l	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Appendix F
12	Reproducibility Analyses for LLNA: BrdU-ELISA with Decision
13	Criterion of SI ≥ 1.5
14	
15	

[This Page Intentionally Left Blank] 

# 1.0 Test Method Reliability

30

- 31 Section 7 provides the reproducibility analyses for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA using  $SI \ge 2.0$  to
- 32 classify substances as sensitizers. The decision criterion of SI  $\geq$  2.0 was used in the JSAAE
- interlaboratory validation study. The SI  $\geq$  2.0 criterion produced an accuracy of 87% (27/31),
- a false positive rate of 0% (0/9), and a false negative rate of 18% (4/22) when LLNA: BrdU-
- 35 ELISA results were compared to the results of the traditional LLNA (**Table 6-6**). This
- 36 appendix provides the reproducibility analyses using  $SI \ge 1.5$  to classify substances as
- 37 sensitizers. This was one of the alternate SI criterion evaluated in Section 6.5. The SI  $\geq$  1.5
- criterion produced an accuracy of 84% (26/31), a false positive rate of 33% (3/9), and a false
- 39 negative rate of 9% (2/22) when LLNA: BrdU-ELISA results were compared to the results of
- 40 the traditional LLNA (**Table 6-6**).

## 41 1.1 Intralaboratory Reproducibility

- 42 The test results for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA were amenable to intralaboratory reproducibility
- analyses for three endpoints: sensitizer or nonsensitizer classification, SI values, and EC1.5
- values. Analyses of intralaboratory reproducibility were performed using a concordance
- analysis for the qualitative results (sensitizer vs. nonsensitizer) (Section 1.1.1) and a CV
- analysis for the quantitative results (SI values and EC3 values) (Sections 1.1.2 and 1.1.3,
- 47 respectively).
- 48 1.1.1 Intralaboratory Reproducibility Qualitative Results
- 49 The dataset available for an intralaboratory concordance analysis of the qualitative test
- results for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA included eight substances that were tested multiple times
- and classified as sensitizers or nonsensitizers. Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde was tested six times,
- 52 eugenol was tested five times, and isoeugenol was tested three times, and 2,4-
- dinitrochlorobenzene, glutaraldehyde, hexane, 4-phenylenendiamine, and propylene glycol
- were each tested twice (Takeyoshi et al. 2003, 2004a, 2005, 2006, 2007a; unpublished data)
- 55 (**Table F-1**). All substances were sensitizers in the traditional LLNA except for propylene
- 56 glycol and hexane. The multiple test results for 7/8 substances were 100% concordant when
- SI  $\geq$  1.5 was used to classify substances as sensitizers. Discordant test results were noted for
- propylene glycol tested at a maximum concentration of 50%. The test result from Takeyoshi

59 et al. (2005) was positive (SI = 1.6) while the result from Takeyoshi et al. (2006) produced a 60 negative result (SI = 0.9). Both tests used AOO as the vehicle. 61 By comparison, the qualitative intralaboratory concordance analysis for the traditional LLNA (ICCVAM 1999) was based on a dataset of six substances that included six results each for 62 63 benzocaine and hexyl cinnamic aldehyde, five results for eugenol, four results each for 64 isoeugenol and methyl salicylate, and three results for 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene. 65 Intralaboratory results for each substance were 100% concordant with the exception of 66 benzocaine. One of the six benzocaine (5/6 or 83% concordance) results in the traditional 67 LLNA was reported as equivocal because SI increased with dose, but did not reach the 68 criterion of  $SI \ge 3.0$ . Thus, the proportion of substances for which intralaboratory 69 concordance of qualitative results was 100% was similar for LLNA: BrdU-ELISA (7/8) and 70 the traditional LLNA (5/6).

72

Table F-1 Intralaboratory Reproducibility for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA Outcome of Substances Tested Multiple Times

Substance	Highest Concentration Tested (%)	Highest SI	Outcome <sup>1</sup>	Takeyoshi et al. Reference
2,4-Dinitro-	2	17.9	+	2005
chlorobenzene	2	6.8	+	2006, 2007b
	30	3.3	+	2004a
	30	3.8	+	2007a
Eugenol	50	12.3	+	2005
	50	3.1	+	2006
	50	17.7	+	2007b
	2	14.6	+	2005, 2007b
Glutaraldehyde	10	15.5	+	2005, 2007b
_	50	1.9	+	2005
Hexane	100	1.8	+	Unpublished data
	25	2.4	+	2003
	50	3.6	+	2003
Hexyl cinnamic	50	5.9	+	2005
aldehyde	50	3.6	+	2006
•	50	2.7	+	2006
	50	3.0	+	2007b
	10	8.4	+	2005
Isoeugenol	10	2.4	+	2006, 2007b
5	30	6.7	+	
	2	11.7	+	2005, 2007b
4-Phenylenediamine	10	14.7	+	2005, 2007b
	50	1.6	+	2005
Propylene glycol	50	0.9	-	2006, 2007b

Abbreviations: LLNA: BrdU-ELISA = Murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU); SI = Stimulation index.

<sup>1</sup>(+) = Sensitizer; (-) = nonsensitizer

## 76 1.1.2 *Intralaboratory Reproducibility – SI*

- 77 There were six substances that were tested multiple times by Takeyoshi et al. (2003, 2004a,
- 78 2005, 2006, 2007a, 2007b, unpublished data). Because two substances had multiple tests for
- more than one concentration, there were nine substance/concentration combinations that
- were tested two to five times in separate experiments. The multiple SI values for each
- substance/concentration were used to calculate a CV for the assessment of intralaboratory
- variability. As shown by **Table F-2**, the CVs ranged from 1% (25% hexyl cinnamic
- aldehyde) to 79% (10% isoeugenol). The intralaboratory reproducibility of the traditional
- 84 LLNA was not assessed by CV analysis of SI values (ICCVAM 1999).
- 85 1.1.3 *Intralaboratory Reproducibility EC1.5*
- 86 CV values were also calculated for the EC1.5 values for the three sensitizers that were tested
- more than once using multiple doses by Takeyoshi et al. (2003; 2004a, 2005, 2006, 2007a,
- 88 2007b). The individual animal data for eugenol, hexyl cinnamic aldehyde, and isoeugenol,
- 89 were used to calculated EC1.5 values for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA. The methods for
- calculating EC1.5 values for each sensitizer were modified from those used by Ryan et al.
- 91 (2007) to calculate EC3 values. Linear interpolation was used to calculate EC1.5 values for
- each test with SI values higher or lower than two and extrapolation was used to calculate
- 93 EC1.5 values for tests with no SI values below two. The equation for linear interpolation
- 94 was:

98

EC1.5 = 
$$c + \left[ \frac{(1.5 - d)}{(b - d)} \right] \times (a - c)$$

- The linear interpolation equation uses the points immediately above and below SI = 2, with
- the (dose, SI) coordinates of (a, b) immediately above SI = 2 and (c, d) immediately below SI
- 97 = 2. The equation for extrapolation was:

$$EC1.5_{ex} = 2^{\left\{ \log_2(c) + \frac{(1.5-d)}{(b-d)} \times \left[ \log_2(a) - \log_2(c) \right] \right\}}$$

- The extrapolation equation uses the two points immediately above SI = 2, with the
- 101 coordinates of (a, b) for the point closest to SI = 2, and (c, d) for the higher point.

103

104

105

106 107

Table F-2 Intralaboratory Reproducibility for the SI of Tested Substances in LLNA: BrdU-ELISA - Coefficient of Variation

Substance	Concentration Tested (%)	SI	Mean	SD	CV (%)	Takeyoshi et al. Reference
2,4-Dinitrochlorobenzene	2	17.9	12.4	7.8	64	2005
2,4-Dimuocinorobenzene	2	6.8	12.4	7.0		2006, 2007b
Eugenol	30	3.3	3.6	0.4	10	2004a
Eugenor	30	3.8	3.0	0.4	10	2007a
	50	12.3				2005
Eugenol	50	3.1	11.0	7.4	67	2006
	50	17.7				2007b
11	50	1.9	1.0	0.07	4	2005
Hexane	50	1.8	1.8		4	Unpublished
YY 1 ' ' 111 1	12.5	1.87	1.73	0.21	12	2003
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	12.5	1.58				2003
TT 1 : : 111 1	25	2.42	2.4	0.01	1	2003
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	25	2.40				2003
	50	3.6				2003
	50	5.9		1.3		2005
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	50	3.6	3.8		34	2006
	50	2.7				2006
	50	3.0				2007b
	10	8.4				2005
Isoeugenol	10	2.4	5.4	4.2	79	2006, 2007b
D 1 1 1	50	1.6		0.5	55	2005
Propylene glycol	50	0.7	1.1	0.6		2006, 2007b

Abbreviations: LLNA: BrdU-ELISA = Murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU); CV = Coefficient of variation; SD = Standard deviation; SI = Stimulation index.

108 As shown in **Table F-3**, there were five EC1.5 values for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde, four 109 EC1.5 values for eugenol, and two EC1.5 values for isoeugenol. The CV values were 37% 110 for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde, 66% for eugenol, and 52% for isoeugenol. The ICCVAM 111 LLNA *Performance Standards* criteria for demonstrating adequate intralaboratory 112 reproducibility is based on results from at least four independent tests of hexyl cinnamic 113 aldehyde (ICCVAM 2009). Intralaboratory reproducibility is considered adequate when each 114 test yields an ECt value (i.e., the estimated concentration needed to produce an SI of a 115 specific threshold value, 1.5, in this case) within 5% to 20% (ICCVAM 2009). All five 116 EC1.5 values for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde were within the acceptable range for 117 intralaboratory reproducibility.

Table F-3 Intralaboratory Reproducibility for the EC1.5 of Tested Substances in LLNA: BrdU-ELISA - Coefficient of Variation

Substance	EC1.5	Mean	SD	CV (%)	Takeyoshi et al. Reference
	5.9				2004a
Eugenol	11.0	7.2	4.7	66	2006
Lugenor	10.7	7.2			2007a
	1.0				2007b
	l				
	11.6	12.9	4.8	37	2003
	5.5				2003
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	15.9				2006
	18.1				2006
	13.5				2007b
		<u>'</u>			
Isoeugenol	6.3	4.6	2.4	52	2006, 2007b
	2.9				2007a

Abbreviations: LLNA: BrdU-ELISA = Murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) with enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU); CV = Coefficient of variation; EC1.5 =

Estimated concentration needed to produce a stimulation index of two; SD = Standard deviation.

120 121

118

The intralaboratory reproducibility of the traditional LLNA was assessed by CV analysis of EC3 values using a larger dataset (ICCVAM 1999) than that available for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA analysis. Two EC3 values were reported by each of five laboratories for 2, 4-dinitro-chlorobenzene, five EC3 values were reported by one laboratory for isoeugenol, six EC3 values were reported for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde by two laboratories, and five EC3 values were reported for eugenol by one laboratory (**Table F-4**).

Table F-4 Intralaboratory Reproducibility for the EC3 of Tested Substances in the Traditional LLNA<sup>1</sup>

Substance	Number of Laboratories	Number of Tests per Laboratory	CV (%)
2, 4-Dinitrochlorobenzene	5	2	13 – 47
Isoeugenol	1	5	26
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	2	6	19-27
Eugenol	1	5	18

Abbreviations: LLNA = Murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

(ELISA) detection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU); CV = Coefficient of variation; EC3 = Estimated

concentration needed to produce a stimulation index of three.

<sup>1</sup>From ICCVAM (1999).

130

131

132

133

143

- For all three substances in common, the intralaboratory CV values for the EC1.5 values from
- 137 LLNA: BrdU-ELISA tests were higher than those reported in ICCVAM (1999) for EC3
- values from the traditional LLNA. The intralaboratory EC1.5 CV for the LLNA: BrdU-
- ELISA tests of eugenol was 66% vs. 18% for the CV of EC3 values reported by ICCVAM
- 140 (1999). The intralaboratory EC1.5 CV for isoeugenol was 52% vs. 26% for the CV of EC3
- values from ICCVAM (1999), and the intralaboratory EC1.5 CV for hexyl cinnamic
- aldehyde was 37% vs. 19 to 27% for the CV reported by ICCVAM (1999) for EC3 values.

### 1.2 Interlaboratory Reproducibility

- The interlaboratory reproducibility of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA was assessed using the
- individual animal data from the multi-laboratory validation study organized by the JSAAE
- 146 (Kojima et al. 2008). The study design is described in **Section 7.2**. The LLNA: BrdU-ELISA
- test results from the study are amenable to interlaboratory reproducibility analyses for two
- endpoints: sensitizer or nonsensitizer classification and EC2 values. Analyses of
- interlaboratory reproducibility were performed using a concordance analysis for the

- qualitative results (sensitizer vs. nonsensitizer) (Section 1.2.1) and a CV analysis for the
- quantitative results (EC1.5 values) (**Section 1.2.2**).
- 152 1.2.1 *Interlaboratory Reproducibility Qualitative Results*
- 153 The available quantitative absorbance data for interlaboratory reproducibility analysis were
- used to calculate SI values for each substance and dose tested. Substances with SI  $\geq$  1.5 at
- any dose were classified as sensitizers. The qualitative (i.e., sensitizer vs. nonsensitizer)
- interlaboratory concordance analysis for the 10 substances tested during Phase II of the
- 157 JSAAE interlaboratory validation study is shown in **Table F-6**. The qualitative comparison
- of LLNA: BrdU-ELISA results for nine substances tested in up to seven laboratories show
- that interlaboratory concordance was 100% (3/3, 6/6, or 7/7). However, one of these
- substances, lactic acid, was misclassified as a nonsensitizer in all three laboratories. The
- 161 concordance for isopropanol, the substance that produced discordant results among
- laboratories, the concordance was 50% (3/6). The test of isopropanol at Laboratory 2 failed
- (SI = 1.09) because the concurrent positive control (SI = 1.29) failed the acceptance criterion
- of SI  $\geq$  2. The other six laboratories reported maximum SI values of 2.22, 0.98, 1.57, 0.94,
- 2.04, and 1.01 for isopropanol. Isopropanol produces a nonsensitizer result in the traditional
- 166 LLNA.
- 167 The Validation Management Team, which evaluated the reproducibility using  $SI \ge 2$  to
- identify sensitizers, considered the interlaboratory reproducibility to be acceptable (Kojima et
- al. 2008). Because the evaluation of interlaboratory reproducibility for the traditional LLNA
- did not include an evaluation of qualitative results (ICCVAM 1999), there were no traditional
- 171 LLNA concordance data for comparison with the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA concordance.

173

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

Table F-6 Qualitative Results for the Phase II Interlaboratory Validation Study on the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA<sup>1</sup>

Substance		Concordance						
Substance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Concordance
2,4-Dinitrochlorobenzene	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	7/7
Glutaraldehyde	+				+	+		3/3
Nickel sulfate			+	+			+	3/3
trans-Cinnamic aldehyde		+		+	+			3/3
Formaldehyde	+				+	+		3/3
Eugenol		+				+	+	3/3
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	+	_3	+	+	+	+5	+	6/6
Isopropanol	+	_3	-	+	-	+4	-	3/6
Lactic acid			+	+			+	3/3
Methyl salicylate	-	-	-					3/3

<sup>174</sup> Abbreviation: LLNA: BrdU-ELISA = Murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay 175 176 (ELISA) detection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU).

#### 182 1.2.2 *Interlaboratory Reproducibility – EC1.5 Values*

The SI values for each test used to calculate EC1.5 values for each sensitizer according to the methods reported in Section 1.1.3. The EC1.5 values from each laboratory were used to calculate CV values for each substance. The resulting values are shown in **Table F-7**. CV values ranged from 31% (trans-cinnamic aldehyde) to 95% (glutaraldehyde). The mean CV was 63%.

The ICCVAM LLNA *Performance Standards* indicate that interlaboratory reproducibility should be evaluated with at least two sensitizing chemicals with well-characterized activity in the traditional LLNA (ICCVAM 2009). Acceptable reproducibility is attained when each laboratory obtains ECt values within 0.025% to 0.1% for 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene and within 5% to 20% for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde (ICCVAM 2009). For 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene, the EC1.5 values from four laboratories were outside the acceptable range, and for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde, the EC1.5 values from four laboratories were outside the acceptable range. All values outside the acceptable ranges were below the low end of the range. This indicates that the discordance was due to the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA producing a more sensitive result.

I(+) indicates sensitizer result; (-) indicates nonsensitizer result using SI  $\geq$  1.5 to classify sensitizers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Test failed because concurrent positive control (SI = 1.29) failed the acceptance criterion (i.e., SI < 2). The positive control 177 178 179 would have also failed if the acceptance criterion was  $SI \ge 1.5$ . This isopropanol result was not included in the concordance

<sup>180</sup> <sup>3</sup>Three mice tested at highest dose. 181

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Three mice per dose group.

# 198 Table F-7 EC1.5 Values from the Phase II Interlaboratory Validation Study of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA<sup>1</sup>

Substance	Laboratory								% CV
Substance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	70 C V
Glutaraldehyde	0.064	NT	NT	NT	0.031	0.21	NT	0.10	95
Nickel sulfate	NT	NT	1.5	0.5	NT	NT	0.6	0.8	65
trans-Cinnamic aldehyde	NT	1.7	NT	1.0	1.8	NT	NT	1.5	31
Formaldehyde	0.3	NT	NT	NT	0.2	0.6	NT	0.3	66
Eugenol	NT	12.5	NT	NT	NT	10.5	3.5	8.8	54
2,4-Dinitro- chlorobenzene	0.058 (4.3 @ 1%)	0.010 (8.37 @1%)	0.022 (5.99 @0.3%)	0.022 (5.50 @1%)	0.0022 (18.80 @ 0.3%)	0.015 (4.83 @ 0.3%)	0.049 (12.18 @ 1%)	0.025	81
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	9.4	(1.83 @ 50%)	15.2 (2.87 @ 50%)	4.1 (3.34 @ 50%)	3.5 (13.5 @ 50%)	7.9 <sup>2</sup> (3.27 @ 50%)	9.5 (3.84 @ 50%)	8.3	52

Note: Bolded font indicates substances recommended for assessing interlaboratory reproducibility in *Recommended Performance Standards* (ICCVAM 2009). Shading shows EC1.5 values that are outside of the acceptable range from the ICCVAM *LLNA Performance Standards*: 5 - 20% for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde and 0.025 - 0.1% for 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene. Values in parentheses are highest SI values achieved.

Abbreviations: CV = Coefficient of variation; LLNA: BrdU-ELISA = Murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU); NT = Not tested; SI = Stimulation index.

<sup>1</sup>Test failed because associated positive control failed acceptance criterion (i.e., SI < 2; vehicle control absorbance was unusually high). At SI = 1.29, the positive control would have failed even if the acceptance criterion was SI ≥ 1.5. Result not included in the mean EC1.5 and CV.

<sup>2</sup>Three mice tested at highest dose.

199

208 The interlaboratory CV values for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA EC1.5 values were higher than 209 that for the traditional LLNA EC3 values. The analysis of interlaboratory variation of EC3 210 values for the traditional LLNA reported CV values of 7 to 84% for five substances tested in 211 five laboratories (Table F-8; ICCVAM 1999). Three of the same substances were evaluated 212 in the traditional LLNA and the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA. All interlaboratory CV values for the 213 EC1.5 from LLNA: BrdU-ELISA tests were greater than that for EC3 values from the 214 traditional LLNA. The CV of 81% for EC1.5 values for 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene was greater 215 than the two CV values of 37% and 27%, calculated from five EC3 values each, reported by ICCVAM (1999). The CV of 52% for EC1.5 values for hexyl cinnamic aldehyde tested in 216 217 the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA was greater than the CV for EC3 values reported by ICCVAM 218 (1999). The CV of 54% for EC1.5 values for eugenol tested in the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA was 219 greater than the CV of 42% for EC3 values reported by ICCVAM (1999).

Table F-8 Interlaboratory Reproducibility of the EC3 for Substances Tested in the Traditional LLNA<sup>1</sup>

6.1.4		CV (%)				
Substance	1	2	3	4	5	C ( ( / <b>U</b> )
2, 4-Dinitrochlorobenzene	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.6	37
2, 1 Dimirochiorochizene	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.3	27
Hexyl cinnamic aldehyde	7.9	7.6	8.4	7.0	8.1	7
Isoeugenol	1.3	3.3	1.8	3.1	1.6	41
Eugenol	5.8	14.5	8.9	13.8	6.0	42
SLS	13.4	4.4	1.5	17.1	4.0	84

Abbreviations: CV = Coefficient of variation; EC3 = Estimated concentration needed to produce a stimulation index of three; LLNA = Murine local lymph node assay; SLS = Sodium lauryl sulfate. <sup>1</sup>From ICCVAM (1999).

225

222

223

225	
226	
227	
228	
229	
230	
231	
232	
233	
234	
235	
236	
237	
238	[This Page Intentionally Left Blank]