2005-2006 No Child Left Behind - Blue Ribbon Schools Program

U.S. Department of Education

Cover Sheet Type of School:	(Check all that apply) \underline{X} Elementary $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	Middle High K-12Charter
Name of Principal Mrs. Patricia H. (Specify: Ms., Miss,	. Andrews Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other) (As it should appear in the	official records)
Official School Name Davis Drive (As it	e Elementary School should appear in the official records)	
School Mailing Address_ 2151 Day (If add	dress is P.O. Box, also include street address)	
Cary	NC	27519-9276
City	State	Zip Code+4 (9 digits total)
County Wake County	State School Code Numb	per*920-390
Telephone (919) 387-2130	Fax (919) 387-2132	
Website/URL davisdrive.wcpss.net	E-mail davisdrive	eelem@wcpss.net
I have reviewed the information in the certify that to the best of my knowled		ility requirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(Principal's Signature) Name of Superintendent* Mr. Will	liam McNeal	
	ify: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other)	
District Name Wake County Publ	lic School System Tel. (9	19) 850-1700
I have reviewed the information in the certify that to the best of my knowled		ility requirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(Superintendent's Signature)		
Name of School Board President/Chairperson Mrs. Patti I (Speci	Head ify: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other)	
I have reviewed the information in certify that to the best of my knowled		ity requirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(School Board President's/Chairperson's	s Signature)	
*Private Schools: If the information requeste	ed is not applicable, write N/A in the space.	

2005-2006 Application Page 1 of 17

PART I - ELIGIBILITY CERTIFICATION

[Include this page in the school's application as page 2.]

The signatures on the first page of this application certify that each of the statements below concerning the school's eligibility and compliance with U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights (OCR) requirements is true and correct.

- 1. The school has some configuration that includes grades K-12. (Schools with one principal, even K-12 schools, must apply as an entire school.)
- 2. The school has not been in school improvement status or been identified by the state as "persistently dangerous" within the last two years. To meet final eligibility, the school must meet the state's adequate yearly progress requirement in the 2005-2006 school year.
- 3. If the school includes grades 7 or higher, it has foreign language as a part of its core curriculum.
- 4. The school has been in existence for five full years, that is, from at least September 2000 and has not received the 2003, 2004, or 2005 *No Child Left Behind Blue Ribbon Schools Award.*
- 5. The nominated school or district is not refusing the OCR access to information necessary to investigate a civil rights complaint or to conduct a district-wide compliance review.
- 6. The OCR has not issued a violation letter of findings to the school district concluding that the nominated school or the district as a whole has violated one or more of the civil rights statutes. A violation letter of findings will not be considered outstanding if the OCR has accepted a corrective action plan from the district to remedy the violation.
- 7. The U.S. Department of Justice does not have a pending suit alleging that the nominated school, or the school district as a whole, has violated one or more of the civil rights statutes or the Constitution's equal protection clause.
- 8. There are no findings of violations of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in a U.S. Department of Education monitoring report that apply to the school or school district in question; or if there are such findings, the state or district has corrected, or agreed to correct, the findings.

PART II - DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

All data are the most recent year available.

DISTRICT (Questions 1-2 not applicable to private schools)

- 1. Number of schools in the district: <u>84</u> Elementary schools
 - 28 Middle schools
 - __0_ Junior high schools
 - __17_ High schools
 - ___3__Other

132 TOTAL

2. District Per Pupil Expenditure: _\$7,042.00 ____

Average State Per Pupil Expenditure: \$4,350.00

SCHOOL (To be completed by all schools)

- 3. Category that best describes the area where the school is located:
 - [] Urban or large central city
 - [] Suburban school with characteristics typical of an urban area
 - [X] Suburban
 - [] Small city or town in a rural area
 - [] Rural
- 4. <u>11</u> Number of years the principal has been in her/his position at this school.

_____ If fewer than three years, how long was the previous principal at this school?

5. Number of students as of October 1 enrolled at each grade level or its equivalent in applying school only:

Grade	# of	# of	Grade		Grade	# of	# of	Grade		
	Males	Females	Total	_		Males	Females	Total		
PreK					7					
K	61	74	135		8					
1	77	73	150		9					
2	74	76	150		10					
3	85	72	157		11					
4	74	77	151		12					
5	97	84	181		Other					
6										
	TOTAL STUDENTS IN THE APPLYING SCHOOL \rightarrow 9									

[Throughout the document, round numbers to avoid decimals.]

	•	Number of students who transferred <i>to</i> the school after October 1 until the end of the year. Number of students who transferred <i>from</i> the school after October 1 until the end of the year.		obility rate.]
[(2)	Number of students who transferred <i>to</i> the school after October 1 until the end of the year. Number of students who transferred <i>from</i> the school after October 1	69	obility rate.]
	(2)	transferred <i>to</i> the school after October 1 until the end of the year. Number of students who transferred <i>from</i> the school after October 1		
		Number of students who transferred <i>from</i> the school after October 1	36	
	(3)			
		Total of all transferred students [sum of rows (1) and (2)]	105	
	(4)	Total number of students in the school as of October 1	924	
	(5)	Total transferred students in row (3) divided by total students in row (4)	.1136	
	(6)	Amount in row (5) multiplied by 100	11	
l S	German, Greek, Gujarati, Hi	ted:Tota ted:28 Arabic, Bengali, Bulgarian, Chi ndi, Indonesian, Japanese, Kor	nese, Danish, En rean, Lithuanian,	, Malay, Mandarir
	Napan, Russian, Spanisn, Swed	dish, Tagalog, Taiwanese, Tamil, red-priced meals: 7 %	, Telugu, Vietnam	nese

If this method does not produce an accurate estimate of the percentage of students from low-income families or the school does not participate in the federally-supported lunch program, specify a more accurate estimate, tell why the school chose it, and explain how it arrived at this estimate.

10.	Students receiving special education s			mber of Stud	ents Served	
	Indicate below the number of students Individuals with Disabilities Education					in the
11	_5Autism _0Deafness _0Deaf-Blindness _1Emotional Disturb _0Hearing Impairmo _3Mental Retardatio _0Multiple Disabilit Indicate number of full-time and part-	bance $\frac{25}{27}$ Sent $\frac{0}{0}$ Ton $\frac{0}{0}$ Viies	Speech or Lar Fraumatic Bra Fisual Impair	Impaired ning Disabilit Iguage Impair nin Injury ment Includir	rment ng Blindness	
11.	indicate number of run-time and part-	time starr me	Number of		ories below.	
		Full-t	<u>ime</u>	Part-Time		
	Administrator(s)	2		1		
	Classroom teachers	40_				
	Special resource teachers/specialists	14_		7		
	Paraprofessionals	26_		2		
	Support staff	11_				
	Total number	93_		10	_	
12.	Average school student-"classroom te students in the school divided by the F				23:1	_
13.	Show the attendance patterns of teached defined by the state. The student drop students and the number of exiting stute the number of exiting students from the number of entering students; multiply 100 words or fewer any major discrep middle and high schools need to supply rates.	dents from the number of by 100 to geancy between	e difference late same cohorentering stude the percentant the dropout	petween the net. (From the ents; divide the ge drop-off rate and the contents.)	umber of ent same cohort nat number b nte.) Briefly lrop-off rate.	tering , subtract y the explain in Only
		2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
	Daily student attendance	97 %	97 %	96 %	97 %	97 %
	Daily teacher attendance	97 %	96 %	97 %	97 %	%

11 %

%

%

16 %

%

%

Teacher turnover rate

Student dropout rate (middle/high)
Student drop-off rate (high school)

14 %

%

%

4 %

%

%

%

%

Part III - Summary

Located in suburban Cary, North Carolina, Davis Drive Elementary opened its doors in 1995, committed to educating each child to be an effective member of our global society through a strong emphasis on the basics and technology. In just a few years, it has become one of the state's leading technology focused schools with close to 450 computers in daily use and laptops for every teacher. A strong partnership between the PTA and the school leadership has created an environment where visions of what an effective school can be have been realized.

Davis Drive's vision to provide a quality education in a challenging, nurturing, multicultural environment is a reality. Students are actively engaged in learning experiences and cooperative groups. Peer tutors and parent volunteers can be found in all areas of the school. Learning extends beyond the confines of the classroom. Some students explore pond life while other students are digging in the fossil pit or tending garden plots in outdoor classroom. An integrated curriculum provides seamless learning for students at this school. Potential teachers and administrators come to Davis Drive to observe and to practice teaching at one of the state's leading nationally recognized schools.

Davis Drive Elementary enjoys a strong reputation as a school where high academic standards prevail for all students. Since 1997, the school has been honored as a School of Excellence with over 95% of its students performing at or above grade level. Davis Drive is the only elementary school in Wake County to have achieved high student growth each year its students have been tested in the state's accountability testing program. Last year, the school made Adequately Yearly Progress with 17 out of 17 subgroups at or above grade level. While every subgroup performs significantly better than district and state averages, it is noteworthy that economically disadvantaged students performed 19% better than the state average.

The talented and creative staff adheres to the common mission of upholding high academic standards while developing the whole child. An example of this is the school's outstanding physical education program and the art department both of which have been recognized for excellence. Teachers sponsor extra-curricular clubs such as the jump rope team that is one of the largest teams in the area with well over a hundred students. Other student clubs are photography, chess, newspaper, computer, art, Student Council, service, science, Odyssey of the Mind, recorder ensemble, and chorus. Several teachers also operate a large after school program with a homework component supervised by certified teachers.

Currently, Davis Drive has slightly over a thousand students and remains, eleven years after its opening, one of the largest elementary schools in the county. Yet, the school's leadership has been able to create a student-centered environment where each child is truly valued. Students have selected the school's colors, mascot and motto, "Learning Together, Growing Together." Parents are also welcomed as true partners in their child's education and as such contribute over 12,000 volunteer hours annually serving as teacher assistants, tutors, media assistants, and school activity organizers. Parent volunteers have been instrumental in the outstanding success of the school. A few parent initiated events that have become part of the school's traditions, such as the International Festival, Earth Day, Talent Show, Spring Carnival, Field Day, Science Fair, Book Fair, and Arts Extravaganza. The International Festival is unique in that it represents the children from 28 countries who attend our school. With true collaboration among staff, parents, students and community, Davis Drive will continue to educate each child to be an effective member of our global society through a strong emphasis on the basics and technology.

Part IV - Indicators of Academic Success

1. **Assessment Results:** In North Carolina, all elementary schools participate in End-of-Grade testing for students in third, fourth and fifth grades in reading and math. Academic growth is determined through student scores on these assessments and each student is expected to make one year's academic growth in a year's time. Student performance is evaluated and measured by four levels. Level 4 is considered excellent performance while a Level 3 is indicative of grade level

proficiency. Levels 1 and 2 indicate below grade level performance. All students are tested on grade level for these End-of-Grade assessments. Since the 1995-96 school year, our first of operation, our students have consistently performed well on these tests and have consistently achieved high growth, the only school in our county to do so. For 2005, the state of North Carolina placed the target pass rate at 76.7% for reading and at 81% for math. Our school had a total school performance of 99.1% of our students receiving a level 3 or 4 on the state assessments. In 2004, our school had 98.9% of the students passing their assessments; there was a slight gain, but a much higher gain than the target pass rate set by the state. Even more amazing was the sizable margin in which students achieved a level 3 and a level 4 both in the third grade (reading and math) and in the fifth grade (math).

When the data is disaggregated, our lowest performing subgroups are the economically disadvantaged, the limited English proficient and students with disabilities. Our attendance area includes a homeless shelter and often the children who stay there have major gaps in their education as a result of frequent moves. Even with this obstacle, 87% of this group of students passed the End-of-Grade tests compared with 68 % who passed in the entire state. Many of our students with disabilities are two to three years below grade level; yet, they are assessed on grade level. This group had an 88 % passing rate on the assessments while the state posted only a 46.6 % passing rate. Finally, our limited English proficient students scored an amazing 93.8% compared to the state's rate of 49.7%. The limited English proficient students must take the test one year after being enrolled in our school, so their high pass rate is a testimony to their work ethic and to our teachers' skills. For all other subgroups, including Hispanics who received a 95% pass rate, students had an average of 99.1%, achieving levels 3 and 4 on the End-of-Grade assessments. Additional information can be found at www.ncpublicschools.org/accountability/testing.org

2. **Using Assessment Results:** Although "No Child Left Behind" targets accountability through state testing such as the End-of-Grade assessments in the upper elementary level and above, it must be noted that for Davis Drive Elementary the development of strong students begins in kindergarten. Our school holds all teachers accountable for student success by using print concepts and running records to improve teacher and student performance at the K-2 levels. In this manner, the entire staff is responsible for students learning throughout the academic year. Although seen as an important indicator of success, End-of-Grade assessments is seen as only one of the powerful tools to gauge student achievement. Davis Drive Elementary takes multiple steps to ensure the results of assessments are analyzed to increase student learning.

Within a day of test administration for the End-of- Grade assessments, performance data is received indicating who met grade level standards. For the small numbers who do not meet standards (typically fewer than twenty), parents are personally contacted by the principal in order for students to attend a session on effective test taking skills in preparation for taking the reassessment. The vast majority of students who attend this session pass reassessment. Additionally, during the summer, disaggregated data is received for administrative review. Data is organized by grade level and by teacher so that teachers can review results easily when they return in August. At that time, the entire staff receives the performance data, as well as the subgroup data and the overall growth index for the school. Each grade level meets with the administration to review individual and grade specific data. At this meeting, the effectiveness of each teacher, as well as the overall effectiveness of the grade level is discussed with the goal of continuous improvement for all. For example, if the teacher with the highest student success in reading had students use leveled books for independent reading (while other teachers permitted free choice but had lower scores), then the staff might conclude that having students select books on their instructional level had a positive influence on learning. The staff would then decide to implement the practice to see if this strategy will produce more growth in their students. In addition, when teachers receive goal summaries of how their students performed on content

objectives, a discussion follows on how objectives were taught effectively. Students who performed well and those who did not perform well are reviewed to determine if extensions and interventions were used effectively. In this manner, Davis Drive Elementary continues to strive for excellence.

- 3. Communicating Assessment Results: For the past several years, North Carolina announces how the entire state performs on state assessments via the newspapers, television, and state website. By using statewide media announcements, the entire population of our state is well informed of how students are progressing. North Carolina also produces a "NC Report Card" for each school that is made public. The report card contains a school profile, performance in each grade on the ABC's End-of- Grade tests, as well as the performance of each student subgroup. Davis Drive Elementary sends a copy of this report card to every family. Results from assessments also are discussed at a PTA meeting and our annual volunteer recruitment meetings. Results are placed on our website and distributed via our weekly newsletter. A school wide announcement on our in-house television network is made, highlighting our success as a school community. Students take great pride in their achievement. Davis Drive Elementary also takes pride in contacting students on an individual basis regarding test results. Students receive an individual printout of their scores. The principal personally contacts students at home to inform them of outstanding progress on their assessments. Additionally, she calls the parents of students who need to re-take the test in order to inform parents and students of a required informational session regarding test-taking skills that will help improve success on the reassessment. Other measures noted at the school level ensure that all publics are aware of student performance.
- 4. Sharing Success: Sharing best practices are an integral component of the Davis Drive Elementary vision statement since the school's inception in 1995. In part, the school's vision statement addresses sharing success when it states, "potential teachers come to Davis Drive to observe and to practice teaching at one of the state's nationally recognized schools." Indeed, this vision has become a reality. Teachers from Calvert County, Maryland, along with teachers from many schools in our county and state have visited our school to learn from our staff. The North Carolina State Department of Public Instruction has sent visitors over the years to observe our teachers in action, especially our special education and kindergarten departments. Last spring, a member of the Governor's Task Force for Effective Teaching interviewed our teachers and produced a document highlighting best practices found at our school. Another State Department of Public Instruction publication entitled "Models for Improving Student Achievement" included our school model. The S.D.P.I. sends copies of these publications to every school in the state. Throughout the year, teachers from other schools visit our staff, as well as prospective teachers from the leading universities in the area (UNC-Chapel Hill, UNC-Raleigh, UNC-Durham, and Meredith College). Additionally, our school assists new schools in the area by working with their administrators to put good practices in place from the very beginning. Wake County has regional area meetings each month to share best practices with other schools and our school participates in these sessions. Davis Drive Elementary feels part of the success of the "No Child Left Behind -Blue Ribbon Schools" program is sharing best practices; our school intends to continue this practice in the future.

Part V - Curriculum and Instruction

1. **Curriculum:** In our state, all schools follow The North Carolina Standard Course of Study that lists objectives for each grade level and content area. In Wake County, all elementary schools use a balanced literacy approach for reading that incorporates modeled, shared, guided, collaborative and independent reading delivered in flexible reading groups. At our school, K-2 teachers use

running records to determine students' reading levels. Sound phonics instruction is presented along with high interest, leveled reading materials. In grades 3-5, reading groups are flexible and organized on reading level or student interest. Teachers use chapter books, non-fiction materials and a commercial leveled reading program. Writing follows a "Writer's Workshop" model of a teacher directed mini-lesson and balanced writing instruction that includes modeled, shared, guided and independent writing experiences. Writing across all content areas is expected. Students write during science, math, social studies, art, and even music classes.

Wake County uses Math Trailblazers to deliver math instruction in K-5. This program uses an inquiry or problem based approach to teaching math. The teacher acts as a facilitator of learning with students actively engaged in solving authentic problems in small interactive student groups. The curriculum uses a spiral approach so students have many exposures to key concepts. More time is devoted to higher-level problem solving, including multiple solutions to singular problems, as opposed to the "Drill and Practice" technique of devoting unlimited time to isolated computations and math facts. An emphasis is placed on analysis and synthesis of information. Extensions for advanced students are an integral component of this program.

In our county, schools offer art, music, media, physical education, and technology to all K-5 students. Spanish is offered in grades 3 –5 as well as Drama/Dance in grades 4 and 5. At our school, technology is integrated throughout the day with students using the five-networked computers available in each classroom. Joint planning between specialists and grade level teams often produces opportunities for seamless learning experiences for our students. Other area specialists strive for curriculum integration in their classes and plan with the specific grade level they teach to ensure that concepts introduced in class are extended in their specific offerings. Visual art expands both aesthetic and intellectual awareness through reading, writing, listening, researching, discussing, critiquing and reflective thinking. In Spanish, students are introduced to four basic language skills: listening, speaking, reading and writing. The content of Spanish class integrates with the general elementary curriculum of social studies, science and math. Concurrently throughout the year, the program explores Spanish-speaking cultures through songs, dances and hands on activities.

The core science curriculum is based on state/county goals and objectives for each grade level. Science classes are primarily hands-on, inquiry-based lessons with activities that permit students to learn the process skills of science through experimentation. Science is integrated with reading, writing and math activities with students maintaining science logs of their findings.

2a. **Reading:** The staff of Davis Drive believes reading is the foundation of all learning and directs a majority of its resources to producing proficient readers. In all grades, a comprehensive balanced reading program is in use that reflects the major factors influencing proficiency in reading such as phonics instruction at the lower grades along with leveled high interest reading materials. Balanced literacy, including the grade level specific goals and objectives of the NCStandard Course of Study, scaffolds students' learning and fosters their success through modeled, shared, guided, collaborative and independent reading. Our model of balanced literacy includes the five essential components of effective reading instruction, which are foundational literacy skills, word recognition, language and vocabulary/concept development, fluency and comprehension. Our school selected balanced literacy because of its strong support by research conducted on effective reading instruction and abilities of proficient readers. All teachers have Receptive and Expressive Literacy Assessment folders to document progress. In the lower grades, print concepts, running records and retelling levels determine the most appropriate instructional reading level for students. Once the level is determined, students are placed in flexible reading groups for instruction. Our school maintains a large, well-stocked leveled bookroom that includes many types of literature from poetry to chapter books to non-fiction books. In addition to the bookroom, commercial products also are used and each classroom contains kits of leveled readers. The leveled materials correlate directly with the assessment instruments used by the

county. Students respond to text through the use of response logs, literature circles, small and whole group discussions, projects, reports, and letter writing and computer activities. All teachers are required to maintain a media collection in their room for independent reading that students utilize each day. These collections are arranged by genre, author or reading levels. Our youngest students have a 100% participation rate in the Books and Beyond program that is used as enrichment reading as is the Accelerated Reading Program for grades 2 through 5. The media center uses flexible scheduling and boasts of circulating over 40,000 books for the 2004-2005 school year. Our self-contained special education classes use the research Reading Mastery and the Corrective Reading Program.

- 3. **Technology:** The mission of Davis Drive Elementary calls for a strong emphasis on the basics and technology. Each classroom has at least five networked desktop systems that are used everyday and students have access to a networked printer. Students have a variety of networked and stand-alone applications, as well as access to Internet applications. Second graders learn computer keyboarding and use different applications to improve writing. An Internet based program for math and reading is available for all third and fifth grades that students can access at school, home or anywhere there is access to the Internet. Students use word processing and power point for their reports and class work. The building of knowledge and essential skills in technology require students to use programs that emphasize immediate feedback, using "realtime" data and statistics on the Internet. This idea supports learning in our global society. An example of this is when some fourth grade classes linked into a live NASA broadcast where schools around the country asked questions about writing and space exploration of NASA representatives and the author of the book Zathura. The pervasive use of technology creates an atmosphere in which every teacher and student is comfortable and adept at using technology as a tool to further learning. At Davis Drive the mission is to "educate each student to be a member of our global society." This cannot be accomplished without teaching children to find, read, comprehend, and then utilize information quickly and technology is the key to achieving these lifelong skills.
- 4. Instructional Methods: Davis Drive Elementary is a community of learners with a diverse student population representing many different countries. Our school atmosphere facilitates fast skill acquisition so that benchmarks for each grade can be achieved. Students are expected to self-monitor and pace their learning in order to achieve the county benchmarks. Flexible instructional groups are used as well as curriculum compacting in order to meet individual student needs. Student contracts are common in our school as away to differentiate instruction as well as to involve students in the decision making process. Writer's Workshop is a method of instruction that also includes peer coaching in the review of student writing. Some students require one on one instruction in order to grasp concepts. Small group as well as whole group direct instruction is used. Teachers use mini lessons prior to writing and centers are utilized in all classrooms but most heavily in the K-3 grades. Inquiry based methods are the foundation for our science and math programs at Davis Drive as all students are involved in experimentation with materials to gain knowledge. Technology is also used to enhance learning and to provide students with research opportunities to direct their own learning.

Teachers are adept in differentiation and leveling of activities to reach all learners. Our sizeable and diverse English Second Language learners receive small group language enrichment classes for forty minutes a day but are immersed in regular classrooms for the remainder of their instructional day. Our staff is dedicated to using whatever it takes to have all of our students succeed.

5. Professional Development: North Carolina requires schools to consider frameworks for staff

development in The School Improvement Plan. Since the plan's purpose is to improve student achievement, a staff development plan must be submitted with the annual update of the plan. Student achievement and improvement relies on strong staff development. In addition to state funding for professional development, our parents at Davis Drive Elementary have created a fund that enables teachers to attend professional conferences and workshops. In order to best optimize the current funding given for teacher training, Davis Drive Elementary uses a "Training of Trainers" model to accomplish much of our staff development offerings. Our school requires that teachers who are chosen for staff development communicate their learning to all the staff upon their return from the training program. This way all staff benefits from all training available. For the current school year, teachers as well as support staff are attending sessions on best practices in guided reading and writing. For new teachers, staff development for Math Trailblazers (the current math program for our county) is on going. Two staff members (one for the lower elementary grades and one for the upper elementary grades) provide the training. Additionally, our teacher for gifted education is offering a workshop on differentiation. With new requirements for writing in place, many teachers have attended workshops to improve their writing instruction. When teachers return from their professional development activities, they are expected to share information with their co-workers so that all teachers and students can benefit. Last year, our students had the highest writing scores for the fourth grade in our county. Also, our students performed extremely well on the EOG tests for reading and math with over ninety-five percent mastery at the third, fourth and fifth grade levels. Considering the high scores, parents are receiving a high return on their investment. Most importantly, our students' learning continues to thrive as our teachers effectively use the strategies taught to them in these professional development programs.

Part VII – Assessment Results

NOTE: A very small number of students classified as "Multiracial" each year and are not reported as an ethnicity subgroup as they do not correspond to the official categories used in the other parts of the application. They are, however, included in the totals at the top of the table and the Free/Reduced Lunch and Students with Disabilities subgroups.

Reading Grade 3

	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Testing Month		Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring
5					
SCHOOL SCORES					
% Proficient	98%	98%	98%	98%	97%
Number of Students Tested	165	156	181	159	200
% of Students Tested	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
% of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
SUBGROUP SCORES					
AM INDIAN					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
ASIAN					
% Proficient		100%	94%	100%	100%
Number of Students Tested	33	25	35	23	22
BLACK					
% Proficient				91%	
Number of Students Tested				11	
1 110 DAA 110 // A TIN 10					
HISPANIC/LATINO					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
N// UTC					
WHITE	000/	070/	000/	000/	200/
% Proficient		97%	99%	98%	98%
Number of Students Tested	114	114	129	116	166
FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	020/		1000/		900/
% Proficient Number of Students Tested			100% 14		80%
Number of Students Tested	12		14		10
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES					
% Proficient		92%	94%	87%	83%
Number of Students Tested		24	16	15	23
Number of Students Tested		۷4	10	10	23

Reading Grade 4

Reading Grade 4				ı	1
	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Testing Month	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring
SCHOOL SCORES					
% Proficient	99%	99%	96%	97%	98%
Number of Students Tested	152	176	165	178	177
% of Students Tested	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
% of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
č					
SUBGROUP SCORES					
AM INDIAN					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
1,000,001 01 200,000					
ASIAN					
% Proficient	100%	100%	93%	100%	95%
Number of Students Tested	26	30	27	25	19
rumber of Students Tested	20	30	21	23	17
BLACK					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
Number of Students Tested					
HISPANIC/LATINO					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
Number of Students Tested					
WHITE					
% Proficient	99%	98%	98%	98%	99%
Number of Students Tested		130	117	138	145
Number of Students Tested	100	130	117	136	143
FREE/REDUCED LUNCH					
% Proficient				Q20/	
% Proficient Number of Students Tested				82%	
Number of Students Tested				11	
CALIDENAG MAALI DIG Y DII TATEG					
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	050/	000/	0.40/	050/	020/
% Proficient		88%	94%	85%	92%
Number of Students Tested	21	16	17	20	13

Reading Grade 5

Reading Grade 5		T		ı	1
	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Testing Month	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring
SCHOOL SCORES		<u> </u>			
% Proficient		98%	98%	99%	99%
Number of Students Tested		175	187	187	168
% of Students Tested	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
% of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
SUBGROUP SCORES					
AM INDIAN		<u> </u>			
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
ASIAN			400		
% Proficient		97%	100%	96%	96%
Number of Students Tested	31	34	30	26	23
DI A CIV					
BLACK					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
HISPANIC/LATINO					
% Proficient Number of Students Tested					
Number of Students Tested					
WHITE					
% Proficient		97%	99%	100%	100%
Number of Students Tested		121	143	148	130
Number of Students Tested	133	121	143	140	130
FREE/REDUCED LUNCH					
% Proficient		70%	91%		
Number of Students Tested		10	11		
Trainer of Statents Tested	10	10	11	<u> </u>	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES					
% Proficient		83%	86%	100%	91%
Number of Students Tested		18	22	15	11
Tumber of Students Tested		10	22	13	1.1

Mathematics Grade 3

2	2004-05				
	2004-03	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Testing Month	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring
SCHOOL SCORES					
% Proficient	99%	99%	98%	97%	97%
Number of Students Tested	165	156	181	159	202
% of Students Tested	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
% of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
SUBGROUP SCORES					
AM INDIAN_					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
ASIAN_					
% Proficient	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%
Number of Students Tested	33	25	35	23	22
BLACK_					
% Proficient				91%	
Number of Students Tested				11	
HISPANIC/LATINO_	Т				
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
WHITE_	T				
% Proficient	99%	99%	99%	97%	96%
Number of Students Tested	114	114	129	116	168
FREE/REDUCED LUNCH		1			
% Proficient	92%		100%		91%
Number of Students Tested	12		14		11
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	Т	1	1		
% Proficient	95%	100%	88%	73%	76%
Number of Students Tested	22	24	16	15	25

Mathematics Grade 4

Mathematics Grade 4		T		T	
	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Testing Month	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring
SCHOOL SCORES		T		T	
% Proficient	99%	99%	97%	99%	100%
Number of Students Tested	154	176	165	178	178
% of Students Tested	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
% of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
SUBGROUP SCORES					
AM INDIAN					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
ASIAN					
% Proficient	96%	100%	93%	100%	100%
Number of Students Tested	28	30	27	25	19
BLACK					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
HISPANIC/LATINO					
% Proficient					
Number of Students Tested					
WHITE					
% Proficient	100%	99%	98%	99%	100%
Number of Students Tested	106	130	117	138	146
FREE/REDUCED LUNCH					
% Proficient				91%	
Number of Students Tested				11	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES					
% Proficient	100%	94%	88%	95%	100%
Number of Students Tested	21	16	17	20	14
				•	

Mathematics Grade 5

Sering Spring S	Mathematics Grade 5		1		ı	1
SCHOOL SCORES % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% 100% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 96% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100		2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Number of Students Tested 184 175 187 187 169 Number of Students Tested 100% 100% 100% 100% 96% Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments	Testing Month	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring	Spring
% Proficient Number of Students Tested 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% % of Students Tested % of Students Taking Alternate Assessments % of Students Taking Alternate Assessments 100% 100% 100% 100% 96% SUBGROUP SCORES						
Number of Students Tested 184 175 187 187 169 100% 100% 100% 96% 100% 100% 100% 96% 100% 100% 100% 96% 100% 100% 100% 96% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10	SCHOOL SCORES		T		T	
% of Students Tested 100% 100% 100% 96% Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments % of Students Taking Alternate Assessments \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	% Proficient	99%	98%	99%	100%	99%
Number of Students Taking Alternate Assessments % of Students Taking Alternate Assessments **SUBGROUP SCORES** AM INDIAN % Proficient Number of Students Tested ASIAN % Proficient Number of Students Tested ASIAN % Proficient Number of Students Tested BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	Number of Students Tested	184	175	187	187	169
Subgroup Scores	% of Students Tested	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
SUBGROUP SCORES AM INDIAN % Proficient	_					
AM INDIAN % Proficient Number of Students Tested ASIAN % Proficient Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	% of Students Taking Alternate Assessments					
AM INDIAN % Proficient Number of Students Tested ASIAN % Proficient 100% 97% 100% 100% 100% Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient Number of Students Tested FREE/REDUCED LUNCH						
% Proficient Number of Students Tested ASIAN % Proficient 100% 97% 100% 100% 100% Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested Inchested Inchest						
ASIAN % Proficient 100% 97% 100% 100% 100% Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested	AM INDIAN		T		T	
ASIAN % Proficient 100% 97% 100% 100% 100% Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	% Proficient					
% Proficient 100% 97% 100% 100% 100% Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient <td>Number of Students Tested</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number of Students Tested					
% Proficient 100% 97% 100% 100% 100% Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23 BLACK % Proficient <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Number of Students Tested 32 34 30 26 23	ASIAN				Г	Г
BLACK % Proficient Number of Students Tested HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	% Proficient	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%
% Proficient	Number of Students Tested	32	34	30	26	23
% Proficient						
Number of Students Tested HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient	BLACK		T		1	1
HISPANIC/LATINO % Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	% Proficient					
% Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	Number of Students Tested					
% Proficient Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH						
Number of Students Tested WHITE % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	HISPANIC/LATINO					
WHITE % Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	% Proficient					
% Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	Number of Students Tested					
% Proficient 99% 98% 99% 100% 99% Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH						
Number of Students Tested 133 121 143 148 131 FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	WHITE					
FREE/REDUCED LUNCH	% Proficient	99%	98%	99%	100%	99%
	Number of Students Tested	133	121	143	148	131
% Proficient 90% 100% 100%	FREE/REDUCED LUNCH					
/0 1 TOTICLENCE 70 /0 100 /0 100 /0	% Proficient	90%	100%	100%		
Number of Students Tested 10 10 11	Number of Students Tested	10	10	11		
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES					
% Proficient 92% 94% 91% 100% 83%	% Proficient	92%	94%	91%	100%	83%
Number of Students Tested 24 18 22 15 12	Number of Students Tested	24	18	22	15	12