Annual Responses: 3,152. Average Burden Per Response: 101 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 318,295. Needs and Uses: DoD contracting officers need this information to evaluate contractor proposals for contract modifications; to determine that a contractor has removed obstructions to navigation; to review contractor requests for payment for mobilization and preparatory work; to determine reasonableness of costs allocated to mobilization and demobilization; and to determine eligibility for the 20 percent evaluation preference for United States firms in the award of some overseas construction contracts.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit and not-for-profit institutions. Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Mr. Lewis Oleinick.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Oleinick at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings, WHS/ESCD/Information Management Division, 1225 South Clark Street, Suite 504, Arlington, VA 22202–4326.

Dated: January 31, 2005.

### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 05-2384 Filed 2-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Office of the Secretary

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received March 10, 2005.

Title, Form, and OMB Number: Medical Information Questionnaire; DSS Form FL 14a; OMB Control Number 0704–0206. Type of Request: Extension.

Number of Respondents: 11,700.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 11,700.

Average Burden Per Response: 0.6

Annual Burden Hours: 7,020.

Needs and Uses: The specific objective of a personnel security investigation is to elicit information concerning the loyalty, character, and reliability of the individual being investigated so that the DoD adjudicator may determine if it is clearly consistent with the interests of national security to grant the individual access to classified information (or to continue such access), or to place the individual (or retain them) in a sensitive national security position. Adjudicative determinations are made in accordance with DoD 5200.2-R, "DoD Personnel Security Program," which requires the DoD adjudicator to consider both potentially disqualifying information and mitigating information when there is an indication that the individual has a history of mental or nervous disorder; use or abuse of prescribed or illegal drugs, such as marijuana, narcotics or barbiturates; or abuse or excessive use of alcohol. Much of the appropriate information which the adjudicator must consider can only be obtained from physicians who have treated the individual. Obtaining such information provides the adjudicator with a complex picture of the individual. Without it, the adjudicator may not be able to make a determination as to whether or not the individual should be granted access to classified information.

Affected Public: Individual or households and business or other forprofit.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

 $OMB\ Desk\ Officer:$  Mr. Lewis Oleinick.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Oleinick at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings, WHS/ESCD/Information Management Division, 1225 South Clark Street, Suite 504, Arlington, VA 22202–4326.

Dated: January 31, 2005.

### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 05-2385 Filed 2-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The Leader, Information
Management Case Services Team,
Regulatory Information Management
Services, Office of the Chief Information
Officer, invites comments on the
proposed information collection
requests as required by the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 11, 2005.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) title; (3) summary of the collection; (4) description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) reporting and/or recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility,

and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: February 2, 2005.

#### Angela C. Arrington,

Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

### Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Type of Review: Extension.

*Title:* Generic Application Package for Discretionary Grant Programs.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Individuals or household; businesses or other forprofit; not-for-profit institutions; State, local, or tribal gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 12,464. Burden Hours: 304,806.

Abstract: This is a generic application package using ED standard forms and instructions, OMB Standard forms and Instructions and EDGAR and statutory criteria. The purpose is to provide a common and easily recognizable format for applicants when applying under discretionary grant programs.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2665. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20202–4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address OCIO\_RIMG@ed.gov or faxed to 202-245-6621. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Sheila Carey at *Sheila.Carey@ed.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. E5-497 Filed 2-7-05; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools; Overview Information Grant Competition To Prevent High-Risk Drinking or Violent Behavior Among College Students; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2005

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.184H.

Dates: Applications Available: February 8, 2005. Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: March 25, 2005. Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: May 24, 2005.

Eligible Applicants: Institutions of higher education (IHEs), consortia thereof, public and private nonprofit organizations, including faith-based organizations, and individuals.

Estimated Available Funds: \$2,500,000. Contingent upon the availability of funds, we may make additional awards in FY 2006 and subsequent years from the list of nonfunded applications from this competition.

Estimated Number of Awards: 20. Estimated Range of Awards: \$100.000—\$150.000.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$125,000.

**Note:** The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 24 months. Projects will be funded for one year with an option for an additional year, contingent upon substantial progress by the grantee and the availability of funds.

### **Full Text of Announcement**

# **I. Funding Opportunity Description**

Purpose of Program: The Grant Competition to Prevent High-Risk Drinking or Violent Behavior Among College Students provides awards to develop or enhance, implement, and evaluate campus- and/or communitybased strategies to prevent high-risk drinking or violent behavior among college students.

Priorities: These priorities are from the notice of final priorities and selection criteria for this program, published in the **Federal Register** on December 27, 2000 (65 FR 82224–82226), and a correction notice published in the **Federal Register** on January 10, 2001 (66 FR 1963) (collectively, the Notice of Final Priorities and Selection Criteria).

Absolute Priorities: For FY 2005 and any subsequent year in which we make awards on the basis of the funding slate from this competition, these priorities are absolute priorities. Under 34 CFR

75.105(c)(3) we consider only applications that meet either of the absolute priorities.

These priorities are:

Absolute Priority One—Develop or Enhance, Implement, and Evaluate Campus- and/or Community-Based Strategies To Prevent High-Risk Drinking Among College Students

Under this priority, applicants are required to:

(1) Identify a specific student population to be served by the grant and provide a justification for its selection;

(2) Provide evidence that a needs assessment has been conducted on campus to document prevalence rates related to high-risk drinking by the population selected;

(3) Set measurable goals and objectives for the proposed project and provide a description of how progress toward achieving goals will be measured annually;

(4) Design and implement prevention strategies, using student input and participation, that research has shown to be effective in preventing high-risk drinking by the target population;

(5) Use a qualified evaluator to design and implement an evaluation of the project using outcomes-based (summative) performance indicators related to behavioral change and process (formative) measures that assess and document the strategies used; and

(6) Demonstrate the ability to start the project within 60 days after receiving federal funding in order to maximize the time available to show impact within the grant period.

Absolute Priority Two—Develop or Enhance, Implement, and Evaluate Campus- and/or Community-Based Strategies To Prevent Violent Behavior Among College Students

Under this priority, applicants are required to:

- (1) Identify a specific student population to be served by the grant and provide a justification for its selection;
- (2) Provide evidence that a needs assessment has been conducted on campus to document prevalence rates related to violent behavior;
- (3) Set measurable goals and objectives for the proposed project and provide a description of how progress toward achieving goals will be measured annually;
- (4) Design and implement prevention strategies, using student input and participation, that research has shown to be effective in preventing violent behavior among college students;
- (5) Use a qualified evaluator to design and implement an evaluation of the