

of the target population or other identified needs. (5 points)

(c) *Quality of project personnel (10 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been under represented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director.

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel.

(iii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(d) *Adequacy of resources (5 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization.

(ii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project.

(e) *Quality of the management plan (15 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, time lines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project.

(iii) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project.

(f) *Quality of the project evaluation (20 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation provide for examining the effectiveness of project implementation strategies.

(ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.

FOR APPLICATIONS OR INFORMATION

CONTACT: Madeline E. Baggett, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202-6140. Telephone (202) 260-2502.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternate format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain a copy of the application package in an alternate format, also, by contacting that person. However, the Department is not able to reproduce in an alternate format the standard forms included in the application package.

Electronic Access to this Document: Anyone may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education Documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or portable document format (pdf) on the World Wide Web at either of the following sites:

<http://ocfo.ed.gov/fedreg.htm>

<http://www.ed.gov/news.html>

To use the pdf you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader Program with Search, which is available free at either of the previous sites. If you have questions about using the pdf, call the U.S. Government Printing Office toll free at 1-888-293-6498.

Note: The official application notice for a discretionary grant competition is the notice published in the **Federal Register**.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7909.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

Judith Johnson,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 99-8393 Filed 4-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No.: 84.209A]

The Native Hawaiian Family-Based Education Centers Program

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 1999.

Purpose of Program: To expand the operation, throughout the Hawaiian Islands, of Family-Based Education Centers that include: (1) parent-infant programs for prenatal through three-year-olds; (2) preschool programs for four- and five-year-olds; (3) continued research and development; and (4) a long-term follow-up and assessment program, which may include educational support services for Native Hawaiian language immersion programs or transition to English speaking programs.

Eligible Applicants: Native Hawaiian educational organizations or educational entities with experience in developing or operating Native Hawaiian programs or programs of instruction conducted in the Native Hawaiian language.

Applications Available: April 6, 1999.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: May 21, 1999.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: July 20, 1999.

Available Funds: \$2 million.

Estimated Number of Awards: 1 to 2.

Estimated Size of Awards: \$1,000,000.

Estimated Range of Awards: \$1,000,000-\$2,000,000.

Note: These estimates are projections for the guidance of potential applicants. The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 36 months.

Applicable Regulations: The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR Parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 81, 82, and 85.

Invitational Priority: Under the Family-Based Education Centers Program, the Secretary is particularly interested in receiving applications from eligible entities whose projects would include the development and implementation of an appropriate, culturally-relevant Native Hawaiian early education and care information system. The system would provide information relative to the specific needs and strengths in Native Hawaiian early childhood services, including: (1) a determination of the existence, use, and effectiveness of programs and services available to Native Hawaiians; (2) within existing data collection efforts

statewide, an identification of critical areas of need for services for Native Hawaiians; (3) a determination of the numbers and demographics of Native Hawaiians in each age group, prenatal through age five, that have need for strengthened services; (4) an assessment of the quality of existing services; (5) an identification of the gaps in services to that age group; and (6) a determination of where additional data needs to be gathered and a means of defining culturally-compatible measures for the collection of such data. The Secretary is especially interested in receiving proposals from entities that would address the early education and care needs of the targeted population through comprehensive, collaborative efforts with other appropriate organizations and agencies.

An application that meets this invitational priority receives no competitive or absolute preference over applications that do not meet the priority. However, all proposals under the Family-Based Education Centers competition must address the four components in section 9205 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as outlined in the "Purposes of Programs" section of this Notice.

Selection Criteria: The Secretary will use the following selection criteria in 34 CFR 75.210 to evaluate applications under this competition. The maximum score for all of the selection criteria is 100 points. The maximum score for each criterion is indicated in parenthesis with the criterion. The criteria are as follows:

(a) *Significance (15 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The significance of the problem or issue to be addressed by the proposed project.

(ii) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially improvements in teaching and student achievement.

(b) *Quality of the project design (35 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the proposed project represents an exceptional approach for meeting statutory purposes and requirements.

(ii) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved

by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable.

(iii) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs.

(c) *Quality of project personnel (10 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been under represented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director.

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel.

(iii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(d) *Adequacy of resources (5 points)*.

(1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization.

(ii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project.

(e) *Quality of the management plan (15 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, time lines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project.

(iii) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and other key project personnel are

appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project.

(f) *Quality of the project evaluation (20 points)*. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation provide for examining the effectiveness of project implementation strategies.

(ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.

For Applications or Information

Contact: Madeline E. Baggett, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202-6140. Telephone (202) 260-2502.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternate format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain a copy of the application package in an alternate format, also, by contacting that person. However, the Department is not able to reproduce in an alternate format the standard forms included in the application package.

Electronic Access to this Document: Anyone may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education Documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or portable document format (pdf) on the World Wide Web at either of the following sites: <http://ocfo.ed.gov/fedreg.htm> or <http://www.ed.gov/news.html>. To use the pdf you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader Program with Search, which is available free at either of the previous sites. If you have questions about using the pdf, call the U.S. Government Printing Office toll free at 1-888-293-6498.

Note: The official application notice for a discretionary grant competition is the notice published in the **Federal Register**.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7905.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

Judith Johnson,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 99-8394 Filed 4-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Subsequent arrangement.

SUMMARY: This notice is being issued under the authority of Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160). The Department is providing notice of a "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation in the Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy Between the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) and the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada Concerning the Civil Uses of Atomic Energy.

This subsequent arrangement concerns the transfer of 90,552,300 grams of natural uranium in the form of hexafluoride from Cameco Corporation in Canada to Urenco Limited in the United Kingdom for toll enrichment. The enrichment will not exceed 20%. The material will then be transferred to Northern States Power in Minneapolis, MN for use in their commercial power reactor.

In accordance with Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, we have determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

For the Department of Energy.

Edward T. Fei,

Deputy Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 99-8451 Filed 4-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Subsequent Arrangement.

SUMMARY: This notice is being issued under the authority of Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160). The Department is providing notice of a "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation in the Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy Between the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) and the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada Concerning the Civil Uses of Atomic Energy.

This subsequent arrangement concerns the transfer of 3,078,600 grams of natural uranium in the form of hexafluoride from Cameco Corporation in Canada to Urenco Limited in the United Kingdom for toll enrichment. The enrichment will not exceed 20%. The material will then be transferred to Wolf Creek Nuclear Operation Corporation in Burlington, KS for use in their commercial power reactor.

In accordance with Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, we have determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

For the Department of Energy.

Edward T. Fei,

Deputy Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 99-8452 Filed 4-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Supplement to the Draft Surplus Plutonium Disposition Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces its intent to prepare a supplement to the Surplus Plutonium Disposition Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SPD EIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act

(NEPA). The SPD Draft EIS (DOE/EIS-0283D) was issued for public comment in July 1998. The Supplement will update the SPD Draft EIS by examining the potential environmental impacts of using mixed oxide (MOX) fuel in six specific commercial nuclear reactors at three sites for the disposition of surplus weapons-grade plutonium. DOE identified these reactors through a competitive procurement process. The Department is planning to issue the Supplement to the SPD Draft EIS in April 1999. DOE will publish a separate Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** at that time. This Notice of Intent describes the content of the Supplement to the SPD Draft EIS, solicits public comment on the Supplement, and announces DOE's intention to conduct a public hearing. Consistent with 40 CFR 1502.9(c)(4) and 10 CFR 1021.314(d), DOE has determined not to conduct scoping for the Supplement.

ADDRESSES: Requests for information concerning the plutonium disposition program can be submitted by calling (answering machine) or faxing them to the toll free number 1-800-820-5156, or by mailing them to: Bert Stevenson, NEPA Compliance Officer, Office of Fissile Materials Disposition, U.S. Department of Energy, Post Office Box 23786, Washington, DC 20026-3786. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20585, 202-586-4600 or leave a message at 1-800-472-2756.

Additional information regarding the DOE NEPA process and activities is available on the Internet through the NEPA Home Page at <http://www.eh.doe.gov/nepa>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In October 1994, the Secretary of Energy and the Congress created the Office of Fissile Materials Disposition (MD) within the Department of Energy (DOE) to focus on the elimination of surplus highly enriched uranium (HEU) and plutonium surplus to national defense needs. As one of its major responsibilities, MD is tasked with determining how to disposition surplus weapons—usable plutonium. In January 1997, DOE issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Storage and Disposition of Weapons—Usable Fissile Materials Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (S&D PEIS) (DOE/EIS-