3:235-245.

OECD. 1992. OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals – Test Guideline 406: Skin Sensitization. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: [http://www.oecd.org/document/40/0,2340,en\\_2649\\_34377\\_37051368\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/40/0,2340,en_2649_34377_37051368_1_1_1_1,00.html).

OECD. 1996. Final Report of the OECD Workshop on Harmonisation of Validation and Acceptance Criteria for Alternative Toxicological Methods (Solna Report). Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: <http://www.oecd.org/EN/home/0,,EN-home-8-nodirectorate-no-no--8,00.html>.

OECD. 1998. OECD Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring Number 1: OECD Principles on Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997). ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: [http://www.oecd.org/document/63/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34381\\_2346175\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/63/0,3343,en_2649_34381_2346175_1_1_1_1,00.html).

OECD. 2002. OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals – Test Guideline 429: Skin Sensitization: Local Lymph Node Assay. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: [http://www.oecd.org/document/40/0,2340,en\\_2649\\_34377\\_37051368\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/40/0,2340,en_2649_34377_37051368_1_1_1_1,00.html).

OECD. 2005. OECD Series on Testing and Assessment Number 34. Guidance Document on the Validation and International Acceptance of New or Updated Test Methods for Hazard Assessment. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available: [http://appli1.oecd.org/olis/2005doc.nsf/linkto/env-jm-mono\(2005\)14](http://appli1.oecd.org/olis/2005doc.nsf/linkto/env-jm-mono(2005)14).

Patlewicz G, Basketter DA, Smith CK, Hotchkiss SAM, Roberts DW. 2001. Skin-sensitization structure-activity relationships for aldehydes. *Contact Dermatitis* 44:331–336.

Pieters R. 2000. The popliteal lymph node assay in predictive testing for autoimmunity. *Toxicol Lett* 112-113:453-459.

Rees JL, Friedmann PS, Matthews JN. 1989. Sex differences in susceptibility to development of contact hypersensitivity to dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB). *Br J Dermatol* 120:371-374.

- Ryan CA, Chaney JG, Gerberick GF, Kern PS, Dearman RJ, Kimber I, et al. 2007. Extrapolating local lymph node assay EC3 values to estimate relative sensitizing potency. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* 26:135-145.
- Ryan CA, Gerberick GF, Cruse LW, Basketter DA, Lea L, Blaikie L, et al. 2000. Activity of human contact allergens in the murine local lymph node assay. *Contact Dermatitis* 43:95-102.
- Saint-Mezard P, Krasteva M, Chavagnac C, Bosset S, Akiba H, Kehren J, et al. 2003. Afferent and efferent phases of allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) can be induced after a single skin contact with haptens: evidence using a mouse model of primary ACD. *J Invest Dermatol* 120:641-647.
- Schneider K, Akkan Z. 2004. Quantitative relationship between the local lymph node assay and human skin sensitization assays. *Reg Toxicol Pharmacol* 39:245-255.
- Sikorski EE, Gerberick GF, Ryan CA, Miller CM, Ridder GM. 1996. Phenotypic analysis of lymphocyte subpopulations in lymph nodes draining the ear following exposure to contact allergens and irritants. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 34:25-35.
- Skoet R, Zachariae R, Agner T. 2003. Contact dermatitis and quality of life: a structured review of the literature. *Br J Dermatol* 149:452-456.
- Steltenkamp RJ, Booman KA, Dorsky J, King TO, Rothenstein AS, Schwoeppe EA, et al. 1980. Citral: a survey of consumer patch-test sensitization. *Food Cosmet Toxicol* 18:413-417.
- Wang R, Gao Y, Lai L. 2000. Calculating partition coefficient by atom-additive method. *Perspectives Drug Discovery Design* 19:47-66.
- Warbrick EV, Dearman RJ, Lea LJ, Basketter DA, Kimber I. 1999a. Local lymph node assay responses to paraphenylenediamine: intra- and inter-laboratory evaluations. *J Appl Toxicol* 19:255-260.
- Zina AM, Bedello PG, Cane D, Bundinio S, Benedetto A. 1987. Dermatitis in a rubber tire factory. *Contact Dermatitis* 17:17-20.