# 4. Natural Gas Statistics

## **Dry Natural Gas**

#### **Proved Reserves**

As of December 31, 2000, U.S. operators had 177,427 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas reserves. This was a 6 percent increase from the 1999 dry natural gas reserves and the largest increase since EIA has been reporting estimates of the Nation's proved gas reserves (**Table 8**). All natural gas proved reserves data shown in this report exclude natural gas held in underground storage.

Most of the reserve increases were in Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah. Oklahoma and the Gulf of Mexico, which had significant gas reserves declines in 1999, rebounded in 2000.

Additions to dry gas reserves in 2000 were 29,240 billion cubic feet, up 31 percent compared to 1999. Operators replaced 152 percent of dry gas production (**Figure 18**). U.S. *total discoveries* of dry natural gas

# Figure 18. Reserve Additions Replace 152% of 2000 U.S. Dry Natural Gas Production.



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

reserves were 19,138 billion cubic feet in 2000, up 77 percent from 1999 (10,807 billion cubic feet).

Proved reserves by State are shown on the map in **Figure 19**. Six areas account for 71 percent of the Nation's dry natural gas proved reserves:

Area	Percent of U.S. Gas Reserves
Texas	23.7
Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore	14.8
New Mexico	9.8
Wyoming	9.1
Oklahoma	7.7
Colorado	5.9
Area Total	71.0

In all six areas, dry natural gas proved reserves increased in 2000.

#### **Discussion of Reserves Changes**

**Figure 20** maps the change in dry gas proved reserves from 1999 to 2000 by area. Here's how the top six areas fared, compared to the total United States:

Area	Change in U.S. Gas Reserves (billion cubic feet)
Texas	+1,925
Gulf of Mexico Federal Offsho	ore +721
New Mexico	+1,873
Wyoming	+1,932
Oklahoma	+1,156
Colorado	+1,441
Area Total	+9,048
U.S. Total	+10,021

**Figure 4** in Chapter 2 shows the components of change in dry natural gas proved reserves for 2000 and the preceding 10 years.

### **Discoveries**

Total discoveries are those reserves attributable to field extensions, new field discoveries, and new reservoir discoveries in old fields; they result from drilling

#### Table 8. Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2000 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

			Changes in Reserves During 2000								
	Published								New Reservoi	r	
State and Subdivision	Proved Reserves 12/31/99	Adjustments (+,−)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (-)	Sales (–)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	Discoveries in Old Fields (+)	Estimated Production (-)	Proved Reserves 12/31/00
Alaska	9 734	23	300	2 093	4 531	4 348	1 949	0	13	506	9 237
Lower 48 States	157 672	-914	22 888	14 133	15 847	20.061	12 838	1 983	2 355	18 713	168 190
Alabama	4 287	-56	74	69	140	20,001	175	1,505	2,000	350	4 149
Arkansas	1 5/2	-3	207	48	336	3/3	1/	0	16	154	1 5 8 1
California	2 297	-3	732	121	51	121	112	7	5	292	2 8/0
Coastal Pagion Onshara	102	-72	14	14	0	22	0	,	0	10	2,043
	162		51	14	0	22	5	0	0	10	102
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	1 051	-7	620	10	51 51	100	107	0	0	0	190
Sall Joaquill Basill Olisilole	1,951	-79	029	91	51	109	107	7	5	200	2,331
	0 007	-10	1 0 0 5	0	1 0 4 0	1 500	0	0	0	750	10 400
	8,987	-88	1,825	360	1,049	1,598	274	0	0	759	10,428
Florida	84	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	82
Kansas	5,753	84	262	350	190	170	58	3	0	491	5,299
Kentucky	1,435	-4	363	//	432	508	2	5	27	67	1,760
	9,242	-107	1,645	1,277	597	758	596	45	377	1,443	9,239
North	3,079	-20	459	298	138	277	301	6	16	384	3,298
South Onshore	5,535	-154	1,079	852	374	383	228	21	311	932	5,245
State Offshore	628	67	107	127	85	98	67	18	50	127	696
Michigan	2,255	217	422	176	479	665	143	15	0	333	2,729
Mississippi	677	-20	84	39	96	35	53	1	1	78	618
Montana	841	13	130	133	9	12	57	0	41	67	885
New Mexico	15,449	18	1,748	684	649	1,087	1,836	11	14	1,508	17,322
East	3,037	-221	641	322	387	587	625	11	13	447	3,537
West	12,412	239	1,107	362	262	500	1,211	0	1	1,061	13,785
New York	221	59	29	12	1	6	20	10	5	15	322
North Dakota	416	21	43	15	7	9	3	0	5	42	433
Ohio	1,179	1	243	156	124	115	4	0	2	79	1,185
Oklahoma	12,543	424	2,498	1,331	1,243	1,325	894	20	42	1,473	13,699
Pennsylvania	1,772	-194	417	184	124	160	11	0	0	117	1,741
Texas	40,157	-1,036	5,797	4,054	4,402	5,873	3,782	303	734	5,072	42,082
Texas RRC District 1	1,008	34	107	62	167	132	32	33	1	86	1,032
Texas RRC District 2 Onshore.	1,881	262	411	533	284	362	176	14	52	361	1,980
Texas RRC District 3 Onshore.	3,913	-102	659	437	190	360	388	88	77	883	3,873
Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.	8,915	122	1,039	1,095	930	1,378	1,032	95	378	1,289	9,645
Texas RRC District 5	2,319	-138	293	222	32	474	738	10	29	303	3,168
Texas RRC District 6	5,857	-251	817	367	280	431	319	5	20	575	5,976
Texas RRC District 7B	416	-160	74	21	31	73	2	13	1	55	312
Texas RRC District 7C	3.178	-437	498	150	350	835	225	0	1	296	3.504
Texas RRC District 8	5.434	-168	864	561	989	1.022	169	4	160	547	5.388
Texas RRC District 8A	1 257	122	197	47	937	594	2	0	0	87	1 101
Texas RRC District 9	1 137	-53	142	21	10	83	492	0	0	144	1 626
Texas RRC District 10	4 4 2 4	-273	597	467	145	93	191	41	4	386	4 079
State Offshore	418	2.0	99	71	57	36	16	0	11	60	398
Litah	3 213	4	177	110	568	1 464	266	0	15	226	4 235
Virginia	2 017	-10	65	295	28	1,101	200	0	9	71	1,200
West Virginia	2,017	-99	668	390	875	798	5	0	33	176	2 900
Wyoming	14 226	20	1 220	753	1 790	1 720	2 496	8	2	1 070	16 159
Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	25 027	-20	1,009	3 105	2 662	3 027	2,400	1 555	∠ 1 ∩07	1,070	26 7/0
Pacific (California)	20,901 536	-20	4,11Z	3,490	2,003	3,UZ7 12	2,040	1,000	1,027	4,019 16	20,140
Gulf of Movies (Leuisiana) <sup>a</sup>	10 500	00	2 244	2746	2 1 1 0	51 7 1 0 1	1 202	1 224	0 700	3 636	10 700
Gulf of Movies (Toyos)	5 050	-232	J,∠II 01E	∠,/40 700	2,118	2,241	1,393	1,234	02 <i>1</i>	3,020 1 1 1 7	13,100
Missellensousb	0,003	109	CI0 -	133	533	101	200	321	200	1,147 Co	0,384 C40
	467 400	-22	) 22.400	46.000	4	J 24 400	44 707	1 000	0	0	42
U.S. 10tal	101,406	-891	∠3,188	10,220	∠0,378	∠4,409	14,/8/	1,983	∠,308	19,∠19	1//,42/

<sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama. <sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

<sup>C</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee. <sup>C</sup>Indicates the estimate is associated with a sampling error (95 percent confidence interval) that exceeds 20 percent of the estimated value. Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves," and Form EIA-64A, "Annual Report of the Origin of Natural Gas Liquids Production." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2000 contained in the *Natural Gas Annual 2000*, DOE/EIA-0131(00).



Figure 20. Changes in Dry Natural Gas Proved Reserves by Area, 1999 to 2000



Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

exploratory wells. *Total discoveries* of dry natural gas reserves were 19,138 billion cubic feet in 2000, a 77 percent increase from the level reported in 1999. About 25 percent of the *total discoveries* were in Texas, 24 percent were in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore, 13 percent were in Wyoming, and 10 percent were in Alaska.

*Extensions* were 14,787 billion cubic feet, more than twice the volume of 1999 and the prior 10-year average (7,119 billion cubic feet). Areas with the largest *extensions* and their percentage of total *extensions* were:

- Texas had 3,782 billion cubic feet of extensions (26 percent of the total)
- Wyoming had 2,486 billion cubic feet (17 percent)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore had 2,045 billion cubic feet (14 percent)
- Alaska had 1,949 billion cubic feet (13 percent)
- New Mexico had 1,836 billion cubic feet (12 percent)
- Oklahoma had 894 billion cubic feet (6 percent).

*New field discoveries* were 1,983 billion cubic feet in 2000—26 percent more than in 1999. The areas with the largest *new field discoveries* were the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (with 1,555 billion cubic feet of new field discoveries, 78 percent of the total), Texas (303 billion cubic feet, 15 percent), and Lousiana (45 billion cubic feet, 2 percent). In the prior 10 years, U.S. operators reported an average of 1,473 billion cubic feet of reserves from *new field discoveries* in 2000 were 35 percent higher than that average.

*New reservoir discoveries in old fields* were 2,368 billion cubic feet, 8 percent higher than 1999. Among the areas with the largest *new reservoir discoveries in old fields* and their percentage of the total were:

- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (1,027 billion cubic feet, 43 percent)
- Texas (734 billion cubic feet, 31 percent)
- Louisiana (377 billion cubic feet, 16 percent).

In the prior 10 years, U.S. operators reported an average of 2,339 billion cubic feet of reserves from *new reservoirs discovered in old fields* per year. Reserves from *new reservoirs discovered in old fields* in 2000 were 1 percent higher than that average.

### **Revisions and Adjustments**

There were 23,188 billion cubic feet of *revision increases*, 16,226 billion cubic feet of *revision decreases*, and -891 billion cubic feet of *adjustments* in 2000. Combined, there were 6,071 billion cubic feet of net revisions and adjustments in 2000, excluding reserves additions from net *sales* and *acquisitions*.

### Sales and Acquisitions

Sales represents that volume of dry natural gas proved reserves deducted from an operator's total through sale of an existing gas field or properties to another operator (not a volume of production "sold" at the wellhead). Similarly, *acquisitions* are that volume of proved reserves added to an operator's total by purchase of an existing gas field or properties.

In 2000, there were 20,378 billion cubic feet of sales transactions between operators, and 24,409 billion cubic feet of acquisitions. The net difference of 4,031 billion cubic feet was added to the National total of dry natural gas reserves in 2000.

### **Production**

The estimated 2000 U.S. dry natural gas production was 19,219 billion cubic feet, an increase of almost 2 percent from 1999 (**Table 8**). Areas with the largest production and their percentage of total *production* were:

- Texas had 5,072 billion cubic feet of production (26 percent of the total)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore had 4,773 billion cubic feet (25 percent)
- New Mexico had 1,508 billion cubic feet (8 percent)
- Oklahoma had 1,473 billion cubic feet (8 percent)
- Louisiana had 1,443 billion cubic feet (8 percent)
- Wyoming had 1,070 billion cubic feet (6 percent).

# Wet Natural Gas

U. S. proved reserves of wet natural gas as of December 31, 2000 were 186,510 billion cubic feet, a 6 percent increase from the volume reported in 1999 (**Table 9**). At year-end 2000, proved wet natural gas reserves for the lower 48 States had increased by 7 percent compared to 1999, while those of Alaska had decreased by 5 percent.

#### Table 9. Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2000 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

			Changes in Reserves During 2000								
	Published								New Reservoi	r	
State and Subdivision	Proved Reserves 12/31/99	Adjustments (+,-)	Revision Increases (+)	Revision Decreases (-)	Sales (–)	Acquisitions (+)	Extensions (+)	New Field Discoveries (+)	Discoveries in Old Fields (+)	Estimated Production (-)	Proved Reserves 12/31/00
Alaska	9 855	-1	301	2 118	4 583	4.398	1 976	0	13	510	9 331
Lower 48 States	166 204	-1 20/	24 002	14 920	16 772	21 242	12 574	2 055	2 450	10 654	177 170
Alabama	4 265	-1,294	24,093	70	10,112	21,243	13,374	2,055	2,450	19,034	4 260
Arkanaaa	4,303	-23	19	70	101	230	104	0	10	371	4,209
Arkansas	. 1,546	-3	208	49	337	343	14	0	16	154	1,584
	. 2,505	-103	/58	126	53	136	116	1	5	293	2,952
Coastal Region Onshore	. 233	0	14	15	0	23	0	0	0	11	244
Los Angeles Basin Onshore.	. 174	-3	54	17	0	0	5	0	0	9	204
San Joaquin Basin Onshore	. 2,021	-83	651	94	53	113	111	7	5	265	2,413
State Offshore	. 77	-17	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	91
Colorado	. 9,372	-125	1,897	374	1,090	1,661	285	0	0	789	10,837
Florida	. 100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	93
Kansas	. 6,248	10	281	375	204	182	63	3	0	526	5,682
Kentucky	. 1,530	-36	379	80	451	530	2	5	28	70	1,837
Louisiana	9,646	-239	1,697	1,318	616	781	612	48	391	1,490	9,512
North	. 3,127	-25	465	302	140	281	305	7	16	390	3,344
South Onshore	5,858	-271	1,121	885	388	398	237	22	323	968	5,447
State Offshore	. 661	57	111	131	88	102	70	19	52	132	721
Michigan	. 2,313	198	428	179	486	676	146	15	0	339	2,772
Mississippi	. 681	-21	84	39	96	35	53	1	1	79	620
Montana	. 851	10	131	134	9	12	58	0	41	68	892
New Mexico	. 16,750	-249	1,890	745	714	1,191	1,981	12	16	1,623	18,509
East	3.366	-182	725	364	438	664	706	12	15	506	3,998
West	13.384	-67	1.165	381	276	527	1.275	0	1	1.117	14.511
New York	221	59	29	12	1	6	20	10	5	15	322
North Dakota	475	16	48	17	7	10	4	0	5	47	487
Obio	1 179	2	244	157	124	115	4	0	2	79	1 186
Oklahoma	13/100	278	2 652	1 / 1 /	1 310	1 406	010	21	11	1 564	1/ 5/3
	1 790	210	2,052	1,414	1,519	1,400	343	21	44	1,304	14,343
	42.250	-211	413	4 2 2 2	120	6 207	4 0 2 0	210	790	E 400	1,740
	43,350	-1,155	0,207	4,332	4,810	0,397	4,039	319	780	5,430	45,419
	. 1,232	-117	115	00	179	142	30	35	1	92	1,100
Texas RRC District 2 Onshore	. 1,974	242	424	551	293	374	181	14	53	3/3	2,045
Texas RRC District 3 Onshore	. 4,132	-154	687	456	198	376	405	92	80	922	4,042
Texas RRC District 4 Onshore	9,351	128	1,090	1,148	976	1,446	1,083	100	396	1,352	10,118
Texas RRC District 5	. 2,350	-136	298	226	32	481	750	10	30	308	3,217
Texas RRC District 6	. 6,107	-135	870	391	298	459	339	5	21	612	6,365
Texas RRC District 7B	. 465	-172	85	24	36	84	2	14	1	63	356
Texas RRC District 7C	. 3,593	-359	587	177	412	984	265	0	1	350	4,132
Texas RRC District 8	. 6,122	-125	983	639	1,126	1,164	193	5	182	623	6,136
Texas RRC District 8A	. 1,557	-35	218	52	1,034	655	2	0	0	96	1,215
Texas RRC District 9	. 1,360	-124	162	24	11	95	560	0	0	164	1,854
Texas RRC District 10	4,688	-176	649	507	158	101	208	44	4	420	4,433
State Offshore	. 419	8	99	71	57	36	16	0	11	61	400
Utah	. 3,371	26	187	117	600	1,546	281	0	16	238	4,472
Virginia	. 2,017	-10	65	295	28	17	0	0	9	71	1,704
West Virginia	3,040	-67	697	407	913	833	6	0	34	184	3,062
Wyoming	14,809	324	1,426	802	1,896	1,832	2,648	8	2	1,140	17,211
Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	26.598	60	4.220	3,590	2.737	3,106	2.096	1.606	1.055	4.947	27,467
Pacific (California)	536	15	86	16	12	13	0	0	0	46	576
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	20 172	-147	3 314	2 836	2 188	2 321	1 440	1 283	854	3 747	20 466
Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	5 890	192	820	738	537	772	656	323	201	1 154	6 425
Miscellaneous <sup>b</sup>	. 0,000 67	-23	7	4	5	112	2	0	0	۰,۱۵4 ۵ <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>42</sub>
U.S. Total	176.159	-1.295	24.394	16.938	21.355	25.641	15.550	2.055	2.463	20,164	186.510

<sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama.

<sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

<sup>c</sup>Indicates the estimate is associated with a sampling error (95 percent confidence interval) that exceeds 20 percent of the estimated value. Note: The prouction estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2000 contained in the Natural Gas Annual 2000, DOE/EIA-0131(00).

#### Table 10. Nonassociated Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, Wet After Lease Separation, 2000 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

		Changes in Reserves During 2000									
	Published								New Reservoi	r	
	Proved Reserves	Adjustments	Revision Increases	Revision Decreases	Sales	Acquisitions	Extensions	New Field Discoveries	Discoveries in Old Fields	Estimated Production	Proved Reserves
State and Subdivision	12/31/99	(+,-)	(+)	()	(-)	. (+)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	12/31/00
Alaska	2,646	145	224	249	842	825	0	0	13	198	2,564
Lower 48 States	142,098	-816	20,281	12,145	13,594	18,226	12,866	1,664	2,198	16,665	154,113
Alabama	4,338	-23	78	68	149	246	184	0	0	365	4,241
Arkansas	1.505	-2	204	48	337	343	14	0	16	150	1.545
California	355	58	371	38	4	63	19	5	5	80	754
Coastal Region Onshore	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles Basin Onshore	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
San Joaquin Basin Onshore	336	72	369	38	4	63	19	5	5	79	748
State Offshore	19	-14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
Colorado	8.591	-81	1.591	362	1.074	1.655	282	0	0	725	9.877
Florida	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kansas	6.196	16	274	366	202	181	58	3	0	519	5.641
Kentucky	1.501	-35	379	80	451	530	2	5	28	69	1.810
Louisiana	8 667	-193	1 498	1 072	539	702	584	36	369	1 348	8 704
North	2 867	-1	416	236	138	279	304	6	12	351	3 158
South Onshore	5 259	-253	1 006	736	325	340	221	21	308	887	4 954
State Offshore	541	61	76	100	76	83	59		49	110	592
Michigan	2 086	165	410	152	473	664	143	15	0	300	2 558
Mississippi	650	-22	78	.02	92	25	49	.0	1	73	585
Montana	784	10	121	125	6	_0	53	0	41	62	822
New Mexico	15 172	-194	1 611	602	473	862	1 932	8	6	1 400	16 922
Fast	1 880	-108	461	230	200	338	666	8	5	294	2 526
West	13 292	-86	1 150	372	273	524	1 266	0	1	1 106	14,396
New York	212	67	28	12	1	5	20	10	5	1,100	320
North Dakota	225	4	4	2	1	1	0	0	5	13	223
Ohio	777	-9	126	117	100	. 84	3	0	1	48	717
Oklahoma	12 252	312	2 458	1 262	1 221	1 312	931	16	44	1 412	13 430
Pennsylvania	1 684	-226	358	167	122	160	3	0	0	107	1 583
Texas	35 470	-953	5 184	3 406	2 947	4 853	3 904	312	745	4 577	38 585
Texas RRC District 1	1 165	-116	102	64	156	121	34	35	1	85	1 037
Texas RRC District 2 Onshore	1,100	216	307	455	257	352	178	13	51	337	1 930
Texas RRC District 3 Onshore	3 218	-90	565	315	179	347	375	90	79	686	3 404
Texas RRC District 4 Onshore	9 169	127	1 054	1 1 2 3	972	1 440	1 082	90	396	1 330	0,404 0 042
Texas RRC District 5	2 301	-137	272	219	21	423	739	q	1	279	3 089
Texas RRC District 6	5 562	-129	850	329	272	443	337	4	21	586	5 901
Texas RRC District 7B	275	-84	63	16	31	62	2	14	1	44	242
Texas RRC District 7C	2 977	-359	456	121	370	886	243	0	1	274	3 4 3 9
Texas RRC District 8	2,017	-42	-00 609	206	483	565	147	4	179	375	3 345
Texas RRC District 8A	2,547	-10	37	5	-00	13	0	- -	0	7	60,040
	1 180	-10	107	16	7	73	550	0	0	128	1 6/5
Texas RRC District 10	1,100	-123	573	468	1/2	02	102	11	0	386	1,040
State Offebore	412	-213	075	400	54	36	152	-++		60	200
	3 050	34	99 144	105	507	1 528	273	0	16	218	1 1 2 5
Virginia	2 017	10	65	205	20	1,520	215	0	10	210	1 704
Wost Virginia	2,017	-10	670	295	20	803	5	0	34	179	2 020
West Virginia	14.006	-77	1 366	750	1 976	1 804	2644	0	2	1 050	16 550
Fodoral Offshore <sup>a</sup>	10 505	324	2 250	2 EUE	1,070	1,004	2,044	0 1 045	∠ 074	2,000	20 450
	19,005	11	3,209	2,090	2,001	2,302	1,701	1,240	011	3,00Z E	20,430
	48	14	19	0	1 570	1 666	1 4 7 0	1 000	U	2 005	15 250
	14,950	-100	2,522	2,065	1,5/6	1,000	1,179	1,083	004	2,905	15,350
	4,507	105	617	050	425	/10	582	162	187	972	5,030
	13	8	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	23
U.S. I Otal	144,744	-671	20,505	12,394	14,436	19,051	12,866	1,664	2,211	16,863	156,677

<sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama. <sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Note: The prouction estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2000 contained in the Natural Gas Annual 2000, DOE/EIA-0131(00).

#### Table 11. Associated-Dissolved Natural Gas Proved Reserves, Reserves Changes, and Production, 2000 (Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

Publicitation Basies and Subdivision    Publicitation Period (n)    Revision (n)    Revision Period (n)    New Reservoir Period (n)    New Reservoir Period (n)    New Reservoir Period (n)    Period Period (n)    Period (n)    Period Period (n)    Period (n)    Perio		Changes in Reserves During 2000										
Proved Bate and Subdivision    Provide 123799    Revision (r)    Provide (r)    Revision (r)    New Field (r)    <		Published					-			New Reservoi	r	
Baska    123/169    (c-)    (c)		Proved	Adjustments	Revision	Revision	Salas	Acquisitions	Extensions	New Field	Discoveries	Estimated	Proved Reserves
Abska    7.209    -145    77    1.869    3,741    5,753    1.976    0    0    9.312    5,767      Lower 48 States    27    1    1    2    2    10    0    0    0    0    0    2.987    2.3085      Advamas	State and Subdivision	12/31/99	(+,-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	12/31/00
Lower 48 States    24.206    4.60    2.677    3.180    3.01    TOT    397    24.9    2.987    2.987      Arkanas    27    1    1    2    2    10    0    0    0    6    23      Arkanas    2150    160    387    89    449    72    97    2    0    0    212    2.183      Coatal Region Onshore    174    -3    35    17    0    0    5    0    0    16    165      San Jocquin Basin Onshore    1781    -43    306    12    17    6    3    0	Alaska	7,209	-145	77	1,869	3,741	3,573	1,976	0	0	312	6,768
Alabaras.  27  1  1  2  2  10  0  0  0  0  2  2  14    Caltornia  2:150  160  387  89  49  72  87  2  0  0  10  212  2,180    Coastal Region Onshore  174  -3  553  17  0  0  5  0  0  9  223    San Loaquin Basin Onshore  1685  153  282  57  49  49  92  2  0  186  1865    State Offshore  781  -43  336  12  17  6  3  0  0  0  0  0  7  48    Colorado  781  -43  306  12  7  80  28  11  22  142  80  7  43  12  31  10  4  39  166  5  58  16  1  15  24  28  49  43  32  12  19  11  10  3  21 <td< td=""><td>Lower 48 States</td><td>24,206</td><td>-460</td><td>3,807</td><td>2,677</td><td>3,180</td><td>3,013</td><td>707</td><td>387</td><td>249</td><td>2,987</td><td>23,065</td></td<>	Lower 48 States	24,206	-460	3,807	2,677	3,180	3,013	707	387	249	2,987	23,065
Arkanass.	Alabama	27	1	1	2	2	10	0	0	0	6	29
California  2,160  -160  387  89  40  72  97  2  0  212  2,198    Coastal Region Orshore  174  -3  53  17  0  0  5  0  0  9  243    San Joaquin Basin Onshore  1,685  -153  282  57  49  49  92  2  0  168  1,685    State Offshore  -58  -3  38  0	Arkansas	41	-1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	39
Coastal Region Onshore  23  1  14  15  0  23  0  0  10  244    Los Angeles Basin Onshore  1,685  -153  282  57  49  49  92  2  0  186  1,685    State Orshore  68  -3  38  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  7  486    Colarado  100  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  7  440    Kentucky  29  -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  112  242  807    Kentucky  29  -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  11  212  242  142  807  116  149  63  53  16  11  15  242  142  807  11  10  0  11  15  14  23  55  16  11  115	California	2,150	-160	387	89	49	72	97	2	0	212	2,198
Los Angeles Basin Onshore.  17,4  -3  53  17  0  0  5  0  0  9  22    San Josquin Basin Onshore.  58  -153  282  57  49  49  92  2  0  186  1,665    State Ofshore  781  -43  306  12  17  6  3  0  0  0  7  48    Forda  100  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  7  40    Kenucky  29  -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  27  14  40  0  7  40    Kenucky  29  -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  12  14  83  16  1  15  12  14  80  16  1  15  82  492  14  12  17  13  12  3  10  3  11  14  15  14  12  113 <td>Coastal Region Onshore</td> <td>233</td> <td>-1</td> <td>14</td> <td>15</td> <td>0</td> <td>23</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>244</td>	Coastal Region Onshore	233	-1	14	15	0	23	0	0	0	10	244
San Janquin Basin Onshore.  1,685  -153  282  57  49  49  92  2  0  166  1,665    State Olfshore.  58  -3  38  0  <	Los Angeles Basin Onshore	174	-3	53	17	0	0	5	0	0	9	203
State Offshore    58    -3    38    0    0    0    0    0    0    76    66      Colorado    761    -4.3    306    12    17    6    3    0    0    64    960      Kansas    .52    -6    7    9    2    1    4    0    0    7    40      Kentucky    .29    -1    0    0    0    0    0    0    1    27      Louisiana    .979    -45    199    248    77    80    28    11    22    142    807      North    .260    -23    49    67    2    3    10    4    39    168      South Onshore    .120    .4    35    32    12    19    11    10    3    21    12      Michigan    .227    33    14    4    0    24    39    0    0    11 <td>San Joaquin Basin Onshore</td> <td>1.685</td> <td>-153</td> <td>282</td> <td>57</td> <td>49</td> <td>49</td> <td>92</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>186</td> <td>1.665</td>	San Joaquin Basin Onshore	1.685	-153	282	57	49	49	92	2	0	186	1.665
Colorado    781    -43    306    12    17    6    3    0    0    64    900      Florida    100    0<	State Offshore	58	-3	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	86
Florida  100  1  127    Louisiana  979  -45  199  248  77  80  28  11  12  3  1  0  4  39  188    South Onshore  120  -4  35  32  12  19  11  10  3  21  129  129  11  10  3  21  129  11  10  3  21  129  144  16  7  3  11  4  0  0  3  21  129  141  148  123  143  240  329  145  123  142  143  240  23  15  15  15  12  143	Colorado	781	-43	306	12	17	6	3	0	0	64	960
Kansas.  52  -6  7  9  2  1  4  0  0  1  27    Kentucky  29  -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  22  142  807    North  260  -23  49  67  2  3  1  0  4  39  186    South Onshore  599  -18  115  149  63  58  16  1  15  82  422  422    State Offshore  120  -4  35  32  12  19  11  10  3  21  129    Michigan  27  33  18  27  13  12  3  0  0  6  70  9  4  9  223  1588  5  1578  54  279  143  238  326  40  4  9  212  1,473    New Maxico  1578  554  279  143  238  326  40  4 <t< td=""><td>Florida</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>93</td></t<>	Florida	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	93
Rentucky  25  -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  27    Louisian  979  45  199  248  77  80  28  11  22  142  807    North  260  -23  49  67  2  3  1  0  4  39  186    Suth Orshore  120  -4  35  32  12  19  11  10  3  21  129    Michigan  227  33  18  27  13  12  3  0  0  6  70    North <t< td=""><td>Kansas</td><td>52</td><td>-6</td><td>7</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>40</td></t<>	Kansas	52	-6	7	9	2	1	4	0	0	7	40
Louisian979451992487780281122142807North260-2349672310439166South Onshore599-1811514963581611582492State Offshore-120-4353212191110321129Michigan2273318271312300635Michigan67191026500670New Mexico1.5785427914324032949492121.588East1.486-7226413423832640492121.578West9-80001000214North Dakota2501444167940031426Ohio-1118402431200115157Pansylvania96216117337001157Texas RRC District 167-1132222100768Texas RRC District 167-11322231236156	Kentucky	29	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	27
Loundmann  23  49  67  23  10  20  11  12  14,4  16  17  14,2  14,4  16,7  14,4  16,7  14,4  16,7  14,4  16,7  14,4  16,7  14,4  16,7  14,4  16,7  14,4  10,0  11,1  11,1  15,9  14,4  16,7  14,4		070	-15	100	2/8	77	80	28	11	22	1/12	807
North 12004.040402510458149State Offshore120-435321219111032112Michigan227331827131230039214Mississippi31-167311400635Montana67191026500675New Mexico1.5765427914324032949492121.473West92181592390011 </td <td>North</td> <td>260</td> <td>-40</td> <td>100</td> <td>67</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>20</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>30</td> <td>186</td>	North	260	-40	100	67	2	3	20	0	1	30	186
Sound Onshorder	South Openare	500	-20	115	140	62	5	16	1	15	00	402
State Onshole    120    14    35    32    12    13    11    10    3    21    12      Michigan    22    33    18    27    13    12    3    0    0    39    214      Mississippi    31    -1    6    7    3    11    4    0    0    6    35      Montana    67    1    9    10    2    6    5    0    0    6    70      New Mexico    1.578    -52    279    143    240    329    40    4    9    212    1.478      West    92    18    15    9    2    3    9    0    0    11    115      New York    9    -8    0    0    0    14    44    16    7    9    4    0    0    31    426      Ohio    11    18    7    3    7 </td <td>State Offebore</td> <td>120</td> <td>-10</td> <td>25</td> <td>149</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>02</td> <td>492</td>	State Offebore	120	-10	25	149	10	10	10	10	10	02	492
Michagan    227    33    16    27    13    12    3    0    0    53    21      Mississipi	Michigan	120	-4	10	32	12	19	2	10	3	20	129
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		221	33	10	21	13	12	3	0	0	39	214
Montana		31	-1	6	1	3	11	4	0	0	6	35
New Mexico  1,5/8  -54  2/9  143  240  329  49  4  9  223  1,5/8    East  1,4/66  -72  264  134  238  326  40  4  9  212  1,4/3    West  92  18  15  9  2  3  9  0  0  11  115    New York  9  -8  0  0  0  1  0  0  0  34  264    Ohth Dakota  250  14  44  16  7  9  4  0  0  31  469    Oklahoma  1328  -36  194  152  98  5  18  5  1  152  1,113    Pensylvania  96  21  61  17  3  3  7  0  0  1  157    Texas RC District 1  .67  -1  13  2  22  21  0  0  0  22  168  154  156  533  858  6,833		67	1	9	10	2	6	5	0	0	6	70
Least  1,446  -72  264  134  228  326  40  4  9  212  1,473    West  92  18  15  9  2  3  9  0  0  11  115    New York  250  14  44  16  7  9  4  0  0  34  264    Ohio  402  11  118  40  24  31  2  0  0  31  469    Oklahoma  1,238  -36  194  152  98  95  18  5  1  152  1,113    Pennsylvania  96  21  61  17  3  3  7  0  0  11  157    Texas  7,880  -196  1,080  926  1,865  1,544  136  5  33  858  6,833    Texas RC District 2 Onshore.  202  25  27  95  36  22  3  1  2  36  115    Texas RC District 3 Onshore.  142		1,578	-54	279	143	240	329	49	4	9	223	1,588
west		1,486	-72	264	134	238	326	40	4	9	212	1,473
New York  9  -8  0  0  1  0  0  0  2    North Dakota  250  14  44  16  7  9  4  0  0  34  264    Ohio  11  118  40  24  31  2  0  0  31  469    Oklahoma  1,238  -36  194  152  98  95  18  5  1  152  1,113    Pennsylvania	West	92	18	15	9	2	3	9	0	0	11	115
North Dakota  250  14  44  16  7  9  4  0  0  34  264    Ohio  402  11  118  40  24  31  2  0  0  31  469    Oklahoma  1,238  -36  194  152  98  95  18  5  1  152  1113    Pennsylvania	New York	9	-8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Ohio    402    11    118    40    24    31    2    0    0    31    469      Oklahoma    1,238    -36    194    152    98    95    18    5    1    152    1,113      Pennsylvania	North Dakota	250	14	44	16	7	9	4	0	0	34	264
Oklahoma  1,238  -36  194  152  98  95  18  5  1  152  1,113    Pennsylvania  96  21  61  17  3  3  7  0  0  11  157    Texas  7,880  -196  1,080  926  1,865  1,544  136  5  33  858  6,833    Texas  RC District 2 Onshore  202  25  27  95  36  22  3  1  2  36  115    Texas RC District 2 Onshore  914  -64  123  142  20  30  30  2  1  236  638    Texas RRC District 5	Ohio	402	11	118	40	24	31	2	0	0	31	469
Pennsylvania  96  21  61  17  3  3  7  0  0  11  157    Texas  7,880  -196  1,080  926  1,865  1,544  136  5  33  858  6,833    Texas RRC District 1	Oklahoma	1,238	-36	194	152	98	95	18	5	1	152	1,113
Texas7,880-1961,0809261,8651,5441365338586,833TexasRRC District 1000769TexasRRC District 2 Onshore.202252795362231236115TexasRRC District 3 Onshore.914-6412314220303021236638TexasRRC District 3 Onshore.182236254610022176TexasRRC District 6	Pennsylvania	96	21	61	17	3	3	7	0	0	11	157
Texas RRC District 167-11322221000769Texas RRC District 2 Onshore.202252795362231236115Texas RRC District 3 Onshore.914-6412314220303021236638Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.182236254610022176Texas RRC District 5	Texas	7,880	-196	1,080	926	1,865	1,544	136	5	33	858	6,833
Texas RRC District 2 Onshore.  202  25  27  95  36  22  3  1  2  36  115    Texas RRC District 3 Onshore.  914  -64  123  142  20  30  30  2  1  236  638    Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.  182  2  36  25  7  11  58  11  1  28  29  128    Texas RRC District 5  49  3  25  7  11  58  11  1  28  29  128    Texas RRC District 6  545  -5  20  62  26  16  3  0  0  19  114    Texas RRC District 7B  190  -88  21  8  5  22  1  0  0  19  114    Texas RRC District 7C  616  1  130  56  43  98  23  0  0  76  693    Texas RRC District 8  3,175  -81  375  433  643  598  45  1  2	Texas RRC District 1	67	-1	13	2	22	21	0	0	0	7	69
Texas RRC District 3 Onshore.  914  -64  123  142  20  30  30  2  1  236  638    Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.  182  2  36  25  4  6  1  0  0  22  176    Texas RRC District 5 49  3  25  7  11  58  11  1  28  29  128    Texas RRC District 6	Texas RRC District 2 Onshore.	202	25	27	95	36	22	3	1	2	36	115
Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.182236254610022176Texas RRC District 5 49325711581112829128Texas RRC District 6	Texas RRC District 3 Onshore.	914	-64	123	142	20	30	30	2	1	236	638
Texas RRC District 549325711581112829128Texas RRC District 6 $545$ $-5$ 20 $62$ $26$ $16$ $3$ 0027 $464$ Texas RRC District 7B $190$ $-88$ $21$ $8$ $5$ $22$ $1$ 00 $19$ $114$ Texas RRC District 7C $616$ $1$ $130$ $56$ $43$ $98$ $23$ 00 $76$ $693$ Texas RRC District 8 $3,175$ $-81$ $375$ $433$ $643$ $598$ $45$ $1$ $2$ $248$ $2,791$ Texas RRC District 8 $1,513$ $-24$ $180$ $47$ $1,030$ $642$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $89$ $1,146$ Texas RRC District 9 $180$ $-1$ $54$ $8$ $5$ $22$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $35$ $209$ Texas RRC District 10 $241$ $36$ $76$ $39$ $16$ $9$ $16$ $0$ $34$ $289$ State Offshore $6$ $1$ $0$ $2$ $4$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $1$ Utah $321$ $-8$ $42$ $11$ $3$ $19$ $8$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Wyoming $713$ $0$ $60$ $43$ $20$ $28$ $4$ $0$ $0$ $90$ $652$ Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup> $7,093$ $49$ $962$ $894$ $736$ $723$ $335$ $360$ <td< td=""><td>Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.</td><td>182</td><td>2</td><td>36</td><td>25</td><td>4</td><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>22</td><td>176</td></td<>	Texas RRC District 4 Onshore.	182	2	36	25	4	6	1	0	0	22	176
Texas RRC District 6	Texas RRC District 5	49	3	25	7	11	58	11	1	28	29	128
Texas RRC District 7B  190 88  21  8  5  22  1  0  0  19  114    Texas RRC District 7C  616  1  130  56  43  98  23  0  0  76  693    Texas RRC District 8  3,175  -81  375  433  643  598  45  1  2  248  2,791    Texas RRC District 8  1,513  -24  180  47  1,030  642  1  0  0  89  1,146    Texas RRC District 9  180  -1  54  8  5  22  2  0  0  35  209    Texas RRC District 10  241  36  76  39  16  9  16  0  0  34  289    State Offshore  321  -8  42  11  3  19  8  0  20  348    Virginia  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0	Texas RRC District 6	545	-5	20	62	26	16	3	0	0	27	464
Texas RRC District 7C  616  1  130  56  43  98  23  0  0  76  693    Texas RRC District 8	Texas RRC District 7B	190	-88	21	8	5	22	1	0	0	19	114
Texas RRC District 8	Texas RRC District 7C	616	1	130	56	43	98	23	0	0	76	693
Texas RRC District 8A  1,513  -24  180  47  1,030  642  1  0  0  89  1,146    Texas RRC District 9  180  -1  54  8  5  22  2  0  0  35  209    Texas RRC District 10  241  36  76  39  16  9  16  0  0  34  289    State Offshore  6  1  0  2  4  0  0  0  0  1  1    Utah  321  -8  42  11  3  19  8  0  0  0  1  0  2  348  349  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  348  349  360  30  30  30  30  30  30  360  348  348  348  348  320  28  4  360  360  360  364  360  360  <	Texas RRC District 8	3,175	-81	375	433	643	598	45	1	2	248	2,791
Texas RRC District 9180-154852220035209Texas RRC District 10241367639169160034289State Offshore6102400001Utah321-8421131980020348Virginia00000000000West Virginia88027261429000698Wyoming71306043202840090652Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup> 7,093499628947367233353601841,0667,010Pacific (California)48816716121300041500Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222417927706126542611991708425,115	Texas RRC District 8A	1,513	-24	180	47	1,030	642	1	0	0	89	1,146
Texas RRC District 10  241  36  76  39  16  9  16  0  0  34  289    State Offshore  6  1  0  2  4  0  0  0  0  1    Utah  321  -8  42  11  3  19  8  0  0  20  348    Virginia  0	Texas RRC District 9	180	-1	54	8	5	22	2	0	0	35	209
State Offshore  6  1  0  2  4  0  0  0  0  1    Utah  321  -8  42  11  3  19  8  0  0  20  348    Virginia  0  1  0  0  0  1  10  0  0  0  1  10  0  0  1 <td>Texas RRC District 10</td> <td>241</td> <td>36</td> <td>76</td> <td>39</td> <td>16</td> <td>9</td> <td>16</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>34</td> <td>289</td>	Texas RRC District 10	241	36	76	39	16	9	16	0	0	34	289
Utah  321  -8  42  11  3  19  8  0  0  20  348    Virginia  0  1  500  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 </td <td>State Offshore</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>	State Offshore	6	1	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	1
Virginia  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0    West Virginia  88  0  27  26  14  29  0  0  0  6  98    Wyoming  713  0  60  43  20  28  4  0  0  90  652    Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup> 7,093  49  962  894  736  723  335  360  184  1,066  7,010    Pacific (California)  488  1  67  16  12  13  0  0  0  41  500    Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222  41  792  770  612  654  261  199  170  842  5,115	Utah	321	-8	42	11	3	19	8	0	0	20	348
West Virginia  88  0  27  26  14  29  0  0  0  6  98    Wyoming  713  0  60  43  20  28  4  0  0  90  652    Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup> 7,093  49  962  894  736  723  335  360  184  1,066  7,010    Pacific (California)  488  1  67  16  12  13  0  0  0  41  500    Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222  41  792  770  612  654  261  199  170  842  5,115	Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming  713  0  60  43  20  28  4  0  0  90  652    Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup> 7,093  49  962  894  736  723  335  360  184  1,066  7,010    Pacific (California)  488  1  67  16  12  13  0  0  0  41  500    Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222  41  792  770  612  654  261  199  170  842  5,115	West Virginia	88	0	27	26	14	29	0	0	0	6	98
Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup> 7,093  49  962  894  736  723  335  360  184  1,066  7,010    Pacific (California)  488  1  67  16  12  13  0  0  0  41  500    Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222  41  792  770  612  654  261  199  170  842  5,115	Wyoming	713	0	60	43	20	28	4	0	0	90	652
Pacific (California)    488    1    67    16    12    13    0    0    41    500      Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222    41    792    770    612    654    261    199    170    842    5,115	Federal Offshore <sup>a</sup>	7 093	49	962	894	736	723	335	360	184	1,066	7 010
Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup> 5,222 41 792 770 612 654 261 199 170 842 5,115	Pacific (California)	488	1	67	16	12	13	000	0	0	41	500
	Gulf of Mexico (Louisiana) <sup>a</sup>	5 222	41	702	770	612	654	261	100	170	842	5 115
Gulf of Mexico (Texas) 1 383 7 103 108 112 56 7/ 161 1/ 192 1 305	Gulf of Mexico (Texas)	1 382	-+ 1	102	108	112	56	7/	161	1/	182	1 305
	Miscollanoous <sup>b</sup>	1,303	1 04	103	100	11Z	30	.4	0	14	103	1,595
INISCENENEOUS		31 415	-01 -605	3 884	4 546	0 6 0 2 1	4 6 586	2 683	387	249	∠ 3 200	19 20 833

<sup>a</sup>Includes Federal offshore Alabama. <sup>b</sup>Includes Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

Note: The production estimates in this table are based on data reported on Form EIA-23, "Annual Survey of Domestic Oil and Gas Reserves." They may differ from the official Energy Information Administration production data for natural gas for 2000 contained in the Natural Gas Annual 2000, DOE/EIA-0131(00).

The volumetric differences between the estimates reported in **Table 8** (dry) and **Table 9** (wet) result from the removal of natural gas liquids at natural gas processing plants. A discussion of the methodology used to generate wet and dry natural gas reserves tables in this report appears in Appendix F.

# **Nonassociated Natural Gas**

### **Proved Reserves**

Proved reserves of nonassociated (NA) natural gas, wet after lease separation, in the United States increased by 8 percent (11,933 billion cubic feet) in 2000 to 156,677 billion cubic feet (**Table 10**). The lower 48 States' NA wet natural gas proved reserves increased 8 percent to a level of 154,113 billion cubic feet, while Alaska had a 3 percent decline to a level of 2,564 billion cubic feet of NA wet natural gas proved reserves in 2000. Those States with the largest increases in NA wet natural gas reserves were Texas, Wyoming, New Mexico, Colorado, and Oklahoma.

### **Discoveries**

NA wet natural gas *total discoveries* of 16,741 billion cubic feet in 2000 increased 69 percent compared to 1999's total of 9,884 billion cubic feet. Areas with the most *total discoveries* in 2000 were Texas (4,961 billion cubic feet), the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (3,877 billion cubic feet), Wyoming (2,654 billion cubic feet), and New Mexico (1,946 billion cubic feet).

### Production

U.S. production of NA wet natural gas increased 2 percent from an estimated 16,543 billion cubic feet in 1999 to 16,863 billion cubic feet in 2000. The five leading producing areas were: Texas (27 percent), the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (23 percent), Oklahoma (8 percent), New Mexico (8 percent), and Louisiana (8 percent).

# **Associated-Dissolved Natural Gas**

### **Proved Reserves**

Proved reserves of associated-dissolved (AD) natural gas, wet after lease separation, in the United States declined 5 percent (-1,582 billion cubic feet) to 29,833

billion cubic feet in 2000 (**Table 11**). Proved reserves of AD wet natural gas in the lower 48 States decreased by 5 percent (-1,141 billion cubic feet) to 23,065 billion cubic feet, and in Alaska declined 6 percent (-441 billion cubic feet) to 6,768 billion cubic feet in 2000. Those areas of the country with the largest AD wet natural gas reserves and their percentage of the total were:

- Texas (23 percent)
- Alaska (23 percent)
- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (22 percent)
- California (7 percent)
- New Mexico (5 percent).

These areas logically correspond to the areas of the country with the largest volumes of crude oil reserves.

## Production

U.S. production of AD wet natural gas decreased slightly from an estimated 3,313 billion cubic feet in 1999 to 3,299 billion cubic feet in 2000 (**Table 11**). Production of AD wet natural gas in the lower 48 States decreased from 3,050 billion cubic feet to 2,987 billion cubic feet in 2000, a decline of 2 percent. Those areas of the country with the largest AD wet natural gas production and their percentage of the total were:

- Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore (31 percent)
- Texas (26 percent)
- Alaska (9 percent)
- New Mexico (7 percent)
- California (6 percent).

Again, these areas logically correspond to the areas of the country with the largest volumes of crude oil production.

# **Coalbed Methane**

### **Proved Reserves**

In 2000, proved reserves of coalbed methane increased to 15,708 billion cubic feet, a 19 percent increase from 1999's level (13,229 billion cubic feet). Coalbed methane accounted for 9 percent of all 2000 dry natural gas reserves (**Table 12**). EIA estimates that the 2000 proved gas reserves of fields identified as having coalbed methane are now more than quadruple the volume reported in 1989 (**Figure 21**). Three States (New Mexico, Colorado, and Alabama) currently have the majority

			•		,				
			New			Eastern	Western		United
Year	Alabama	Colorado	Mexico	Utah	Wyoming	States <sup>a</sup>	States <sup>▶</sup>	Others <sup>c</sup>	States
				R	eserves				
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	537 1,224 1,714 1,968 1,237 976 972 823 1,077 1,029 1,060	1,117 1,320 2,076 2,716 3,107 2,913 3,461 3,711 3,890 4,211 4,826 5,617	2,022 2,510 4,206 4,724 4,775 4,137 4,299 4,180 4,351 4,232 4,080 4,070	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	0 33 167 626 1,065 1,686 1,767 1,852 2,144 2,707 3,263	3,676 5,087 8,163 10,034 10,184 9,712 10,499 10,566 11,462 12,179 13,229
2000	1,241	5,017	4,270	1,392 Dr	1,540	1,399	41		15,706
				FIG	Junction				
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	23 36 68 89 103 108 109 98 111 123 108 109	12 26 48 82 125 179 226 274 312 401 432 451	56 133 229 358 486 530 574 575 597 571 582 550	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 74	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 133	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA S8	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA A 4	0 1 3 10 18 34 47 56 70 99 130	91 196 348 539 752 851 956 1,003 1,090 1,194 1,252 1,379

#### Table 12. Coalbed Methane Proved Reserves and Production for 1989–2000

(Billion Cubic Feet at 14.73 psia and 60° Fahrenheit)

<sup>a</sup>Includes Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.

<sup>b</sup>Includes Kansas, Montana, and Oklahoma.

<sup>C</sup>Includes Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wyoming; these states are individually listed or grouped in Eastern States and Western States for 2000.

NA -- Not available.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.



Figure 21. Coalbed Methane Proved Reserves

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil and Gas.

(71 percent) of U.S. Coalbed methane proved reserves. Estimates of proved coalbed methane reserves increased 16 percent in Colorado (+791 billion cubic feet), 5 percent in New Mexico (+198 billion cubic feet), and 17 percent in Alabama (+181 billion cubic feet) in 2000.

### **Production**

U.S. coalbed methane production grew 10 percent in 2000 to 1,379 billion cubic feet—about 7 percent of U.S. dry gas production.

# Areas of Note: Large Discoveries and Reserves Additions

The following State or area discussions summarize notable activities during the year concerning expected new field reserves, development plans, and possible production rates as extracted from various trade publications and company reports. The citations do not necessarily reflect EIA's concurrence, but are considered important enough to be brought to the reader's attention.

## Wyoming

The State of Wyoming had the largest increase in dry natural gas proved reserves of any state in 2000. Wyoming dry natural gas reserves increased by 1,932 billion cubic feet. This was the result of development of coalbed methane fields in the Powder River Basin and other existing natural gas fields.

**Jonah Field:** Alberta Energy Corporation announced on May 2, 2000, that its subsidiary, AEC Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. had acquired McMurray Oil Company and other private interests (McMurry et al) -- the companies that owned a major interest in the Jonah Field in Wyoming. Geologically, the Jonah field consists of deep, low permeability, high-pressure gas trapped in over 3,000 feet of multi-zone sands. Production was 140 million cubic feet per day in 2000, and AEC plans further development that would raise production to 180 million per day in 2001, and to 220 million in 2002. [41]

### Texas

Texas had a net increase of 1,925 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas proved reserves in 2000. Development of gas fields in the Barnett Shale and the Lobo Trend boosted reserves additions for this State. Texas could have had the largest increase in dry gas proved reserves in 2000, but a decrease in its associated dissolved gas reserves volume offset reserves additions of nonassociated gas.

**Barnett Shale and Lobo Trend**: The Barnett shale is located in the Fort Worth Basin, in Texas RRC District 5. Light sand fracture technology has produced dramatic results in the Barnett Shale play in North Texas, an area estimated by a 1998 U.S. Geological Survey to hold 10 trillion cubic feet of recoverable resources, the equivalent of a 1.67 billion barrel oil field. This technology has made it economic to expand the limits of Mitchell Energy and Development Corporation's Newark East Barnett field and to increase the recoverable reserves from each well. Based on current engineering evaluations, the field contains 2,460 proved, probable and possible undrilled well locations on 55-acre spacing. The company's drilling plans for 2001 call for a total of 405 new wells, including 296 in the Barnett, an increase of 82 percent over last year's pace. {42}

The Lobo Trend is located in the lower Rio Grande Valley of south Texas (RRC District 4). The trend occurs primarily in Webb and Zapata counties and contains four producing horizons, the Wilcox, Expanded Wilcox, Frio, and Lobo. Unlike some other parts of the country, one or two fields do not dominate the area. RRC District 4 increased its dry natural gas reserves by 730 billion cubic feet in 2000. This district accounts for 23 percent of all reserves of dry natural gas in the State and leads the State in gas production (25 percent of the State total). RRC District 4's dry gas production decreased 4 percent from 1999 to 2000.

### **New Mexico**

New Mexico had a net increase of 1,873 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas proved reserves in 2000. Development of coalbed methane fields in the San Juan Basin and other existing conventional gas fields boosted the reserves additions for this State.

## Colorado

Colorado had a net increase of 1,441 billion cubic feet of dry natural gas proved reserves in 2000. This was the result of development of coalbed methane fields and gas fields within the San Juan, Piceance, and Raton Basins.

# Areas of Note: Large Reserves Declines

The following areas had large declines in dry natural gas proved reserves due to downward revisions or unreplaced production.

### Alaska

Alaska's proved dry natural gas reserves decreased by 5 percent (497 billion cubic feet) in 2000. Production increased from 459 billion cubic feet in 1999 to 506 billion cubic feet in 2000.

### Kansas

Kansas' proved dry natural gas reserves decreased by 8 percent (454 billion cubic feet) in 2000. Production in Kansas increased 1 percent in 2000.

# Reserves in Nonproducing Reservoirs

Nonproducing proved natural gas reserves (wet after lease separation) of 42,834 billion cubic feet were reported in 2000, 16 percent more than the 36,873 billion cubic feet reported in 1999 (**Appendix D, Table**  **D10**). About 27 percent of the reserves in nonproducing reservoirs are located in the Gulf of Mexico Federal Offshore area. Much of the new deepwater reserves are in the nonproducing category. Wells or reservoirs are nonproducing due to any of several operational reasons. These include:

- waiting for well workovers
- waiting for additional development or replacement wells to be drilled
- production or pipeline facilities not yet installed
- awaiting depletion of other zones or reservoirs before recompletion in reservoirs not currently open to production (called "behind pipe" reserves).