

Revision Applications

(formerly Competing Supplement Applications)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Revision Application

- Requests funding to expand the scope of an existing grant.
- Grants.gov term for money added to a grant to expand its scope or meet needs of a research protocol.
- Applicants must apply and undergo peer review.
- NIH term has been "competing supplement."
- Does not restore funds that were part of standard grant reductions made by the IC.
- Investigators cannot apply until the parent application has been funded.
- May not extend beyond the project period of the parent grant

INSTRUCTIONS FOR WRITTEN CRITIQUE AND PRELIMINARY SCORES

- When reviewing a Revision application, the committee will consider the scientific merit of the new work proposed and the appropriateness of the proposed expansion of the scope of the project.
- If the Revision application relates to a specific line of investigation presented in the original application that was not recommended for approval by the committee, then the committee will consider whether the responses to comments from the previous scientific review group are adequate and whether substantial changes are clearly evident. Reviewers will consider these in the determination of scientific and technical merit, but will not give separate scores for these items.
- Overall Impact. Reviewers will provide an overall impact/priority score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the standard review criteria, and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).