Appendix III—Desegregation Objectives and Recruitment Strategies

Objectives	D	Desegregation Plan	ı
Elementary	Voluntary	Required	Total
N schools	90	91	181
Prevent	5.6%	8.8%	7.2%
Eliminate	20.0%	3.3%	11.6%
Reduce	74.4%	51.6%	63.0%
Uniquely Required	0.0%	36.3%	18.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Middle School			
N schools	46	30	76
Prevent	4.3%	6.7%	5.3%
Eliminate	10.9%	0.0%	6.6%
Reduce	84.8%	50.0%	71.1%
Uniquely Required	0.0%	43.3%	17.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
High School			
N schools	17	23	40
Prevent	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eliminate	17.6%	4.3%	10.0%
Reduce	82.4%	65.2%	72.5%
Uniquely Required	0.0%	30.4%	17.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Combined Levels			
N schools	3	3	6
Prevent	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eliminate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reduce	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Uniquely Required	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total (all grade levels)			
N schools	156	147	303
Prevent	4.5%	6.8%	5.6%
Eliminate	16.7%	2.7%	9.9%
Reduce	78.8%	52.4%	66.0%
Uniquely Required	0.0%	38.1%	18.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

 Table A-III-1

 Desegregation Objectives of Targeted Schools by Type of Desegregation Plan within Grade Levels

Sources: Grantee applications, 1998-99 performance reports, NCES 1997-98 and 1998-99 Common Core of Data, and U.S. Dept. of Education personnel

Table A-III-2Average Full Time Equivalent of MSAP-Funded Staff and District-Funded Recruitment SpecialistDevoted to Recruitment Activities

	Type of Desegregation Plan				
	Voluntary (N=26)	Required (N=21)	All Districts (N=46)		
MSAP-Funded Staff	1.41	1.96	1.65		
District-Funded Recruitment Specialist	0.45	0.47	0.45		
Total FTE Devoted to Recruitment	1.86	2.43	2.11		

Source: Project Survey, 1999-2000, Items 4 and 5

Table A-III-3

Percent of Districts with Specific Types of Participation of School Staff, Students, and Parents in Recruitment Effort by Type of Desegregation Plan

	Type of Desegregation Plan		
	Voluntary (N=30)	Required (N=26)	All Districts (N=56)
Principals/Assistant Principals Organize School Tours	97%	96%	96%
Magnet School Teachers Make Presentations to Visitors	93%	88%	91%
Magnet school teachers visit other schools to recruit students	70%	69%	70%
Students help conduct school tours	80%	88%	84%
Students visit other district schools to recruit	23%	46%	34%
Parents make presentations to other parents	53%	46%	50%
Parents make telephone calls to provide information	23%	50%	36%
Other	43%	27%	36%

Source: Project Survey, 1999-2000, Item 6

Table A-III-4Percent of Districts Using Specific Types of Outreach Used to Recruit Students by Type ofDesegregation Plan

	Type of Desegregation Plan			
	Voluntary (N=30)	Required (N=26)	All Districts (n=56)	
Brochures	100%	96%	98%	
Information distributed to students	100%	92%	96%	
Information mailed to parents who request it	93%	85%	89%	
Information mailed to all parents	67%	73%	70%	
Presentations at other schools	77%	85%	80%	
Presentations at churches or community organizations	77%	92%	84%	
Tours of school without transportation provided	90%	92%	91%	
Tours of school with transportation provided	20%	35%	27%	
Babysitters provided for school events	20%	46%	32%	
Telephoning parents to explain program	70%	58%	64%	
Home visits to parents/students	13%	23%	18%	
Media advertisements	87%	88%	88%	
Advertising at fairs or other forums	87%	88%	88%	
Information on an Internet site	63%	73%	68%	
Other	30%	15%	23%	

Source: Project Survey, 1999-2000, Item 6

Table A-III-5 Extent to Which District's Outreach Activities Focus on Targeted Groups of Students by Type of Desegregation Plan

	Type of Desegregation Plan			
	Voluntary (N=30)	Required (N=26)	All Districts (N=56)	
None-focus outreach on all students	20%	50%	34%	
Less than half of the outreach effort	20%	15%	18%	
Three-quarters of the outreach effort	43%	19%	32%	
All outreach efforts focused on targeted groups of students	17%	15%	16%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	

Source: Project Survey, 1999-2000, Item 3

Table A-III-6

Percent of Schools Using Performance Criteria for Selecting Students by Grade Level and Type of Program

	Grade Level				All Grades	
	Elementary	Elementary Middle School High School Combined				
Type of Program	(N=158)	(N=63)	(N=37)	Levels (N=6)	(N=264)	N of Schools
Program within a school	27.3%	44.4%	27.3%	_	32.3%	31
Whole School	3.4%	16.7%	11.5%	0.0%	7.3%	233
Overall	5.1%	20.6%	16.2%	0.0%	10.2%	264

Source: Principal Survey 1999-2000, Item 43

Table A-III-7Percent Minority and Non-Minority MSAP Students Attending Schools That Use PerformanceCriteria for Admission by Grade Level: 1998–1999

	Grade Level				All Grades	
	Elementary	Middle School	High School	Combined Levels	%	N of MSAP
Type of Student	(N=81,767)	(N=35,722)	(N=27,612)	(N=6,223)	(N=151,324)	Students
Minority	1.8%	19.2%	5.2%	0.0%	6.2%	109,949
Non-Minority	2.5%	16.2%	10.4%	0.0%	7.4%	41,375
All MSAP Students	2.0%	18.3%	6.4%	0.0%	6.5%	151,324

Source: 1998-99 Performance Reports, 1998-99 CCD, and Principal Survey 1999-2000, Item 43