

1 **Draft ICCVAM Test Method Recommendations**
2 **Non-Radioactive LLNA: BrdU-FC**
3

4 **March 2009**
5

6 **This document provides draft ICCVAM recommendations on the non-radioactive**
7 **LLNA: BrdU-FC, a test method for assessing the allergic contact dermatitis potential of**
8 **chemicals and products for regulatory testing. These draft recommendations are based**
9 **on information and data provided in a draft background review document available at**
10 **http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PeerPanel.htm, and will be**
11 **considered by an independent scientific peer review panel that will meet in public**
12 **session on April 28–29, 2009. Public comments are welcome. More information is**
13 **available in the *Federal Register* notice of the meeting (74 FR 8974) available at**
14 **<http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/SuppDocs/FedDocs/FR/FR-E9-4280.pdf>. ICCVAM will**
15 **finalize these recommendations after consideration of comments from the peer review**
16 **panel, the public, and its scientific advisory committee.**

17 **These draft recommendations do not represent the official position of any Federal**
18 **agency.**
19

19 **1.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Uses and Limitations**

20 **Background**

21 The Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods
22 (ICCVAM) is currently evaluating the validation status of the LLNA: BrdU-FC as a non-
23 radioactive modification of the traditional LLNA (i.e., ICCVAM 1999; Dean et al. 2001) to
24 identify substances that may cause allergic contact dermatitis (ACD). While the traditional
25 LLNA assesses cellular proliferation by measuring the incorporation of radioactive tritiated
26 thymidine into the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) of dividing lymph node cells, the LLNA:
27 BrdU-FC assesses the same endpoint by measuring incorporation of the thymidine analog
28 bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) using flow cytometry. The LLNA: BrdU-FC also includes a
29 routine assessment of ear swelling as a measure of excessive irritation. Finally, the LLNA:
30 BrdU-FC includes enhancements (referred to hereafter as the eLLNA: BrdU-FC) for
31 substances with a stimulation index (SI) ≥ 3 and ear swelling $> 25\%$. The eLLNA: BrdU-FC
32 includes assessment of immunophenotypic markers to distinguish sensitizers from irritants
33 (see **Section 2.0** of the draft LLNA: BrdU-FC Background Review Document). The updated
34 draft ICCVAM LLNA: BrdU-FC Background Review Document (ICCVAM 2009b) includes
35 additional information and discussion of the evaluation of this test method.

36 ICCVAM has proposed test method performance standards for the LLNA (ICCVAM 2009a)¹
37 to evaluate the performance of modified LLNA test methods that are mechanistically and
38 functionally similar to the traditional LLNA. However, because the validation studies for the
39 LLNA: BrdU-FC test method were completed before development of LLNA performance
40 standards and because data for all of the performance standards reference substances were
41 not available, the ICCVAM LLNA performance standards were not used to evaluate the
42 LLNA: BrdU-FC.

43 **Draft Recommendations**

44 Based on the available database of 45 substances (26 sensitizers and 19 nonsensitizers tested
45 in the traditional LLNA) and the LLNA: BrdU-FC's demonstrated intralaboratory
46 reproducibility and demonstrated accuracy compared to the traditional LLNA (accuracy of
47 93% [42/45], false positive rate of 13% [2/16], and a false negative rate of 3% [1/29]²), the
48 LLNA: BrdU-FC may be useful for identifying substances as potential skin sensitizers or

¹ Available at http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PerfStds.htm.

² The one false negative substance is aniline, which did not generate a strongly positive result in the traditional LLNA (EC3 = 48%, maximum SI = 3.6 at 50% in AOO).

49 nonsensitizers. However, although NICEATM has requested original records for all of the
50 studies included in this evaluation, they have not been submitted yet. As a result, the reported
51 data has not been independently audited to confirm that it is the same as that originally
52 recorded. Validation criteria adopted by ICCVAM and its member agencies state that “all
53 data supporting the assessment of the validity of a test method must be available for review”
54 (ICCVAM 1997). In addition, interlaboratory reproducibility of the LLNA: BrdU-FC has not
55 been assessed. For these reasons, ICCVAM is deferring formal recommendation of this
56 method pending receipt of this information.

57 **2.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Protocol for the LLNA:** 58 **BrdU-FC**

59 The draft ICCVAM-recommended LLNA: BrdU-FC protocol, which is based on the protocol
60 developed by MB Research Labs (2001, see **Appendix A** of the draft ICCVAM LLNA:
61 BrdU-FC Background Review Document), incorporates all aspects of the recently updated
62 ICCVAM-recommended LLNA test method protocol (ICCVAM 2009a), except for those
63 procedures unique to the conduct of the LLNA: BrdU-FC (see **Appendix A** of the draft
64 background review document). Following are key aspects included in the ICCVAM-
65 recommended protocol:

- 66 • The high dose should be the maximum soluble concentration that does not
67 produce systemic toxicity and/or excessive local irritation.
- 68 • A minimum of four animals per dose group is recommended.
- 69 • Collection of individual animal data is recommended.
- 70 • Inclusion of a concurrent vehicle control and positive control in each study is
71 recommended.

72 Additionally, ICCVAM recommends a measure of variability of the positive control response
73 over time. Laboratories should maintain a historical database of positive control SI values to
74 allow comparison of results to the mean historical SI. There could be cause for concern if a
75 negative result for a test substance is accompanied by a positive control SI value significantly
76 lower than the mean historical SI.

77 **3.0 Draft Recommendations: Future Studies**

- 78 • Before this test method is used in other laboratories, interlaboratory
79 reproducibility should be evaluated to determine if acceptable results can be
80 obtained. This can be accomplished by following the recommendations in the
81 ICCVAM LLNA Performance Standards (ICCVAM 2009a).
- 82 • Consistent with recommendations for the traditional LLNA, additional data from
83 LLNA: BrdU-FC studies of metal compounds with comparative human and/or
84 guinea pig data are needed in order to more comprehensively evaluate the
85 method's ability to test metal compounds.
- 86 • Efforts should be made to identify additional human data and human experience
87 for test substances. This data may be used to further assess the usefulness and
88 limitations of this and other versions of the LLNA for identifying human-
89 sensitizing substances (e.g., formulations).
- 90 • Records from all future studies should be retained in a manner such that they can
91 be readily accessed for review.
- 92 • The “sequential strategy” used in the enhanced LLNA: BrdU-FC protocol, which
93 incorporates immunophenotypic endpoints via flow cytometry to identify
94 potential false positives that are actually nonsensitizing skin irritants, should be
95 further investigated to more fully characterize its usefulness and limitations for
96 this purpose.

97 **4.0 Draft Performance Standards**

98 Unique performance standards for the LLNA: BrdU-FC are not proposed at this time.
99 However, ICCVAM has developed draft performance standards for the traditional LLNA
100 (http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PerfStds.htm). Because the LLNA:
101 BrdU-FC is mechanistically and functionally similar to the traditional LLNA, ICCVAM
102 proposes that the ICCVAM LLNA performance standards (ICCVAM 2009a) can be used to
103 evaluate future modifications of the LLNA: BrdU-FC.

104 **5.0 References**

105 Dean JH, Twerdok LE, Tice RR, Sailstad DM, Hattan DG, Stokes WS. 2001. ICCVAM
106 evaluation of the murine local lymph node assay. Conclusions and recommendations of an
107 independent scientific peer review panel. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 34:258–273.

108 ICCVAM. 1997. Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Toxicological Test Methods: A
109 Report of the ad hoc Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative

- 110 Methods. NIH Publication Number 97-3981. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute
111 of Environmental Health Sciences.
- 112 ICCVAM. 1999. The murine local lymph node assay: A test method for assessing the allergic
113 contact dermatitis potential of chemical/compounds. NIH Publication No. 99-4494. Research
114 Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.
- 115 ICCVAM. 2009a. Recommended Performance Standards: Murine Local Lymph Node Assay.
116 NIH Publication Number 09-7357. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of
117 Environmental Health Sciences.
- 118 ICCVAM. 2009b. Revised Draft Background Review Document – Non-radioactive Murine
119 Local Lymph Node Assay: BrdU-Flow Cytometry Test Method Protocol (LLNA: BrdU-FC).
120 Available: http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PeerPanel.htm.